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1. Executive Summary

This project is developing and laying the groundwork for in-situ reclamation of corroded components in load bearing infrastructures (e.g., bridges) imparting robust corrosion protection using high-velocity thermal spray. This novel approach may provide interim or even potentially long term solution to material loss and continued degradation of certain infrastructure components and provide the Department of Transportation a new tool in infrastructure maintenance.

The project was initiated in February 2011 and optimization for high-velocity oxy-fuel (HVOF) processing has begun to determine the parameters necessary to produce a fill coating with residual compressive stresses. In addition, steel dog bone tensile test bars have been acquired. The gauge section has been reduced in thickness allowing for buildup of the gauge with HVOF-sprayed material. In the second quarter, exploration of both Fe- and Ni-based powders occurred to determine how high velocity oxy-fuel (HVOF) processing can be used to impart compressive stresses in the surface of reclaimed structures.

During the 1st year, detail comparisons between Fe and Ni coatings were made. At thin coatings, the Ni deposited ones presented a better performance compared to the Fe-based ones, since they were able to endure excessive loads and displacements without delamination. However, both coatings presented an increased load bearing capacity compared to virgins –uncoated- tensile test specimens. At thick coatings, new spraying parameters were required in order to produce more compressive coatings, as they were showing premature failure. The new compressive Ni coatings presented the highest load bearing capacities, compared to all coatings and virgin tensile specimens. During the fourth quarter, a new design of virgin, un-grooved, tensile test specimens (dogbones) was introduced. The new design was adopted to serve two purposes. First, to address weaknesses found in the grooved specimens design and second to investigate the potential of raising the initial load bearing ability of the tensile test specimens by depositing additional compressed material. Besides the substrate influence, new coating materials, process parameters, and fixtures were tested, in order to elucidate the interaction mechanisms between coating and substrate.

During the final two quarters, extensive experimental analysis was conducted including specimen geometry material type, testing and characterization. These results allowed further improvement in process parameters to enhance the reclaimed material properties. Computational models were also refined to closely follow the experimental tests. In the simulations, Concentrations of stress and deformation in coated specimens were identified and the effects of coating area were quantified in the analysis. These studies are valuable in understanding possible delamination (between coating and base metal) behavior. In summary, the results to date are very encouraging suggesting that this approach could offer solutions for critical DoT applications. In fact interest has already been generated from commercial bridge restorers and more notably from chemical plants where the effects of corrosion on structure are far more severe. Issues of scalability, cost and life cycle benefit assessment will need to be considered for full exploitation of the IDEA results. The team is actively seeking funding to take the next steps. Our industrial partners through the consortium have also been engaged in this development to ensure success.
2. Technical Activities
Part I: Experimental Program
A: Thermal Spray Process Selection for Structural Reclaim

In all of the tests, the HVOF thermal spray process (shown in Fig. 1) was used to deposit coatings. Two types of spray torches were evaluated: a gas-fueled (hydrogen) high velocity oxy-fuel system and in addition a kerosene oxygen fueled system was also used. They both work in a similar principle but offer subtle differences to the microstructures and properties. In both cases, the samples were first pre-heated by two passes of the torch without powder and then coated. The final thickness of the coating was at least enough to fill the groove but no more than 0.030” over the virgin sample thickness. Typical spraying parameters used for coating deposition are shown in Table 1. Similar spraying parameters were applied for coating deposition on an in situ coating property sensor (ICP) specimen in order to measure the stresses and temperature developed during spraying. Figure 2 and Table 3 show the curvature and temperature evolution during deposition resulting in a compressive deposition stress but ultimately a tensile residual stress. No pre-heat passes were applied and the deposit coating was sprayed in 20 torch passes. Figure 3 shows the series of tensile dog bone specimens including a reduced gauge thickness and an HVOF-sprayed sample.

![Figure 1. Specimen mounting fixture (left). HVOF thermal spray process spraying specimens rotated on carousel while torch vertically traversed (right).](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. HVOF spray parameters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spraying torch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O_2 Flow Rate [scfh]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H_2 Flow Rate [scfh]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ar Carrier Gas Flow Rate [scfh]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feed Rate [g/min]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nozzle [inch]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 2. Curvature and temperature plots of the ICP sprayed specimen with the parameters listed in Table 2. Two intervals of 10 passes were applied on a room temperature substrate.

Table 2. Deposition properties of the ICP coatings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Stainless Steel by gas fueled HVOF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Substrate Thickness [mm]</td>
<td>1.510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coating Thickness [mm]</td>
<td>0.374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young’s Modulus [GPa]</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deposition stress [MPa]</td>
<td>-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal stress [MPa]</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evolving stress [MPa]</td>
<td>-53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual Stress [MPa]</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Temperature [°C]</td>
<td>278</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 3. Tensile specimens (top: virgin sample, middle: 0.032” grooved, bottom: 0.032” grooved and coated).

B: Uniaxial Tensile Testing of Virgin and Grooved Samples

To investigate the mechanical responses of coated specimens, the tensile testing rig (Instron) shown in Figure 4 was used. In all tests, the displacement rate of the tensile machine was set to 0.1 mm/s and allowed to run until the specimen failed.

From the test of the virgin tensile specimen, the maximum allowable load was found to be ~31.3kN. The virgin specimen fractured near the beginning of the gauge length. Set one of grooved and uncoated specimens showed a lower allowable force, ~22.5kN. All of the uncoated specimens failed at the beginning of the gauge length. Shown in Figure 5 are the force vs. displacement plots and images of the typical specimens.

Figure 4. Tensile testing rig with sample in place.

Figure 5. Force-Displacement curve of virgin specimen and set one grooved specimens (left). Image of fractured specimens after testing (right).
C: HVOF Thermal Spray Reclaimed Structures based on Fe and Ni.

The liquid fueled HVOF thermal spray process (shown in Fig. 6) was used to produce coatings on steel from Fe- and Ni-based powders. The spray conditions are given along with the temperature and velocity of the particles in the HVOF flame at the point of impact on the substrate.

Figure 6. Continued development of reclamation coatings has concentrated on both Fe-based and Ni feedstock powders through high velocity oxy-fuel (HVOF) deposition. The spray distance and torch parameters are given as well as the temperature (T) and velocity (V) of the particles in the respective flames.
The curvature-time results of steel beams in the in situ coating property (ICP) sensor are given in Fig. 7. These ICP beams were coated during the same spray run as deposition of filler material in reduced cross section tensile bars. The designations of 250 μm and 600 μm grooves refer to how much material was removed from the dogbone specimens. Therefore, in order to “fill” the grooves, it takes more passes of the torch for the 600 μm groove as compared to the 250 μm. These additional passes do impart some heat into the substrate which may explain the reduced magnitude of the evolving stress in the 600 μm Ni sample as compared to the 250 μm Ni.

Figure 8 shows the cross-sectional images of the three coating types. In the case of the Fe-based material, many semi-molten particles can be observed in the structure. Additionally, significant oxidation occurred during deposition. The semi-molten particles could have contributed to the lower compressive evolving stress in this system because these impinging particles could peen the coating as it is built up. For both Ni cases, the coatings are very dense with structures made up of well-melted particles.

The final residual stress in these samples is comprised of deposition stresses and thermal mismatch stresses between the coating and substrate. Figure 9 shows the evolving and residual stress for each coating as well as the maximum substrate temperature and deposition efficiency (DE) of the coatings. The DE difference between the Fe- and Ni-based coatings is quite striking and reflects the states of the particles as they impacted the substrate. The heavily oxidized Fe-
based particles which were semi-molten were more apt to bounce off the substrate during deposition.

![Figure 9](image)

Figure 9. In addition to stresses that built during deposition (evolving stress), the final residuals stress state in a system is also influenced by thermal mismatch with the substrate. This figure gives the final stress states of the coatings as well as the maximum substrate temperature that occurred and the deposition efficiency (DE).

Table 3 lists the elastic moduli (E), hardness and densities of the three coatings. The higher hardness in the Fe-based coating reflects the additional oxides present in that microstructure. The high densities of the Ni coatings (~93% of bulk) reflect the dense structure achieved and the potential for Ni to provide a good corrosion barrier for steel.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coating</th>
<th>E (GPa)</th>
<th>Hardness (GPa)</th>
<th>Density (g/cm³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe 250 μm</td>
<td>150 ± 10</td>
<td>4.06 ± 0.54</td>
<td>6.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni 250 μm</td>
<td>181 ± 17</td>
<td>3.25 ± 0.32</td>
<td>8.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni 600 μm</td>
<td>179 ± 10</td>
<td>3.49 ± 0.44</td>
<td>8.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**D: Corrosion Performance of Virgin and Reclaimed Samples**

Figure 10 presents the potentiodynamic plots of the coatings in NaCl 3.5 wt.%. While the Fe-based coating showed poor performance, the Ni coatings protected effectively the steel substrate. In fact, the dense microstructure prevented the electrolyte to penetrate through the coating and no corrosion traces appeared in the interface between coatings and substrates, which would suggest galvanic cells formation. Furthermore, increased coating thickness had a beneficially impact on the corrosion properties of the Ni coatings, producing nobler potentials. The 600 μm thickness coatings produced by conditions No. 2, showed similar potentiodynamic plots to the coatings produced by conditions No.1.

Overall, the reclaimed coating was very effective to prevent further corrosion of steel member.
Figure 10. Potentiodynamic plots of the thin and thick coatings and of the steel substrate (NaCl 3.5 wt. %).

E: Process enhancement to improve performance of reclaimed Ni coatings
In the initial results, it was shown that thicker coatings were susceptible to abrupt cracking. A vertical crack would initially cut vertically the coating and then detach it from the substrate, showing a sudden decrease in the load-displacement curvature. Investigation of the failed coatings, suggested that the abrupt cracking was related to uneven coating thickness. A crack in a coating during tensile testing initiates right above the edge of the groove as shown in Fig. 11.

Figure 11. (a) Ni coating failure during tensile testing, marked with the red circle. (b) The red arrow indicates the area where usually coating premature cracking occurs, (OM, x50).
The cross sections of the coatings of similar dogbones indicate that coatings were prone to premature cracking at this point, due to lower thickness than the adjacent areas (Fig. 12b).

To address the premature coating cracking, 3.3 mm thick, plain AISI 1018 annealed steel specimens were used as substrates (Fig. 12a). The coating was deposited to produce a thicker dogbone in the middle section of the test specimen (Fig. 12b). Additionally, it was noticed that during spraying the tensile test specimens would be overheated, due to their small size and the constrictions in cooling associated with the steady fixture (Fig. 13a). To overcome this issue, a new rotating (carrousel) fixture was developed (Fig. 13b). The result was a reduced substrate temperature from 300°C (Fig. 13c) to less than 150°C (Fig. 13d).

Following the results of the previous report, it was concluded that the spraying parameters play the most important role in the Yield strength of a coated tensile test specimen. Specifically, the more compressive the depositing coating, the higher was the increase in the Yield load of the specimen. To elucidate this phenomenon more, additional spraying conditions were generated, producing a variety of coating stress state, ranging from neutral to very compressive. The stress

![Image](image1.png)  
Figure 12. (a) The plain, un-grooved tensile test specimen. (b) The coating deposition resulted in a mid-thicker area than the initial one.

![Image](image2.png)  
Figure 13. (a) Ni coatings deposited on the steady fixture using liquid fuel HVOF torch. (b) Ni coatings deposited on the rotating fixture. (c) Dogbone after a heat test on the steady fixture, the light blue color indicated the high temperature the substrate was subjected into. (d) Dogbone after a heat test on the rotating fixture.
state produced during each spraying condition, along with the measured particle velocity and surface temperature, measured by the Accuraspray sensor (Tecnar, Canada) are listed in Table 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coating stress state</th>
<th>Mean Velocity (m/s)</th>
<th>Mean Temperature (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>c04</td>
<td>Compressive</td>
<td>659</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c09</td>
<td>Slightly compressive</td>
<td>587</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c10</td>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c11</td>
<td>Very Compressive</td>
<td>676</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4. Coating evolving stress state as indicated by deposition on an ICP sensor.**
(Spraying distance: 16 in, powder: Ni, powder feed rate: 80 gr/min)

**F: Tensile Performance Assessment of Enhanced Reclaimant Coatings**

A decomposition strategy of the coating process into separate stages was introduced to clarify the contribution of each individual step on the total Yield strength increase. The three steps during coating deposition are: i) plain dogbone (Virgin), ii) sandblasting (S), coating deposition. In order to isolate the coating from the heating effect during spraying, an additional stage was added between ii) and iii) where sandblasted dogbones were subjected to flame treatment by the torch without any depositing material (F&S) (Fig.14).

The following case studies represent the effect of each one of the following factors on the Yield strength of the coated specimens.

![Figure 14. The four different states of tensile test specimens, starting from a virgin specimen (top) to a coated one (Ni) (bottom).](image-url)
Effects of Coating Materials

Fig. 15 is indicative of the increasing load bearing ability of the tensile test specimens at the consecutive stages of substrate preparation and coating deposition. In this case, different coatings (Cu and Ni) of similar thickness (250 μm) were deposited under the same torch parameters (c04) on the steady dogbones fixture. It is evident that, while the sandblasting stage did not induce any significant change in the Yield point of the specimens, the third stage (F&S) increased the Yield point by approximately 13% compared to the virgin correspondent. Finally, the application of different coatings seemed to influence the overall performance with nickel demonstrating a higher load bearing ability.

Figure 16 is indicative of the failure that occurred in the dogbones of Fig. 15. While the Cu deposited specimen failed inside the coated area, the Ni deposited specimen failed in the uncoated area adjacent to the coated one. As it is shown, the residual stress ($\sigma_R$) of the Ni coating was more compressive than the Cu’s correspondent. During Ni spraying, it is anticipated also that the substrate surface and sub-superficial layers are under compressive stress as the first particles impact on them. As Fig. 16 shows, that resulted in a stress gradient formation in the substrate between the coated and adjacent uncoated area. As additional tensile stress was imposed during testing, the combined (residual and applied) stress of the uncoated area exceeded the Ultimate Tensile Stress (UTS) of the steel and failed first. The absence of compressive residual stress in the Cu case prevented the substrate stress gradient from occurring.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Yield point (kN)</th>
<th>\ [+]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Yield point (kN)</strong></td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>11.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sandblasted</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>&amp; Flame</strong></td>
<td>10.1/300</td>
<td>10.1/300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>(Same parameters-no powder)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Yield point (kN)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sandblasted</strong></td>
<td>+1.0kN (11.5%)</td>
<td>+1.5kN (17.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Virgin</strong></td>
<td>+1.2kN (13.4%)</td>
<td>+1.2kN (13.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Yield point (kN)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Virgin</strong></td>
<td>+0.2kN (2.3%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 15. The progress of the yield strength changes with the consecutive stages for different materials (starting from the bottom).
Effects of Coating Fixture

Figure 17 shows the gradual increase of the yield strength for the same spraying parameters but different spraying fixtures. A considerable yield strength deviation between the fixtures is noticed at the third stage (Sandblasted & Flame, Fig. 17). Spraying specimens on a steady

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Yield point (kN)</th>
<th></th>
<th>Yield point (kN/C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sandblasted</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>Sandblasted</td>
<td>10.1/ 300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+1.5kN (16.8%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1.2kN (13.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virgin</td>
<td></td>
<td>+0.2kN (2.3%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 17. The progress of the yield strength increase with the consecutive stages for different spraying fixtures.
fixtures results in a higher substrate temperature, which raises the yield point, whereas a lower substrate temperature comes with a less increased yield point. The coating addition in the last stage seems to produce similar results for both fixtures. It is noticeable that the load-displacement plots for virgin and only sandblasted specimens, (Fig. 14) coincide, suggesting a minor impact due to steel work hardening induced by sandblasting (Fig. 18).

**Static Strain Aging of Steel Substrate**
The effect of sandblasting and flame is individually scrutinized in Fig. 18. While both methods seem to have a minor impact on yield strength and ductility, their consecutive combination majorly raises the yield load and decreases the ductility (green line, Fig. 18). Figure 19 is a sketch of the two interacting mechanisms occurring during the substrate preparation and flame exposure. During sandblasting, a dense network of dislocations is created inside the steel substrate. At a second stage, the heating induced by the torch increases the mobility of carbon and nitrogen atoms inside the steel, which are attracted by the dislocations and are anchored on them. The impedance in dislocations movement creates the

![Figure 18. Load-displacement plots for virgin, sandblasted only, flamed only, and sandblasted and flamed tensile test specimens. Sandblasting parameters: 16-24 mesh corundum, 90 psi. Substrate temperature at high $T=300^\circ C$.](image)

![Figure 19. Two consecutive mechanisms that generate the Static Strain Aging (SSA) of steel.](image)
increased Yield strength. It is conceivable that higher or extended heat will mobilize more atoms and anchor more dislocations, resulting in an increased Yield strength. This phenomenon is reported in literature as Static Strain Aging (SSA) [1, 2].

However, beyond the raised yield strength, the sand/grit-blasted and flamed specimens demonstrated decreased ductility. That can be explained through the representative sketch in Fig. 20. The intense sandblasting and heating generates high compressive stress in the surface, extending at a depth of 150 μm or more [3]. Upon the completion of this processing, the steel substrate responds to the induced compressive stress and neutralizes it by developing tensile stress in its core. During tension, it is reported that this residual stress gradient will be reduced but it will not be eliminated totally (Fig. 21) [3]. Higher compressive stress in the surface, produced by increased substrate temperature, will generate a reaction of increased tensile stress.
in the interior of the steel, resulting in premature failure during elongation, thus reduced ductility.

**Effects of Torch Parameters**

Figure 22 presents the evolution of yield strength at the four consecutive stages of specimens shown in Fig. 4, regarding different torch parameters. It is shown that the application of torch parameters that deposit a very compressive coating can generate a 35% increase of Yield strength compared to 13% generated by neutral stress coating. The load increase by the very compressive coating is two-fold the actual material thickness increase (15%).

In Fig. 23, the Yield load increase of the very compressive coating specimen is distinctive. The noticed Yield Point Elongation (YPE) is indicative of the intense dislocation pinning and it is reportedly associated with the mechanisms of Static Strain Aging (SSA) and Dynamic Strain Aging (DSA) [4]. The difference between SSA and DSA is that in the latter, deformation is induced simultaneously with the peening and not consecutively as at the former. DSA is a phenomenon majorly noticed in warm peened steel [2, 4], leading to the conclusion that during the deposition of very compressive coatings, HVOF acts as warm peening to the substrate surface.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Yield point (kN)</th>
<th>Sandblasted &amp; Flame (F &amp; S) (no powder)</th>
<th>Virgin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+3.2kN (35.9%)</td>
<td>+0.2kN (2.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sandblasted</td>
<td>+0.6kN (6.7%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+0.6kN (6.7%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Virgin</td>
<td>+0.2kN (6.7%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yield point (kN)</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Effects of Coating Thickness

Figure 24 shows the impact of depositing different coating thickness under the same spraying parameters, fixture and material. It is shown that thicker coatings can increase the load bearing ability up to a certain degree.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coating Type</th>
<th>Yield Point (kN)</th>
<th>Yield Point (kN/°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Virgin</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>9.5/180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandblasted &amp; Flame (F &amp; S) (no powder)</td>
<td>+0.6kN (6.7%)</td>
<td>+0.6kN (6.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandblasted Neutral</td>
<td>125 µm x 2 (7.5% material reclamation)</td>
<td>9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandblasted Neutral</td>
<td>250 µm x 2 (15% material reclamation)</td>
<td>10.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandblasted Neutral</td>
<td>250 µm x 2 (15% material reclamation)</td>
<td>+1.2kN (13.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virgin</td>
<td>+0.2kN (2.3%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 24. The progress of the yield strength increase with the consecutive stages for different coatings thicknesses. Coatings have been deposited at both sides of the tensile test specimens.
Summary of Yield Load and Stress of Various Specimens

In Fig. 25, the yield load and stress of the coatings and uncoated tensile test specimens that were investigated during this period are shown.

Figure 25 (a) The yield load of the tensile test specimens, (b) The yield stress of the tensile test specimens. The name denotes the material and torch parameters. Additionally, the thickness and number of coated sides are indicated.
Part II: Computational Analysis of Coated Specimens

Detailed model were made in the finite element analysis to probe and elucidate the load carrying mechanisms within the coated specimens. A major change is made on the modeling of material properties for the substrate steel and the thermal sprayed Ni coatings. The new stress-strain relation of steel substrate properly includes the static strain gaining effects. The simulations elucidate load transfer mechanisms that are otherwise not apparent in the experimental investigations.

Material Models

As described in the earlier section, we have determined stiffening behavior of steel when it is subjected to grit-blasting and high temperature due to the mechanism known static strain aging. Using the tensile testing of steel specimens without coatings but exposed to grit-blasting and high-heat, a new elastic-plastic property of substrate was identified as shown in Fig. 26. The proper stress-strain relation of HVOF Ni coating was also determined from the simulations. Here a few iterations were carried out to best match the tensile load test results of Ni coated specimen as shown in Fig. 17. The Young’s modulus of $E = 150$GPa and the yield stress of $\sigma_0 = 350$MPa.

![Steel substrate properties](image1)

**Fig. 16.** Elastic-plastic stress-strain relation of steel substrate was determined by matching the uniaxial tensile test of uncoated specimen.
Material Models

As described in the earlier section, we have determined stiffening behavior of steel when it is subjected to grit-blasting and high temperature due to the mechanism known static strain aging. Using the tensile testing of steel specimens without coatings but exposed to grit-blasting and high-heat, a new elastic-plastic property of substrate was identified as shown in Fig. 16. The proper stress-strain relation of HVOF Ni coating was also determined from the simulations. Here a few iterations were carried out to best match the tensile load test results of Ni coated specimen as shown in Fig. 17. The Young’s modulus of $E = 150\text{GPa}$ and the yield stress of $\sigma_0 = 350\text{MPa}$
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Figure 26. Elastic-plastic stress-strain relation of steel substrate was determined by matching the uniaxial tensile test of uncoated specimen.
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Figure 27. Elastic-perfectly plastic stress-strain relation is assumed for TS Ni coating. The yield stress is determined from matching the tensile test of coated specimen.
were identified after a few iterations of tensile test simulations. The resulting load-displacement curve match very well with the experimental data.

**Finite Element Models**

Modified 3D meshes were constructed to match with the modified spray conditions. First, models without groove were made. In these specimens, coatings were applied on both sides either with 125µm thick or 250µm thick. The double sided coatings ensure symmetrical deformation during the tensile test. Figure 28 shows a double sided coatings with or 250µm thick each. Total of 72,536 elements used to construct the mesh.

**Computed Results - Comparisons**

Simulated load vs. displacement results are compared with the two experimental results as shown in Fig. 29. Here the model with 125µm thick coating on each side (total of 250µm thick) is used. The simulated results have good agreement with the experimental results. Separate analyses were carried out thicker coatings with 250µm on each side (total of 500µm thick) as shown in Fig. 30.

The agreement with one of the test (r814) was good while with the other was off (r843). The latter test showed higher yield strength/load. The reason of the discrepancy lies on the area Ni is sprayed. In the earlier specimens, the coating covered not full area of thinner section of dogbone specimens. However, later specimens were fabricated with coating fully covering the thin section. The mechanical implication of this effect was studied using a separate model as shown in Fig. 31. As shown in the plastic strain contour plots, exposed or partially coating covered specimen shows very large plastic deformation at the uncovered thin sections of the specimen. This leads to lower yield strength as shown in the load-displacement results in Fig. 31. After these effects were clarified, specimens were fabricated with coating fully covering the thin section of dogbone specimens.
Figure 29. Comparison of experimental and simulated load-displacement results for 125μm x 2 coatings.

Figure 30. Comparison of experimental and simulated load-displacement results for 250μm x 2 coatings.
Figure 31. Comparisons of coatings fully covering thin section and coatings exposing ends of thin section are shown. In the latter, large deformation develops in the exposed sections.

Figure 32. Simulations of surface cracked coating. These cracks not only lower the maximum tensile load and generate stress concentration in the substrate.
Computed Results – Failure Model

As specimens are pulled to failure in the tensile tests, surface cracks that are perpendicular to the load axis form in the coatings as shown in Fig. 32. Using the finite element model, these cracks were modeled to examine the overall load-displacement behavior. Note, however, these cracks were included from the beginning and do not model the crack nucleation and growth. Such a model can be simulated in the finite element analysis but requires substantial efforts.

Figure 32 shows the stress contours as well as the load-displacement results. It is interesting to note that under elastic regime, the behaviors are nearly identical in models with and without cracks. However, the yielding load is significantly lower in the model with the cracks. In actual experiment, these cracks only develop at large deformation. Thus predicted behavior is shown with dashed line.

Part III: Conclusions

During the period of investigations, different approaches were tested, including new materials, process parameters, spraying fixtures and coating thicknesses. Various results indicate promising use of thermal spray technology to repair corroded structures such as bridges. The technique can be used to reclaim the strength as well as offer better resistances against corrosion. The key points of findings are summarized below:

- Ni powder seems to be the best candidate material, combining satisfying peening, corrosion protection below Yield strength, and high deposition efficiency. Ni is a softer material and has less propensity for oxidation during processing which allows for more effective deposition process.
- Sandblasting alone does not significantly affect the Yield strength and ductility of the dog bones. For the examined metal thickness, the effective metal area affected by grit blast is likely to be very thin. This has the benefit as bridge structures will be even thicker and minimize any effect of grit blast.
- Sandblasting followed by heating, increases the Yield strength and decreases the ductility. The degree of interference is affected by the heating temperature and duration. The phenomenon is defined as Static Strain Aging. The effective changes depends on the local substrate temperature which is dependent on spray procedure and parameter. This is a very controllable feature which can be appropriately selected based on component for repair. One issue for consideration is static strain aging can occur in very large steel structures where thermal management may be somewhat difficult. This is subject to further investigation. Thermal spray parameters as well as potential auxiliary thermal sources may allow effective management of the static strain aging process.
- Spraying very compressive coatings although will increase overall strength can decrease the ductility of the dogbones, affected by the phenomenon known as Dynamic Strain Aging. The ductility reduction only occurs at very large strains and as such may not be of concern. However, continued scientific studies are noteworthy. In addition input from DoT engineers on this issue will be of interest.
- The sprayed dogbones’ Yield Strength is dependent on spraying parameters. Generally the more compressive the coating is, the higher the Yield strength, however has the effect of
some reduction in ductility. Given our knowledge of the process this can be effectively manipulated across different size and scales.

- Spraying both sides of the tensile test specimens did not increase the load bearing ability of the dogbones, instead it decreased the Yield stress as the overall thickness was increased. This is subject to further investigation via both modeling and experiments.
- Using the finite element analysis, the mechanical properties of steel substrate and sprayed Ni coatings were accurately estimated. The analysis confirmed the load bearing capacity of the coating and the overall increase in strength of the coated composite.
- The tensile test simulation results of the composite model (substrate and coating) match closely with the experimental results.
- Concentrations of stress and deformation in coated specimens were identified and the effects of coating area were quantified in the analysis. These initial results will provide a framework for design analysis of scaled up structures. This can be considered jointly with structural design engineers.

In Summary, the Stony Brook University TRB IDEA project has met or exceeded most goals indicating the feasibility of the proposed approach for localized Bridge structure repair and reclamation. Follow up discussions will be held with NY DoT, other state DoTs, FHWA, Army corps of Engineers and even chemical plants to take the next steps towards transition.

Part IV: Transition Challenges and Path Forward:
The results presented in this report is based on a 18 month feasibility study funded at the level of a $135K academic IDEA program from Transportation Research Board. The results to date demonstrate at least at the laboratory level as to the feasibility of the IDEA. i.e. it is feasible to reclaim lost metal due to corrosion via thermal spray localized application. A concomitant benefit which was serendipitous finding is that it is feasible to recover the strength of the parent metal through judicious process engineering via activating the static strain aging process. This is useful but not necessary as the experiments and model show that the robustly applied metal via HVOF thermal spray can actually carry some of the load in the reclaimed composite.

The technical feasibility demonstrated to date has clearly been accomplished only at the coupon level. Clearly questions on scalability, cost and system level consideration remain to be addressed in future programs. However, some general statements can be made:

(a) Scalability: Thermal spray is a layer by layer deposition process. It does not need vacuum chambers, it is portable and can readily be applied on site. There is much precedence to field applications on large structures (e.g. Boilers, Large Yankee dryer rolls, Naval ship deck surfaces etc.) Several companies specialize in “portable thermal spray trucks” that can transport the torch and ancillary equipment to the site to apply coating. The infrastructure required for field applications are straightforward
- The surface preparation is same as that already used by bridge restorers for painting (i.e. scaffolding to prep the site and grit blasting the corroded metal). HVOF thermal spray requires kerosene, oxygen and compressed air, all of which can be brought to site. A new technology has emerged in thermal spray HVAF (for air fuel) which will make the whole process even easier as we only need now compressed air and kerosene. This new process also allows for insitu grit blasting. Other innovations such as cold spray which uses no fuel can
also be contemplated, although cold spray can be somewhat more expensive at the present time.

-Depending on the size of the structure, restoration can be done manually or via a Gantry type arrangement. The thermal spray center at Stony Brook as long ago as 1994 conducted demonstration of thermal spray corrosion protection concepts on the Triborough Bridge in NY and on the Exit 72 overpass of the Long Island Expressway. A photograph of this demonstration is included below for reference (this was provided in the original proposal). Technology has come a long way in the last 20 years that will allow ease of implementation of such concepts. Indeed such flexibility can go a long way in making the process scalable. In some sense thermal spray is very similar to welding which is widely used in the construction industry.

(b) Cost: Determination of cost has many facets. First it’s an assessment of value proposition, cost of replacement of the structure vs restoration of the structure. The latter will certainly be significantly lower. The set-up costs which is likely going to be the most significant cost element with whatever solution is being contemplated will be common. The surface prep costs are also common. As such the only additional element is the cost of material and application. Ni proposed here will be more expensive than steel but with limited use and localized application, this cost will likely be insignificant.

Specific costs will of course be dependent on both the job and overall life cycle. This is difficult to assess without conducting a true demonstration project. As such thermal spray is
within the family of processes that generally found to be scalable and cost effective and widely embraced by industry.

**Transition Plans:**

Considerable efforts are underway to get interest from various DoT, NY Bridge Authority as well as potential contractors. Applications stem from not only DoT but steel structures in general in chemical plants, US Navy among others. The work has now been presented in many forums and significant general interest has been garnered. In addition, Stony Brook is introducing the idea to its industrial consortium members to further promote the technology and “spread the word”. A detailed technical article is also under preparation.

There is much precedence to this. [The reviewer may not have seen the original proposal where we have shown Stony Brook’s past experience in working with Army Corps of Engineers and the Triborough Bridge Authority]. Finally, collaborations have been initiated with a Japanese Materials Institute to see if this technology can be further developed through international collaboration.
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