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Introduction

This Transportation Research E-Circular includes a synopsis of five presentations at the workshop “International Experience and Perspective of Pavement Texture Measurements and Evaluation” held at the 94th Annual Meeting of the Transportation Research Board. This workshop was sponsored by the Standing Committees on Pavement Surface Properties and Vehicle Interaction, Pavement Structural Modeling and Evaluation, Characteristics of Asphalt–Aggregate Combinations to Meet Surface Requirements, and Transportation-Related Noise and Vibration.

The publication of this E-Circular is timely and likely will serve as a valuable reference for pavement researchers and professionals throughout the transportation field.

BACKGROUND

Pavement texture is defined by the irregularities on a pavement surface that deviate from an ideal perfectly flat surface. Texture influences many surface characteristics, which in turn describe the functional performance of a pavement. Specific surface characteristics include measures of road safety and comfort including friction, smoothness (evenness), splash and spray, noise, rolling resistance and more. Many techniques measure and analyze texture, particularly texture that influences tire–pavement noise and friction. Specialized measurements also directly evaluate the various surface characteristics. Most profilers in use today measure texture using a single-point laser, which results in a two-dimensional (2-D) texture profile; distance along the pavement surface is one dimension and the texture elevation is the second. Pavement texture is complex, though; it often is anisotropic, meaning that the texture varies depending on the direction of the measurement: longitudinal or transverse. A 2-D profile therefore fails to completely describe characteristics of the texture that are important to many surface characteristics. To overcome this, three-dimensional (3-D) texture profiles now can be readily measured using line-laser–based devices and 3-D cameras.

The relationship between texture and surface characteristics is not simple, however; there have been many advancements in recent years. As this trend continues, texture measurements will permit construction guidance and pavement management to advance, resulting in even smoother, safer, and quieter pavements.

The workshop presented the U.S. and international experience with pavement texture measurements and interpretation.

The stationary methods used in the United States to measure texture include sand patch, Hydro-timer, stereo-photographs, laser texture scanner, circular texture meter, photometric stereo, and stereo vision systems. For dynamic, low-speed methods used to measure texture, the Robotex is the most common procedure. Dynamic high-speed texture measurements use the following methods: high-speed texture laser systems, a V-texture system developed by the Texas Department of Transportation, and the 3-D laser scanners that can measure mean profile depth (MPD) and also can simulate the sand patch test. The pavement community in the United States has realized the need for improved procedures for verifying the accuracy of texture data collected by high-speed devices. High-frequency laser sensors may be noisy, thereby affecting MPD values. A research project has been initiated by the National Cooperation Highway Research
Program (NCHRP) to address all the challenges with texture measurements.

The pavement community in the United Kingdom realizes that high-speed wet friction is heavily dependent on the texture on the surface of the pavement. Initially texture was measured statically using the sand-patch test, but it soon was realized that an estimate of texture could be obtained at traffic speed using a noncontact method based on lasers, with the first prototype developed in the early 1970s. This texture depth parameter is now routinely collected over most of the UK road network by a fleet of multifunction road surface monitoring vehicles of TRACS (Traffic Speed Condition Surveys) under the annual Highways England contract for the collection of surface condition information. SCANNER (Surface Condition Assessment of the National Network of Roads) is the equivalent contract for the English and Scottish local road network. In the United Kingdom, texture measurements also are used for predicting surface type and for estimating tire-generated noise levels, surface deterioration, rolling resistance, and splash and spray.

The pavement community in Europe also has realized the importance of pavement texture and texture measurements. The project ROSANNE was initiated in Europe to study rolling resistance, skid resistance, and noise emission measurement standards for road surfaces. This project will advance harmonization of measurement methods for skid resistance, noise emission, and rolling resistance of pavements. This project also looks at the feasibility and usefulness of 3-D texture measurements.

The International Standardization Organization (ISO) currently is working on updating the ISO standards for measurement and analysis of texture data, including the computation of MPD. This effort was undertaken because it recently was noted that MPD obtained from different texture measuring devices had poor reproducibility and that some results seemed to be out of the reasonable range that was expected. A draft updated standard has been developed and currently is being reviewed and submitted for balloting.

WORKSHOP INFORMATION

This e-circular is based on Session 123 (a workshop) of the 94th Annual Meeting of the Transportation Research Board, held January 11–15, 2015, in Washington, D.C.
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What is Texture?

Roughness (IRI)  Megatexture (LME)

Macrotecture (MPD)  Microtextured (μ)

*Robert Otto Rasmussen, PhD, INCE, PE
The Transtec Group
The World's Pavement Engineering Specialists
Why is Texture Important?

- Microtexture
- Macrotexture
- Megatexture
- Roughness

Pavement Texture Influence

- Wet Friction
- Dry Friction
- Splash and Spray
- Tire Wear
- Vehicle Wear
- In-Vehicle Noise
- Tire-Pavement Noise

Key:
- Good
- Bad

Source: PIARC

How is Texture Specified?

Concrete

Asphalt

Diamond Grinding

Spacer Width (2.8 mm)
Blade Width (3.0 mm)
How is Texture Constructed?
How does Texture Change?

0 years (after construction)  
3-5 years

10-20 years  
> 20 years (end of life)

How is Texture Measured?

3-dimensional (RoboTex)
How is TextureMeasured?

3-dimensional (RoboTex)

How is Texture Measured?

2-dimensional
How is Texture Measured?

2-dimensional

How is Texture Measured?

2-dimensional
How is Texture Evaluated?

- Texture “frequency”
- Texture “depth”
- Texture “geometry”

RELEVANCE is key!!

- Tire dynamics
- Drainage
- Aerodynamic

Describing Texture

- Height (Amplitude)
- Spacing
- Spectral
- Functional
Describing Texture

- Height (Amplitude)
- Spacing
- Spectral
- Functional

Describing Texture – Height

Average Height (rectified)

$R_a = 0.42 \text{ mm}$
Describing Texture – Height

RMS (2\textsuperscript{nd} Moment, Std. Dev.)

$R_d = 0.63 \text{ mm}$

Describing Texture – Height

Skewness (Std. 3\textsuperscript{rd} Moment)

$R_{sk} = -1.7$ (negative skew)
Describing Texture – Height

Kurtosis (Std. 4th Moment, Peakedness)

$R_{ku} = 5.8$ (significant peak/valley)

Describing Texture – Height

Same Average Height, RMS, Kurtosis, but...
Skewness is opposite sign.
Describing Texture – Height

Extreme Peak, Valley, Total Height

\[ R_v = 2.03 \text{ mm} \]
\[ R_p = 0.83 \text{ mm} \]
\[ R_t = 2.03 + 0.83 = 2.86 \text{ mm} \]

Mean Profile (Segment) Depth

\[ \text{MPD (MSD)} = 0.68 \text{ mm} \]
\[ 0.55 \text{ mm} \]
\[ 0.83 \text{ mm} \]
Describing Texture – Height

Skewness, Kurtosis, and MPD are sensitive to “extreme” peaks...

...both real or artifacts from the measurement or analysis.

Describing Texture

- Height (Amplitude)
- Spacing
- Spectral
- Functional
Describing Texture

- Height (Amplitude)
- Spacing
- Spectral
- Functional
Describing Texture - Spectral

Describing Texture

- Height (Amplitude)
- Spacing
- Spectral
- Functional
Describing Texture – Functional

- Profile Bearing Area Curve
- Peaks – First Region of Contact / Wear
- Core – Working Region
- Valleys – Water/Air Flow

Describing Texture – Functional

- Profile Bearing Area Curve
- $R_{pk} = 0.47 \text{ mm}$
- $R_k = 0.65 \text{ mm}$
- $R_{vk} = 1.68 \text{ mm}$
Relevance

Lower Rolling Resistance

Variability and Visualization
Some Closing Thoughts

- Limitations of “peak” metrics
  - Limited relevance (by themselves)
  - Measurement and filtering artifacts
- 3-D versus 2-D textures
  - Improved relevance
  - “3-D” metrics
- Tire envelopment filtering
  - Improved relevance
  - Tire penetration (force, displacement)
  - Void space below tire
- Challenges
  - Measurement of porous or deep textures, glossy surfaces
  - Calibration and validation of texture measurements

Thank You!

Robert Otto Rasmussen, PhD, INCE, PE*
Vice President & Chief Engineer
The Transtec Group, Inc.
6111 Balcones Drive, Austin, Texas 78731 USA
+1 (512) 451 6233
Robotto@TheTranstecGroup.com
www.TheTranstecGroup.com

* Registered in AZ, CO, FL, IL, KY, MI, MO, NC, NM, OH, TX, UT
To maintain safe roads we need to provide adequate friction on pavement surfaces, particularly in wet conditions. Therefore, we need to be able to measure or predict the skid resistance under standardized conditions. In the 1930s, TRL—then the Road Research Laboratory—started the development of a technique to measure skid resistance under slow-speed slip conditions using a freely rotating wheel set at an angle to the direction of travel (Slide 3).

The first version used the wheel of a motorcycle sidecar. Several versions followed using a variety of passenger cars but the current version called SCRIM (sideways coefficient routine investigation machine) is based on a lorry chassis with a smooth-tired instrumented fifth wheel to measure slow-speed friction with a tank to supply a controlled flow of water (Slide 4). This tool has been used since the late 1980s for routine measurement of skid resistance; SCRIM currently covers the whole of the English Strategic Road Network annually.

However, as the speed of traffic increased over the years it was realized that knowledge of the wet friction was required at a greater range of speeds than could be provided by just an angled wheel device, effectively measuring low-speed skid resistance at a slip speed of around 17 km/h. Thus other devices were developed to measure high-speed friction directly (Slide 5). These high-speed measurements started with a fifth wheel braking force trailer towed by a XK120 Jaguar in the 1950s. Most recently these measurements are achieved with a Pavement Friction Tester that was acquired by TRL from K. J. Law from the United States in the 1990s on behalf of the English Highways Agency and this still used for substantial research programs and specialist investigations.

However, the continuous measurement provided by SCRIM is preferred for routine application.

The results from measurements with these machines suggested that high-speed wet friction was heavily dependent on the macrotexture on the surface of the pavement. Initially this was measured statically using the sand patch test but it was soon realized and that an estimate of this could be obtained at traffic speed using a noncontact method based on lasers with our first prototype developed in the early 1970s. This texture depth parameter is now routinely collected over most of the UK road network by a fleet of multifunction road surface monitoring vehicles under the TRACS and SCANNER contracts (Slide 6).
UK experiences on pavement texture measurement and interpretation
Brian Ferne, TRL
Sunday 11 January 2015

Table of contents

1 A short history of UK ‘friction’ measurement
2 Microtexture instead of slow speed skid resistance?
3 Macrotexure instead of high speed friction?
4 Other uses for texture measurement
5 Conclusions and the future?
Measuring low speed skid resistance: 1933 to 2014

Current surveys of low speed skid resistance

Sideways-force Coefficient Routine Investigation Machine (SCRIM)

- Routine network surveys since 1988 to support a policy for the strategic road network.
- Surveys now carried out annually (20,000 km/yr)
Measuring high speed friction

1950’s

In 1970’s introduce texture requirement with sand patch test

1977

1990’s

Traffic speed (surface) condition surveys – UK contracts

- TRACS – TRAffic speed Condition Surveys - HA
  - Approximately 41,000km / year

- SCANNER - Surface Condition Assessment of the National Network of Roads – the DfT version of TRACS
  - English and Scottish Local Road Network
  - Approximately 110,000km / year

Measuring:
- Transverse profile (rut depth)
- Longitudinal profile – variance (ride quality)
- “Bump” measure
- Texture depth
- Surface Deterioration
- Lane fretting
- Geometry – curvature and gradient
- Forward facing images
- Downward facing images
- Location
TRACS (Traffic Speed Condition Surveys) is the annual Highways England contract for the collection of surface condition information. SCANNER (Surface Condition Assessment of the National Network of Roads) is the equivalent contact for the English and Scottish local road network.

This interaction between friction and vehicle speed is best understood by examining their relationship for two extreme pavement surfaces, one with low microtexture and high macrotexture and one with high microtexture and low macrotexture which is shown diagrammatically in Slide 7.

In the UK the texture depth is expressed in terms of SMTD (Sensor Measure Texture Depth). The practical effect of SMTD values on measured locked-wheel friction at a range of speeds on many sites with different surface types, including random, transverse, and porous surfaces, are shown in Slides 8, 9, 10, and 11.

These show the gradual reduction of friction with increasing speed but particularly at SMTD values below 0.8 mm. This 1990s work led to the introduction of a requirement in England for this texture depth on in-service flexible roads. However, the yellow data points from some porous surfaces, highlighted in a red circle in Slide 11, do not fully match the above behavior. Such surfacings are little used currently in England but the unexpected behavior of some porous materials in relation to other surfacings will be discussed later in this presentation.
Texture, friction and speed

Locked-wheel friction at 50km/h

Locked-wheel friction at 80km/h
Texture, friction and speed

Locked-wheel friction at 100km/h

- Fn20
- Random
- Transverse
- Porous

Shortcomings of current skid resistance measuring methods and their interpretation

- Routine low speed skid resistance
  - contact method has some limitations
  - better to measure micro-texture directly by non-contact method

- Routine texture measurement (proxy for high speed friction)
  - current parameters do not necessarily correlate well with high-speed wet friction on some surfacing materials
Slide 12 summarizes the research described up to this point and Slide 13 raises some shortcomings with the current UK skid resistance measuring methods and their interpretation. Slide 14 expands on the limitations of the current low-speed skid resistance contact measurement methods and Slide 15 suggests the requirements for a replacement method which, since 2000, is being investigated by TRL under funding from the Transport Research Foundation and the Highways Agency, now called Highways England.

This work has covered both the theory relating surface texture and skid resistance as well as the practicality of designing equipment to gather the required data.

**Slide 12**

### Shortcomings of measuring low speed skid resistance by contact method

- Reliant on tyre properties – difficult to standardise
  - In reality production of a consistent tyre difficult – properties change with time and temperature
- Only single test line per measuring wheel this is not always in vulnerable areas
  - Wheelpath on outside of bend maybe critical but inside measured.

**Slide 13**

### Requirements for a replacement method

- Measure road friction or a proxy in a machine independent way
- Non-contact measurement of microtexture may be a solution
- TRL and HA therefore initiated programme of research
Non contact skid resistance measurement
- Overview

- Ongoing work conducted by TRL has investigated the non contact measurement of skid resistance at traffic speed.

- The work has looked at both:
  - the theory relating surface texture and skid resistance
  - as well as the practicality of designing equipment to gather the required data

Non contact skid resistance measurement
- studies confirming theory

- 3D measurements of aggregate surface at ~5μm resolution
- Areal roughness parameter, $S_a$ calculated (y-axis)
- Abrasion stress applied to surface (x-axis)
- Next step: more complicated geology.
Slide 16 highlights the shortcomings of measuring friction at high-speed or using current texture proxies. Recently, this latter issue of whether current texture proxies adequately forecast the reduction of friction with speed have been further examined. In particular, some observations had been made of low textured asphalt materials where the high-speed friction performance was better than expected. These materials have some important advantages: in the United Kingdom the crushing process used to produce suitable aggregate for pavement materials has produced an excess of 6mm material and its use in thin surfacing has shown it to be quieter than using the more normal 10 mm and 14 mm size of aggregate. Research has therefore been undertaken to examine the friction performance of such materials in relation to other thin surfacing (Slide 17).

Slide 18 shows the results of such trials where the locked wheel friction at 100km/h for the 6 mm material shows similar levels to the 10- and 14-mm material despite significantly lower SMTD texture values. A similar behavior is observed when expressing texture in terms of root mean square (RMS) and mean texture depth (MTD) as shown in Slides 19 and 20. There is no obvious explanation for this uncharacteristic behavior but this may suggest that the SMTD algorithm does not adequately characterize the surface in terms of friction performance.
Examination of performance of other surfacing materials

- Porous asphalt materials showed anomalous performance
- What about other negatively textured materials?
  - 14mm thin surfacings
  - 10mm thin surfacings
  - 6mm thin surfacings
- Therefore English Highways Agency funded trials to examine their friction performance

Experimental studies with 6, 10 and 14mm TS

SMTD and high-speed friction

![Graph showing friction performance against SMTD/SCRIM for different textures](image)
Experimental studies

Other texture measurements and high-speed friction

![Graph showing the relationship between Fn100 compared with RMS texture depth.]

SLIDE 19

Experimental studies

Different texture measurements and high-speed friction

![Graph showing the relationship between Fn100 compared with MTD (volumetric patch method).]

SLIDE 20
We have been further examining the relationships between surface characteristics and high-speed friction properties (Slide 21). Since our long-established relationship between high-speed friction and texture does not seem to apply to certain surfaces we have examined other measures of texture, including the use of three-dimensional (3-D) models of road surfaces, the use of pressure sensitive film to generate ‘pressure maps’ of the road surface and the use of extra fine glass beads to determine the available volume in the surface for the road–tire–water interaction (Slide 22).

**Slide 21**

**Characterisation of alternative texture parameters**

**3D Surface profile**

A stereo imaging system comprising of a structured light system and two angled and offset cameras was used to generate 3D models of a number of surface specimens.

**Tyre / surface pressure**

The pressure distribution and contact area between surface specimens and a standard ASTM test tyre under a static vertical load of 5 kN were measured. Pressure sensitive film was used to generate pressure ‘maps’ for each surface specimen.

**Glass spheres texture depth**

The method for determining MTD was adapted by using smaller glass beads and determining texture based on the whole specimen surface.

The smaller diameter spheres were chosen so that very fine features in the specimen surface, which may not be captured by the MTD spheres, could be filled.
Slide 23 shows some of these results. These particular results suggest that considering the potential tire contact area with the road surface produces a relationship with high-speed friction that is more consistent with the behavior of 10 and 14 mm materials than the surface MTD texture parameters. On the basis of this work we have developed five new surface characteristic parameters summarized in Slide 24. One of the most promising is the 3-D surface void volume. This is calculated by using the pressure maps to determine the penetration of the tire into the surface and then estimating the volume of voids in the surface below this tire penetration level.

**Experimental studies**

Contact area and high-speed friction

* This measure appears to push the 0/6mm more into the main distribution

**Characterisation of alternative texture parameters**

Using the results from the alternative measurement techniques five new surface characterisations were developed:

- Percentage pressed area
- 3D surface void volume
- Tyre penetration depth
- Volume of void below tyre
- Volume of void occupied by tyre

The glass spheres texture depth was used as an absolute reference of surface texture.
Slide 25 illustrates how the use of this parameter is better at grouping the results of high-speed friction for all the different surface types considered in this work. Slide 26 concludes that the current measures of texture in general use are not always good predictors of high speed friction but better alternatives identified may not be very practical so further work is needed to resolve the issue.

Slide 25

Conclusion re high speed friction

- Current measures of texture not always good predictors
- Better alternatives impractical?
- More research needed!!!!!!
The texture measurement has many other uses as well as predicting high speed friction, as summarized in Slide 27. In combination with luminosity it can be used to predict surface type and to estimate tire-generated noise levels, as illustrated in the two right hand plots. Multiple texture line measurements can also be used to predict surface deterioration in terms of aggregate loss, that is, fretting or raveling, as illustrated by the plan view of the road at the bottom of the slide which shows fretting levels by color coding with low levels at blue and higher levels in yellow and red. All these parameters have been implemented in the TRACS contract and made available to the maintaining agents on a central pavement management system. Research has also shown that certain texture parameters can also be used to predict the rolling resistance and propensity to splash and spray of a road surface but these applications have yet to be implemented.

In conclusion, we can summarize the UK position as shown in Slide 28. Routine surveys of low speed friction and macrotexture have supported an effective UK friction policy but they have limitations particularly with the compromises currently delivering macrotexture to retain high-speed friction whilst obtaining reasonable rolling resistance and noise levels. In the future we consider there is a need for a change in focus, with a better understanding of how the surface texture influences these different parameters, to be able to optimize this balance.
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I will introduce this topic with an overview of the state-of-the-practice of macrotexture measurements in the United States, especially at the network level (Slide 3). We will review the most important applications that macrotexture measurements have been used for and most of the methods or devices used to make the measurements. Following will be the background for the current project that we have initiated, the problem statement, the objectives, the methods utilized to achieve the solution of the problem, examples of the results obtained, and a brief commentary on what is expected to happen next.
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1. US's Experience: Applications

- Friction
  - Accidents Analysis
  - Speed Gradients
  - Harmonization (IFI)
- Noise
- Rolling Resistance
- Pavement Quality Control
  - Segregation
  - Chip Seals
  - Economic/Performance Evaluation
  - Raveling

The majority of applications that macrotexture measurements have had in the United States have been intended to find effective relationships with the tire–pavement friction characteristics of a pavement. This application has many other specific uses that could be derived if actual relationships can be found in areas such as accident analysis, speed gradient derivations, and the general harmonization of friction measurements, such as those proposed by different indexes such as the international friction index (Slide 4).

Other applications where macrotexture is used are in noise and rolling resistance characterizations; these are going to be covered more in depth by some of the other presenters in this workshop. Other applications of macrotexture measurements found in the United States have been for quality control of pavements:

a. Hot-mix asphalt (HMA) segregation,
b. Chip seal quality,
c. Performance evaluation (portland cement concrete pavements), and
d. HMA raveling detection.

The majority of the present methods to measure macrotexture are stationary methods that require traffic control and are not relevant to the network level that is the objective of this presentation (Slide 5). However, starting with the sand patch and now the circular texture meter which has almost replaced it, all emerging technologies recognize these as the ground-truth measurements against which all other technologies need to be compared. The other methods mentioned in this presentation have had to prove their worthiness and have been graded based on those results.
1. US's Experience: Methods

- Stationary
  - Sand Patch
  - Hydro-meter
  - Stereo-photographs (Schonfeld, 1977)
  - Laser Texture Scanner
  - DSRM
  - Circular Texture Meter (CT Meter-laser)
  - Photometric Stereo (Manitoba)
  - Stereo Vision System-SVS (VTI)

Dynamic traffic speed methods are necessary for network-level measurements (Slide 6). The most popular method is the high-speed single-spot (SS) laser profiler van with a dedicated laser for macrotexture measurements. Other methods are being developed with line lasers measurements, especially those that are being used more and more for distress measurements obtaining three-dimensional (3-D) maps of the pavement surface. They have yet to be tested in comparison with the SS systems in an experiment with varied pavement surfaces to determine their accuracy.

This presentation will focus on SS laser profilers systems with a minimum 64-kHz frequency that is normally used by DOT agencies when performing the international roughness index measurements to determine the performance of the agencies pavements (Slide 7). The most important application that we are intent on perfecting is the friction texture relationship that could eventually be used for accident analysis at the network level.

1. US's Experience: Methods (cont.)

- Dynamic Low Speed
  - ROBOTEX (2 mph)
  - SVS (< 10 mph)

- Dynamic High Speed
  - High Speed Laser Systems (Longitudinal)
  - High Speed Laser Systems (Transverse – Ro-Line)
  - ROSAN
  - Texas DOT Construction Division (VTTexture) (Longitudinal, Transverse and 45°)
  - 3-D Laser scanners (MPD & simulated sand-patch)
If a single slide could summarize the expected results of what we are trying to achieve with measuring the macrotexture of pavements, it would be the one presented by Leif Sjögren in the Road Profile Users’ Group (RPUG) meeting in 2011 (Slide 8). In this slide, a very clear relationship between the friction and the macrotexture measurements is evident along a segment of road, with both profiles following the same trends. If this relationship could be verified for all pavements, macrotexture could be used exclusively to identify the low friction spots in the pavement network, but most importantly, without the use of water, which would increase the productivity of friction measurements for this purpose by a factor of 4 or 5. The impact on the safety performance of the highway networks would similarly be increased by allowing maintenance crews to locate more low friction areas in need of repair, thus proactively avoiding possible low-friction accidents.

The motivation for this project was began with another presentation during RPUG in 2011, where Rohan Perera reported the results of an acceptance testing for several profiling systems that FHWA had received to be used in their long-term pavement performance (LTPP) program, related with macrotexture measurements (Slides 9–12). The acceptance testing process discovered that what has been considered the ground-truth standard, the circular track meter (CTM), exhibited a lot of variability both in repeated measurements in the same spot as well as different measurements in very close proximity (2 m apart).

However, the most important finding was the realization that the presence of spikes in the raw data that apparently is not currently suppressed by most equipment manufacturers, thereby necessitates robust spike detection and elimination algorithms in the data processing in order for these measurements to be accurate (Slide 13). In general, procedures for verifying the accuracy of the macrotexture measurements was pointed out as being a very high priority in order for these measurements to be used by highway agencies.

Our group then decided to investigate this and found exactly what Rohan had pointed out with the results obtained by the manufacturer software. Our priority then turned to develop a solution to this problem and testing it to prove its validity (Slides 14 and 15).
1. US's Experience

Section 1, Lv1060 designed speed 70 km/h

2. Background

- RPUG 2011: Rohan Perera
  - A standardized procedure for texture measurements at the network level is not yet available
  - Studies show that besides the traditional low-pass filtering, slope suppression, and drop out correction; the calculus of MPD values must be free of spikes
Presentation by Rohan Perera in RPUG 2013 San Antonio

- Sensor mounted on a rotating arm.
- Follows a circular path having a radius of 142 mm.
- Sample spacing = 0.87 mm, L = 892 mm (or eight 111.5 mm sectors)

SLIDE 10

MPD From CT Meter – Repeatability Asphalt Section

SLIDE 11
Issues

- Improved procedures for verifying accuracy of macrotexture data collected by high-speed devices is necessary.
- High-frequency laser sensors may be noisy, thereby affecting MPD values.
- Single spikes were noted in the macrotexture data. Robust spike detection algorithms are needed.
### SLIDE 14

#### Mean Profile Depth Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Segments</th>
<th>MPD Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103.00 to 103.10 m</td>
<td>1.409 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103.10 to 103.20 m</td>
<td>1.332 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103.20 to 103.30 m</td>
<td>1.184 mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### SLIDE 15

#### Mean Profile Depth Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Segments</th>
<th>MPD Value</th>
<th>MPD Std Dev.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>882.80 to 882.90 m</td>
<td>1.452 mm</td>
<td>0.000 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>883.00 to 883.10 m</td>
<td>0.962 mm</td>
<td>0.000 mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

For full context, please refer to the entire document, as the snippet provided only contains a portion of the slides.
We are more motivated to do this because we are also a part of a larger FHWA project that is investigating the use of continuous friction measurement equipment that has a strong component of macrotexture measurements to complement the friction (Slide 16). If we can compare truly believable macrotexture measurements with the continuous friction measurements, we might establish the kind of relationships seen on Slide 8 and reap the benefits.

We found out that all laser measurements have spikes (Slide 17). Depending on the laser used, you will have more or less, but because spikes will create biases on the texture measurements, they need to be removed from the calculations of the texture parameters used. In our case, we are using the mean profile depth (MPD) parameter to report the macrotexture of a pavement. We need to take out the spikes from the raw data before the MPD computations.

Our group has found an effective and innovative approach of doing this with the false discovery rate (FDR) method, which is further explained in TRB paper 15-4500 (Slide 18). With this approach, the threshold adapts to the data in two stages; first, by correcting them for the large amount of data (with the Bonferroni correction) and second, by controlling the wrongly identified outliers among all identified spikes using the FDR method. The next slides will show the results of the high-speed de-spiked MPD calculations compared with two CTMs for validation.

Testing was done at the Virginia Smart Road at the Virginia Tech Transportation Institute (VTTI) where a series of different pavement surfaces with different textures are available. The 2-mi long test track produced a total of about 6,000 spikes over the more than 4 million measurements (0.13%) (Slide 19).

The results of the raw (blue) and the de-spiked (green) data are shown in Slide 20 for comparison, with a closer look in Slide 21 for more clarity. It is estimated that if the spikes would not have been removed, approximately one third of the MPD computations would have been affected.
3. Problem Statement/Objective

- All laser measurements have spikes
- They create biases on the texture measurements.
- Need to remove those spikes before computing good values for texture such as MPD.

Develop method that can objectively identify and remove spikes.

4. Methodology

- Adaptive Spike Removal Method for HS Pavement Macrotecture by controlling the False Discovery Rate, TRB Paper 15-4500
- Innovative use of methodology that can objectively identify and remove the spikes
- FDR method controls proportion of wrongly identified spikes and allows adaptive threshold selection by differentiating between valid measurements and spikes
- Validation results were comparable to two CT Meters (MPD) on all sections investigated
4. Methodology

- Found 6,034 spikes, over 4,517,952 measurements, $\rightarrow 0.13\%$
- The method successfully removes spikes that otherwise would affect, on average, one third of the calculated continuous MPD results.
The results of the computations of MPD at every 100 mm were plotted; it is visible that all of the different sections can be easily differentiated with their macrotexture measurements (Slide 22).
5. Results

As explained before, all of the sections were also measured with two different CTM devices, and the average of those measurements was then compared for the average of the high-speed SS measurements. The variability of the measurements of both CTMs and different passes of the high-speed profilers were very similar, making it easier to compare the average and the ranges of the variability among these two devices (Slide 23). A strong argument could be made with these results that both devices produce comparable results, making it easier to substitute CTM measurements with these high-speed laser measurements, thus validating the measurements. Slide 24 shows a close-up of what was found in all of the 14 surfaces tested.

Normally, this presentation would have ended with the Slide 24, but chance had it that a series of events would further prove that the FDR method works. At the Pavement Evaluation 2014 Conference (RPUG 2014) where the initial results of this research were presented, the manufacturer of the lasers declared that the spikes observed in the results of the measurements were being caused by a malfunctioning or defective laser.

This prompted our investigation and triggered the need for a comparison with the identical system that FHWA had acquired to compare the results and further test our processing method.

On Slide 25a, the raw data results obtained with the LTPP profiler system are shown, again in blue for the raw data with spikes and in green after de-spiking.

Similarly, the raw data results obtained with the VTTI profiler system are shown, in blue for the raw data with spikes and in green after de-spiking (Slide 25b). It is clear looking at these two slides that the VTTI profiler not only has more spikes, they are much larger in magnitude.
5. Results

5. Results (LTPP-raw)

5. Results (VTI-raw)
On Slide 26, the MPD results obtained with the LTPP profiler system are shown in blue for the MPD with spikes and in green after despiking.

Similarly, the MPD results obtained with the VTTI profiler system are shown, in blue for the MPD with spikes and in green after de-spiking (Slide 27). It is clear looking at Slides 26 and
27 that the VTTI profiler not only had more spikes, but they are also much larger in magnitude. However, both of the green MPD results are very close and very similar in magnitude, especially the mean, median and quartile values, as evident in the next slides (Slides 28 and 29).

On Slide 28, the MPD results for both the LTPP and the VTTI profiler systems are shown, using the manufacturer’s software to compute the MPD values. These MPD values are very high in magnitude as shown by the box-plot diagrams, and have very high extreme values (around 6 mm for LTPP and more than 8 mm for VTTI) caused by the computations without despiking the data.

5. Results (manufacturer)

SLIDE 28

5. Results (FDR)

SLIDE 29
Using the FDR method for both data sets, some extreme values are still high for both MPD computations, but now the mean, median and quartile values are very similar for all sections computed (Slide 29). More importantly, both agree closely with the two CTM values. A closer comparison will be made of a particular section in the next slide (Slide 30).

On Slide 30, the MPD results for both the LTPP and the VITI profiler systems are shown, comparing both with the manufacturer’s software and the FDR method to compute the MPD values. As can be seen, both of the MPD results with the FDR method agree very well with the two CT Meter values, but not so if the manufacturer’s software is used.

In the short term, our intention is to work with the manufacturer to incorporate the software and solve some of the questions about how to better perform network-level measurements with this equipment (Slide 31). It is expected that a national validation experiment will be made, hopefully with the support of FHWA and other interested parties in achieving, standardizing, and using accurate and reliable macrotexture data.
6. What is next (medium term)

- Trying to improve methods
  - Looking at what others have done
  - Looking outside area of research
- MPD does not always represent correctly
  - Water carrying capacity

In the midterm, a proposal made in the TRB Surface Properties–Vehicle Interaction Committee has generated a research needs statement to further look into the topic of macrotexture (Slide 32). One of the tasks will be looking into the replacement of the MPD as the best index to use to characterize the macrotexture of a pavement. Some of the ideas that Task Force 3 presented in the January 2014 meeting presented a couple of ideas such as the integration of the available areas of the surface that are used as the channels of water for its evacuation in the presence of the tire rolling over it.

Slides 33 and 34 show very crude representations of this concept that will be refined in the next two slides (Slides 35 and 36).
**Areas of Research 2.a. Asphalt**

“New parameter” = A1 + A2 + ... + An

Area of the “evacuation channels for water”

![Diagram](image-url)

FIG. 1 Procedure for Computation of new macrotexture parameter

---

**Areas of Research 2.b. Concrete**

“New parameter” = A1 + A2 + ... + An

Area of the “evacuation channels for water”

![Diagram](image-url)

FIG. 1 Procedure for Computation of new macrotexture parameter

---

SLIDE 34

SLIDE 35
6. What is next

What is macrotexture?

Current Work (3rd paper)

Slides 36 and 37 show a conceptual approach to the integration of the area next to the surface of the pavement limited by the tire passing over it, effectively squeezing the water out of its path so that the contact allows braking, cornering, or any other maneuver the vehicle needs to make. If the water is not removed, hydroplaning potential might occur with implicit accident possibilities. Work done by the French National Institute for Transport and Safety Research in 2004 using different stiffness rubber illustrates what could be modeled with the different areas of water evacuation channels made available by the macrotexture of the pavement.

Currently, we are also looking into similar work done with the enveloped profile as an alternative (Von Meier, Van Blockland, and Descornet). It is our hope that more support can be found to further the exploration of this topic with potential benefits in so many areas.
New and Improved ISO Standards for Pavement Texture Measurements

ULF SANDBERG
Swedish National Road and Transport Research

The possibly most commonly applied standard worldwide for pavement texture measurements is ISO 13473-1:1997 which defines a standard for measurement of mean profile depth (MPD). This standard was developed by a working group within the International Standardization Organization (ISO) designated ISO/TC 43/SC 1/WG 39. The working group (WG) 39 is presently busy with revising the standard, and this presentation intends to give an up-to-date report about the development as well as the background and justifications for it.

It was noted some years ago that measurements of MPD had poor reproducibility and the trend was that greater and greater deviations between different equipment were identified, and some measurement results even seemed to be out of a reasonable range. The WG started to analyze the problem and soon found that in some cases not all parts of the standard had been observed; for example, the requirement to filter the profile signal from irrelevant high-frequency content. In other cases, it appeared that some options allowed in the standard gave too high deviations. But most of all it appeared that the users had required much higher performance from the profilometers (in practice the laser sensors) than they were able to deliver in the application for MPD measurements, i.e., too-high measurement speeds, too-high standoff between sensor and pavement, and too-high measurement range. The combination of all problems created more irrelevant “spikes” (transients) in the profile signal and more noise than the standard would allow for acceptable uncertainty.

WG 39 thus undertook to improve the standard 13473-1 at the same time as developing a technical specification for verification of the performance of profilometers based on laser sensor technology. The improvement of the ISO 13473-1 included several data-enhancing procedures, such as a slightly modified definition of how MPD is calculated, a procedure to identify and remove spikes in the profile, and removal of certain options, i.e., alternatives in the calculations. Much better specification of low pass and high pass filters limiting the high-frequency performance and sharpness of peaks in the profile. Tightening of tolerances in certain performances.

The presentation gives much more information about the above. Simulations and tests made on existing data have verified that substantial improvements are possible with the best techniques and procedures. The draft has also been reviewed by one of the major users of the standards. The new version has been submitted as a committee draft for ballot among the ISO member bodies, which resulted in an approval as a Draft International Standard after considering the comments received in the ballot. The technical specification for verification of the performance of profilometers is still under development.
New and Improved ISO Standards for Pavement Texture Measurements

Ulf Sandberg
Swedish National Road and Transport Research Institute (VTI)

Presented at the workshop “International Experience and Perspective of Pavement Texture Measurements and Evaluation”

The VTI texture profilometer used 40 years ago

Recording profile on Nagra IV SJ tape recorder (freq range 0 - 4000 Hz)

Plate for pressure-sensitive paper for plotting profile curve

Linear Variable Differential Transformer (LVDT)
First sand patch → Volumetric patch

Then came laser profilometers

The International PIARC Experiment 1992-95

Sandpatch:
Mean Texture Depth (MTD)

Profile:
Mean Profile Depth (MPD)
ISO/TC 43/SC 1/WG 39

"Measurement of pavement surface macrotexture depth using a profiling method"

1993 --- present

Convener: Ulf Sandberg

Overview – Existing ISO standards

ISO 13473-1:1997 - Mean Profile Depth
ISO 13473-2 - Terminology & Basic requirements
ISO 13473-3 - Specification of profilometers
ISO/TS 13473-4 - Texture spectrum determination
ISO 13473-5 - Megatexture measurement
Status of the standards

ISO 13473-1:1997 - Mean Profile Depth
Under revision – First draft delivered for ballot

ISO 13473-2 - Terminology & Basic requirements
ISO 13473-3 - Specification of profilometers
Published and valid, but will be revised when time permits

ISO/TS 13473-4 - Texture spectrum determination
Published and valid, but is next in line for revision
May in next version include PSD for unevenness range too ??

ISO 13473-5 - Megatexture measurement
Published and valid, recently confirmed

ISO 13473-1:1997 Characterization of pavement texture by use of surface profiles - Part 1: Mean Profile Depth
Mean Profile Depth (MPD) - Original definition

\[ \text{Mean Profile Depth (MPD)} = \frac{\text{Peak level (1st)} + \text{Peak level (2nd)} - \text{Average level}}{2} \]

Estimated Texture Depth (ETD)

\[ \text{ETD} = 0.2 + 0.8 \times \text{MPD} \]

Peace and quiet the first 10 years

Active members of WG 39 all had rather old systems for research purposes, which showed few problems.

Comparison between systems made in international experiments such as PIARC Int. Exp. and HERMES showed reasonable correspondence.
Observations some years ago:

New laser systems acquired did not give same texture values as old system
(example: LCPC, France)

Annual comparison of Spanish texture measurement systems ("national calibration") showed very high differences between systems

A few commercial laser profilometer systems appeared to give totally unrealistic MPD values and profiles with lots of "spikes"

Identified causes for the problems (2009-2010)

In many cases low-pass filters had not been used
This has caused too high background noise at higher frequencies

There were sometimes or often "spikes" in the signal, due to insufficient dropout detection
This was manifested as high background noise at higher frequencies

At least in one major case a laser system was used which is unsuitable for pavement texture analysis (has no regulation of laser power)

In some cases irrelevant disturbing signals had partly or totally obscured the real texture

The laser spot size varied too much within large measuring ranges
The "we want everything" syndrome:

Users – especially commercial - want unrealistic performance:

Too high measurement speed (= sampling freq.)
Fast & safe when measurements can be made at normal traffic speed

Too high standoff (less risk of damaging equipment)

Too large measurement range (less risk of bouncing out-of-range)

Too small laser spots (high resolution, shorter wavelengths)

Low cost of laser system
(some buy a cheaper laser which is intended for easier applications)

But all the above topics have some cost in terms of performance!

This resulted in two major actions:

Revision of the MPD standard to improve data quality
Development of a verification procedure for contactless profilometers
Mean Profile Depth (MPD) – New terminology

\[
\text{Mean Segment Depth (MSD)} = \frac{\text{Peak level (1st)} + \text{Peak level (2nd)}}{2} - \text{Average level}
\]

Remove the freedom to choose some procedures:

- **Slope suppression** - Highpass filtering
  (minimize phase distortion)

- Limit profile sampling options

- Normalize profile sharpness

- Specify the use of lowpass filtering better
  (minimize phase distortion and overshoot)

Introduce a number of data quality enhancements
## Data quality-enhancing procedures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Mandatory or optional</th>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1a</td>
<td>Drop-out detection</td>
<td>Detection of drop-outs, due to low received laser light</td>
<td></td>
<td>Time (made in hardware)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1b</td>
<td>Drop-out correction</td>
<td>Linear or pchip interpolation between the adjacent valid samples</td>
<td>Mandatory</td>
<td>Time (software)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Maximum use of measured data</td>
<td>Re-sampling to at least 0.5 mm and at most 1 mm spacing; prefer 0.5 mm if the system allows it</td>
<td>Mandatory</td>
<td>Time to space (software)</td>
<td>Calculate arithmetic average of all samples that fall within the required spacing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3a</td>
<td>Spike identification &amp; reshape profile</td>
<td>Identify spikes, according to Goubert’s description in N138</td>
<td></td>
<td>Space (software)</td>
<td>Effect quite small (compared to # 6 below) May be needed only on critical surfaces, but as it is an “easy” procedure it will be mandatory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3b</td>
<td>Spike identification &amp; reshape profile</td>
<td>Linear or pchip interpolation</td>
<td>Mandatory</td>
<td>Space (software)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Removal of long-wavelength components</td>
<td>High-pass filtering: 2nd order butterworth, cut-off at 940 mm (for continuous data) or dodge suppression (for discrete data)</td>
<td>Mandatory</td>
<td>Space (software)</td>
<td>Removes effect of vehicle bounce and uneven roads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Normalization of profile sharpness</td>
<td>Low-pass filtering: 2nd order butterworth, cut-off at 3 mm</td>
<td>Mandatory</td>
<td>Space (software)</td>
<td>Removes effect of noise, laser spot size &amp; spikes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Extreme MSD value removal</td>
<td>Identification of MSD outliers, removal by 3 points median filter</td>
<td>Optional</td>
<td>Post-processing</td>
<td>Only for MSD values, not used when extreme features are of interest</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ISO: Extreme (mostly singular) sample(s) in the profile curve (i.e. input data); some of which may be identified as dropouts.

ISO calls these "Extreme MSD values" and "outliers".

---

## Data quality-enhancing procedures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Mandatory</th>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1a</td>
<td>Drop-out detection</td>
<td>Detection of drop-outs, due to low received laser light</td>
<td>Mandatory</td>
<td>Time (made in hardware)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1b</td>
<td>Drop-out correction</td>
<td>Linear or pchip interpolation between the adjacent valid samples</td>
<td>Mandatory</td>
<td>Time (software)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2a</td>
<td>Extrapolation of drop-out data</td>
<td>Extrapolation of drop-out data time and at times where data is not available</td>
<td>Mandatory</td>
<td>Time in software</td>
<td>Extrapolation based on adjacent valid values after data is not available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3a</td>
<td>Spike identification &amp; reshape profile</td>
<td>Identify spikes, according to Goubert's description in N138</td>
<td>Mandatory</td>
<td>Space (software)</td>
<td>Effect quite small (comparing to # 6 below) May be needed only on critical surfaces, but as it is an &quot;easy&quot; procedure it will be mandatory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3b</td>
<td>Spike identification &amp; reshape profile</td>
<td>Linear or pchip interpolation</td>
<td>Mandatory</td>
<td>Space (software)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Removal of long-wavelength components</td>
<td>High-pass filtering and order Butterworth, cut-off at 250 mm (for continuous data) or low-pass (for discrete data)</td>
<td>Mandatory</td>
<td>Space (software)</td>
<td>Removes effect of vehicle bounce and uneven roads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Normalization of profile sharpness</td>
<td>Low-pass filtering, 2nd order Butterworth, cut-off at 3 mm</td>
<td>Mandatory</td>
<td>Space (software)</td>
<td>Removes effect of noise, laser spot size &amp; spikes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Extreme MSD value removal</td>
<td>Identification of MSD outliers &amp; removal by 3-points median filter</td>
<td>Optional</td>
<td>Pre-processing</td>
<td>Only for MSD values, not used when extreme features are of interest</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Data quality-enhancing procedures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Mandatory</th>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1a</td>
<td>Drop-out detection</td>
<td>Detection of drop-outs, due to low received laser light</td>
<td>Optional</td>
<td>Post-processing</td>
<td>Only for MSD values, not used when extreme features are of interest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1b</td>
<td>Drop-out correction</td>
<td>Linear or pchip interpolation between the adjacent valid samples</td>
<td>Mandatory</td>
<td>Time (software)</td>
<td>Must be done only if critical surfaces, but as it is an easy procedure it may well be mandatory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Maximum use of measured data</td>
<td>Re-sampling (to at least 0.5 mm and at most 1 mm spacing; prefer 0.5 mm if the system allows it)</td>
<td>Mandatory</td>
<td>Time to space (software)</td>
<td>Calculate arithmetic average of all samples that fall within the required spacing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3a</td>
<td>Spike identification &amp; reshape profile</td>
<td>Identify spikes, according to Goutierrez's description in N138</td>
<td>Mandatory</td>
<td>Space (software)</td>
<td>Effect quite small (compared to # 6 below)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3b</td>
<td>Spike identification &amp; reshape profile</td>
<td>Linear or pchip interpolation</td>
<td>Mandatory</td>
<td>Time (software)</td>
<td>May be needed only on critical surfaces, but as it is an easy procedure it may well be mandatory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Removal of long-wavelength components (slope)</td>
<td>High-pass filtering; 2nd order Butterworth, cut-off at 140 mm wavelength (for continuous data) or slope suppression (for discrete data)</td>
<td>Mandatory</td>
<td>Space (software)</td>
<td>Removes effect of vehicle bounce and uneven roads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Normalization of profile sharpness</td>
<td>Low-pass filtering; 2nd order Butterworth, cut-off at 3 mm</td>
<td>Mandatory</td>
<td>Space (software)</td>
<td>Removes effect of noise, laser spot size &amp; spikes. Makes all systems similar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Extreme MSD value removal</td>
<td>Identification of MSD outliers &amp; removal by 3-points median filter</td>
<td>Optional</td>
<td>Post-processing</td>
<td>Only for MSD values, not used when extreme features are of interest</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Limiting performance

The standardized filtering means that we deliberately are limiting the high-frequency performance and sharpness of peaks that we can detect.

Better to do so than getting non-comparable results from different equipment, and running at different speeds and conditions.

In future revisions of the standard, the performance may be increased by changing the filter cutoff frequencies.

Also: Improved performance checks
Result

The new version should be free of the problems we have noticed. Repeatability and reproducibility should be substantially improved.

New MPD standard was submitted for ballot and comments in December 2014 (approved in March 2015)

Good meas. methods are absolutely necessary for defining and implementing functional requirements of pavements

The future
Next task (already started) – develop:
ISO/PAS 13473-6 – Verification procedure for contactless sensors

**EU project:**

**ROlling resistance, Skid resistance, ANd Noise Emission measurement standards for road surfaces**

One WP/Task about texture (pre-normative research):
- Comparison of texture measuring equipment
- Is 3D profiling justified (correlation with functional properties)?
- Enveloping (limiting profile to tire-pavement contact)
- Can we find better or supplementing texture descriptors?
- Profiling with finer resolution (bordering microtexture)
Negative and positive texture: skew

Positive skew

Negative skew

Popular new issue: 3D measurement of texture

Nice to look at, but it always sacrifices resolution ....
Secondary reflections

Results measured on various laser sensors with and without filter:

- Blue = A few years ago, not using lowpass filter
- Violet = A few years ago, using lowpass filter

Yellow line: Latest tests of background noise (3 diff. sensors)

Simple test of background noise effect:

Measure the output signal and calculate MPD from it when the equipment is at standstill with laser shining on black smooth surface
New and Improved ISO Standards for Pavement Texture Measurements

Water covering part of the texture

Change in MPD values?

Comparison between running average (old method, blue line) and Butterworth filtering (new method, black line) show 5-15% higher MPD values

but, on the other hand, effects of background noise and "spikes" are less
The end
Rolling Resistance, Skid Resistance, and Noise Emission Measurement Standards for Road Surfaces
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EU project ROSANNE: ROLLing resistance, Skid resistance, ANd Noise Emission measurement standards for road surfaces - The texture aspect
Luc Goubert, Belgian Road Research Centre
(l.goubert@brrc.be)

Outline

• What is the ROSANNE project about?
• The texture aspect:
  – The ROSANNE “State of the art” about texture and its influence on noise, rolling resistance and skid resistance
  – Reference surface for rolling resistance: a proposal
NEGOTIATION PHASE

FP7 Coordinated Action

Coordinated Action

No research issues are possible; gathering and analyzing existing knowledge, recommending future research issues, recommending coordinated approaches on certain issues, organizing workshops, conferences, personnel exchange.

Consortium

Arsenal research (Austria), BAS (Germany), LCPC (France), RWS-DVS (The Netherlands), TRL (UK), ZAG (Slovenia), FEHRL (Belgium).

Forum of European Highway Research Laboratories

Twenty-nine members (transport research institutes) of different European countries; formed task forces to work on certain important issues; not performing research on issues themselves but developing project ideas for pushing research issues forward; consortium: participants of the FEHRL task force, skidding resistance + one partner from the NMS + FEHRL. Duration: 2 years approximately 1.1 MEUR total. Expected starting date: July 1, 2008.
Setting up a platform and organizing workshops–expert working groups (Milestones M1.1, M1.2, M2.1, M3.2, M4.1, M5.5) to network with past, current, and future research activities on skid resistance, rolling resistance, and noise emissions (M5.3 and M5.4). To collect and share existing knowledge about these issues (M5.2) and to raise awareness concerning the safety relevance and greening influence of road surface parameters (Deliverables D5.1, D5.3).

Studying national standards–policies of different European Union and neighboring countries concerning skid resistance, rolling resistance, and noise emissions; to document current practice in European Union countries; and to provide recommendations for a common European policy on skid resistance, rolling resistance, and noise emissions (M1.3).

Developing a road map or an implementation plan including specific stages for the short, medium, and longer term (2010, 2015, 2020) towards the final harmonization of skid resistance test methods and reference surfaces based on research work (M2.5).

Creating one or more matrices showing interdependencies and environmental effects of the factors that influencing road surfaces and tires in relation to skid resistance, rolling resistance, and noise emissions. This matrix will allow knowledge gaps to be identified and indicate the need for future research work (M3.1).
Task 4.1: Influence of texture properties and common descriptors

- Which road surface texture descriptors can be used to assess skid resistance, noise and rolling resistance?
- Is it feasible to complement or replace measurement of these performance parameters with texture measurements and suitable models?
- What is the use of enveloping of texture profile curves? And how can it be improved?
Task 4.1: Influence of texture properties and common descriptors

- Is it useful to extend the measurement range to shorter wavelengths than most of the present equipment currently cover?
- Do 3D measuring devices yield a significant advantage over traditional 2D devices?
- What is the current "State of the Art" (SotA) concerning texture influence on skid resistance, noise emission and rolling resistance?

SotA: texture vs. noise

- Variety of sound generation/amplification mechanisms identified since late 1970ties: tyre vibrations, air pumping, horn effect, cavity resonances, ...
- Numerous efforts trying to quantify their contributions
- Texture is believed to play an important role for a number of them, e.g. tyre vibrations
### SotA: Texture vs. Noise

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical Impulse</td>
<td>Impulses given to the tyres by contact between the texture of the road surface and the elements in the tyre tread, leading to tyre vibrations.</td>
<td>Depends on speed, but always lower than 1,250 Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stick-slip shocks in the tyre tread elements. Audible in extreme cases as “popping” in bands.</td>
<td>High &gt; 1,250 Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stick-slip: adhesion between the tyre tread and the road.</td>
<td>High &gt; 1,250 Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aerodynamic Impulse</td>
<td>Air-pumping: compression followed by sudden release of air trapped between the tyres and non-interconnected voids in the road.</td>
<td>High &gt; 1,250 Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sound Amplification</td>
<td>Horn effect: successive reflections of a sound wave in the control area formed by the tyre and the road, which results in amplifying the sound.</td>
<td>1.3 kHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sound Absorption</td>
<td>Interconnected voids in the surface course absorb not only tyre/road contact noise, but also engine noise. This effect is more marked as the road surface is more permeable.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### SotA: Noise Models

- **Sandberg-Descornet model**
  \[
  \text{ERNL} = 60 + 0.39 \ L_{TX, 80\text{mm}} - 0.13 \ L_{TX, 5\text{mm}}
  \]
- **TINO model**
- …
SotA: noise models

Analytical models
• The Chalmers or Kropp model
• The TRIAS model
• The Bremner/Huff/Bolton model

Hybrid models
• SPERoN model and Acoustic Optimization Tool (AOT)
• HyRoNE model

Hybrid models: predictive “accuracy”? 

• SPERoN model and Acoustic Optimization Tool (AOT)
Hybrid models: predictive power?

- HyRoNE model

"Noise-from-texture" model

Improvement needed!

...which we will try to provide in ROSANNE:

- Extension of texture range
- Improvement of enveloping procedure
- Enhancing texture data quality
- ...
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**SotA: texture vs. rolling resistance**

- Motion
- $C_R = \frac{R}{F_z} = \tan \theta \approx \theta$
- $R$
- $F_z$
- Picture of testing equipment

**RRC as a function of MPD**

- MSD/MPD
- Chart showing RRC as a function of MPD
- Swedish-Polish results 2009-2010
RRC and $L_{me}$, $L_{ma}$ and $L_{sanne}$

(source: MIRIAM 2011)

RRC as a function of MPD

$RRC = a \times MPD + b$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Value found for slope “a”</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BRRC, Belgium</td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>0.0021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td>0.0022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TUG, Poland (drum)</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>0.0021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SILENCE</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NordTex</td>
<td>2009-2010</td>
<td>0.0018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VTI, Sweden (coast down)</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>0.0017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIRIAM (without enveloping)</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>0.001974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>average</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stdev</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota (cannot be true)</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>0.0004 - 0.0009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Netherlands (many porous surfaces)</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>0.00095</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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RRC and unevenness

(Hammarström et al., 2008)

Rolling resistance model

Quite robust and consistent correlations available!
We can proceed…
Skid resistance (SR) and texture

- Speed dependency of SR well correlated with water film depth which is well correlated with macrotexture (MPD)
- Influence of microtexture is complicated but partial success has been booked with “indentor model”
- Correlation sometimes excellent, but still some issues to be solved

WP4 status (3)

Task 4.2: Reference tyres
- Common Reference tyres for noise and rolling resistance are feasible
- Drum and trailer testing of several reference tyre candidates planned

Task 4.3: Reference surfaces
- Discussion of ISO 10844 and Reference surfaces used in e.g. HARMONOISE
- Proposal for a “Rolling Resistance Reference Surface” (R³S)

Task 4.4: Texture and other geometric variations in and between wheel tracks
- Measurements planned starting in Sweden via Denmark, Germany, Poland and back to Sweden. Total length of 3000 km.
R³S

- Suitable for the calibration/validation of rolling resistance devices, such as rolling resistance trailers
- Key requirements for a R³S:
  - Representativity
  - Availability
  - Simplicity

Approach:

a “virtual” R³S

- Decide what we want to “represent”
- Define the virtual R³S by
  1. Choosing relevant proxy parameters (texture, elasticity, ...)
  2. Choosing suitable descriptors for each proxy parameter (e.g. MPD for texture)
  3. Fixing reference values for each descriptor
  4. Fixing boundaries for each descriptor
  5. Fixing the equations for calculation of the correction terms
- Extra requirements on test section (length, slope, ...)
- RR measurement can be done on any pavement section with descriptors within boundaries and \( RRC_{\text{ref}} \) can easily be calculated from \( RRC_{\text{meas}} \)
TR Circular E-C216: International Experience and Perspective of Pavement Texture Measurements and Evaluation

**R³S**

**Relevant proxy parameters**

**Texture:**
- Microtexture
- Macrotexture
- Megatexture
- Longitudinal unevenness
- Transversal unevenness

- Elasticity
- Porosity

**Descriptor**
- MPD & skew
  \( (L_{ME}) \)
- IRI
- straight edge
- mech. imped.

---

**R³S**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value R³S</th>
<th>Acceptable for real test section</th>
<th>Correction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MPD</td>
<td>0.8 mm</td>
<td>[0.6-1.0 mm]</td>
<td>RRC_{corr} = RRC_{meas} + 0.0019 . ΔMPD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skewness</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>[-0.5; +0.5]</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRI</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>[0-1.5 mm/m]</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rutting (Straight edge)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>[0-3 mm]</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical impedance</td>
<td>∞</td>
<td>AC w.o. elastic material</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[100 m; ∞]</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[3.5 m; ∞]</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transversal slope</td>
<td>0 %</td>
<td>[0 %; 3 %]</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longitudinal slope</td>
<td>0 %</td>
<td>[0 %; 1 %]</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conclusions

- Even sophisticated models of today fail to predict noise accurately from texture.
- Prediction of rolling resistance appears to work well & we use this to make a proposal for a R³S.
- The relation between skid resistance and texture is complex! Although progress has been booked, there are still some “issues”.

Contact:
Manfred Haider
AIT Austrian Institute of Technology GmbH
Giefinggasse 2, 1210 Vienna, Austria
email: manfred.haider@ait.ac.at
http://www.ait.ac.at
Discussion

There exists a knowledge gap between the highway community and the tire community. Both sides make simple assumptions of the other where complicated intricacies exist.

Efficacy of using smooth and ribbed bias-ply low-durometer rubber tires for friction testing (locked wheel) was raised. It is evident that there are multiple and perhaps conflicting viewpoints ranging from high efficacy as a tool to evaluate a highway surface’s micro- and macrotexture contribution to friction to low efficacy given that the modern vehicle fleet is predominately using harder radial tires in conjunction with antilock brake systems.

It was asserted that macrotexture needs to be defined by more than average depth. There are more macrotexture parameters beyond just depth that are currently measurable and quantifiable with today’s 2-D and 3-D texture measurement systems which aid in understanding and predicting surface characteristics.

Direct noncontact stationary methods of measuring microtexture in the field are now possible using confocal optical sensors with sub-micro resolution.

Concerning spikes in macrotexture data collection:

- 2-D spikes are predominately due to underexposure of the sensor from insufficient laser illumination; these spikes can be virtually eliminated by increasing the laser power feed ten fold.
- New 3-D texture scanners provide the capability to measure a specific texture point multiple times from varying perspectives–orientations in order to compare measures and eliminate spikes or errors.

Standards are needed to ensure repeatability and reproducibility of macrotexture measurements:

- Sensor specifications:
  - Exposure time (speed dependency),
  - Laser line width or spot size, and
  - Laser power level.
- Filtering specifications—to remove erroneous data or data outside the spectrum of interest without distorting or eliminating what lies within the spectrum of interest.
- Discrimination between 2-D and 3-D systems—the latter has greater stability given the increased quantity of raw data and superiority with respect to anisotropic surfaces

There is much still to learn regarding the role macrotexture plays with respect to anti-icing and deicing chemicals used in winter maintenance. Do varying degrees of macrotexture require varying volumes of chemicals for the same performance?
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