A simplified theory of the motion of heavy vehicles on grades is presented. A set of speed-distance curves computed from the theory, based on values of maximum sustained speeds observed in Arizona, is given as the current basis for design of climbing lanes in Texas. Speed-distance curves representing the observed performance of a test vehicle on 11 grades are compared with the corresponding curves developed from the theory. Fair agreement was found, and it was concluded that the simplified theory is accurate enough for use in the design of climbing lanes.

Consider a vehicle (Figure 1) of gross weight, \( W \), travelling at a variable velocity, \( v \), on a grade inclined at an angle, \( \theta \), with the horizontal, the value of \( \theta \) being taken as positive if the vehicle is ascending and negative if it is descending. If \( g \) represents the acceleration of gravity and \( t \) the time, then, neglecting that part of the driving force required to impart angular acceleration to rotating parts, we may write the force equation,

\[
W \frac{dv}{dt} = P - W \sin \theta,
\]

where \( P \), a variable, may be termed the net driving force acting on the vehicle. The above equation may be rewritten in the form

\[
\frac{P}{W} = \frac{1}{g} \frac{dv}{dt} \sin \theta \quad \text{(1)}
\]

The net driving force is the total traction exerted by the driving wheels against the road surface, less wind resistance and road surface resistance. Again neglecting inertial resistance to angular acceleration, it follows that if the truck is always operated at the highest possible speed and always within the range of engine speed recommended by the manufacturer, then the total driving force must be expressible, at least approximately, as a single-valued function of the velocity only. Air resistance in still air is usually considered to be a function of the velocity only, and we shall assume that no wind exists. We shall also assume that the type and roughness of the pavement do not change and, therefore, that the road surface resistance may be taken as constant, or at most as a function of velocity only. We therefore conclude that although the net driving force must satisfy Equation 1 involving the acceleration and the grade angle, it may also be expressed independently as some function of velocity only, since each of its components is a function of velocity only.

For example, if the truck operates at a known maximum sustained velocity on any grade, the numerical value of \( P/W \) corresponding to that velocity may be immediately calculated from Equation 1, which in this case reduces to \( P/W = \sin \theta \), and that magnitude of \( P/W \) will always exist at that velocity, at least approximately, regardless of the value of the acceleration. In Figure 2 we have plotted values of \( P/W \) computed in this way against corresponding values of the velocity, \( v \), from basic data supplied mainly by Willey (1) in 1950, and applying to an average heavy vehicle operating on mountain grades in Arizona.

The points plotted in Figure 2 are connected by straight lines to form a continuous graph of \( P/W \) versus \( v \). Each straight line segment extending from, say, \( v_n \) to \( v_{n+1} \), may be represented by an equation of the form,

\[
P/W = av + b \quad \text{(2)}
\]

where \( v \) varies within the interval, \( v_n \) to \( v_{n+1} \), and \( a \) and \( b \) are constant within the same interval.

From Equations 1 and 2 we may form a third equation, not containing \( P/W \) explicitly, which becomes the general motion equation for the vehicle, as follows:

\[
\frac{dv}{dt} - gav + g (\sin \theta - b) = 0 \quad \text{(3)}
\]

where \( v \) is restricted to the velocity in-
interval, \( v_n \) to \( v_{n+1} \), and \( a \) and \( b \) are constant in the same interval.

Thus, during the time, \( t \), the velocity changes from \( v_0 \) to \( v \), the vehicle travels a distance \( x \), and the ratio, net driving force to gross weight, changes in value from \((av_0 + b)\) to \((av + b)\). (The logarithm is taken to the base, \( e \)).

In using Equations 4 for calculating the distance traveled or time consumed by a vehicle while it changes velocity over an interval greater than that for which \( a \) and \( b \) are constant, it is necessary to compute the increments of distance and time corresponding to each subinterval of the type, \( v_0 \) to \( v \), \( v_n \) to \( v_{n+1} \), and \( v_{n+1} \), to \( v \), and to add these increments in order to obtain total distance and total time.
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WARRANTS FOR CLIMBING LANES
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Figure 3.
TABLE 1
DATA PERTAINING TO TEST VEHICLE AND CONDITIONS OF OPERATION

2. Vehicle overall maximum dimensions: (a) height, 7.75 feet; (b) width, 7.75 feet.
3. Total gross weight: 57,180 lb.
4. Manufacturer's maximum gross vehicle weight rating: 50,000 lb.
5. Gear ratios: (a) transmission, 6.98, 3.57, 1.89, 1.00, 0.825 (overdrive); (b) aux. trans., none; (c) axle, 6.5, 8.86; (d) total gear reductions, 61.84, 45.37, 31.63, 23.21, 16.75, 12.28, 8.86, 6.50, 7.31, and 5.36.
6. Tire size: 10.00 by 20.
7. Net engine power at sea level: 146 hp. at 2,600 rpm.*
8. Altitude: 950 feet.
9. Road service type and condition: bituminous, good.

The curves of Figure 3 have been used in the design of climbing lanes in Texas since 1952. An example of the design procedure is given in the figure. Briefly, it consists in finding the point on an ascending grade where the speed drops to 30 mph., and the next subsequent point where the speed has increased to 30 mph. and the truck is accelerating. These two points form the limits of the tangent section of the climbing lane. Reversed curves, 525 feet in length, are added to each end of the tangent. Thus the design vehicle is removed from the general traffic stream at a speed somewhat greater than 30 mph., and likewise is returned at a speed exceeding 30 mph.

In using the chart for design purposes, vertical curves are generally ignored and speeds are usually taken from Figure 3 on the assumption that the vehicle travels in a straight line from one point of grade intersection to the next. Vertical curves can be broken up into straight-line segments, of course, if the additional accuracy is considered worthwhile.

ROAD TEST OF A HEAVY VEHICLE

In December 1953 a road test was conducted by the Planning Survey of the Texas Highway Department (2) on a section of ranch-to-market Road 33 in Travis and Burnet counties west of Austin in an effort to provide data from which the theory being used in design of climbing lanes could be checked or corrected, if necessary. The vehicle used was an International Harvester R-195, two-axle truck tractor (146 net horsepower at sea level) and a 33-foot, Hobbs tandem-axle, flat-bed trailer, both loaned to the department free of charge by the respective manufacturers. Table 1 gives essential data pertaining to the tractor. The trailer was loaded with steel piling, the gross weight of tractor and trailer being 57,180 lb. (see Figure 4).

In running the tests, pneumatic tubes, or detectors, which actuated electric switches...
when run over, were first stretched transverse to the highway at 100-foot intervals on a selected grade. Two instruments, an Esterline-Angus 20-pen graphic recorder with about \( \frac{1}{10} \)-second accuracy (Figure 5) and an oscillograph and camera with tuning-fork timer accurate to about \( \frac{1}{1000} \) second

(Figure 6) recorded the time each of the four axles passed over the pneumatic tubes during the test.

Eleven grades ranging from 700 to 1,500 feet in length, and from 0.16 percent to 7.62 percent in inclination, were used in the test.

In all test runs, the driver, an employee of the department, attempted to maintain the highest-possible speed while remaining within the range of engine speed recommended by the manufacturer and marked on the speedometer.

The test procedure was as follows:

Up-Grade Acceleration Runs

The driver approached the grade at the bottom at a very-low speed (1 or 2 mph). When within 3 or 4 feet of the first detector, he accelerated as rapidly as possible and continued to accelerate until he had passed over the last detector at the top of the grade. If he had not reached maximum sustained speed at that time, he returned to the bottom of the grade and repeated the run, except that he approached the first detector at approximately the speed and in the gear he had previously passed the last detector. This procedure was followed until maximum sustained speed was attained.
Up-Grade Deceleration Runs

The driver attempted to approach the grade at the bottom at a speed equal to or greater than 47 mph. and attempted to reach the top at the highest-possible velocity. If, after passing the last detector, his speed was still greater than maximum sustained speed, he returned to the bottom of the grade and repeated the run, except that he approached the first detector at approximately the speed and in the gear he had previously passed the last detector. The process was continued until the velocity on the grade was reduced to maximum sustained speed.

Down-Grade Acceleration Runs

At the top of the grade the driver approached the first detector at 1 or 2 mph., then accelerated as rapidly as possible.

Figures 7. A few of the 118 speed-distance curves developed from the several runs made on each grade. Runs are numbered in chronological order.

If, on passing the last detector, he had not attained a speed of 47 mph., he returned to the top of the grade, making his approach on the second down-grade run at the speed and in the gear he had previously passed the last detector, and again accelerated as rapidly as possible. The process was repeated until he attained a speed of at least 47 mph. on the grade.

All told, there were 118 test runs of the types described above. (Figure 7). Both recording instruments performed well, but it was impractical to operate the oscillograph continuously during most test runs because the fast-moving recording paper would be exhausted before the truck had finished the run.

ANALYSIS OF ROAD-TEST RESULTS

Approximate values of velocities for use in plotting speed-distance curves representing the test runs were computed as follows from the basic data: (1) From 20-pen recorder data, the velocity at the instant when the front axle of the vehicle was midway between two successive detectors was taken equal to the distance between detectors (100 feet) divided by the corresponding time interval. (2) From oscillograph data, the velocity when the mid-point between the second and third axles was over a detector was taken equal to the distance between those axles (18.62 feet) divided by the corresponding time interval.

Accelerations for use in Equation 1 were computed from oscillograph data only, since such computations require more-accurate data than velocity determinations. At low velocities, approximately simultaneous values of acceleration and velocity were computed from the time intervals.
between the passage of three successive axles over one detector. In order to convert the time data to accelerations, use was made of finite difference forms of the derivatives, \( \frac{d^2x}{dt^2} \) and \( \frac{dx}{dt} \). At higher velocities, time intervals between the passage of one axle over three successive detectors were used in the difference equations.

The approximate acceleration and the grade angle being known for a given instant, these values were substituted in Equation 1 for \( \frac{dv}{dt} \) and \( \theta \), respectively, and the numerical value of \( P/W \) was computed for that instant. Each computed value of \( P/W \) was then plotted against the corresponding velocity in Figure 8, where the solid points represent instants when the acceleration was different from zero, and the circled points represent periods during which the truck was apparently traveling at maximum sustained speed, that is, when the acceleration was equal to zero. Ignoring areas of the graph where the scattering of points was too wide to indicate any consistency in the data, an average line was drawn through the remaining points. This line was taken to represent the graphical form of \( P/W \) expressed as a function of velocity only.

On the same graph values of \( \sin \theta \) were plotted against the corresponding maximum sustained speeds computed by a method proposed by the Society of Automotive Engineers (3). These values, plotted as points enclosed in triangles, are based entirely on the factors pertaining to the truck and test environment given in Table 1.
(The reason for the wide scattering of points on Figure 8 is not known, but it might have been due in part to unavoidable variations in wind direction, wind velocity and driver behavior. Some of the scattering might also have resulted from the inherent inaccuracies encountered in the sub-
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Figure 11.

stitution of difference equations for differential equations. And some scattering could be expected because of variations in the force required to change the angular velocity of rotating parts while the truck accelerated at varying rates).

Next, from the graph of average values of P/W versus velocity (Figure 8), and by use of Equations 4, a set of three speed-distance curves (up-grade deceleration, up-grade acceleration, and down-grade acceleration) was plotted for each of the eleven test grades.
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Figure 12.

Finally, the 118 speed-distance curves (Figure 7) previously plotted directly from the observed data, (referred to hereafter as "test curves") were compared with the corresponding speed-distance curves computed by use of the graph of average values of P/W (referred to hereafter as "computed curves") in the following manner:

The computed curve, drawn on trans-
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Figure 13.

parent tracing cloth, was placed over a corresponding test curve plotted to the same scale, and the velocity lines (horizontal lines) on the two graphs were matched. The computed curve was then moved horizontally, keeping the velocity lines matched, until it appeared to pass through the midpoint of the test curve.
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Figure 14.

Then the test curve was traced on the cloth with the computed curve. If the curve so transferred coincided with the computed curve, then it could be concluded that, within the range of velocities covered by the test curve, the computed curve repre-
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Figure 15.
Figure 16.

represented the test data well. On the other hand, the contrary was true if the test curve departed substantially from the computed curve. Figures 9 through 17 show these comparisons.

COMPARISON OF TEST RESULTS WITH THEORY

Although fair agreement frequently existed between the shapes of the speed-distance curves plotted directly from the data, (the solid lines of Figures 9 through 17) and the curves computed from the graph of average values of P/W (the dashed lines of Figures 9 through 17), two major exceptions are noteworthy:

1. On many runs, the test curves indicated some irregularity in the motion of the vehicle, apparently caused in part by gear shifting. This irregularity was especially noticeable on some of the up-grade deceleration runs at velocities approaching maximum sustained speed, when the vehicle frequently first slowed to 2 or 3 mph. below maximum sustained speed and then accelerated.

2. The observed maximum sustained speed was frequently from 1 to 3 mph. greater than the speed shown on the computed curves. The reason for this discrepancy may be found by reference to Figure 8, where it can be seen that most of the circled points (which represent net driving force to gross weight at maximum speed) lie above the line representing the average of all points. Thus, the net driv-

ing force acting at any sustained velocity was, on the average, greater than the net driving force acting at the same velocity when the vehicle was accelerating or decelerating. This apparent anomaly in vehicular performance might be explained by the fact that the driver, while rapidly accelerating or decelerating, had little time for searching out the best gear, whereas his sustained speed on any grade occurred only after he had had ample time to find the proper gear for that grade. In this connection it was also noted that the maximum sustained speeds computed for the test vehicle by the method recommended by SAE (see points enclosed in triangles in Figure 8) agreed rather well with the observed values (the circled points in Figure 8) except in the velocity range of about 14 ft. per sec. to 30 ft. per sec. (9.5 mph. to 20.5 mph.). In this range the values computed by the SAE method were somewhat greater than the observed values.

In spite of the exceptions noted above, the speed-distance curves computed from the graph of average values of the ratio, net driving force to gross weight (Figure 8), appeared to represent the average performance of the test vehicle fairly well. Therefore, Figure 18, which was made up by use of Figure 8 and Equations 4, for integral values of grade percentages, may be taken as a general summary of the average performance of the test vehicle. If detailed comparisons of Figure 18 are made with Figure 3, it will be seen that the test vehicle was generally slower than the design truck in current use in Texas.

Figure 17.

CONCLUSIONS

1. Inspection of the test curves of Figures 9 through 17 indicate that, even under controlled conditions, the relation between
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Figure 18.
the speed and the distance travelled by the average heavy vehicle handled by a driver of probably better than average skill may not always be consistent.

2. The speed-distance curves computed on the assumption of a net driving force which varies only with velocity agreed fairly well with the corresponding curves plotted directly from test data, at least in those cases where the vehicular performance was consistent. Therefore it appears that the simplified theory (Equations 4) is sufficiently accurate for use in design of climbing lanes.

3. The Society of Automotive Engineers has provided a method for computing maximum sustained speeds for any gross weight to horsepower ratio (3). Values so computed, if used in conjunction with the simplified theory of truck motion presented herein, should make it possible to predict, at least approximately, the behavior on grades of vehicles of any gross-weight-to-horsepower ratio without resorting to full-scale tests.
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