


HIGHWAY RESEARCH BOARD

Bulletin 234

***Performance and Tests  
Of Asphaltic Concrete***



**National Academy of Sciences—**

**National Research Council**

publication 696

7  
28  
234

# HIGHWAY RESEARCH BOARD

## Officers and Members of the Executive Committee

1959

### OFFICERS

HARMER E. DAVIS, *Chairman*                      PYKE JOHNSON, *First Vice Chairman*  
   W. A. BUGGE, *Second Vice Chairman*  
FRED BURGGRAF, *Director*                      ELMER M. WARD, *Assistant Director*

### Executive Committee

BERTRAM D. TALLAMY, *Federal Highway Administrator, Bureau of Public Roads (ex officio)*  
A. E. JOHNSON, *Executive Secretary, American Association of State Highway Officials (ex officio)*  
LOUIS JORDAN, *Executive Secretary, Division of Engineering and Industrial Research, National Research Council (ex officio)*  
C. H. SCHOLER, *Applied Mechanics Department, Kansas State College (ex officio, Past Chairman 1958)*  
REX M. WHITTON, *Chief Engineer, Missouri State Highway Department (ex officio, Past Chairman 1957)*  
R. R. BARTLESMAYER, *Chief Highway Engineer, Illinois Division of Highways*  
J. E. BUCHANAN, *President, The Asphalt Institute*  
W. A. BUGGE, *Director of Highways, Washington State Highway Commission*  
MASON A. BUTCHER, *Director of Public Works, Montgomery County, Md.*  
C. D. CURTISS, *Special Assistant to the Executive Vice President, American Road Builders Association*  
HARMER E. DAVIS, *Director, Institute of Transportation and Traffic Engineering, University of California*  
DUKE W. DUNBAR, *Attorney General of Colorado*  
FRANCIS V. DU PONT, *Consulting Engineer, Cambridge, Md.*  
H. S. FAIRBANK, *Consultant, Baltimore, Md.*  
PYKE JOHNSON, *Consultant, Automotive Safety Foundation*  
G. DONALD KENNEDY, *President, Portland Cement Association*  
BURTON W. MARSH, *Director, Traffic Engineering and Safety Department, American Automobile Association*  
GLENN C. RICHARDS, *Commissioner, Detroit Department of Public Works*  
WILBUR S. SMITH, *Wilbur Smith and Associates, New Haven, Conn.*  
K. B. WOODS, *Head, School of Civil Engineering, and Director, Joint Highway Research Project, Purdue University*

### Editorial Staff

FRED BURGGRAF                      ELMER M. WARD                      HERBERT P. ORLAND  
2101 Constitution Avenue    Washington 25, D. C.

The opinions and conclusions expressed in this publication are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the Highway Research Board.

HIGHWAY RESEARCH BOARD

Bulletin 234

***Performance and Tests  
Of Asphaltic Concrete***

Presented at the  
38th ANNUAL MEETING  
January 5-9, 1959

1959  
Washington, D. C.

# ***Department of Materials and Construction***

**R. R. Litehiser, Chairman**  
Engineer of Tests, State Highway Testing Laboratory,  
Ohio State University Campus, Columbus

## **BITUMINOUS DIVISION**

**H. L. Lehmann, Chairman**  
Testing and Research Engineer,  
Louisiana Department of Highways

**A. B. Cornthwaite, Vice Chairman**  
Testing Engineer,  
Virginia Department of Highways

## **COMMITTEE ON CORRELATION OF DENSITY AND STABILITY TESTS OF BITUMINOUS MIXTURES WITH SERVICE BEHAVIOR**

**A. W. Eatman, Chairman**  
Materials and Tests Engineer,  
Texas Highway Department, Camp Hubbard, Austin

- J. E. Bell, Testing Engineer, National Crushed Stone Association, Washington, D. C.**  
**Fred J. Benson, Dean, School of Engineering, Texas A & M College, College Station**  
**R. A. Burdett, Chief Research Chemist, Wood River Research Laboratory, Shell Oil Company, Wood River, Illinois**  
**J. H. Dillard, Highway Research Engineer, Virginia Council of Highway Investigation and Research, Thornton Hall, University of Virginia, Charlottesville**  
**Phil C. Doyle, Manager, Asphalt Sales Department, The Standard Oil Company, Cleveland, Ohio**  
**C. R. Foster, Chief, Flexible Pavement Branch, Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, Mississippi**  
**William H. Goetz, Research Engineer, Purdue University, Civil Engineering Building, Lafayette, Indiana**  
**Joseph F. Goode, Highway Physical Research Engineer, Physical Research Branch, Bureau of Public Roads, Washington, D. C.**  
**Vernon G. Gould, Assistant Construction Engineer, Iowa State Highway Commission, Ames**  
**F. N. Hveem, Materials and Research Engineer, California Division of Highways, Sacramento**  
**Harry M. Rex, Bureau of Public Roads, % American Embassy, Amman, Jordan**  
**James M. Rice, Director, Road Research Laboratory, Natural Rubber Bureau, Arlington, Virginia**  
**Harry A. Sandberg, Jr., Principal Laboratory Engineer, Texas Highway Department, Austin**  
**B. A. Vallerga, Managing Engineer, Pacific Coast Division, The Asphalt Institute, San Francisco, California**

# Contents

## RELATION OF DENSIFICATION TO PERFORMANCE OF SMALL-SCALE ASPHALTIC CONCRETE TEST SECTIONS

C. T. Metcalf . . . . .	1
Appendix A . . . . .	10
Appendix B . . . . .	11

## USE OF MARSHALL STABILITY TEST IN ASPHALT PAVING MIX DESIGN

C. T. Metcalf . . . . .	12
-------------------------	----

# Relation of Densification To Performance of Small-Scale Asphaltic Concrete Test Sections

C. T. METCALF, Research Laboratory, Shell Oil Co., Wood River, Ill.

Performance of small-scale road test sections containing dense-graded asphaltic concrete has shown the effect of several variables in mix design on resistance to pavement cracking, displacement and flushing. Core samples removed from the sections after 15 and 43 months service reveal the considerable densification that took place under traffic while the pavement was still relatively new. Substantially all densification occurred during the first 15 months.

The amount of densification during this period is shown to be related to the potential densification, or the change in aggregate voids that can occur before the theoretical zero-air-voids content is reached. Lean mixes, with a high potential change, show an average reduction of 8.0 percent, whereas rich mixes produce only a 4.0 percent change. Densification of the pavement tends to continue until the aggregate voids are within approximately 3.6 percent of the theoretical ultimate content for mixes of this type.

Performance ratings from "plastic" to "brittle" show the strong influence of asphalt content on performance. Lean mixes in these tests are predominantly satisfactory, their asphalt contents being below the amount necessary to fill the void space when the aggregate achieves its maximum density. When this limiting space is overfilled, the mix becomes susceptible to plastic deformation and flushing. Limiting asphalt contents of 4.1 percent to 4.5 percent (by weight) correspond to aggregate voids values of less than 14 percent (by volume) which have been measured in the pavement.

These findings should aid in describing the changes that can be expected in service. They also provide information relating to the important factors affecting satisfactory design.

● **REALISTIC** answers to problems involving the use of road asphalts often require field tests. Conclusions based on laboratory analysis ultimately must be confirmed by performance tests on the road. With this in mind, a series of road trials was constructed in November 1952, for the purpose of investigating several problems in the design and performance of asphaltic concrete, surface-course type pavements. A prominent objective of these tests was to investigate the effect of asphalt content and grade on pavement cracking, displacement, densification and flushing. For this purpose, several 85/100 penetration asphalts and representatives of other grades from 40/50 to 120/150 penetration grade were included in the study. Two "rubberized" asphalts containing small amounts (5 percent by weight) of natural rubber powder were also included. Because binder content is recognized as an important factor in pavement performance, mixes were prepared with asphalt contents varying over the range of common use.

The experimental surfaces were constructed on a single, uniform base course as part of a refinery entrance road at Wood River, Illinois. Traffic over this road consisted principally of heavy trucks (average about 150 per day) with axle loads averaging about 14,000 lb. The behavior of the test sections was studied for 43 months. A series of cores was taken from each section after construction, after 15 months service and at the conclusion of the test. This report describes the detection and classification of the service-established patterns and the conclusions in regard to mix behavior which can be derived from them.

## CONSTRUCTION OF EXPERIMENTAL TEST SECTIONS

In order to provide the necessary uniform support for all the test sections, the debris in the area was replaced with selected base material. This consisted of a 10-in. sand subbase topped by two 3-in. courses of Type B-7 penetration macadam (1) as shown in Figure 1. The chosen thicknesses of base and subbase were determined on the basis of the bearing power of the silty subgrade. This soil classifies as an HRB Type A-4 (2) with plasticity index of eight and California Bearing Ratio (soaked) of four.

TABLE 1  
GRADING OF TEST ROAD AGGREGATES

<u>Aggregates</u>	<u>Cumulative Percent Passing Sieve Number</u>						
	<u>1/2 in.</u>	<u>3/8 in.</u>	<u>No. 4</u>	<u>No. 10</u>	<u>No. 40</u>	<u>No. 80</u>	<u>No. 200</u>
Crushed Limestone (Apparent Spec Grav = 2.720) <sup>1</sup> (Bulk Spec Grav = 2.652)	100	90	38	5	3	2	2
Coarse River Sand (Apparent Spec Grav = 2.677) <sup>2</sup> (Bulk Spec Grav = 2.599)			100	98	35	2	0
Blending Sand (Apparent Spec Grav = 2.676) <sup>2</sup> (Bulk Spec Grav = 2.604)				100	94	65	11
<u>Combined Gradation</u>							
55 Percent Crushed Limestone 22 Percent Coarse Sand 17 Percent Blending Sand 6 Percent Limestone Dust Combined Grading (Apparent spec grav = 2.703)	100	94	66	48	32	19	9

<sup>1</sup>ASTM Method C127-42.

<sup>2</sup>ASTM Method C128-42.

The dense-graded mixes in the test sections, typical of many U.S. highway surfacings, (3) meet Asphalt Institute specifications for Type IV hot-mix asphaltic concrete (4). The hot mixes were hand-raked and compacted with a vibrating-type impactor, which delivered 1,750 to 2,000 blows per minute, each of 717 ft-lb impact. Gradings of the mixes are shown in Table 1 together with sieve analyses of the limestone and sands used in mix preparation.

Asphalt contents for the mixes were selected according to criteria recommended by the Corps of Engineers for the Marshall Stability test. Optimum binder content was determined from the average of the values below based on the use of regular 85/100 penetration asphalt (Asphalt A).

<u>Test Property</u>	<u>Point of Selection</u>	<u>Selected Asphalt Content, Percent</u>
Marshall Stability	Maximum	4.0
Bulk Specific Gravity	Maximum	5.0
Percent Air Voids	4%	5.5
Percent Voids Filled with Asphalt	80%	<u>6.0</u>
	Average	5.2

Optimum asphalt content was taken as 5 percent.

It is well known that the amount of asphalt, as well as its consistency, affects the flexibility of a pavement. Generally, the use of more asphalt increases resistance to cracking at the expense of resistance to plastic deformation. The test sections contained a range of asphalt contents from lean to rich to explore the effect of asphalt content. Lean, optimum, and rich mixes represented a range in asphalt content from 20 percent less than optimum to 20 percent greater. These amounts correspond to 4, 5 and 6 percent by weight, respectively.




Figure 1. Typical section Wood River test road.



Figure 2. Extensive cracking, characteristic of "brittle" performance.



Figure 3. "Satisfactory" performance of dense-graded mix showing no cracking or flushing.




Figure 4. "Shoving", associated with "plastic" performance of a "rich" mix.




Figure 5. Severe "tire printing", which accompanies extensive "flushing" in hot weather.




Figure 6. Pronounced "rutting" and a faint pattern of cracking associated with high-temperature deformations.



For adequate performance during both summer and winter, asphalt must fulfill a multigrade function. It must not become too brittle at low temperatures nor too fluid at high temperatures. Four grades of asphalt ranging from 40/50 to 120/150 were used to aid in defining the proper consistency balance for year around use.

Properties of the asphalts in the test sections are listed in Appendix I together with the properties of the mix after laying.

### PERFORMANCE OF TEST SECTIONS

After construction of the sections, their performance was observed and rated visually at periodic intervals. Performance was classified by a descriptive rating system similar to one employed by the U. S. Engineers (2). Ratings below are based on characteristic marks of distress such as "surface cracking", "flushing", and "rutting or shoving".

1. Brittle: Excessive surface cracking in absence of flushing.
2. Semibrittle: Moderate surface cracking.
3. Satisfactory: Slight to no evidence of cracking, and slight to no evidence of flushing or rutting.
4. Semiplastic: Moderate flushing clearly visible to the eye giving the appearance of a slick surface.
5. Plastic: Excessive flushing usually combined with rutting or shoving.

Typical features of sections with various ratings are pictured in Figures 2 to 6.

At the conclusion of the test, after 43 months service, the sections were given a final evaluation and cores were taken. Performance ratings based on the above rating system are shown in Table 2.

The final ratings after 43 months service are no different from the ratings after 15 months when the first series of cores was taken. Thus, the significant changes in performance occurred approximately during the first year after construction. By this time the sections had achieved a relative equilibrium and performance patterns had become well established.

TABLE 2  
PERFORMANCE RATINGS OF WOOD RIVER TEST SECTIONS  
15 TO 43 MONTHS SERVICE

Asphalt	Dense-Graded Mixes		
	Lean (4% by weight)	Optimum (5% by weight)	Rich (6% by weight)
<u>Regular Asphalts</u>			
40/50	Brittle	Brittle	Semiplastic
60/70	Semibrittle <sup>1</sup>	Satisfactory <sup>1</sup>	Semiplastic <sup>1</sup>
85/100 Asphalt A	Satisfactory <sup>1</sup>	Satisfactory <sup>1</sup>	Semiplastic <sup>1</sup>
85/100 Asphalt B	Satisfactory	Semiplastic	Plastic
85/100 Asphalt C	Satisfactory	Semiplastic	Plastic
85/100 Asphalt D	Satisfactory	Semiplastic	Plastic
85/100 Asphalt E	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Semiplastic
85/100 Asphalt F <sup>2</sup>	Brittle	Semibrittle	Semiplastic
85/100 Asphalt G <sup>2</sup>	Satisfactory	Semiplastic	Plastic
120/150	Satisfactory <sup>1</sup>	Semiplastic	Plastic

<sup>1</sup> Sections rated after 8 months service when edge failure forced removal of half the section. Sufficient material remained for a final series of cores to be taken.

<sup>2</sup> Asphalt A plus rubber additive. Asphalt F was prepared by adding the crumb rubber just before mixing asphalt and aggregate. Asphalt G was prepared by maintaining the asphalt-rubber mixture in heated storage for 3 days before mixing with aggregate.

The importance of asphalt content to performance is immediately indicated by the ratings. Only the 40/50 and Asphalt F fail to achieve satisfactory performance in at least one mix. Table 3 showing the number of sections in each performance rating, indicates that lean mixes are predominantly satisfactory. Optimum mixes tend to be semiplastic, and rich mixes are semiplastic to plastic. Deviations from the characteristic ratings can be attributed to asphalt consistency. In the lean mixes, the 40/50 and 60/70, in addition to Asphalt F, are too brittle for satisfactory performance under these conditions. At the optimum content, the 40/50 and Asphalt F are still in the brittle range, but 60/70 becomes satisfactory. Rich mixes with excessive asphalt contents, are all within the plastic groups.

TABLE 3

Performance Rating	Number of Dense-Graded Mixes		
	Lean (4%)	Optimum (5%)	Rich (6%)
Brittle	2	1	
Semibrittle	1	1	
Satisfactory	7	3	
Semiplastic		5	5
Plastic			5

The ratings emphasize again the delicate balance between summer and winter performance that must be accomplished in good design. The softer 120/150 and 85/100 grades are generally satisfactory in a lean mix. The 60/70 grade requires the higher asphalt content of an optimum mix to obtain necessary low-temperature flexibility. The hard 40/50 grade can provide adequate flexibility only at high asphalt contents with accompanying hot-weather instability.

#### PAVEMENT DENSIFICATION

During service the density of a paving mix tends to increase. This process is illustrated in Figure 7. Initially asphalt occupies only a portion of the space available to it between the particles of mineral aggregate. However, under the compressive action of traffic, the aggregate void space is reduced and eventually an equilibrium, uncompactible state is achieved.

Core samples were removed from the test sections immediately after construction, after 15 months service and, finally, after 43 months service. The aggregate void contents listed in Table 4 emphasize the considerable densification which took place under traffic while the pavement was still relatively new.

Average VMA (voids in mineral aggregate) figures show that initial compaction was unaffected by asphalt content. Originally, lean, optimum, and rich mixes all contained approximately the same amount of aggregate voids (22.5 percent). After 15 months service, however, aggregate voids were lower in lean than in rich mixes. This shows that the greatest aggregate densities were produced by the closer packing of particles which is possible in the lean mixes. After 43 months service, this pattern still existed. Comparison of average VMA figures shows that there was no significant change in aggregate packing in the 15- to 43-month interval. Thus, as noted also in the trend of performance patterns, the greatest changes in physical properties were developed early in the life of the pavement. All types of mix contained approximately the same VMA originally and thus the subsequent densification under traffic was greater in lean (average 8.0 percent VMA reduction) than in rich mixes (average 4.0 percent VMA reduction).

Figure 8 illustrates that extent of densification can be related directly to the opportunity a mix has to densify. Opportunity, or potential densification, is the largest change in aggregate voids that can take place before densification is complete. It is the difference between the original VMA and the ultimate VMA of a fully compacted (zero air voids) mix. Ultimate VMA is the aggregate void content at which the asphalt completely fills the available void space.

Ultimate VMA's are calculated from the equation:

$$\frac{\text{Pac/Gac}}{\text{Pac/Gac} + \text{Pag/Gag}} \quad (\text{symbols correspond to those in reference 4})$$

Ultimate VMA's corresponding to the three asphalt contents used are:

Lean (4% by weight) = 10.1% by volume  
 Optimum (5% by weight) = 12.5% by volume  
 Rich (6% by weight) = 14.7% by volume

TABLE 4  
 AGGREGATE VOID CONTENTS OF PAVEMENT CORES

Asphalt	Voids in Mineral Aggregate (VMA, % by volume) <sup>1</sup>								
	Lean (4% by weight)			Optimum (5% by weight)			Rich (6% by weight)		
	Orig	15	43	Orig	15	43	Orig	15	43
		Mo	Mo		Mo	Mo		Mo	
40/50	24.9	15.4	15.1	-	16.4	15.3	19.1	18.5	17.7
60/70	21.5	13.3	13.4	25.6	15.3	15.6	21.1	18.4	19.4
85/100 Asphalt A	20.8	13.8	14.3	18.6	14.8	16.3	18.7	18.0	-
85/100 Asphalt B	-	15.6	13.3	-	15.1	14.9	19.9	17.6	17.4
85/100 Asphalt C	20.6	13.6	14.3	18.4	15.2	15.0	20.8	17.3	17.5
85/100 Asphalt D	22.9	13.3	13.1	31.7	15.6	15.0	26.7	18.9	19.0
85/100 Asphalt E	23.1	13.2	14.9	23.8	15.3	16.3	22.5	19.8	19.5
85/100 Asphalt F	25.0	19.1	17.5	22.5	16.6	17.3	31.8	18.5	17.7
85/100 Asphalt G	24.8	14.4	16.1	21.7	16.0	15.9	24.5	19.3	18.5
120/150	18.4	13.2	14.0	17.7	16.2	15.7	18.9	18.6	18.0
Average	22.4	14.4	14.5	22.5	15.6	15.7	22.4	18.4	18.3

<sup>1</sup>Aggregate void contents based on apparent specific gravities listed in Table 1.




Figure 7. Volume relationships for asphaltic concrete (three-phase system).




Figure 8. Relation of actual densification to potential densification.

The observation that densification is usually greater in lean than in rich mixes can be attributed to the fact that the former are compacted initially to a lesser degree relative to ultimate minimum void content. Aggregate voids can also be reduced to a smaller ultimate space in lean mixes and thus a greater change is possible before ultimate density is reached.

The excellent correlation between actual and potential densification is indicated by the correlation ratio of 0.99. Actual densification parallels the ultimate condition at an average VMA that is 3.6 percent less than ultimate. This indicates that dense-graded mixes of this type continued to densify until the aggregate voids were within 3.6 percent of ultimate. For these mixes this principle provides a convenient method for estimating the VMA that can be achieved under traffic.

Type of Mix	Estimated VMA (%) (Ultimate VMA + 3.6%)	Actual VMA (%) (43 Months)
Lean (4% by weight)	$(10.1 + 3.6) = 13.7$	14.5
Optimum (5% by weight)	$(12.5 + 3.6) = 16.1$	15.7
Rich (6% by weight)	$(14.7 + 3.6) = 18.3$	18.3

### LIMITING ASPHALT CONTENTS

The total volume of aggregate voids limits the amount of asphalt which can be incorporated in the mix. Thus, the space that remains between aggregate particles when the mix achieves its greatest density governs the amount of asphalt that the mix can safely hold. Increasing the asphalt content beyond this amount reduces aggregate density and leads to plastic instability.

The minimum VMA values developed during the test are listed in Table 5 for each asphalt. Asphalt contents corresponding to these VMA's, with allowance for 3 percent air voids, are listed as "limiting asphalt contents." They are similar to "optimum moisture contents" in soil compaction. Limiting contents of the 85/100 grades appear in the range of 4.1 to 4.5 percent by weight corresponding to VMA values of less than 14 percent by volume.

Comparison of "limiting asphalt contents" with performance ratings shows the strong influence of asphalt content on performance. Table 6 lists performance of the mixes with respect to their asphalt contents expressed as percentages of the "limiting contents." The "relative asphalt content" is a measure of the extent to which the potential capacity of a mix is filled or overfilled with asphalt.

By assigning numerical values (that is, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5) to the performance ratings, good correlation is obtained between performance and "relative asphalt content" as shown in Figure 9 (correlation ratio = 0.84). Satisfactory performance corresponds to a "relative content" that averages 100 percent. Thus, satisfactory performance can be expected at asphalt contents near the "limiting content". When the "limiting content" is exceeded (relative content >100 percent), the mix is overfilled and plastic distress in hot weather results. When the mix contains less than the limiting content (relative content <100 percent) there is a trend to brittleness.

Limiting asphalt contents in Table 5 indicate that the use of a high-viscosity binder will provide only a temporary benefit as regards densification. Such a binder will only be of long-term value in preventing overfilling of the voids if it is sufficiently viscous to delay attainment of ultimate density for a period of at least several years. Even the

**TABLE 5**  
**LIMITING ASPHALT CONTENTS**

<u>Asphalt</u>	<u>Minimum VMA During Road Test</u>	<u>Limiting<sup>1</sup> Asphalt Content (% by weight)</u>
40/50	15.1	5.0
60/70	13.4	4.25
85/100 Asphalt A	13.8	4.45
85/100 Asphalt B	13.3	4.2
85/100 Asphalt C	13.6	4.35
85/100 Asphalt D	13.1	4.1
85/100 Asphalt E	13.2	4.2
85/100 Asphalt F	16.6	5.7
85/100 Asphalt G	14.1	4.5
120/150	13.2	4.2

$$^1 \text{ Limiting Content} = \frac{W_{ac}}{W_{ac} + W_{ag}}$$

Where:  $W_{ac}$  = (Min VMA (%) - 3% air voids) x Asphalt specific gravity.  
 $W_{ag}$  = (100 - Min VMA (%)) x Aggregate specific gravity.

**TABLE 6**  
**COMPARISON OF PERFORMANCE WITH RELATIVE ASPHALT CONTENT**

<u>Performance Rating</u>	<u>Relative Asph Cont ( <math>\frac{\text{Asphalt Content}}{\text{Limiting Asph Cont}} \times 100</math> )</u>					<u>Average Relative Content (%)</u>
	<u>&lt;80%</u>	<u>80-100%</u>	<u>100-120%</u>	<u>120-140%</u>	<u>&gt;140%</u>	
Brittle	1	2				83
Semibrittle		2				89
Satisfactory		7	3			100
Semiplastic			7	3		121
Plastic				4	1	137

**TABLE 7**  
**AGGREGATE VOID CONTENTS OF SPECIMENS COMPACTED  
BY THE MARSHALL HAMMER**

<u>Asphalt</u>	<u>Lean (4% by weight)</u>	<u>Optimum (5% by weight)</u>	<u>Rich (6% by weight)</u>
40/50	15.2	15.4	17.1
60/70	14.3	15.1	17.3
85/100 Asphalt A	13.3	14.5	16.8
85/100 Asphalt B	14.4	14.8	17.1
85/100 Asphalt C	15.3	15.2	17.0
85/100 Asphalt D	14.3	15.2	17.3
85/100 Asphalt E	14.6	15.2	17.3
85/100 Asphalt F	15.1	16.6	17.6
85/100 Asphalt G	14.6	15.6	17.0
120/150	13.5	14.6	17.0




Figure 9. Correlation between performance and relative asphalt content.

40/50 penetration asphalt included in this study was too fluid to satisfy this requirement. Only Asphalt F prolonged densification beyond 3 1/2 years. This ability to retard densification has been attributed to the large increase in viscosity (6) that results when rubber is added to the asphalt during the mixing operation. The most satisfactory solution to the problem of plastic instability appears, on the basis of the present work, to be the use of lower asphalt contents.

It should be remembered that specific findings of this investigation apply only to mixes and materials involved. Although the mix is typical of many dense-graded types in common use, only one grading and one series of aggregate types is represented in the test sections.

#### COMPARISON OF LABORATORY AND FIELD COMPACTION

An interesting comparison of the aggregate void contents in laboratory compacted specimens and those in pavement cores is shown in Figure 10. Laboratory compaction was obtained by the standard 50-blow Marshall hammer compaction (4). These results provide a measure of the ability of Marshall hammer compaction to reproduce or predict the extent of pavement densification that will occur under traffic. Comparison of aggregate voids in Marshall hammer compacted specimens shown in Table 7 with corresponding values for pavement cores from Table 4 reveals a fairly good agreement between laboratory and field properties.

Average values for lean, optimum and rich mixes in Table 7 show that only in the rich mixes is there a large difference between compacted densities produced in the laboratory and in the field. The more effective compaction of laboratory specimens of rich mixes probably results from the confinement provided by the compaction mold. No significant difference is shown by the figures representing lean and optimum mixes.

#### AVERAGE AGGREGATE VOID CONTENTS, (%)

	Marshall Hammer Compaction	Cores	
		15 Mo	43 Mo
Lean	14.5	14.4	14.5
Optimum	15.6	15.6	15.7
Rich	17.2	18.4	18.3




Figure 10. Correlation between aggregate voids of Marshall hammer compacted specimens and pavement cores.

## CONCLUSIONS

The conclusions to be drawn from observation of the test sections are limited to the materials represented in the sections and can be summarized as follows:

1. Asphalt content is a major factor controlling performance of a dense-graded mix. Lean mixes (asphalt content ca. 20 percent less than Marshall optimum) generally provide the best performance when they contain asphalts that are soft enough to avoid brittleness.
2. An increase in asphalt content improves resistance to flexural cracking but lowers resistance to plastic displacement.
3. When an asphaltic surface is exposed to traffic, considerable densification, or reduction in aggregate void space, takes place. Densification is related to theoretical ultimate density and is a function of asphalt content.
4. Traffic compaction can reduce the aggregate void space in this type of dense-graded mix to an amount less than 14 percent of the bulk volume. For these aggregates, this space can contain a maximum "limiting content" of 4.5 percent by weight asphalt.
5. Performance reveals that asphalt contents greater than the "limiting contents" tend to cause plastic distress, and those less than the "limiting contents" tend to cause brittleness.
6. Densification is retarded when the mix contains a more viscous binder. This effect is particularly noticeable when rubber has been incorporated into the asphalt in such a way as to cause a large increase in viscosity.
7. Reasonably good agreement has been found between compaction that is produced in the laboratory by the Marshall hammer and that which occurs in the field under traffic.

## APPENDIX A

PROPERTIES OF PAVEMENT SECTIONS AFTER MIXING AND LAYING

Asphalt	Cont (% by weight)	Grading	Asphalt Original Properties		Properties After Mixing and Laying							
			Pen. at 77° F	SP (°F)	PI <sup>1</sup>	Asphalt			Air Voids (%)	Spec Grav of Mix	Spec Grav, % of Lab Compacted Specimen	
						Pen. at 77° F	SP (°F)	PI <sup>1</sup>				% Pen Ret <sup>2</sup>
40/50	4.0	Dense	39	125	-1.3	34	141	+0.2	87	16.4	2.117	88.8
"	5.0	"				21	140	-0.8	54	-	-	-
"	6.0	"				37	130	-0.8	95	5.1	2.323	97.6
60/70	4.0	"	65	117	-1.3	40	133	-0.3	62	12.7	2.208	91.7
"	5.0	"				40	130	-0.7	62	15.0	2.118	87.7
"	6.0	"				55	127	-0.3	85	7.5	2.267	95.5
85/100 Asphalt A	4.0	"	89	113	-1.1	60	125	-0.3	67	11.9	2.228	91.5
"	5.0	"				55	123	-0.8	62	7.0	2.317	95.4
"	6.0	"				68	122	-0.5	76	4.7	2.337	97.7
85/100 Asphalt B	4.0	"	78	110.5	-1.9	45	122	-1.5	58	-	-	-
"	5.0	"				54	123	-0.9	69	-	-	-
"	6.0	"				66	117	-1.3	85	6.1	2.301	96.5
85/100 Asphalt C	4.0	"	87	112.5	-1.3	-	-	-	-	11.7	2.231	93.7
"	5.0	"				66	118	-1.1	76	6.8	2.319	96.2
"	6.0	"				-	-	-	-	7.2	2.273	95.4
85/100 Asphalt D	4.0	"	86	116	-0.7	57	124	-0.6	66	14.2	2.167	89.9
"	5.0	"				48	128	-0.5	56	22.0	1.941	80.6
"	6.0	"				68	122	-0.5	79	14.1	2.103	88.5
85/100 Asphalt E	4.0	"	90	117	-0.5	55	132	+0.3	61	14.4	2.163	90.1
"	5.0	"				54	124	-0.7	60	13.0	2.169	90.0
"	6.0	"				64	122	-0.6	71	9.1	2.225	93.6
120/150	4.0	"	138	108	-0.8	69	124	-0.1	50	9.2	2.297	94.4
"	5.0	"				-	-	-	-	7.1	2.314	97.7
"	6.0	"				82	121	-0.1	59	4.9	2.330	97.7
85/100 Asphalt F	4.0	"				55	129	0.0	62	16.6	2.109	88.3
"	5.0	"				53	127	-0.3	60	11.5	2.202	82.8
"	6.0	"				60	124	-0.5	67	20.0	1.960	82.8
85/100 Asphalt G	4.0	"	74	120	-0.6	56	130	+0.1	63	16.3	2.119	88.3
"	5.0	"				58	128	-0.1	63	10.5	2.230	93.0
"	6.0	"				65	130	+0.6	73	11.5	2.165	90.7

<sup>1</sup> Penetration Index (see Pfeiffer, J. Ph. and van Doormool, P. M., National Petroleum News, Refinery Technology Edition, Feb. 23, 1938).

<sup>2</sup> Percent Penetration Retained = (penetration of asphalt recovered from mix x 100) / Penetration of original asphalt.

## APPENDIX B

Asphalt	Specific Gravity Asphalt	Asphalt Content	Properties of Cores			
			After 15 Months		After 43 Months	
			Specific Gravity	Air Voids (%)	Specific Gravity	Air Voids (%)
40/50	1.028	4	2.380	6.1	2.387	5.8
"		5	2.378	4.8	2.408	3.6
"		6	2.343	4.8	2.363	3.9
60/70	1.023	4	2.442	3.8	2.436	3.9
"		5	2.406	3.5	2.398	3.9
"		6	2.347	4.6	2.315	5.8
Asphalt A	1.022	4	2.425	4.3	2.411	4.9
		5	2.422	2.9	2.380	4.7
		6	2.355	4.2	-	-
Asphalt B	1.021	4	2.372	6.3	2.439	3.8
		5	2.415	3.3	2.418	3.1
		6	2.367	3.7	2.372	3.5
Asphalt C	1.008	4	2.429	4.0	2.411	4.7
		5	2.412	3.2	2.415	3.0
		6	2.377	3.1	2.370	3.4
Asphalt D	1.008	4	2.436	3.7	2.444	3.4
		5	2.399	3.7	2.416	3.0
		6	2.332	5.0	2.326	5.1
Asphalt E	1.001	4	2.438	3.5	2.394	5.4
		5	2.410	3.3	2.379	4.4
		6	2.305	6.0	2.313	5.6
Asphalt F	1.018	4	2.279	10.1	2.320	8.3
		5	2.373	4.8	2.349	5.6
		6	2.344	4.5	2.363	3.6
Asphalt G	1.018	4	2.411	4.8	2.364	6.7
		5	2.392	4.1	2.391	4.0
		6	2.319	5.5	2.341	4.5
120/150	1.009	4	2.443	3.5	2.419	4.4
		5	2.382	4.4	2.396	3.8
		6	2.338	4.7	2.356	3.9

## REFERENCES

1. "Construction Specifications." The Asphalt Institute, (Aug. 1947).
2. "Symposium on the Identification and Classification of Soils." ASTM Spec. Tech. Pub. 113, (June 1950).
3. "Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction." Dept. of Public Works and Buildings, Illinois Div. of Highways, (Jan. 1952).
4. "Mix Design Methods for Hot-Mix Asphalt Paving." Manual Series No. 2, The Asphalt Institute, (Apr. 1956).
5. "Symposium on Asphalt Paving Mixtures." HRB Research Rep. 7-B, (June 1949).
6. Lewis, R.H., and Welborn, J.Y., "The Effect of Various Rubbers on the Properties of Petroleum Asphalts." Pub. Roads, 28:No. 4 (Oct. 1954).



# Use of Marshall Stability Test in Asphalt Paving Mix Design

C. T. METCALF, Research Laboratory, Shell Oil Co., Wood River, Ill.

A continuing problem in the design of bituminous pavements is the specification of the properties of the mix so that it will have sufficient stability to resist displacement under traffic. This problem has been made more acute by the demands of heavier wheel loads which are anticipated for modern highways and airfields. Thus, to aid mix formulation there is a pressing need for specifications in terms of the results of laboratory tests.

An analysis of the Marshall Stability test shows that the bearing capacity of a paving mix can be related to Marshall Stability and flow by the following equation:

$$\text{Bearing Capacity (psi)} = 1/5 \frac{\text{Stability}}{\text{Flow}} \times (2 + K) F$$

in which

$$K = \frac{1 + \sin \phi}{1 - \sin \phi}$$

$$F = (1 - 0.055 K)$$

Derivation of this equation is based on two important assumptions:

1. Allowable design stress corresponds to the stress calculated at 1 percent strain in the Marshall test.
2. Confining pressure in the pavement is equal to 50 percent of unconfined compressive strength (confinement is proportional to lateral strain; this is 50 percent of vertical strain for materials that do not change volume).

A convenient approximation of the above equation is given by:

$$\text{Bearing Capacity (psi)} = \frac{\text{Stability}}{\text{Flow}} \frac{(120 - \text{Flow})}{100}$$

The design curves representing the above relationships emphasize that the load-carrying ability of an asphaltic mix is a function of the flow value as well as the stability and reveal the inadequacy of the usual specifications which call for only a minimum stability and maximum flow value. A single bearing capacity for any mix can be calculated from the combination of stability and flow. Marshall Stability alone, however, is not an absolute measure of strength.

It is believed that the results of this analysis will be very useful in highway and airfield design.

● THERE ARE several tests commonly employed at the present time to measure the resistance to deformation of asphalt-aggregate mixtures (1). These tests include:

1. Marshall Stability
2. Hveem Stabilometer
3. Hubbard-Field
4. Unconfined Compression
5. Triaxial

All of these are used to measure plastic stability or the ability of a mix to resist being squeezed out from under a load. All except the triaxial and possibly the uncon-

finned compression tests are empirical and are not considered to measure any fundamental material property. They are extensively used, however, in design and control work.

Popularity of these tests can be divided somewhat according to geographical location, but the most widely used at present is the Marshall Stability test (2), originally developed by the U.S. Army, Corps of Engineers (3). Because of its wide usage it is the basis of many specifications and is a familiar and accepted measure of stability. Quite often design problems must be solved by this test alone. Thus, it is essential to obtain a better understanding of its significance.

During the study of a number of small-scale pavement test sections, however, it became especially evident that neither Marshall Stability nor flow value alone satisfactorily predicted resistance to plastic displacement. It appeared that sections having equal stabilities did not have the same supporting power when their flow values differed. Similarly, sections having equal flow values often performed quite differently as a result of differences in stability. Examples are shown in the following table.

#### MIXES OF EQUAL STABILITY

Section No.	Stability (lb)	Flow Value (0.01 in.)	Performance (Resistance to Plastic Displacement)
3A-7B	1460	6	Satisfactory
2B-11B	1425	12	"
2A-2B	1400	13	Plastic
2B-15A	1465	16	"
2B-2B	1425	16	"

#### MIXES OF EQUAL FLOW VALUE

3A-4B	4005	13	Satisfactory
2A-17B	2010	13	"
2A-2B	1400	13	Plastic
2A-5B	1170	13	"

Because of these problems and of the need to make a satisfactory appraisal of the properties of the field test sections, it was decided to make a closer investigation of the Marshall test which could serve as a basis for interpretation. To make better use of the test it appeared necessary to answer two fundamental questions: (a) What material properties are being measured in the Marshall test? (b) What is the relation of these properties to the bearing capacity of a paving mix?

#### INTERPRETATION OF MARSHALL STABILITY RESULTS

A review of the literature shows that only a limited amount of work has been done to define the properties measured in the Marshall test. A previous investigation by Fink and Lettier (4) has shown the influence of asphalt viscosity on stability values and Endersby and Vallerga (5) have demonstrated the effects of different compaction methods on test results. Van Iterson (6) interpreted the loading of a cylindrical shape such as used in the Marshall test as being similar to an unconfined compression test. Goetz and McLaughlin (7,8) have made some of the few studies that attempted to examine the results of Marshall testing in the light of triaxial and unconfined compression tests. A conclusion from their work is that the Marshall test is a type of confined test in which the confinement is attributed to the curved shape of the testing heads. Their work is significant because identical materials were tested in both the Marshall test and the unconfined compression test. The method of specimen preparation was not a variable in the comparison.

Use of the test for design purposes has indicated that a type of shear failure occurs

in the test (Figure 1) that is similar to failures in direct compression tests.

Analysis of the forces involved in the Marshall test shows that the measured vertical force is the sum of the stresses acting on the curved surface of the testing head at the interface with the specimen. These stresses consist of normal ( $S_n$ ) and tangential ( $S_s$ ) components as shown in Figure 2. For any small elemental area  $dA$  on the testing head, the vertical stress  $S_v$  is given by:

$$S_v \cos \alpha \, dA = S_n \cos \alpha \, dA + S_s \sin \alpha \, dA \quad (1)$$

substituting

$$dA = rt \, d\alpha$$

where

$r$  = radius of curved surface

$t$  = width of area (normal to plane surface of specimen)

produces

$$rt \, S_v \cos \alpha \, d\alpha = rt \, S_n \cos \alpha \, d\alpha + rt \, S_s \sin \alpha \, d\alpha \quad (2)$$

Then, since  $\alpha$  ranges from 0 to  $+70^\circ$  in the Marshall apparatus, the sum of all the vertical forces acting on the head becomes

$$2 \int_{\alpha=0}^{\alpha=70^\circ} rt \, S_v \cos \alpha \, d\alpha = 2 \int_{\alpha=0}^{\alpha=70^\circ} rt \, S_n \cos \alpha \, d\alpha + 2 \int_{\alpha=0}^{\alpha=70^\circ} rt \, S_s \sin \alpha \, d\alpha \quad (3)$$

Deformation of the specimen normal to the testing head is approximately equal to  $y_0 \cos \alpha$  where  $y_0$  is the vertical deformation of the specimen at the center. If stress is taken to be proportional to deformation, then the normal stress at any point on the testing head is  $S_n = S_0 \cos \alpha$ , in which  $S_0$  is the vertical stress at the center of the test head. Substituting this in Eq. 3, the total vertical reaction force  $R$  in the Marshall test is given by the value of the integral.

$$2 \int_{\alpha=0}^{\alpha=70^\circ} rt \, S_v \cos \alpha \, d\alpha = R = 2 \int_{\alpha=0}^{\alpha=70^\circ} rt \, S_0 \cos^2 \alpha \, d\alpha + 2 \int_{\alpha=0}^{\alpha=70^\circ} rt \, S_s \sin \alpha \, d\alpha \quad (4)$$

If the tangential or shearing stresses are developed by friction alone,

$$S_s = f \, S_n = f \, S_0 \cos \alpha$$

The expression for vertical reaction then becomes

$$R = 2 \, rt \int_{\alpha=0}^{\alpha=70^\circ} (S_0 \cos^2 \alpha + S_0 \sin \alpha \cos \alpha) \, d\alpha \quad (5)$$

Evaluation of the integral produces

$$R = (1.54 + 0.88 f) \, rt \, S_0 \quad (6)$$

For values of the coefficient of friction in the range of 0.4 to 0.6, Eq. 6 is approximately equal to:  $R = 2 \, rt \, S_0$

For the Marshall test,  $r = 2$  in.,  $t = 2.5$  in., so using English units,

$$R = 10 \, S_0 \quad (7)$$

Coefficients of static friction of 0.4 to 0.5 have been measured in the laboratory and thus Eq. 7 seems a fair representation of stress conditions in the Marshall test.

If, then, the Marshall test is a type of unconfined compression test, Marshall Stability should be approximately ten times the unconfined compressive stress. The investigations of McLaughlin and Goetz (8), however, have demonstrated that Marshall Stabilities are much greater than this amount. Thus, the Marshall test resembles a compression test performed on a specimen of low height to diameter ratio in which the




Figure 1. Shear planes developed in Marshall Test specimen.

failure planes intersect the testing head. Such an arrangement is represented by the drawing in Figure 3. This shows the middle portion of a specimen with a height-diameter ratio of approximately two to one being confined by the excess material around it. Such material would have the effect of exerting a lateral confining pressure on the center section.

The strength of a confined specimen according to the Mohr theory is given by:

$$S_0 = 2c\sqrt{K} + LK \quad (8)$$

in which

$$K = \frac{(1 + \sin \phi)}{(1 - \sin \phi)}$$

$\phi$  = angle of internal friction

$c$  = cohesion

$L$  = confining pressure

Thus, strength is composed of elements involving the unconfined compressive strength ( $2c\sqrt{K}$ ) plus a confining effect ( $LK$ ) that depends upon the angle of internal friction of the material. Confining pressure "L" in the above equation must be considered to be an "effective" pressure rather than a uniformly applied pressure as employed in a rational triaxial test. McLeod (8) has asserted that the effective lateral support which becomes active in the Marshall test is not constant but depends upon:

1. Coefficient of friction between specimen and test head.
2. Maximum vertical load applied.
3. Angle of internal friction of the mix.
4. Shearing resistance of the material.

He has made use of these premises in a theory of pavement design (10) in which the importance of friction in the development of pavement stability is pointed out.

A review of the work by McLaughlin and Goetz reveals that the effective confining pressures as calculated on the basis of their Marshall Stability values are directly related to the applied vertical load in the test. Confinement behaves as if developed by the friction between the testing head and specimen. A reasonable approximation of this confinement can be obtained by taking 5.5 percent of the Marshall Stability/10. Thus, a stability of 1,000 lb tends to produce a confining pressure of 5.5 psi and 2,000 lb corresponds to 11 psi. While these figures are approximate, and frictional forces are probably not constant, this method provides a reasonable approach to the establishment of a relationship between Marshall Stability and unconfined compressive stress.




Figure 2. Stress relations in Marshall Stability test.

Substituting  $L = 0.055 \frac{(\text{Stability})}{10}$  in Eq. 8 and remembering that  $S_o = \frac{\text{Stability}}{10}$ , the equation becomes:

$$\frac{\text{Stability}}{10} = 2c\sqrt{K} + 0.055 \frac{(\text{Stability})}{10} K \tag{9}$$

$$\frac{\text{Stability}}{10} = \frac{2c\sqrt{K}}{1 - 0.055 K}$$

By providing a reasonable measure of K which is a function of the angle of internal friction, it is possible to calculate the unconfined compressive stress from Marshall Stability results. The Purdue investigations (8) also showed that reasonably good correlation existed between the "flow" value in the Marshall test and actual measured values of the angle of internal friction. Although flow cannot be considered a direct measure of friction, the properties that affect friction appear to affect flow in a similar manner and thus the flow value offers a convenient, if inexact, means of estimating the friction angle. A rough estimate of internal friction can be obtained from:

$$\text{Angle of internal friction (degrees)} = 60 - \text{Flow} \tag{10}$$

Evaluation of K on this basis permits the unconfined compressive stress to be estimated from Marshall results. A convenient expression for estimating K directly from the flow value is given by:

$$K = 3.5 + \frac{(30 - \text{Flow})^2}{10}$$

Similarly, the quantity  $(1-0.055 K)$  in Eq. 9 can be estimated from:

$$(1-0.055 K) = 0.1 \left[ 8 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{(30 - \text{Flow})^2}{10} \right]$$




Figure 3. Confinement provided by specimen of low height-diameter ratio.




Figure 4. Correlation of measured unconfined compressive stress with unconfined compressive stress estimated from Marshall Stability test.




Figure 5. Bearing capacity of loaded pavement.

Thus, the approximate unconfined compressive strength corresponding to a given Marshall Stability is:

$$2c\sqrt{K} = \frac{\text{Stability}}{100} \left[ 8 - \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{30 - \text{Flow}}{10} \right)^2 \right]$$

When estimated values of the unconfined compressive stress are compared with the values measured by McLaughlin and Goetz, the correlation shown in Figure 4 is produced. The correlation ratio of 0.96 between the two quantities indicates that the approximations made in this development are reasonable and that the Marshall Stability test can be described as a test involving differential confinement.

It is not intended to imply that the Marshall test is a form of triaxial test or should be substituted for triaxial testing. It does appear that, by proper interpretation, the Marshall results can be used to estimate useful information of a fundamental type.

#### APPLICATION OF MARSHALL STABILITY RESULTS TO PAVEMENT DESIGN

Eq. 8 indicates that the load-carrying ability of the critical element at the edge of a uniformly loaded area (Figure 5) in a pavement consists of the unconfined compressive strength ( $2c\sqrt{K}$ ) plus an allowance for the confinement provided by the surrounding material ( $LK$ ). Analysis by McLeod (10, 11) has previously disclosed that frictional forces between load and pavement tend to increase the strength of the material at interior positions under the load.

In the previous section it was demonstrated that a reasonable estimate of unconfined compressive strength can be obtained from the Marshall Stability test. This strength, however, corresponds to a stress at which large deformations take place. Such great stresses are not generally acceptable for design purposes because they are accompanied by permanent deformations much larger than could be tolerated in any practical case. In order to limit plastic deformation it is necessary to select a portion of the unconfined strength that can be considered to be allowable for design purposes. Because surface deformation is the factor governing performance, allowable design stress should be based on the stress at equal deformations. Nijboer (12) has found that strains up to about one percent are essentially elastic and he has proposed this as a basis for calculation of allowable stress. One percent strain in the Marshall test occurs at a flow value of four and stresses calculated on this basis were accepted for design purposes.

Confinement provided by the material surrounding the loaded area must also be




Figure 6. Bearing capacity curves based on Marshall Stability test.




Figure 7. Comparison of bearing capacity curves with curves calculated from Smith Triaxial Design Method.




Figure 8. Comparison of bearing capacity curves with curves calculated from McLeod Method. (Confinement equals unconfined compressive strength).

estimated. McLeod (10) has suggested that the effective confining pressure can be taken equal to the unconfined compressive strength. Field experience has indicated, however, that this is too generous. Lateral pressures must be activated by lateral strain. For materials that do not change volume, lateral strain is equal to one-half the vertical strain and therefore it might be expected that 50 percent of the unconfined design stress represents the lateral confining pressure more reasonably. Such an allowance is similar to that made in the development of curves for preventing over-stress at a point based on the theory of elasticity for Poisson's ratio = 0.5. These principles were employed by Smith (13) in the preparation of design curves for the closed-system triaxial test.

Combining the estimates of allowable design stress and lateral confinement it is possible to substitute in Eq. 8 to provide an expression for the bearing capacity of a mix in terms of Marshall Stability results.

$$S_o = 2c\sqrt{K} + LK \tag{8}$$

Since unconfined compressive strength =  $2c K$  and confining pressure =  $L = \frac{1}{2}$  (Unconfined compressive strength) then  $S_o = 2c\sqrt{K} + K/2$

allowable stress =  $S_o \times 4/\text{Flow} = (4/\text{Flow}) 2c\sqrt{K} (1 + K/2)$  substituting the estimate of  $2c\sqrt{K}$  from Eq. 9  $2c\sqrt{K} = \frac{\text{Stability}}{10} (1 - 0.055 K)$  this produces:

$$\text{Bearing capacity (psi)} = \frac{1}{5} (\text{Stability}/\text{Flow}) (1 - 0.0055 K) (K + 2) \tag{11}$$

Eq. 11 is the basis for the series of design curves in Figure 6, which show the Marshall Stability requirements corresponding to different intensities of uniform loading. Unit load figures on the curves are roughly equivalent to tire pressures of vehicles using the pavement. The 100 psi curve is considered to represent the maximum present intensity of highway loading.

Eq. 11 can be simplified to the form:

$$\text{Bearing capacity} = \frac{\text{Stability}}{\text{Flow}} \frac{(120 - \text{Flow})}{100} \tag{12}$$





Figure 9. Stabilities of pavements with satisfactory resistance to plastic deformation.

Figure 10. Stabilities of pavements showing excessive plastic deformation.

This is not an exact representation of Eq. 11 but it is sufficiently accurate for purposes of estimation.

Both equations emphasize that Marshall Stability alone is not an adequate measure of a pavement's ability to resist displacement. Stability and flow must be considered jointly.

Interesting comparisons of the above equation with the principles developed by Smith (13) and McLeod (10) are shown in Figures 7 and 8. These curves were calculated from the relationship between Marshall Stability and unconfined compressive strength. The Smith triaxial curves in Figure 7 are somewhat more conservative than those of Eq. 12, but both show similar trends. Differences between them, other than theoretical differences, might be caused by an imperfect relation between flow value and angle of internal friction. In the McLeod method, the allowance for confining pressures equal to unconfined compressive strength causes a substantial reduction in stability requirements. Stabilities calculated by each method illustrate these differences.

Marshall Stability (lb) for 100 psi Bearing Capacity  
Flow Value

	<u>10</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>20</u>
McLeod Method	500	810	1195
Marshall Bearing Capacity	910	1430	2000
Smith Triaxial Method	1175	1785	2490

**AGREEMENT OF THEORY WITH PERFORMANCE**

A series of small-scale asphaltic concrete field test sections provided an excellent opportunity to investigate the validity of the bearing capacity equations developed above. These sections were constructed as part of a refinery entrance road at Wood River, Illinois, and were exposed to a large amount of truck traffic at temperatures that frequently reached 140 deg F in hot summer weather. During three and one-half years' service, performance of the sections was carefully observed for evidence of plastic displacement and core samples were taken periodically for measurements of the properties of the bituminous carpet.

Stabilities of pavements showing satisfactory performance during the test period are shown in Figure 9. These surfaces were judged to show no characteristics of plastic distress such as rutting, shoving, or flushing. Some were brittle or susceptible to raveling but had satisfactory resistance to plastic displacement at high temperatures



TABLE 1

## PERFORMANCE AND CALCULATED BEARING CAPACITIES FOR WOOD RIVER ROAD TEST SECTIONS

No	Asphalt Pen Grade	Asphalt Content (% by weight)	Grading <sup>1</sup>	Marshall <sup>2</sup> Stab (lb)	Flow (0.01 in)	Calc <sup>3</sup> Bearing Capacity (psi)	Performance (Resistance to Plastic Displacement)
2A-16B	85/100	4	Dense	2370	6	450	Satisfactory
2A-17B	"	3 5	Open	2210	6	420	"
2A-18A	"	"	"	2500	7	404	"
2B-20A	"	"	"	3730	11	370	"
2A-10B	"	4	Dense	2120	6	403	"
2A-20A	"	3 5	Open	2105	6	400	"
2A-7B	"	4	Dense	2410	7	390	"
2B-18A	"	3 5	Open	4130	12	371	"
2B-10A	120/150	4	Dense	2970	9	366	"
2B-16A	85/100	3 5	Open	3975	12	357	"
2A-1A	40/50	4	Dense	2285	7	327	"
3A-3A	85/100	3 5	Open	3580	11	355	"
2A-19A	"	"	"	2190	7	354	"
3A-6B	"	"	"	3690	12	332	"
3A-4B	"	4	Dense	4005	13	329	"
3A-17A	"	3 5	Open	2385	8	334	"
2B-10B	"	4	Dense	2685	9	330	"
3A-1B	"	"	"	2260	8	317	"
3A-4A	"	"	"	2815	10	309	"
2B-4A	60/70	"	"	3380	12	304	"
2A-4B	85/100	"	"	2185	8	306	"
2A-16A	"	3 5	Open	2185	8	303	"
3A-5B	"	5	Dense	2810	11	279	"
2A-1B	"	4	"	1550	6	281	"
2B-13A	"	3 5	Open	2485	10	273	"
2B-7B	"	"	Dense	2700	11	268	"
3A-6A	"	3 5	Open	3055	12	275	"
2B-19A	"	3 5	"	2670	11	265	"
3A-7B	"	4	Dense	1460	6	277	"
2B-7A	"	4	"	2560	11	254	"
2A-10A	120/150	4	Dense	1870	8	262	"
2A-2A	40/50	5	"	2455	11	243	"
2A-4A	60/70	4	"	2440	11	242	"
2B-19B	85/100	3 5	Open	2380	11	236	"
3A-9B	"	"	"	1935	9	238	"
2A-14B	"	5	Dense	1705	8	238	"
3A-2B	"	"	"	2350	11	233	"
2B-1A	40/50	4	"	2695	14	219	"
3A-1A	85/100	4	"	2430	12	219	"
3A-3B	"	3 5	Open	2200	11	218	"
2A-7A	"	4	Dense	1980	10	218	"
2B-17A	"	3 5	Open	3175	16	206	"
2A-13A	"	"	"	1675	9	208	"
3A-8B	"	5	Dense	1665	9	205	"
2A-8A	"	"	"	1745	10	192	"
2B-12A	120/150	6	"	1555	9	192	Plastic
2B-14B	85/100	4	"	2040	12	183	Satisfactory
2B-8A	"	5	"	1895	12	171	"
2B-13B	"	"	"	1890	12	170	"
2A-5A	60/70	5	"	1890	12	169	"
2A-13B	85/100	4	"	1240	8	174	"
3A-5A	"	5	"	2410	15	169	"
2A-17B	"	"	"	2010	13	185	"
2A-14A	"	4 5	Open	1310	9	162	"
2B-4B	"	4	Dense	1885	13	153	"
3A-2A	"	5	"	1610	12	145	Plastic
2B-16B	"	4	"	1570	12	141	Satisfactory
2B-14A	"	4 5	Open	1995	15	140	"
2B-1B	"	4	Dense	1530	12	138	"
2B-5A	60/70	5	"	2190	17	133	"
3A-8A	85/100	"	"	1080	9	133	"
2B-11B	"	"	"	1425	12	128	"
2B-6B	"	"	"	1525	13	125	"
2B-15B	"	6	"	1685	15	118	Plastic
3A-7A	"	4	"	755	7	122	Satisfactory
2A-2B	"	5	"	1400	13	115	Plastic
2A-11B	"	"	"	940	9	116	Satisfactory
2B-2A	40/50	"	"	2290	20	114	"
2A-6B	85/100	"	"	1285	13	106	Plastic
2B-17B	"	"	"	1525	16	100	Satisfactory
2A-5B	"	"	"	1170	13	96	Plastic
2B-15A	"	5 5	Open	1465	16	95	"
2B-2B	"	5	Dense	1425	16	93	"
2A-11A	120/150	"	"	1025	13	84	"
2B-5B	85/100	"	"	1230	16	80	"
2A-9A	"	6	"	940	11	77	"
3A-9A	"	3 5	Open	725	11	72	Satisfactory
2A-15B	"	6	Dense	1060	16	69	Plastic
2A-15A	"	5 5	Open	1270	19	67	"
2B-6B	"	6	Dense	1045	23	44	"
2B-3B	"	"	"	905	23	38	"
2A-3B	"	"	"	730	20	36	"
2A-9B	"	"	"	685	20	34	"
2A-6B	"	"	"	855	24	34	"
2A-3A	40/50	"	"	920	27	32	"
2A-12A	120/150	"	"	645	21	30	"
2B-12B	85/100	"	"	605	20	30	"
2A-19B	"	"	"	975	29	30	"
2B-3A	40/50	"	"	1030	31	30	"
2B-12B	85/100	"	"	510	19	27	"
2B-6A	60/70	"	"	900	29	28	"
2B-18B	85/100	"	"	870	28	28	"
2A-6A	60/70	"	"	790	30	24	"

<sup>1</sup> Dense grading corresponds to Asphalt Institute Type IV Paving Mix. Open grading is a Type II mix.<sup>2</sup> Average of four specimens.<sup>3</sup> Bearing capacity calculated from simplified equation.  $\text{Stability/Flow} \left( \frac{120 \cdot \text{Flow}}{100} \right)$ .

(140 deg F). The curve representing a uniform load of 100 psi is considered equal to the most severe loading imposed by truck tires. This seems a fair estimation of highway loading even though it has been shown (14,15) that the rigidity of tire sidewalls causes non-uniform contact pressures.

Stabilities of all sections representing satisfactory performance except one are above the 100 psi curve indicating that theoretical bearing capacities as listed in Table 1 are greater than the loads imposed by traffic. A majority of the points representing sections with plastic distress in Figure 10 are in the area below the curve where bearing capacities are less than 100 psi. A few sections appear above the curve but the deviation is relatively small for most of them.

Bearing capacities calculated from the Marshall Stability test generally appear to be in good agreement with performance of the sections. The theory provides a reasonable method for predicting performance in the range of highway loading for pavements that are frequently exposed to temperatures near 140 deg F.

In addition to the small-scale test sections discussed above, cores have been taken from regular highway pavements which have been in service for several years. Performance of these pavements together with bearing capacities calculated from Marshall Stability tests are listed in Table 2. Although some variation exists in the type of construction and density of traffic, the agreement of theory and performance is reasonably good. All surfaces with bearing capacities above 100 psi are performing satisfactorily after ten years' service. Of the three surfaces with bearing capacities less than 100 psi, two show some evidence of plastic displacement. The behavior of these highways does not provide a rigorous test of the method for calculating bearing capacities but is in agreement with the predictions of the method.

Whether the curves can be successfully applied to the design of pavements that must support loads heavier than present highway loading has not been investigated directly. Equipment developing contact pressures of 200 psi, such as is produced by the wheels of military aircraft, has not been available. A limited examination of test results from the Corps of Engineers experiment station at Vicksburg shows a fair agreement between Marshall bearing capacities and performance under high pressure aircraft tires. Theoretical bearing capacity requirements are, however, more conservative than present engineer specifications for airfield pavements. The Corps of Engineers requires a minimum stability of 1,800 lb and maximum flow of 16 for pavements presumed to support contact pressures greater than 200 psi. Bearing capacity for this stability and flow is 117 psi. At the same flow value, a stability of 3,070 lb would be required for 200 psi and 3,850 lb for 250 psi loading. At a flow value of 10, however, the 1,800-lb stability corresponds to a 198 psi capacity and 2,270 lb is equal to 250 psi. Comparison of these figures demonstrates the importance of the flow value in bearing capac-

TABLE 2  
PERFORMANCE AND CALCULATED BEARING CAPACITIES FOR HIGHWAY PAVEMENTS IN SERVICE

No.	Type of Construction	Traffic Density	Age (yr)	Marshall Stab (lb)	Flow (0.01 in.)	Calc Brg Capacity	Performance (Resistance to Plastic Displacement)
7	Resurfacing of PC concrete bridge deck	Very heavy	10	3020	13	257	Satisfactory
5	Resurfacing of PC concrete	Moderate	10	1820	12	168	"
3	Resurfacing of PC concrete	Light	10	1690	16	110	"
4	Resurfacing of flexible pavement	Light	10	1530	15	107	"
19	Resurfacing of PC concrete	Heavy	5	1165	14	88	Plastic
1	Resurfacing of PC concrete	Heavy	10	1700	20	85	Satisfactory
9	Resurfacing of	Heavy	10	1365	17	83	Plastic

ity calculations. It is hoped that the results of future tests will provide a basis for confirming the theoretical calculations in designs for high pressure tires.

It should be realized that bearing capacity curves for the Marshall Stability test are based on in-place properties of the material in the pavement. The ability of the Marshall test to make a satisfactory prediction of field performance will depend largely on whether or not specimens can be prepared in the laboratory that will reproduce the properties of material in the field. Thus, satisfactory design depends not only on the method of test but also on the preparation of material for testing.

### CONCLUSION

It is believed that the method described for the derivation of bearing capacities from the results of the Marshall Stability test will lead to a better understanding of the test and to a more rational use of it in the design of highways and airfields. By providing a method for interpreting the empirical Marshall data in terms of fundamental properties, it is possible to design for different load conditions. The comparison of theory with field performance indicates that the necessary approximations in the theory are conservative and reasonable.

The Marshall test is not believed to be a rational test nor should it be used as a substitute for triaxial testing. Many situations occur, however, when it is necessary to design on the basis of the Marshall test alone. In these cases, reasonable estimates of fundamental properties which can be obtained from Marshall testing can aid in good design.

### REFERENCES

1. "Mix Design Methods for Hot-Mix Asphalt Paving." The Asphalt Institute, (April 1956).
2. "Bituminous Paving Mixtures." HRB Bull. 160 (Jan. 1957).
3. "Symposium on Asphalt Paving Mixtures." HRB Res. Rpt. 7B (1949).
4. Fink, D. F., and Lettier, J. A., Proc. AAPT 20:246 (1951).
5. Endersby, V. A., and Vallerga, B. A., Proc. AAPT 21:298 (1952).
6. Van Iterson, Th. F. K., "Plasticity in Engineering." Blackie and Son Ltd., Toronto (1947).
7. Goetz, W. H., Proc. AAPT 20:200 (1951).
8. McLaughlin, J. L., and Goetz, W. H., Proc. AAPT 21:203 (1952).
9. "Triaxial Testing of Soils and Bituminous Mixtures." ASTM Spec. Tech. Pub. No. 106 (June 1950).
10. McLeod, N. W., "Application of Triaxial Testing to the Design of Bituminous Pavements." ASTM Spec. Tech. Pub. No. 106 (June 1950).
11. McLeod, N. W., Proc. AAPT 21:349 (1952).
12. Nijboer, L. W., HRB Proc. 33:185 (1954).
13. Smith, V. R., Proc. AAPT 18:63 (1949).
14. McLeod, N. W., HRB Proc. 31:121 (1952).
15. Teller, L. W., and Buchanan, J. A., Public Roads 18 (Dec. 1937).

---

---

**T**HE NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES—NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL is a private, nonprofit organization of scientists, dedicated to the furtherance of science and to its use for the general welfare. The ACADEMY itself was established in 1863 under a congressional charter signed by President Lincoln. Empowered to provide for all activities appropriate to academies of science, it was also required by its charter to act as an adviser to the federal government in scientific matters. This provision accounts for the close ties that have always existed between the ACADEMY and the government, although the ACADEMY is not a governmental agency.

The NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL was established by the ACADEMY in 1916, at the request of President Wilson, to enable scientists generally to associate their efforts with those of the limited membership of the ACADEMY in service to the nation, to society, and to science at home and abroad. Members of the NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL receive their appointments from the president of the ACADEMY. They include representatives nominated by the major scientific and technical societies, representatives of the federal government, and a number of members at large. In addition, several thousand scientists and engineers take part in the activities of the research council through membership on its various boards and committees.

Receiving funds from both public and private sources, by contribution, grant, or contract, the ACADEMY and its RESEARCH COUNCIL thus work to stimulate research and its applications, to survey the broad possibilities of science, to promote effective utilization of the scientific and technical resources of the country, to serve the government, and to further the general interests of science.

The HIGHWAY RESEARCH BOARD was organized November 11, 1920, as an agency of the Division of Engineering and Industrial Research, one of the eight functional divisions of the NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL. The BOARD is a cooperative organization of the highway technologists of America operating under the auspices of the ACADEMY—COUNCIL and with the support of the several highway departments, the Bureau of Public Roads, and many other organizations interested in the development of highway transportation. The purposes of the BOARD are to encourage research and to provide a national clearinghouse and correlation service for research activities and information on highway administration and technology.

---

---