An Experimental Continuously-Reinforced Concrete Pavement in Michigan

GENE R. CUDNEY, Physical Research Engineer, Michigan State Highway Department

This paper summarizes the construction, instrumentation, observations, and measurements associated with an experimental continuously-reinforced concrete pavement located on Interstate Highway 96, now designated US 16, near Portland, Michigan.

The experimental project includes two 24-ft roadways each containing two 12-ft lanes. Two types of reinforcing steel, deformed bar mat and welded wire mesh, each providing a steel ratio of approximately 0.6 percent, were used in the continuously-reinforced, 8-in. uniform pavement sections. The eastbound roadway is composed of a 2-mi section of continuous wire mesh, 0.7 mi of standard 9-in. uniform pavement with contraction joints spaced at 99-ft intervals, and a 2-mi section of continuous bar mat. The westbound roadway contains approximately 4 mi of continuously-reinforced pavement, 2 mi each of bar mat and wire mesh. Relief sections of 9-in. uniform pavement 493 ft long, consisting of eleven 1-in. expansion joints, were placed at the ends of the continuously-reinforced sections.

Construction methods and equipment are described, including construction joints in the continuously-reinforced sections. Various characteristics associated with the construction phase of the project are discussed including subgrade soil classification, concrete and air temperatures, concrete strength, and a record of construction progress.

Studies involved in comparing the performance of various project sections include longitudinal displacements of the ends, end regions and center of the continuously-reinforced sections; relative displacements of joints and selected cracks; crack patterns and formation; surface roughness; effects of traffic; performance of relief sections; and load-deflection behavior. In addition, one section each of bar met, wire mesh, and standard mesh reinforcement was instrumented with strain gages for determination of steel stress variation.

●THIS REPORT outlines the location and description, scope of study, general construction aspects, and the methods of instrumentation and measurements of an experimental continuously-reinforced concrete pavement. No attempt is made here to present performance data recorded so far or to present the results of such data.

The discussion consists of three parts: the first includes the description, location, and scope of the project. The second presents the general construction features. The third describes the methods of instrumentation and measurements, and the course of study to be carried out in the future. A selective bibliography lists literature on the subject of continuously-reinforced concrete pavement. Appendices include: "Recommendations on Minimum Requirements for Tests and Observations" prepared by the Highway Research Board Subcommittee on Continuously-Reinforced Concrete Pavements; instrumentation and installation details for the instrumented steel reinforcement; and construction data and materials characteristics, including steel reinforcement properties, air temperature variation, concrete strength, construction progress data, and subgrade soil classification.

The organization of the program of observations and tests on this project coincides in general with the HRB "Recommendations" (App. A). Two other subjects included as additional features of the research project are the strain gage instrumentation of the steel reinforcement for strain analysis, and the load-deflection study of both the continuous and standard pavement sections.

LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION

After study and inspection of certain existing continuously-reinforced pavements, the Michigan State Highway Department authorized construction of an experimental pavement of this type in 1957, with the primary purpose of studying durability, construction efficienty, and costs, as compared to current standard pavement construction practice. The detailed design and layout of the pavement were made by the Bridge and Road Design Division. Instrumentation of the project during construction, and the necessary research and evaluation studies after construction, became the responsibility of the Research Laboratory Division.

With the approval of the Bureau of Public Roads, the experimental pavement was incorporated into the plans and specifications of Construction Project 34044, C7RN, located on the new Interstate Highway 96 (US 16 Relocation) between M 66 and Portland Road in Ionia County (Fig. 1).

The experimental pavement consists of both the eastbound and westbound roadways, each containing two 12-ft lanes, between Stations 838+00 and 1106+83.3 on the eastbound roadway, and Stations 861+96 and 1080+43.3 on the westbound. Both roadways are symmetrical with respect to grade and alignment, with a maximum grade of 0.95 percent. The pavement is straight except for a 1-deg, 30-min curve, 1, 616 ft long, between Stations 1071+40 and 1087+56, and a similar curve beginning at Station 1100+40.03in which the final 642 ft of the eastbound roadway is located. All continuously-reinforced



sections are 8-in. uniform, and all standard reinforced sections are 9-in. uniform thickness.

The concrete mix was designed by the mortar voids method of proportioning, with a constant cement content of $5\frac{1}{2}$ sacks per cu yd. Air entrainment of the concrete was provided by the addition of Darex AEA to the mix. The concrete had an average air content of 5.4 percent and an average slump of 2 in.

The entire pavement was placed on a 12-in. granular subbase overlying in general a Type A-4 clay subgrade. A typical road cross-section is shown in Figure 2.

The experimental pavement is composed of four distinct parts: continuously-reinforced sections with deformed bar mat, continuously-reinforced sections with welded wire mesh, a standard section with contraction joints spaced at 99 ft, and the relief sections at the ends of the continuously-reinforced portions. Drawings of the reinforcement and of a typical relief section are shown in Figures 3 and 4. The general plan and instrumentation layout of the entire test project is shown in Figure 5.

Eastbound Roadway

1. 10,550 ft of 8-in. uniform pavement continuously reinforced with wire mesh.

2. 3,804 ft of 9-in. uniform standard reinforced pavement with contraction joints at 99-ft intervals.

3. 10,550 ft of 8-in. uniform pavement continuously reinforced with bar mat.

4. Four relief sections each 493 ft long, of 9-in. uniform standard reinforced pavement.

Westbound Roadway

1. 10,331 ft of 8-in. uniform pavement continuously reinforced with wire mesh.

2. 10,530 ft of 8-in. uniform pavement continuously reinforced with bar mat.

3. Two relief sections each 493 ft long, of 9-in. uniform standard reinforced pavement.

Reinforcing Steel in Continuous Sections

<u>Bar Mat.</u>—Each half-mat is 6 ft 2 in. wide and 16 ft long, consisting of 11 No. 5 deformed bars in the longitudinal direction, and 7 No. 3 bars in the transverse direction, giving a steel percentage of 0.586.

Welded Wire Mesh. -Each wire mesh section is 11 ft 6 in. wide and 12 ft long, con-



Figure 2. Typical road cross-section.



CONTINUOUS REINFORCED CONCRETE PAVEMENT MESH REINFORCEMENT FOR 8" SLAB (ASSEMBLED MAT, 303 00 LB PER 12" LANE -10 76 LB PER 50 YD)





Figure 4. Typical expansion joint assembly and relief section.



sisting of 47 No. 5/0's gage wires in the longitudinal direction, and 12 No. 1 gage wires transversely, giving a steel percentage of 0.595.

Relief Sections

The six relief sections are each 493 ft long, of 9-in. uniform standard reinforced pavement, with eleven 1-in. expansion joints spaced alternately at 56 ft 3 in. and 42 ft 4 in. Load transfer dowel bars, $1\frac{1}{4}$ in. in idameter by 18 in. long, spaced at 12 in. intervals, were clad with corrosion resistant alloy sleeves to prolong service life and to provide more freedom of movement for the expansion joints in the relief sections. Four of the six relief sections contained one of three types of stainless steel-clad bars, Types 304, 316, or 430, and the remaining two relief sections contained monel-clad dowel bars. The minimum sleeve thickness for the Type 430 stainless steel-clad bars was 0.015 in., while the Types 304 and 316 stainless steel and the monel-clad bars had a minimum sleeve thickness of 0.010 in. All the bars were coated with a cutback asphalt and inserted in standard 1-in. expansion joint assemblies prior to installation in the pavement.

In addition, eight consecutive contraction joints in a section of 9-in. uniform standard pavement outside the limits of the continuously-reinforced test pavement were composed of standard contraction joint assemblies, containing $1\frac{1}{4}$ - by 18-in. nickel coated hotrolled steel bars. Performance of this section, along with that of the 1-in. expansion joints in the six relief sections, will be studied as part of the Department's research project on dowel bar corrosion.

Scope of Study

In order to properly evaluate and compare the performance of the various sections of the project, the following factors are being studied:

1. Magnitude and variation of absolute longitudinal displacement of the ends of the continuously-reinforced sections.

2. Magnitude and variation of longitudinal displacements of the center and end regions of the continuously-reinforced sections.

3. Magnitude and variation of the relative longitudinal displacements of joints and cracks in both the standard and continuous sections.

4. Magnitude and variation of crack openings in various regions of the continuous sections.

5. Magnitude and variation of stresses in the bar mat, wire mesh, and standard reinforcement.

6. Initial surface roughness and roughness changes with time and traffic,

7. Static and dynamic load-deflection characteristics at various points in the standard and continuous sections.

8. Function of relief sections in relation to slab performance.

9. Effect of traffic on pavement performance in all sections.

To correlate these items with slab performance, the following studies are included:

- 1. Soil types and characteristics of the subbase and subgrade.
- 2. Physical properties of the reinforcing steel.
- 3. Physical properties of the concrete.
- 4. Steel stresses in relation to slab temperature variation.

Throughout the entire project, various measuring devices and equipment are being used:

1. SR-4 electrical resistance wire strain gages and an SR-4 static strain indicator for determining steel reinforcement strains.

2. Thermocouples for slab temperature determination.

3. Reference monuments and a displacement device for determining the movements of the ends of continuous pavement sections.

4. Reference plugs for measurement of relative joint and crack displacement.

5. Vernier calipers and an invar tape for measurement of relative joint displacement and sectional slab displacement.

 $6. \$ Whittemore mechanical strain gage and a scale microscope for measurement of crack openings.

PAVEMENT CONSTRUCTION

Construction of the experimental pavement began September 22, 1958, and paving operations were completed October 20, 1958. Double lane construction was employed, whereby the entire 24-ft width of pavement was placed at one time. No. 4 deformed bars, 30 in. long, were spaced at 40 in. on all sections of the test pavement as transverse tie bars between the two 12-ft lanes, with the longitudinal centerline joint sawed and sealed at a later time. All the contraction joints in the standard section contained load transfer assemblies consisting of $1\frac{1}{4}$ -in. diameter bars 18 in. long, spaced at 12 in. centers.

The steel reinforcement was placed 3 in. below the surface in the 8-in. uniform continuous sections, as well as in the 9-in. uniform standard section. The steel in the continuous bar mat sections was lapped 13 in., with the ends of the longitudinal bars placed against the last transverse bar of the preceding mat. The continuous mesh was lapped 12 in., so that the first transverse wire of the mesh being laid rested behind the last transverse wire of the preceding mesh section. The laps in both the bar mat and wire mesh reinforcement are shown in Figures 6 and 7.

The continuous mesh reinforcement was transported to the construction area on trucks or flatbed trailers, and placed in the pavement from the trucks as required. The bar mat reinforcement was spread out in piles approximately 75 ft apart along the shoulder slopes in advance of construction, where it would be readily available as need-ed.

In constructing the pavement slab, the contractor used two Koehring 34-E dual drum mixers, a Blaw-Knox spreader, two Jaeger-Lakewood finishing machines, and a Heltzel Flexplane. The maximum pavement

lengths attained in a day's pour were 3, 100 ft in the continuous mesh sections and 3, 500 ft in the bar mat sections. The average lengths poured in a day were 2, 500 ft for the continuous mesh and 3, 086 ft in the bar mat.

Sequence of Operations

The sequence of construction operations for both the standard and continuously-reinforced sections (Figs. 8-17) was as follows:

1. Placing concrete on subbase from first mixer.



Figure 6. Lep in continuous bar mat reinforcement.



Figure 7. Lap in continuous wire mesh reinforcement.



Figure 8. Spreading and striking off concrete with Blaw-Knox spreader.



Figure 9. Placing wire mesh reinforcement in continuous section.



Figure 10. Placing bar mat reinforcement in continuous section.



Figure 11. Placing final layer of concrete on bar mat reinforcement.



Figure 12. Spreading concrete with Jaeger-Lakewood finishing machine in continuous wire mesh section.



Figure 13. Initial finishing of concrete surface with Jaeger-Lakewood finishing machine.

2. Spreading and striking off concrete 3 in. below finished surface with Blaw-Knox spreader.

- 3. Placing steel reinforcement.
- 4. Placing transverse tie bars.
- 5. Placing final layer of concrete from second mixer.



Figure 14. Final machine finishing with Heltzel Flexplane.



Figure 15. Final hand finishing of concrete surface.



Figure 16. Applying burlap drag finish to concrete surface.

6. Spreading and screeding concrete with Jaeger-Lakewood finishing machine.

7. Initial finishing of concrete surface with second Jaeger-Lakewood machine.

- 8. Final machine finishing of concrete surface with Heltzel Flexplane.
- 9. Final hand finishing of concrete surface.
- 10. Applying burlap drag finish to concrete surface.
- 11. Applying white membrane curing compound.



Figure 17. Applying white membrane curing compound.

Construction Joints

Each construction joint in the continuously-reinforced sections consisted of a header formed with two sets of 12-ft long 4 by 4's with a $\frac{1}{4}$ - by $3^{\frac{5}{6}}$ -in. wooden strip nailed to each piece. The reinforcement was carried through the joint for a minimum distance of 5 ft, with the wire mesh sandwiched between the two sets of 4 by 4's, and the bar mat extended through holes drilled at the center of the 4 by 4's to accommodate the individual bars. In addition to the pavement reinforcement through the joint, $1^{\frac{1}{4}}$ -in. diameter by 18-in. steel dowel bars spaced at 12 in. were placed in holes drilled through the centers of the adjoining 4 by 4's. The dowels were wired to the reinforcement to maintain their proper position. The joint header is shown in Figure 18.

The portion of the reinforcement extending through the joint was supported on boards which kept the steel level and made it easier to align the dowel bars. The concrete was hand vibrated throughout the width of the joint and about 5 ft back along the side forms. Burlap was placed over the extended reinforcement to catch excess concrete spilling over the header during finishing operations. Construction is shown in Figures 19 through 24.

Figure 19. Continuous wire mesh reinforcement placed over lower set of 4 by 4's.

The first section of reinforcement placed the following morning was single lapped, with the exception of two joints in the wire mesh reinforcement in which the steel was double lapped. In addition, two joints in the bar mat and two in the wire mesh sections contained dowel bars previously coated with an RC-1 cutback asphalt. The remaining joints contained uncoated bars.

INSTRUMENTATION AND MEASUREMENTS

This discussion pertains to the methods of instrumentation and observations carried out to determine and evaluate the various factors involved in the study.

Figure 20. Wiring dowel bars to wire mesh reinforcement.

Figure 21. Continuous wire mesh reinforcement in place through joint header.

Figure 22. Continuous bar mat reinforcement in place through joint header.

Figure 24. Typical joint in wire mesh reinforcement after concrete set.

Figure 23. Burlap covering on extended bar mat reinforcement.

It is divided into four phases, encompassing preparations prior to construction, observations and instrumentation during construction, measurements and instrumentation after construction, and finally, the course of study to be pursued in the future. The description of each phase includes the measurements, observations, and instrumentation involved.

Preparations for Construction

Soil Characteristics. —To correlate soil types and characteristics with pavement performance, soil samples were obtained from the finished subgrade at intervals not exceeding 1,000 ft, and where soil changed type throughout both roadways. A subgrade soil classification chart based on the AAS-HO classification system is shown in Figure 49 (App. C). In addition, density tests

were made on samples taken from the granular subbase at intervals of approximately 400 ft. The subbase material, designated as Porous Material Grade A, was composed of sand and gravel conforming to the following requirements:

Sieve Size	Percent Passing
1 in.	100
$2\frac{1}{2}$ in.	60 to 100
No. 100	0 to 30
Loss by washing	0 to 5

The average dry density of the subbase, as determined by the Michigan Cone Test, was 118 pcf.

Absolute Slab Displacement. —To determine the absolute displacement of the ends of the continuous pavement sections, a device was constructed consisting essentially of three movable arms forming a right triangle, with two 0.001-in. Federal dials fixed to two of the arms (Fig. 25). This instrument, when attached to a fixed base and leveled, provides a means of measuring both the longitudinal and lateral displacement of a point on the concrete surface. In conjunction with this instrument, a steel reference bar was made to determine temperature deformations of the device itself, and also to check on the initial settings of the dial gages. Seven reinforced concrete monuments, $6^{3}/_{16}$ in. in diameter and 5 ft long, were constructed to provide fixed points for measurements of absolute slab movement. The top of each monument contains a brass plate, with brass bushings to accommodate the base of the pavement displaceometer.

Figure 25. Assembly drawing of pavement displaceometer.

<u>Gage Plugs.</u>—All the gage plugs used in conjunction with measurement of joint and slab displacements, and crack opening variations, were formed from $\frac{1}{4}$ -in. diameter stainless steel countersunk head rivets, with appropriately machined conical holes or scratched cross hairs in the rivet heads.

<u>Physical Properties of the Reinforcing Steel.</u> — Five specimens each of the continuous wire mesh, bar mat, and standard mesh reinforcements were sampled and subjected to tensile tests to determine yield stress, ultimate stress, and percentage elongation characteristics. Physical properties of the three types of steel reinforcement are shown in Figure 50 (App. C).

Instrumented Reinforcing Steel. -- Type A-12 SR-4 strain gages were placed on the three types of steel reinforcement as follows:

1. Bar Mat. Gages placed on five longitudinal bars, so that when a complete mat was in place in the 12-ft traffic lane, the fourth and seventh bars from each lane edge, and the eleventh bar from the outside lane edge were instrumented.

2. Continuous Wire Mesh. Gages placed on five longitudinal wires, so that when a complete mesh section was in place in the 12-ft traffic lane, the fifth and fourteenth wires from each lane edge, and the twenty-third wire from the outside lane edge were instrumented.

3. Standard Wire Mesh. Gages placed on five longitudinal wires in same positions as for bar mat.

A detailed description of the instrumentation and installation for the instrumented steel reinforcement may be found in Appendix B, and placement of the strain gages is shown in Figure 26.

Induced Cracks at Instrumented Steel Locations. —To insure formation of a crack at each of the instrumented steel locations, three units were constructed, each formed of two 12-ft lengths of No. 28 gage corrugated steel, 3 in. high, welded to a piece of No. 20 gage sheet steel. This corrugated crack former is shown in Figure 26.

Operations During Construction

The operations described here are shown in Figures 27 through 40.

Air Temperature. —A record of daily air temperature throughout the construction period was obtained by means of a Honeywell automatic temperature recorder. Table 1 (App. C) gives the high, mean, and low temperatures for each 24-hr day throughout the construction period, plus an additional 11 days after the experimental pavement was completed.

Concrete Temperature and Steel Depth. – The mid-depth concrete temperature and steel depth were measured at two points in each lane at intervals of 200 ft throughout the entire test pavement. These measurements were taken just before the final hand finishing operation.

<u>Concrete Properties.</u>—One test cylinder and one beam for modulus of rupture tests were taken at intervals of approximately 600 ft throughout the test pavement. One-half these samples were tested at 7 days and one-half at 28 days. Other pertinent concrete characteristics were determined and recorded in the daily construction reports. Modulus of rupture and compressive strength values, as well as daily construction progress and air temperature during construction, are given in Table 2 (App. C).

<u>Construction Conditions.</u>—All events pertinent to construction and the possible effects on pavement performance were recorded each day throughout the construction period.

<u>Placing Plugs for Relative Joint Movement.</u> —A set of gage plugs was placed at each joint in the six relief sections, at all construction joints in the continuously-reinforced sections, and at ten consecutive contraction joints in the standard pavement section. All plugs were placed in the concrete just after the final hand finishing operation, 4 in. each side of the joint centerline and 12 in. from the pavement edge in the traffic lane.

<u>Placing Plugs for Absolute Slab Movement.</u> —One gage plug was placed in the concrete after the final hand finishing operation, 4 in. from the ends of the continuouslyreinforced sections and 12 in. from the pavement edge in the passing lane. Similarly, a gage plug was installed 4 in. from the beginning joint of the ten consecutive contraction joints in the standard section.

Figure 26. Details of strain gage placement and corrugated crack former.

Figure 27. Taking slab temperature and steel depth measurements.

Placing Plugs for Sectional Slab Displacement. —A set of seven plugs, be-

Figure 28. Taking beam samples for modulus of rupture tests.

ginning at the ends of the continuously-reinforced sections and spaced 99 ft apart for 693 ft, were placed 12 in. from the pavement edge in the passing lane. In addition, a set of five plugs, similarly spaced, were placed in the center 495-ft region of a day's pour in each of the bar mat and wire mesh continuous sections.

Placing Instrumented Steel. — The instrumented continuous reinforcement was placed in the traffic lane, approximately in the center region of a day's pour. The instrumented standard mesh was placed in the traffic lane halfway between contraction joints. In

Figure 29. Setting plugs for differential slab displacements.

Figure 31. Instrumented bar mat reinforcement in place.

Figure 30. Finishing concrete surface after setting plugs.

Figure 32. Instrumented wire mesh reinforcement in place.

Figure 33. Placing plastic tube containing thermocouples in subbase.

Figure 34. Instrumented wire mesh reinforcement with corrugated crack former and thermocouples.

Figure 35. Instrumented standard mesh reinforcement with corrugated crack former.

Figure 36. Spreading concrete from bucket behind instrumented standard mesh reinforcement.

Figure 37. Placing concrete around corrugated crack former in heavy mesh reinforcement.

Figure 38. Pushing concrete into instrumented standard mesh reinforcement.

Figure 39. Vibrating concrete adjacent to instrumented standard mesh reinforcement.

all cases, the reinforcement (including the passing lane) was supported on wire chairs in advance of construction. Each wire or bar was taped for the same length as those with attached SR-4 strain gages. to maintain the same bonding characteristics across the 24-ft pavement. Concrete was poured and spread up to the instrumented section, which was then bypassed. Next, regular reinforcement was laid, working backward from the instrumented steel for approximately 50 ft, to insure a proper lap in the immediate vicinity of the instrumented reinforcement. The concrete was carefully placed over the instrumented reinforcement to prevent any gage damage. The concrete area adjacent to the trans-

verse gage line of the reinforcement was then vibrated across the full pavement width. Placing Thermocouples. —Two sets of three thermocouples each were placed at each

Figure 40. Wiring strain gage and thermocouple leads at junction box.

of the three instrumented steel locations previously described. Each set was placed 12 in. from the corrugated crack former and 18 in. from each edge of the traffic lane. The thermocouples were placed in a plastic tube so that one was 1 in. from the slab bottom, one at mid-depth, and one 1 in. from the top surface.

Operations After Construction

Operations during the months immediately following construction are shown in Figures 41 through 48.

Joint and Slab Measurements. —Initial readings were obtained on all expansion joints in the relief sections, all construction joints in the continuous sections, and the ten construction joints in the standard section just after initial set of the concrete, with a Starrett 0.001-in. vernier caliper.

The concrete monuments were set in the shoulder 5 in. from the pavement edge at the previously described seven locations, within two days after the concrete slab had been poured. Initial measurements were made at this time with the pavement

displaceometer.

Incremental displacements of the six end regions and two central areas in the continuous sections were measured with a 100-ft invar tape coupled with the vernier calipers, the day after each section had been poured. In taking these measurements, a

Figure 41. Measuring relative joint displacement in relief section with vernier calipers.

Figure 42. Setting monument for absolute slab displacement.

Figure 43. Measuring absolute slab displacement with pavement displaceometer.

Figure 44. Measuring sectional slab displacement with vernier calipers and invar tape.

Figure 45. Plugs set in epoxy resin for crack opening measurements.

Figure 46. Measuring surface crack opening with graduated scale microscope.

Figure 47. Measuring crack opening with Whittemore strain gage.

thin plastic plate with a conical hole and etched cross hairs is held on the mark of the invar tape. One end of the caliper fits

into the gage plug, and the other sits in the plastic plate to obtain the reading.

<u>Crack Survey.</u> —A continuous accumulative crack survey was conducted on the entire test pavement to determine the crack pattern, so that each day's pour was surveyed daily for the first five days and then on approximately the eighth, twelfth, and sixteenth days thereafter.

<u>Crack Measurements.</u>—Eight sets of four cracks each were selected in the following regions of continuous reinforcement:

1. Near a construction joint in each of the continuous mesh and bar mat sections.

2. Two sets each approximately 500 ft from the ends of the bar mat and continuous wire mesh sections.

Figure 48. MSHD roughometer truck.

3. One set in the center section of a day's pour in each of the bar mat and continuous mesh sections.

The first cracks appearing in these areas were selected and two gage plugs installed 5 in. each side of each crack, 12 in. from the pavement edge in the traffic lane. The plugs were set in Armstrong Type A-1 cement, and the initial reading taken about an hour later with a Whittemore 10-in. length mechanical strain gage. The average surface width of the crack across the gage line was also measured using a scale microscope graduated to 0.004 in. This reading was applied as a correction to the initial Whittemore measurement. In addition, plugs were set and measurements taken in the same manner at the instrumented steel locations as soon as the cracks appeared.

Strain and Temperature. —All strains and slab temperatures at the three instrumented steel sections were recorded daily until the induced cracks formed, then weekly until January 15, 1959. In addition, strain and temperature measurements were obtained for a 24-hr period after each induced crack formed.

Surface Roughness. —The initial surface roughness indices for the various sections of the test pavement were obtained April 1, 1959, approximately three months after the pavement was opened to traffic.

<u>Crack Width Variation.</u> -Cores were taken in July 1959, at two of the wider cracks in each of the bar mat, continuous mesh and standard mesh reinforced pavement areas.

<u>Load-Deflection Tests.</u>—Load-deflection studies involving static and dynamic truck loadings were made in separate duplicate day and night tests in September 1959. Locations included a construction joint and an adjacent crack in the bar mat and the mesh sections, two points halfway between two adjacent cracks and at a crack in both the mesh and mat central regions, a contraction joint and two points midway between two contraction joints in the standard pavement. Deflection of these 13 points was measured at the outside edge of the traffic lane in all cases.

Subsequent Course of Study

The various factors included in this project will be evaluated in continuing observations over a period of years or until sufficient data have been obtained to warrant conclusions.

<u>Air Temperature</u>. – The average monthly air temperature in the vicinity of the test site will be obtained throughout the project test period through a local station of the United States Weather Bureau.

Pavement Condition. - The test pavement will be inspected on the 15th of January,

April, July, and October each year throughout the test period. Photographs will be taken to record pavement performance characteristics.

<u>Surface Roughness.</u> —Surface roughness will be determined once each year throughout the life of the project.

<u>Crack Survey.</u> –A crack survey will be made on the 15th of January, April, July, and October of each year throughout the test period.

<u>Traffic Survey.</u>—A traffic survey to determine axle weights and frequencies will be made once every three years throughout the test period.

<u>Relative Joint Displacements.</u>—The relative displacement of all expansion joints in relief sections, ten contraction joints in the standard section, and all construction joints in the continuous sections will be measured on the 15th of January, April, July, and October of each year throughout the test period.

<u>Slab Displacements.</u>—The absolute movement of the ends and relative slab displacements in the center and end regions of the continuous sections will be measured on the 15th of January, April, July, and October of each year throughout the test period.

<u>Strain and Temperature Measurements.</u>—Strains and slab temperatures of the three instrumented steel reinforced sections will be measured biweekly throughout the life of the strain gage and thermocouple instrumentation.

<u>Crack Measurements.</u>—Surface width of the selected cracks in the end and center regions, and near construction joints in the continuously-reinforced sections will be measured on the 15th of January, April, July, and October of each year throughout the test period. The cracks formed at the three instrumented steel reinforcement locations will be measured biweekly in conjunction with the regular strain and temperature readings.

<u>Crack Width Variation.</u>—Cores will be taken at two of the wider cracks in each of the bar mat, continuous mesh, and standard mesh reinforced areas at 5-yr intervals throughout the life of the project.

<u>Pictorial Crack Record.</u> —A progressive series of photographs of typical cracks in the center and end regions, and near construction joints in each of the bar mat and wire mesh continuous sections, will be taken each fall throughout the life of the project.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The work described in this report was conducted under the general supervision of E.A. Finney, Director of the Research Laboratory Division, Michigan State Highway Department. The Laboratory is a Division of the Department's Office of Testing and Research, headed by W.W. McLaughlin, Testing and Research Engineer. The detailed design and layout of the experimental pavement was under the direction of H. Cash, Assistant Engineer of Bridge and Road Design.

The author expresses his gratitude to associates at the Laboratory for their able assistance, in particular to P. Milliman and his staff, who were responsible for the strain gage instrumentation and placement of the instrumented steel reinforcement, and to the project engineer and contractors' personnel for their fine assistance and cooperation.

REFERENCES

- 1. Cashell, H.D., "Continuously Reinforced Concrete Pavements." Proc., 11th Ann. Virginia Hwy. Conf., p. 75 (1957).
- 2. Cashell, H.D., and Benham, S.W., "Continuous Reinforcement in Concrete Pavement." Public Roads, p. 1 (April 1950).
- 3. Friberg, B. F., "Frictional Resistance Under Concrete Pavement and Restraint Stresses in Long Reinforced Slabs." HRB Proc., Vol. 33, p. 167 (1954).
- 4. Gutzwiller, M.J., and Waling, J.L., "Laboratory Research on Pavements Continuously Reinforced with Welded Wire Fabric." HRB Bull. 238 (1959).
- 5. Jacobs, W. H., "Survey and Correlation Report on Continuously Reinforced Pavement Without Joints." Rail Steel Bar Assn., Chicago (1953).
- 6. Jacobs, W.H., "Report on the Results of the Continuously Reinforced Concrete Pavement Recently Completed on the Fort Worth-Dallas Freeway." Presented at ARBA 56th Ann. Conv. (1958).

50

- 7. Russell, H.W., and Lindsey, J.D., "An Experimental Continuously Reinforced
- Concrete Pavement in Illinois." HRB Proc., Vol. 27, p. 42 (1947). Schiffman, R. L., Taylor, I. J., and Eney, W. J., "Preliminary Report on Con-8. tinuously Reinforced Concrete Pavement Research in Pennsylvania." HRB Bull. 181, p. 5 (1958).
- Stanton, T.E., "Reports on Experiments with Continuous Reinforcement in Con-9. creté Pavement-California." HRB Proc., Vol. 30, p. 28 (1950).
- 10. Van Breemen, W., "Preliminary Report on Current Experiment with Continuous Reinforcement in New Jersey." HRB Proc., Vol. 27, p. 33 (1947).
- 11. Woolley, W.R., "Continuously Reinforced Concrete Pavements Without Joints." HRB Proc., Vol. 27, p. 28 (1947).
- 12. Woolley, W.R., "Design of Continuously Reinforced Concrete Pavement." HRB Bull. 181, p. 1 (1958).
- Yerlici, V.A., "Reinforcement in Continuous Concrete Pavement." ASCE Proc.. 13. Paper 1799, Jrnl. of Hwy. Div. (Oct. 1958).
- Zuk, W., "Analysis of Special Problems in Continuously Reinforced Pavements." 14. HRB Bull. 214, p. 1 (1959).

Appendix A

RECOMMENDATIONS ON MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS FOR TESTS AND OBSERVATIONS*

The following recommendations are made regarding minimum requirements for tests and observations:

A. During Construction:

1. Range in air temperature for construction period of each test section. (Obtained from a nearby weather station.)

2. Record of the mid-depth temperature of concrete at intervals of 500 ft. (Obtained just prior to final finishing.)

3. Mechanical tests on soil samples taken from the finished grade at intervals of not more than 1,000 ft, and where the subgrade soil changes in type. (Includes both the subbase material and subgrade soil.)

4. Concrete data to consist of information obtained by standard tests of the state. (Includes type of aggregates, proportions and consistency of mix, and strength characteristics.)

5. Reinforcing steel data to consist of information obtained by standard tests of the state.

6. Notes by qualified personnel of any unusual conditions that may affect performance.

B. After Construction:

1. Annual range in air temperature in the vicinity of the test site. (Obtained from a nearby weather station.)

2. Observations of the general condition of the pavement, including photographic records of any significant developments. (Made each spring and fall.)

3. Intensive crack survey of 500 ft of pavement located in the central region of each test section. (Made about the 15th of September, December, March, and June during the first year after construction and each fall thereafter.)

4. Measurements at the payement surface of the widths of at least four selected cracks in each of the following locations of each test section: (a) central region, (b) 400 to 500 ft from one end, and (c) immediately following a construction joint.

^{*}Highway Research Board Subcommittee on Continuously-Reinforced Concrete Pavements; abridged from HRB Correlation Service Circular 372, p. 8 (Nov. 1958).

5. Photographic coverage of the surface condition of the pavement at not less than three of the apparently wider cracks in each of the following locations of each test section: (a) central region, and (b) immediately following a construction joint. (Taken in the outside lane before the pavement is opened to traffic and each fall thereafter.)

6. Cores at not less than two of the wider cracks in each test section. (Obtained within 6 months after construction, and at intervals of not more than 5 yr thereafter. Only one core should be taken at a given crack.)

7. Measurements of the absolute longitudinal movements at the terminal ends of the pavement. (Initial position determined at the time of construction. Subsequent measurements obtained during the hottest and coldest part of each year thereafter.)

8. Measurements of the changes in width of the terminal joints. (Initial reading taken at the time of construction. Subsequent measurements obtained during the hottest and coldest part of each year thereafter.)

9. Surface roughness indices of each test section. (Obtained before the pavement is opened to traffic and at intervals of not more than 3 yr thereafter.)

10. Traffic counts and particularly axle-load weights and frequencies. (Obtained soon after the pavement is opened to traffic, and at intervals of not more than 3 yr thereafter.)

11. Pertinent observations and measurements on the state's standard pavement to provide proper comparative data. (Includes surface roughness indices.)

Appendix B

INSTRUMENTATION AND INSTALLATION DETAILS FOR INSTRUMENTED STEEL REINFORCEMENT

The following presentation describes the materials and instrumentation procedure for the steel reinforcement strain phase of the project. Included in this appendix are the characteristics of the strain gages, the gage installation procedure, temperature compensation and reference gages, and a description of the process of taking the various readings.

Strain Gage Characteristics

All the strain gages used in connection with the steel reinforcement instrumentation were Type A-12, SR-4 electrical resistance wire gages. Each has a gage factor of 2.08 ± 1 percent and a gage resistance of 120 ± 0.2 ohms. The nominal length of the A-12 gage is 1 in. with a trim width of $\frac{1}{8}$ in.

Gage Installation Procedure

Prior to actual placement of the strain gages, each of the five longitudinal wires of both the continuous mesh and standard mesh reinforcement was sanded to a uniform diameter for a length of 2 in. at the midpoint of the wire. In the case of the five No. 5 deformed bars of the bar mat reinforcement, each bar was turned on a lathe to a uniform diameter for a length of 2 in. at the center of the bar. Each wire or bar had an A-12 gage bonded to the top and bottom surfaces so that the longitudinal and transverse centerlines of the gages were diametrically opposite each other. The two gages were then wired in series to give a 240-ohm active bridge arm. The actual steps involved in preparing the reinforcement were:

- 1. Wire or bar sanded, cleaned, and solder tinned.
- 2. Tinning coat sanded and cleaned.
- 3. Armstrong A-1 cement precoat applied.
- 4. Precoat sanded.
- 5. Gages bonded to surface with Armstrong A-1 cement.
- 6. Gages covered with Armstrong A-1 cement.
- 7. Belden No. 8404 lead wires attached and secured with silk thread.

- 8. Entire installation covered with Armstrong A-1 cement.
- 9. Entire installation wrapped with $\frac{3}{4}$ -in. linen motor winding tape.
- 10. Entire installation covered with Armstrong A-1 cement.
- 11. Entire installation again wrapped with linen tape.
- 12. Coat of paraffin applied to entire installation.

The adhesive agent used in the installation was Armstrong A-1, made by the Armstrong Products Co. This adhesive consists of two components, an epoxy resin formulation with an inorganic filler, and an amin-type catalyst. In all cases, Activator E was used as the catalyst because of its longer pot life and shorter curing time with heat application.

Temperature Compensation and Reference Gages

Temperature compensation was effected by embedding a steel plate with Type A-12 strain gages at each of the three instrumented reinforcement locations. The plates were hot-rolled steel, $\frac{1}{4}$ by $2\frac{1}{2}$ by 4 in., with four gages bonded to each and wired in series to give two 240-ohm bridge arms. Two compensating arms were used to insure against possible gage failure. After protecting and waterproofing the installation, each plate was encased in a $\frac{1}{6}$ -in. thick foam rubber box, and the entire block covered with a thick coating of paraffin. To differentiate strains caused by temperature changes from those due to other factors, and also to check the longtime zero drift of the measuring instrument, four Type A-12 strain gages were bonded to a piece of 96 percent silica glass known by the trade name "Vycor." This material has a coefficient of thermal expansion of 0.44 x 10⁻⁶ per deg F, and was mounted in the form of hollow tubes in a styrofoam insulated box to minimize the effects of any rapid temperature change. The gages were wired to provide two 240-ohm arms to check for the zero drift of the strain indicator.

Reading Procedure

All strain readings were taken with a Baldwin SR-4 static strain indicator. Each set of readings taken at an instrumented steel location consisted of the following:

1. <u>Zero Drift.</u> –(a) Vycor arm A active, Vycor arm B compensating; and (b) Vycor arm B active, Vycor arm A compensating.

2. <u>Temperature Strain</u>. – Reading of each of the temperature compensating gages as active, with Vycor arm A as compensating.

3. <u>Total Strain</u>. –Reading of the five sets of gages on the reinforcing steel as active, with Vycor arm A as compensating.

4. <u>Total Strain Minus Temperature Strain</u>. –Reading of each of the five sets of gages on the reinforcing steel as active, with each of the two temperature compensating gages as compensating.

5. <u>Gages.</u> –Reading of resistance to ground of all sets of gages.

Appendix C

CONSTRUCTION DATA AND MATERIALS CHARACTERISTICS

Date		Degrees F			Degrees F			
	High	Mean	Low	Date	High	Mean	Low	
9-22-58	72	60	5 2	10-12-58	55	43	2 9	
9-23	74	61	49	10-13	67	51	42	
9-24	81	70	60	10-14	70	58	44	
9-25	77	67	54	10-15	72	61	47	
9-26	66	56	49	10-16	69	60	50	
9-27	65	53	42	10-17	60	48	37	
9-28	63	47	37	10-18	54	42	33	
9-29	62	50	38	10-19	59	45	33	
9-30	50	45	37	10-20	62	48	34	
10-1	49	39	32	10 -21	69	53	39	
10-2	59	45	35	10 -22	76	54	45	
10-3	62	48	37	10-23	58	5 2	43	
10-4	73	57	44	10-24	48	44	40	
10-5	50	41	31	10 -2 5	57	48	44	
10-6	62	47	30	10-26	49	44	36	
10-7	76	62	51	10-27	50	41	36	
10-8	69	60	55	10 -2 8	50	39	32	
10-9	70	61	54	1 0-2 9	5 2	38	29	
10-10	58	47	43	10-30	55	41	31	
10-11	48	41	32	10-31	60	46	39	

TABLE 1 DAILY AIR TEMPERATURE VARIATION

				Mod of Ru (ps	ulus pture si)	Concrete Temperature (deg F)		Air Temperature (deg F)		Compressive Strength ¹			
Pour Date	Roadway	Length (ft)	Stationing	7 Day	28 Day	High	Avg.	Low	High	Avg.	Low	No. of Samples	Avg. Strength (psi)
9-22-58	Eastbound	671	838+25 to 844+96	550	650	77	73	68	72	65	58	1	4770
9-23	Eastbound	2110	844+96 to 866+06	650	750	81	77	72	74	71	64	2	5390
9-25	Eastbound	2 411	866+06 to 890+17	525	575	80	77	75	77	70	61	2	5000
9-26	Eastbound	2343	890+17 to 913+60	590	700	76	74	69	66	60	5 2	2	4870
9-27	Eastbound	2332	913+60 to 936+92	660	780	75	71	66	65	58	50	3	5290
9-29	Eastbound	2313	936+92 to 960+05	760	788	70	65	58	63	55	42	2	5370
9-30	Eastbound	240	960+05 to 962+45	590	None	66	65	64	50	50	50	None	-
10-2	Eastbound	2755	962+45 to 990+00	576 ²	930 ²	66	62	50	60	51	35	3	5180
10-3	Eastbound	2740	990+00 to 1017+40	610	800 ²	70	63	54	62	51	37	3	5750
10-4	Eastbound	2720	1017+40 to 1044+60	720	740	74	67	60	73	64	47	3	5510
10-6	Eastbound	3174	1044+60 to 1076+34	584	925	66	62	52	62	52	33	3	6000
10-7	Eastbound	2756	1076+34 to 1103+90	737	928	77	74	62	76	68	51	3	5670
10-8	Eastbound	295	1103+90 to 1106+85	596 ²	None	69	69	69	58	57	56	None	-
10-13	Westbound	3150	1080+00 to 1048+50	437	688	70	66	58	67	57	43	3	4550
10-14	Westbound	2950	1048+50 to 1021+00	550	675²	76	71	64	70	63	50	3	5070
10-15	Westbound	3100	1021+00 to 990+00	583	798	88	82	78	72	65	50	3	4920
10-16	Westbound	2396	990+00 to 966+04	575 ²	775 ²	84	76	71	69	65	55	2	4900
10-17	Westbound	3504	966+04 to 931+00	561	676	71	66	58	60	5 2	40	4	5330
10-18	Westbound	3450	931+00 to 896+50	523	729	70	69	66	54	48	33	3	5320
10-20	Westbound	3277	896+50 to 863+73	482	709	68	62	55	62	54	37	3	5280

TABLE 2 CONSTRUCTION DATA

¹ As determined from cores taken on 12-11-58, and tested 4-15-59. ² Denotes only one specimen available.

Figure 49. Subgrade soil classification (AASHO classification system).

Figure 50. Steel reinforcement properties.