Effectiveness of Median Barriers

ROGER T. JOHNSON, Traffic Department, California Division of Highways

More than 200 mi of median barrier have been installed on the highest volume freeways in California since 1959. The two types of median barrier are cable chain link barrier and double blocked-out median barrier. They were installed to prevent cross-median head-on accidents. This study was initiated to determine the effect of the installation on all types of accidents. The construction and maintenance costs of the two barriers were also studied.

Cross-median head-on accidents have been eliminated by barrier installation, but property damage accidents and injury accidents have increased. Fatal accidents have decreased at barrier locations in spite of a few accidents involving the barriers which resulted in fatalities. The cost analysis revealed that the beam barrier is more expensive to install and that the cable barrier is more expensive to maintain.

•THIS IS a report on the effectiveness of median barriers on California freeways. An interim report on this study was published in December 1962. A before-and-after study was made of 26.6 mi of cable chain link barrier (Fig. 1) and 27.6 mi of double blocked-out metal beam barrier (Fig. 2). The various sections of each type of barrier have at least 1 yr each of before-and-after experience. The construction period was omitted from the study.

The remaining miles of median barrier had less than 1 yr of before or after experience and were, therefore, excluded from the before-and-after study. However, they are included in the statewide barrier study.

Median barriers are normally installed on freeways and expressways when one or more of the following conditions exist:

1. The traffic volume exceeds 60,000 veh/day;

2. The number or rate of cross-median accidents is high (0.46 cross-median accidents involving opposing vehicles per mile per year or 0.12 fatal cross-median accidents per mile per year); and

3. With initial, 8-lane construction the median is 22 ft wide or less.

The cable barrier is normally installed in medians with a width of 16 ft or more, and the beam barrier is normally installed in medians having a width of less than 16 ft. This is because the cable barrier will normally deflect up to approximately 8 ft when struck and also because 8 ft is the minimum clearance practical for parking a vehicle to repair damaged areas.

The status of the median barrier program as of January 1, 1964, was:

Paper sponsored by Committee on Operational Effects of Geometrics.



Figure 1. Cable chain link median barrier.



Figure 2. Double blocked-out metal beam barrier.

Cha true	Net M	iles of Ba	arrier
Status	Cable	Beam	Total
Constructed	152.6	51.5	204.1
Under construction	69.7	22.0	91.7
Total	222.3	73.5	295.8

BEFORE-AND-AFTER STUDY

Effect of Median Barrier Installation on All Accidents

The effect of median barrier installation on accident rates is indicated by Table 1. Sections of highway where the beam barrier was installed had higher rates in both the before and after periods. Generally the beam barrier has been installed on freeways with narrower medians (less than 16 ft) which also tend to be the older freeways with higher volumes and lower geometric standards with an adverse effect on accident rates.

The rise in accident rates can be attributed primarily to the median barrier installation. The accident rate on all urban freeways has increased slightly during the past few years. However, the accident rate on urban freeways with median barriers has increased more than the statewide average for urban freeways. It is believed that the primary reason for the increase in accident rates is that the median barrier is a fixed object struck by out-of-control vehicles that might have recovered without incident if the barrier had not been installed.

Effect of Median Barrier Installation on Injury and Fatal Accidents

Injury and fatal accidents combined increased after median barrier installation (Table 1). The beam barrier increases injury and fatal accidents approximately twice as much as does the cable barrier. The beam barrier is considerably more rigid than the cable barrier and it is believed that this is the reason for the increased severity.

The ratio of the all accident rate to the injury and fatal accident rate is given in Table 1. The ratios in the before period are almost equal (2.2:1) and are normal for California freeways. In the after period, the ratio for the beam barrier is considerably lower than that for the cable, which is further evidence that the beam barrier increases the severity of accidents more than the cable barrier.

				All Ac	cidents		Inju	ry and 1	Fatal Acc	idents	
Barrier Type	Length (mi)	MVM		Dete	Rate	Change	NT.	D. I.	Rate	Change	R atio ^a
	No. Rate Abs. F	Percent	NO.	Hate	Abs.	Percent					
				(a)	Before 2	Installation	n				
Cable	26.6	1,195.6	1,586	1.33			713	0.60	-	-	2.22:1
Beam	27.6	1,633.8	2,690	1.65	_	-	1,204	0.74	-	-	2,23:1
Total	54.2	2,829.4	4,276	1.51	-			1,917 0.68		-	2.22:1
				(b)	After I	nstallation					
Cable	26.6	1, 277.8	2,231	1.75	+0.42	+32	904	0.71	+0.11	18	2.46:1
Beam	27.6	1,608.5	3,330	1.98	+0.33	+20	1,612	0.96	+0.22	30	2.06:1
Total	54.2	2,958.3	5,561	1.88	+0.37	+0.37 +25		0.85	+0.17	25	2.21:1

TABLE 1

EFFECT OF MEDIAN BARRIER INSTALLATION ON ACCIDENTS

⁸Of all accident rate to injury and fatal accident rate.

Effect of Median Barrier Installation on Fatal Accidents

Both types of median barrier have been successful in preventing cross-median headon fatal accidents. As indicated by Table 2, this resulted in a reduction in the number of fatal accidents and fatal accidents per 100 million veh-mi (MVM) in spite of an increase in non-cross-median fatal accidents. Chance variation could have accounted for part of the decrease in fatal accidents. However, there were almost 3 billion vehmi of travel in each of the before-and-after periods.

There were 15 fatal accidents involving the barrier in the after period. There have been several other fatal accidents involving median barriers. However, these occurred in sections outside the limits of the before-and-after portion of this study.

In 10 of the 12 fatal accidents involving the cable barrier, a vehicle struck the barrier and spun, ejecting one or more persons; in the other, the vehicle involved went through the barrier. In 2 of the 3 beam barrier fatal accidents, there were ejections.

Accidents Involving Median

An accident involving the median is defined as an accident in which one or more cars enter the median. Table 3 indicates the effect of barrier installations on median accidents. Approximately 90 to 95 percent of the median accidents in the after period involved the barrier. Accidents involving the median increased by 88 percent where the cable barrier was installed, and at the beam barrier locations they increased 11 percent. This lends support to a widely expressed hypothesis that drivers would rather collide with the cable barrier than another object (fixed or moving) and that they are willing to take their chances with some other object or vehicle rather than collide with the beam barrier. In other words, drivers may be deliberately striking the cable barrier much more often than the beam barrier to avoid striking another object or vehicle. There is no way to prove this. On the contrary, according to the drivers' accounts of what they did, 7 percent of those hitting the cable barrier and 6 percent of those hitting the beam implied that it was deliberate.

Where cable barrier was installed, the rate for accidents involving the median increased 0.23 and the rate for accidents not involving the median increased 0.18 (Table 4). This tends to support the conjecture that drivers are now more willing to drive into the median to avoid another object or vehicle, provided a "soft" barrier is there to prevent contact with opposing traffic.

Barrior	Longth			No. I	Fatal Accidents	5		Rate	Change
Туре	(mi)	100 MVM	A11	Cross- Median	Non-Cross- Median	Involving Barrier	Rate	Abs.	Percent
				(a) Befor	re Installation				
Cable	26.6	11.96	31	9	22	(0)	2.59	_	-
Beam	27.6	16.34	31	13	18	(0)	1.90		
Total	54.2	28.30	62	22	40	(0)	2.19	-	—
				(b) Afte	r Installation				
Cable	26.6	12.78	21	1	20	(12)	1.64	-0.95	-37
Beam	27.6	16.81	27	0	27	(3)	1.61	-0.29	-15
Total	54.2	29.59	48	ī	47	(15)	1.62	-0.57	-26
All Calif	. urban fi	eeways, 19	60-19	62:					
With ba	rriers	32.88	54		-	·	1.64	-	
Without	barriers	182.50	481	_	-		2.64		-

TABLE 2

EFFECT OF MEDIAN BARRIER INSTALLATION ON FATAL ACCIDENTS, 1959-1963

Barrier Type	Length	Be	fore		A	Chang	e in Rate		
	(mi)	No. Accidents	MVM	Rate	No. Accidents	MVM	Rate	Abs.	Percent
Cable	26.6	308	1, 195. 6	0.26	629	1, 277, 8	0.49	+0.23	+88
Beam Total	$\frac{27.6}{54.2}$	$\frac{443}{751}$	$\frac{1,633.8}{2,829.4}$	0.27 0.27	$\frac{511}{1,140}$	$\frac{1,680.5}{2,958.3}$	0.30 0.39	+0.03 +0.12	+11 +44

TABLE 3 EFFECT OF MEDIAN BARRIER INSTALLATION ON ACCIDENTS INVOLVING MEDIAN

TABLE 4

EFFECT OF MEDIAN BARRIER INSTALLATION ON ACCIDENTS NOT INVOLVING MEDIAN

Barrier	Length	Be	fore		Af	ter		Chang	e in Rate
Туре	(mi)	No. Accidents	MVM	Rate	No. Accidents	MVM	Rate	Abs.	Percent
Cable	26.6	1,278	1, 195.6	1.07	1,602	1, 277.8	1.25	+0.18	+17
Beam	27.6	2, 247	1,633,8	1.38	2,819	1,680.5	1.68	+0.30	+22
Total	54.2	3, 525	2,829.4	1.25	4, 421	2,958.3	1.49	+0.24	+19

Where the beam was installed, the rate for accidents not involving the median increased 0.30, whereas the rate for accidents involving the median rose only 0.03. This indicates that drivers are reluctant to hit the beam barrier. However, proof of this may be difficult, if not impossible, to obtain.

Median Width

After barriers are installed, 90 to 95 percent of the accidents involving the median also involve the median barrier. It would be logical to assume that the wider the median, the less the barrier would be struck. Figure 3 indicates that this is true for the beam barrier. However, there are only two points with a sizable amount of experience, not enough to establish a trend. The cable barrier seems to be struck just as often in a wide as in a narrow median.

Regardless of the median width, the beam barrier is struck less often than the cable, indicating that the type of barrier rather than the median width determines how frequently the barrier is struck. This also indicates that drivers may be striking the beam barrier, doing very little damage to the barrier and driving away without reporting the accident. Because the cable barrier is relatively soft, vehicles may strike it and become entangled in the barrier or damage the barrier enough to result in a reported accident.

STATEWIDE BARRIER STUDY

All Accident Rates and Fatal Accident Rates

Figure 4 and Table 5 present the accident rate in each traffic volume range for freeways with and without median barriers. The freeways with barriers had higher rates, except at two points which represent less than 20 mi of median barrier. This is to be expected after noting the before-and-after portion of this study.

Figure 5 shows the fatal accident rates in each traffic volume range for freeways with and without median barriers. Generally, the freeways with median barriers had lower fatal accident rates. This is also to be expected after noting the before-andafter portion of this study. However, since fatal accidents are a relatively rare occurrence, chance variation could have accounted for part or all of the difference.



Figure 3. Accidents involving median vs median width.

Cross-Median Fatal Accidents

As indicated in Tables 6 and 7, median barriers have been effective in reducing cross-median fatal accidents. Almost all California freeways with traffic volumes of 60,000 veh/day or more now have median barriers in place or under construction. At the end of 1963, there were 24.5 mi of barrier on freeways carrying less than 60,000 veh/day but which had, before the barriers were installed, a high incidence of cross-median accidents. In spite of this, there are still about 20 cross-median fatal accidents per year on remaining freeways in California where the volume is less than 60,000 ADT. Approximately 7 of these 20 accidents are occurring on freeways with volumes between 40,000 and 60,000 ADT, and another 6 are occurring at volumes of 30,000 to 40,000 ADT.

Median Barrier Failures

Since median barriers were first installed, there have been 38 known instances where the barrier did not perform exactly as it should have and a vehicle came to rest partially or completely on the wrong side of the barrier. Only one of these instances involved the beam barrier and 37 involved the cable barrier. Five of the 38 were fatal accidents and three of the five involved vehicles in the opposing lanes.

Many different median widths and shapes are found on California freeways because of factors such as land values, curvature, and drainage. One of the situations conducive to vehicles going over or under the cables is shown in Figure 6 (sawtooth section). Vehicles hitting the barrier from the upper side tend to go over the cables and vehicles from the lower side tend to go under. The reason for constructing freeways in this manner on horizontal curves is to provide a channel for the drainage runoff from 48 ft of pavement. There are about 11.7 mi of freeway constructed in this manner in the Los Angeles area alone.



Figure 4. Accident rates vs average daily traffic, California urban freeways, 1960-1962.

		BARRI	ERS, 19	60-1962		
Encourance	Web /Daw	No. Acc	cidents	MUM		Fatal
Freeways	ven/Day	All	Fatal	IVI V IVI	ACC. / MV M	Acc./100 MVM
With barrier	0-40,000	25	0	38	0.66	-
Without barrier	0-40,000	7,898	255	7,178	1.10	3.55
With barrier	40-60,000	384	8	279	1.37	2,87
Without barrier	40-60,000	4,739	91	3,638	1.30	2.50
With barrier	>60,000	4, 885	46	2,971	1.64	1.55
Without barrier Total	>60,000	9,522	135	7,434	1.28	1.82
With barrier		5,294	54	3,288	1.61	1.64
Without barrier		22, 159	481	18, 250	1.21	2.64

TABLE 5 ACCIDENTS ON URBAN FREEWAYS IN CALIFORNIA WITH AND WITHOUT MEDIAN BARRIERS, 1960-1962

Since the cable height appears to be very critical, it seems reasonable to place the beam barrier in the sawtooth sections as shown in Figure 7. The beams can be placed at the proper elevations on each side of the barrier. Full-scale impact tests are under way to see if the cable barrier can be modified at sawtooth sections to prevent barrier failures.

As of January 1964, there were 22 fatal accidents in which a vehicle struck the barrier but did not go over or through the barrier. Five involved the beam barrier, and 17 involved the cable. When a vehicle strikes the cable barrier, it is slowed down



Figure 5. Fatal accident rates vs average daily traffic, California urban freeways, 1960-1962.

TABLE 6 CROSS-MEDIAN FATAL ACCIDENTS

TABLE 7	
CROSS-MEDIAN FATAL ACCIDENTS,	CALIFORNIA
FREEWAYS, 1961-1963a	

Year	No. Acc.	Acc./Mi	Acc./100 MVM	Mi Barrier Completed
1959	45	0,065	0.51	0
1960	28	0.036	0.27	36
1961	33	0,038	0,28	33
1962	35	0.030	0.24	107
1963	41	0.031	0.25	29

primarily by friction between the cable and the left front of the vehicle. This imparts a moment to the vehicle and it tends to spin counterclockwise as it comes to a stop. The vehicles usually spin 90 to 270 deg. As they spin, occupants of the vehicles quite often are ejected and suffer fatal injuries. In 15 of the 17 cable barrier fatal accidents, the persons killed were ejected. This type of fatal accident could be almost completely eliminated by the use of seat belts.

Wah /Dave	Mi of Fi	reeway	No. Acc	idents
ven/Day	Per Year	Cumul.	Per Year	Cumul.
0-10,000	225	225	3	3
10-20,000	293	518	3	6
20-30,000	158	676	15	21
30-40,000	119	795	17	38
40-50,000	56	851	14	52
50-60,000	55	906	11	63
60-70,000	39	945	10	73
70-80,000	16	961	6	79
80-90,000	25	986	5	84
90-100,000	20	1,006	3	87
100-110,000	26	1,032	5	92
110-120,000	14	1,046	2	94
120-130,000	14	1,060	6	100
130-140,000	20	1,080	1	101
140-150,000	2	1,082	1	102
150-160,000	6	1,088	2	104
160-170,000	3	1,091	4	108
170-180,000	11	1, 102	1	109
180-190,000	3	1, 105	0	109

³Freeways with traffic volumes >60,000 veh/day had median barriers in place during part or all of the 3-yr period; accidents took place before erection of barriers.



Figure 6. Existing sawtooth median.



Figure 7. Proposed sawtooth median.

Concrete Median Barrier (Santa Monica Freeway)

The Santa Monica Freeway is an 8-lane elevated viaduct between the Harbor Freeway and the Santa Ana Freeway. It has 10 ft shoulders on the right of traffic and 8 ft on the left. The median width is 16 ft. The median is narrow because of the high cost of construction. A concrete median barrier was installed which would not deflect and involve cars in the opposing lanes (Fig. 8). The average daily traffic during the study period was 45,000, giving a total of 48.39 MVM. The accident record for this 2.48mi section with barrier is as follows:

All accidents, 46 (0.95/MVM); Accidents involving injury, 27 (0.56/MVM); No fatal accidents; and Accidents involving median, 10 (0.21/MVM).

Of the 10 accidents in which the barrier was struck, two involved property damage only and eight involved minor injuries.





Figure 8. Concrete median barrier with headlight glare screen.

The accident experience with this type of barrier is limited. The freeway has a low accident rate and the rate of accidents involving the median is low. Drivers may tend to avoid the median because of the concrete median barrier. If they are avoiding the median, they do not seem to be causing a lot of accidents on the traveled way.

The ADT (45,000) during the study period is extremely low for an 8-lane freeway, permitting a great deal of freedom and maneuverability in a crisis. This probably accounts for the low rates.

	Dimini		giunited cool			
Barrier Type	Length (mi)	MVM	Maintenance Cost (\$)	Period (mo)	\$/Mi/Yr	\$/MVW
Cable	3.9	489.0	29,393	45	2,010	60
Beam	2.9	374.3	9,918	45	912	26
Cable	3.3	271.0	25, 116	27	3,383	93
Beam	4.1	336.7	9,624	27	1,043	29
Cable	3.2	87.4	8,680	6	5,425	99
Beam	5.0	136.5	820	6	328	6
Cable	3.8	38.0	4,611	6	2,427	121
Cable	7.4	202.6	53, 425	18	4,813	264
Cable	36.65a	1,088.0	121, 225		3,308	114
Beam	26. 70a	847.5	20, 262		759	24
	Barrier Type Cable Beam Cable Beam Cable Beam Cable Cable Cable Beam	Barrier TypeLength (mi)Cable3.9Gable3.3Beam4.1Cable3.2Beam5.0Cable3.8Cable3.4Cable7.4Cable36.65aBeam26.70a	Barrier Type Length (mi) MVM Cable 3.9 489.0 Beam 2.9 374.3 Cable 3.3 271.0 Beam 4.1 336.7 Cable 3.2 87.4 Beam 5.0 136.5 Cable 3.8 38.0 Cable 7.4 202.6 Cable 36.65a 1,088.0 Beam 26.70a 847.5	$\begin{array}{c cccc} & \text{Barrier} & \text{Length} & \text{MVM} & \text{Maintenance} \\ \hline \text{Type} & (mi) & \text{MVM} & \text{Cost}(\$) \\ \hline \text{Cable} & 3.9 & 489.0 & 29,393 \\ \text{Beam} & 2.9 & 374.3 & 9,918 \\ \text{Cable} & 3.3 & 271.0 & 25,116 \\ \text{Beam} & 4.1 & 336.7 & 9,624 \\ \text{Cable} & 3.2 & 87.4 & 8,680 \\ \text{Beam} & 5.0 & 136.5 & 820 \\ \text{Cable} & 3.8 & 38.0 & 4,611 \\ \text{Cable} & 7.4 & 202.6 & 53,425 \\ \text{Cable} & 36,65a & 1,088.0 & 121,225 \\ \text{Beam} & 26,70a & 847.5 & 20,262 \\ \hline \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c cccc} \text{Barrier} & \text{Length} & \text{MVM} & \text{Maintenance} & \text{Period} \\ \hline \text{Type} & (\text{mi}) & \text{MVM} & \text{Cost}(\$) & \text{Period} \\ \hline \text{Cable} & 3, 9 & 489, 0 & 29, 393 & 45 \\ \text{Beam} & 2, 9 & 374, 3 & 9, 918 & 45 \\ \text{Cable} & 3, 3 & 271, 0 & 25, 116 & 27 \\ \text{Beam} & 4, 1 & 336, 7 & 9, 624 & 27 \\ \text{Cable} & 3, 2 & 87, 4 & 8, 680 & 6 \\ \text{Beam} & 5, 0 & 136, 5 & 820 & 6 \\ \text{Cable} & 3, 8 & 38, 0 & 4, 611 & 6 \\ \text{Cable} & 7, 4 & 202, 6 & 53, 425 & 18 \\ \hline \text{Cable} & 36, 65a & 1, 088, 0 & 121, 225 \\ \text{Beam} & 26, 70a & 847, 5 & 20, 262 \\ \hline \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $

TABLE 8 MEDIAN BARRIER MAINTENANCE COSTS 1959-1963

^aMiles/year.

Maintenance and Construction Costs

The latest available median barrier maintenance costs are given in Table 8. Median barrier construction costs for 1962 were as follows:

Single blocked-out metal beam, \$5.84/lin ft or \$30,800/mi; Double blocked-out metal beam, \$8.66/lin ft or \$45,700/mi; and Cable chain link, \$2.59/lin ft or \$13,700/mi.

Consideration is being given to revising the design of the beam barrier slightly to reduce the construction costs.

The beam barrier costs \$32,000/mi more than the cable barrier to install and the cable barrier costs \$2,549/mi/yr more than the beam barrier to maintain. At these rates, the cable and beam expenditures per mile of barrier will be equal at the end of 13 yr. If 60 percent of the damages continue to be recovered by the state, the two expenditures would be equal at the end of 31 yr, with the cost being in favor of the cable barrier for the first 31 yr and in favor of the beam barrier thereafter. Any increase in the rate of recovery of damages could increase the time required to equalize the cost of the two types.

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

1. Median barriers are effective in preventing cross-median accidents.

2. Fatalities due to cross-median accidents have been practically eliminated where barriers have been installed.

3. Although the barriers themselves, especially the cable barrier, cause an occasional fatality, the total number of fatal accidents (due to all causes) has been reduced at barrier locations by 23 percent.

4. The number of accidents per MVM has increased 32 percent in the case of the cable barrier and 20 percent in the case of the beam barrier.

5. The combined number of injury and fatal accidents per MVM have increased 18 percent at cable barrier locations and 30 percent at beam barrier locations.

6. Accidents involving the median have increased 88 percent at cable barrier locations and 11 percent at beam barrier locations.

7. The rate of accidents involving the median decrease substantially with increasing median width in the case of the beam barrier and decreases slightly with increasing width in the case of the cable barrier.

8. For the median width where data are available (6 to 16 ft), the beam barrier has a substantially lower median accident rate than the cable barrier.

9. In 15 collisions with the cable barrier, persons were ejected and killed when the vehicle spun to a stop. These fatalities might have been prevented if the vehicle occupants had worn seat belts.

10. Cable barriers installed in medians with sawtooth sections (horizontal curves) or containing dikes are being penetrated under the cable or are being overtopped by catapulting vehicles. Further full-scale impact tests are under way in an effort to see if the cable barrier can be modified at sawtooth sections to prevent barrier failures.

11. Cable barriers are very expensive to maintain. If no cost of repair is recovered by the state from the party responsible for the damage, 13 yr are required for the added maintenance costs to counteract its initial lower construction costs. However, since approximately 60 percent of the repair costs are recovered, 31 yr are required for the total costs to balance.

														APPER	NDIX A	1							
						-		_		-Befor	Perio	d	_	-	-				-Afte	er Peric	d		
Section Number	Type of Barrier	Freeway Name	Location	Length in Miles	Median Width	MVM	Total Acc's.	Rate	injury Acc's.	Rate	Fotal Acc's	X-Med. Fat. Acc's.	Acc'il. Involv. Med.	Rate	MVM	Total Acc's	Rote	Injury Acc's.	Rate	Fatal Acc's	X-Med. Fot. Acc's.	Acc's. Involv. Med	Rate
1	Coble	Santo Ane	Buhman Ave. Ped. O.C. to Simmons U.C. (A.1. & S.F.)	3.20	12"	202 8	231	114	98	0.48			46	0.23	236.4	322	1.14	116	0.49	3	1 1	80	0.34
2	Beam	Sonte Ane	Simmons U.P. (A.T. & S.F.) hi Long Beach Fuy.	2.58	12	1567	137	0.87	48	0.31	1	1	42	0.27	160.6	266	1.10	105	0.62	1 2	0	64	027
3	Guard Rail	Santa Ase	Lorena SI, to Solo St.	0.73	10	1 450	1.00				-				93.6	144	1.01	71	0.74	1		24	0.75
4	Beom	Sonto Ane	Sale St. to San Bernardino Fay.	1.47	12"	103.8	198	1.80	92	0.84	3	2	43	0.39	99.4	161	1.12	71	0.71	1	0	28	025
5	Beam	Senta Ane	Son Bernardina Fwy. to Alameda St.	0.70	6-8	60.9	141	2.31	76	1.24	. 0	0	11	0.18	63.2	199	315	105	1.65		0	25	0.00
6	Beam	Hollywood	4-Level Str. to 500' N. of Alvarado St.	1.20	12"	192 3	403	210	231	120	2		92	0.27	212.4	533	2 11	294	1.30	-	-	4	0.19
7	Beam	Hollywood	500" N. of Avarado St. to 1300" N. of Sliver Lake Blvd.	1.11	6	92.9	148	1.59	82	0.88	1	0		0.08	86.0	117	1.33	57	0.58	1	0	1 11	0.13
.0	0ecm	Halfywood	Melrose Ave. to Highland Ave.	2.96	12'	170.4	287	LAR	148	0.87	2		34	0.23	164.2	269	LILA	143	0.87	-	0	14	0.71
9	Cobia	Hollywood	Highland Ave. to 200" N. of Barham Blvd.	1.34	22-40	73.9	239	3.24	121	1.64		0		0.46		216	2 "0	195	1.45	1	0	82	0.73
10	Coble	Helfywood	1300' N of Lonkershim Bred. to 700' 5. at Riverside Dr.	0.06	22'	68.0	77	167	43	0.83		0	14	0.35	41.4	80	1.4	43	0.93	6		22	0.5)
11	Cobie	Ventura	Kroft Ave. to Laurel Canyon Rd.	0.91	22	10.0					-				74.9	122	1.63	82	0.83	0	0	23	0.31
12	Ceble	Venture	Lourel Canyon Rd, to Sepulrada Blvd,	4.27	22'		1			-	-				497.5	599	110	313	0.85	1	-	184	0.10
13	Coble	Venturo	Hoskell Ave. to Encine Ave	2.36	22'	1	-		-	-			-		228.7	267	1.18	211	0.95	1	0	97	0.43
14	Cebie	Venture	Encino Ave, to Resedo Blvd.	1.31	22'		1	-		-					78.1	84	LOB	53	0.68	D D	0	36	0.46
15	Cobie	Golden State	6ih SL to Alleje St.	2.30	22'	-	-	-		-	-				222.0	171	0:7	05	3.94	0	0	32	0.14
16	Beam	San Barnordine	Indiana SI. In Lung Beach Fulg	1.83	4-6	117.6	288	2 45		0.69				0.45	155.2	144	2.14	171	1.27	1	0	21	0.50
. 17	Ceble	San Bernardina	Baldwin Ave. to Puente Ave	3.20	40'	274.8	290	1.06	105	0.36	1	0	52	019	280.3	362	116	144	0.50	1	0	128	0.44
18	Capie	San Bernarding	Puente Ave. to Holt Ave.	5.64	16'	212.5	222	1.05	133	0.63			43	0.30	189 A	338	1.19	123	0.65	1	0	69	0.47
19	Cobie	Bayshors	Sierro Pt. O.H. to Blotsen Ave.	2.72	34	149	84	0.99	40	0.47			27	0.32	105.6	104	0.57	43	0.40	1 5	0	10	0.37
20	Beam	Boyshurs	Bionken Ave. to Poul Are.	0.86	6-12	417	60	144	26	0.62	1	0	17	0.79	47.2	121	2.16	55	1.19	1	0	10	0.36
21	8ecm	Buyshors	Poshalten Ave. Io Army St.	0.6/	6'	57.8	89	1.24	34	0.55	100	0		0.16	6) 7	147	2 10	61	0.00	1	0	16	0.26
22	Goord Rail	Beyshore	Army SI. to 171h SI.	1.10				1.4.4		0.00					174.4	552	3 (5	254	1.45	1	0	66	0.38
23	Cable	Nimita	Washington Ave. to 981% Ate.	3.80	12	192.5	247	1.28	100	0.52			44	0.21	222.0	474	215	1.01	0.81	4	0	120	054
24	Beam	Nimitz	981h Ave, to High St.	2.90	12'	149.6	233	1.56	58	0.38	3	1	35	0.23	173.6	398	229	173	1.00		-	70	0.40
25	Beam	Nimitz	5th Ava. to Adeline St.	1.53	4-16	61.4	53	1.35	32	0.50	1	0	16	0.26	66.8	111	146	40	0.72	0	0	12	0.0
26	Beam	Eastshars	Gistributium Strusture to El Cerrite O.H.	3.07	12	1541	239	1.55	105	0.68		1	47	0.10	162.0	269	166	117	0.72	1	0	46	0.28
27	Cable	Son Diego	Vantura Bird. te Burbenk Gt.	1.27	Interche	nes traffi	ci edleci	at eres	ander can	truction	Date of	entiterest intrall	lebts.		104.0								10.20
28	Cable	Herber	1901h St. In 1201h St.	4.36	22	T	1								269.0	478	1.49	229	0.85	2	0	169	0.63
29	0.ecm	Pasadens	U.P.R.R. to Glenorm St	5.56	6'	237.1	118	134	16.2	0.68		0	44	0.27	2051	330	1.61	167	0.81	-	0	03	0.00
30	Concrete	Sante Monice	Grand Ave. to Naomi	1.35	22'			1.0.0	102	0.00				017	2031	10	0.75		0.47	0	0		0.4
31	Concrete	Sonte Munica	Noomi lo Los Angeles Riv.	113	21	-	-	-		-					27.0	10	113	10	0.42	0	0		0.14
32	Beam	Beyshore	Southern Fwy. to Powholten Ave.	0.40				210	27	0.66				0.12	12.0		360		0.01	-	0	1	0.10
33	Beam	Herbar	7th St. to Sonta Monico F wy	0.68	16-22	21.3	00	- 10		2.00	-			9.11	42.5	-	1.80	49	1.16	-	-	10	0.34
34	Cable	Herber	Imparial Hay to Santa Manics Pay.	8.51	18-22	-	-			-					458.8	1048	2 28	675	1.36	-	0	215	DAT
35	Coble	Son Bernardina	Holt Ave. to Jcl. Rte 77 (Arroys Ave.)	3.84	16	108.2	196	1.87	75	0.67		0	36	0.24	104.3	1048	1.03	133	1.30	-	0	89	0.47
	Total Belon	and After Study									-		10	0.54	104 2	212	JVE	-44	- 21		5	- 33	1 0 85
	1					-		_															-
	Cebie			26.60		(195.6	1586	1.32	713	0.60	31	9	308	026	1277.9	2231	1.75	904	0.71	21		629	0.49
	Beam			27.60		1633.8	2690	1.65	1204	0,74	31	13	443	0.27	1680 4	3330	193	1512	0.96	27	0	511	030

		APPEN	DIX B		
MEDIAN	BARRIER	FAILURES	AND	PARTIAL	PENETRATIONS
		1959 THR	OUGH	1963	

FREEWAY	SEV- ERITY	VEHICLE	WIDTH	MEDIAN TYPE	BARRIER	REMARKS
I. Santo Ano	PDO	Chevrolet Flaibed	12'	"B" Curb - Paved	Coble	Truck over cobles
2. Venlura	PDO	55 Oldsmobile	22'	Dirt	Cable	Vehicle straddled cobles
3, Golden Slate	PDO	53 Ford	22'	Dirt	Cable	8" berm - 3' from barrier-curve-cable 28" above ground
4. Son Bernardino	Injury	58 Lincoln	16'	"C" Curb - Paved	Cable	Veh, over cables-cables did not strip, Cable hl.= 30",
5. Ventura	Injury	62 Chevrolel Pickup	22'	Dirt	Coble	8" berm - 3' from barrier - curve - veh, over cables, Cable hl, = 30" above ground - 17" above berm.
6. Ventura	PDO	62 Pontiac Coupe	22'	Dirt	Cable	6" berm-3' from barrier-curve, Cable ht,=33" above ground-24" above berm.
7. San Diego	PDO	58 Mercury	22'	Dirt & Olsonders	Cable	2" berm-1½ from barrier-pillbox at point of Impact.
8. Ventura	PDO	52 Pontiac	22'	Dirt	Cable	Pontiac want partially under cables,
9. Golden Slate	PDO	55 Ford	22'	Dirt	Cable	Ford over cables - cables did not strip.
10. Harbor	PDO	53 Chevrolet	22'	Dirt	Cable	Chevrolet hil barrier and rolled over-cables did not strip.
II. Harbor	Injury	Austin-Healy Sprite	16'	"C" Curb -Poved	Coble	Raised median – Sprite under cables, Cable ht, = 31",
12. Ventura	Injury	59 Ford Sto. Wagon	22'	Paved	Cable	Ford Sta. Wagon went over cables - 6" berm - 3' from barrier, Cable ht. • 30" above around - 18" above berm.
13. Venturo	PDO	*	22'	Dirt	Cable	Vehicle straddled cables.
14. Ventura	PDO	*	22'	Oirt	Cable	Vahicla over borrier.
15. Golden Stale	Injury	62 MG Conv.	22'	Dirt	Cable	MG under cables - scene is a superelevation transition.
16. Golden State	Injury	61 Auslin - Healy	22'	Dirt	Cable	Vehicle under cables.
17. Ventura	Injury	1 Sedon 2 Trucks	22'	Dirt	Cable	Ford changed lones and forced the trucks into barrier. All 3 came to rest on top of barrier. Bill bay at point of impact
18. Sanla Ana	PDO	6I Ford	12"	"B" Curb-Paved	Coble	Hood of Ford weni under cables, impact <= 30°±.
19. Sanla Ana	Injury	63 Oldemobile	12"	"B" Curb-Paved	Cable	Olds under cable, Cable ht. = 33" above ground,
20. San Bernardino	PDO	55 Buick	16'	"C-2" Curb-Poved	Cable	Buick over cable - mesh acted as a ramp.
21. San Bernardina	Injury	Trac, & Semi.	16'	"C"Curb-Payed	Cable	Truck over cables.
22. San Bernardino	Fatal	60 Corvette	16'	Flat & Poved	Cable	Corvelle under cables - driver decapitated.
23, San Bernardino	PDO	57 Ford	16'	"C" Curb - Paved	Cable	Ford over cables.
24. Bayshore	Injury	61 T-Bird	36'	Dirt-Fairly Flat	Cable	Hood of T-Bird under cobles.
25 Bayshore	Injury	62 T-Bird	36'	Dirt-Flat	Cable	T-Bird under cables (envelope), Cable ht.= 35"
26 Bayshore	Fotal	55 Corvette	36'	Dirt-Flat	Cable	Corvette under cables (envelope).
27. Bayshore	Injury	57 Buick Hardtop	36'	Dirt	Cable	Buick under cables - top of car torn off,
28. Nimilz	Injury	Alpha - Romeo	12	*8* Curb - Paved	Cable	Cor under cables.
29. Santa Ana	Fotol	Ponel - Truck	12'	*	Cable	Truck over barrier (head-on).
30. Harbor		Car	22'	Poved	Cable	Car over cables on a curve. Cable ht < 30".
31. Harbor	Fotot	Car	12'	Curbed	Beam	Car jumped beam
32. Boyshore	Injury	Austin - Healy	36'	Dirt-Flot	Cable	Cor under cobles.
33. Ventura	Fatal	60 Cadillac	22'	Paved	Cobie	Cad. over cables hil a 1951 Chevrolet head-on.
34. San Bernardino	PDO	60 Ford	16'	"C" Curb - Paved	Cable	Ford got on lon of cobles and volted
35. San Bernardino	Injury	59 Chevrolet	16'	"C-2" Curb-Poved	Cable	Chevrolet station wagon over cables
36. San Diego	Injury	57 Ford	22'	Dirt	Cable	Ford over cables. Berm - 3' from cables - cable was 36" above ground and 26"
37. Bayshore	Fotol	62 Pontiac	36'	Dirt	Cable	Cable ht. «18". Grade had been raised but cable had not. Pontiac hit a Karmen
38, Bayshore	Injury	63 Lincoln	30'	Ice Plant	Cable	HI. = 31-33". Lincoln went under cobles and across opposing fores. Took the top

"Not readily available

APPENDIX C

FATAL ACCIDENTS INVOLVING MEDIAN BARRIERS 1959 THROUGH 1963

FREEWAY	SEV- ERITY	VEHICLE	MEDIAN WIDTH	MEDIAN TYPE	BARRIER TYPE	REMARKS
I., Harbor	Fatcl	1950 Crosley Sta. Wagon	22'	Paved	Cable	Passenger ejected and killed.
2. San Bernardino	Fatal	Buick	16*	'C' Curb-Paved	Cable	Driver ejected and pinned under Buick which rolled 1 $^{\prime}\!\!/_2$ times.
3. Ventura	Fatal	58 Talbot	22'	Paved	Cable	Talbot hit barrier and spun, ejecting driver.
4. Golden State	Fatal	51 Chevrolet	22	Dirt	Cable	Driver found in back seat with head injury, ε^{*} berm 3' from barrier.
5. Golden State	Fatal	57 Volkswagon	22'	Dirt-Oleanders	Coble	Wheel come off - V.W. hit barrier and spun, ejecting 2 passengers.
6. Bayshore	Fatal	57 Volkswagon	36'	Dirt	Cable	Driver and possengers ejected and killed.
7, Nimitz	Fatal	Secton	12'	'D' Curb-Paved	Cable	Car struck cable and ejected passenger.
8. Nimitz	Fatci	Motorcycle	12'	Curbed	Cable	Motorcycle struck barrier and ejected driver.
9. San Bernardino	Fatal	Cor	16*	'C' Curb-Paved	Cable	Car struck barrier and ejected driver.
10 Nimitz	Fatal	Car	12*	*	Beam	Suicide - Driver left a note - Bounced off beam into bridge rail
U. Nimitz	Fatal	Truck	12*	Curb-Paved	Beam	Truck struck beam and ejected driver.
12. Bayshore	Fatel	52 Plym.	36'	Paved	Beam	Plymouth struck end of beam. No ejections.
13 Ventura	Fatal	61 Falcon	22'	Paved	Cable	Falcon struck barrier-Driver ejected.
14. Santa Ana	Fatel	Ford	6'-8'	Curb-Paved	Beam	Ford struck beam Passenger ejected.
15.Bayshore	Fatal	Kaiser	36'	Dirt	Cable	Kaiser was knocked into barrier - Driver ejected.
16. San Bernardino	Fatal	Oldsmobile	16'	'C' Curb-Paved	Cable	Olds struck cable rail and spun around - Driver ejected.
17. Harbor	Fatal	50 De Soto	22'	Paved	Cable	De Soto hit barrier and overturned.
18. San Bernardino	Fatcl	Pontiac Conv.	16*	'C' Curb-Paved	Cable	Pontiac lost wheel, hit barrier and overturned - Driver ejected
19. Golden State	Fatal	52 Chrysler	22'	Paved	Cable	Crysler hit barrier and spun-Driver ejected
20. San Bernardino	Fotcl	58 T-Bird	16'	'C' Curb-Paved	Cable	T-Bird hit barrier and spun – Driver ejected.
21.San Bernardino	Fatal	55 Euick	16*	'C' Curb-Paved	Coble	Buick hit barrier and rolled 11/2 times - Driver and passenger ejected.
22.Santa Ana	Fatal	52 Ford	6'-8'	Curb-Paved	Beam	Ford hit beam - Passenger ejected and run over.

*Not readily available