

maintenance budget for a network of that size is approximately \$4 570 000. Therefore, the annual cost of operation of PAVER would be less than 0.5 percent of the total budget. When this cost is compared with an expected annual cost avoidance of 10 percent of the total budget (based on estimates made by pavement engineers who currently use the system), the estimated return on investment is considerably high.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The views presented in this paper are ours and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Department of the Army or the U.S. Department of Defense.

#### REFERENCES

1. System 2000 Level 2 User Information Manual. Control Data Corp., Publ. 76074000, 1975.
2. M. Y. Shahin, M. I. Darter, F. M. Rozanski, and R. Stark. Development of an Installation Surfaced Area Maintenance and Repair Management System. U.S. Army Construction Engineering Research Laboratory, Technical Information Pamphlet C-49, Sept. 1975; NTIS, Springfield, VA, AD A017 328.
3. M. Y. Shahin, M. I. Darter, and S. D. Kohn. Development of a Pavement Maintenance Management System: Volume 1—Airfield Pavement Condition Rating. Air Force Civil Engineering Center, Technical Rept. AFEC-TR-76-27, Nov. 1976.
4. M. Y. Shahin, M. I. Darter, and S. D. Kohn. Development of a Pavement Maintenance Management System: Volume 2—Airfield Pavement Distress Identification Manual. Air Force Civil Engineering Center, Technical Rept. AFEC-TR-76-27, Nov. 1976.
5. M. Y. Shahin and others. Development of a Pavement Inspection Reference Manual. U.S. Army Construction Engineering Research Laboratory, Interim Rept., in preparation.
6. M. Y. Shahin and others. Pavement Inspection Reference Manual. U.S. Army Construction Engineering Research Laboratory, 2nd Ed., in preparation.
7. M. Y. Shahin, M. I. Darter, and S. D. Kohn. Development of a Pavement Maintenance Management System: Volume 3—Maintenance and Repair Guidelines for Airfield Pavements. Air Force Civil Engineering Center, in preparation.

*Publication of this paper sponsored by Committee on Maintenance and Operations Management.*

## Photologging and Roadway Information System

Tapan K. Datta, Wayne State University  
Michael J. Labadie, Goodell-Grivas, Inc.

Photologging was used as the data collection tool for developing a computerized roadway information system for Ann Arbor, Michigan. The study included the development of serviceability characteristics for all roadway segments in the city by use of various condition factors that have an impact on the service life of highways. A weighting scheme was also used to aggregate the serviceability characteristics. The entire data file has been computerized in such a way as to be capable of aggregating and summarizing various roadway characteristics. The data system is capable of being updated as required so that it is kept current at all times. The information system can be used for prioritizing roadway improvement works and in planning and budgetary decision making.

Knowledge of roadway conditions and geometric information are essential if municipal engineers are to perform rational operation and maintenance work in a community. Road maintenance work is often done as a result of routine inspection and public complaint and not on the basis of planned maintenance work. This, coupled with budget constraints, often leads to inadequate work and ultimately to deterioration of highways.

A highway needs study requires a careful assessment of the condition of the roadway for purposes of determining both short- and long-range highway improvement programs. In most communities, data for needs studies are based on visual inspection of roadways and subjective assessment of deficiencies. If roadway condition data are continuously collected and maintained, engi-

neers will be able to prepare realistic short- and long-range improvement plans, develop optimal maintenance programs and schedules, and maintain highways in better condition. The traditional visual inspection of roadways requires significant time and labor but may still produce inaccurate data as a result of subjective assessments, changing personnel, and distractions in the field.

Photologging and extraction of data under a controlled environment thus emerge as an alternative tool (1). This process involves photographing roadways from an instrumented vehicle with a 35-mm cine/pulse camera by using predetermined increments of distance for each picture frame. Each frame of the movie film has the street name, mileage (because units of measurement included in the process are formulated in U.S. customary units, no SI equivalents are given for generic terms), direction of travel, and a 10-digit auxiliary data display that is optically transmitted to the camera and superimposed on the bottom of each frame. The 10-digit display includes (a) the date, (b) the time of day, (c) resolution, and (d) major street, state street, or local street code. To establish footage in addition to mileage, a grid overlay is used during the data extraction process. This allows the viewer to establish a distance between frames and thus provides greater accuracy.



Table 1. Roadway serviceability categories.

Criterion	Serviceability Category				
	5	4	3	2	1
Roughness	Very smooth	Good	Fair	Poor	Very rough
Cracking	None	Few	Moderate	Many	Very serious
Utility cuts	None	Some in good condition	Moderate amount in fair condition	Many with few in fair condition	Many in poor condition
Curb and gutter	New or very good	Good	Fair	Poor in spots or cracking	None or very poor
Sidewalk	New or very good	Good	Fair	Poor	None or very poor
Overall impression	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	Very poor

where

- V<sub>1</sub> = roughness rating,
- V<sub>2</sub> = cracking rating,
- V<sub>3</sub> = utility cuts rating,
- V<sub>4</sub> = curb and gutter rating,
- V<sub>5</sub> = sidewalk rating,
- V<sub>6</sub> = overall impression rating, and
- W<sub>1</sub>... W<sub>6</sub> = corresponding weights (as described earlier).

The higher the serviceability factor is, the better is the condition of the roadway and the longer is the expected service life. The weights used in the project were developed on the basis of a previous highway needs study for the city of Ann Arbor.

RESULTS

When the data have been compiled in the computer, they are run through two programs to produce the final output. The first program computes the location (frame count and grid footage) of the beginning point cross street and ending point cross street to establish the segment length. Next, the location of a change in roadway geometries in the segment (if any) is calculated, and the nearest beginning or ending point from the nearest cross-street location is determined and retained for use in the final output program. The roadway serviceability rating for the segment is then computed and retained for the final output. This program also computes the

pavement width of the segment by using the previously input measurements. The method of computation used here is presented by Pryor and Miller (3).

The second program formats the data, sorts them alphabetically according to street name, assigns line numbers, and then prints the final output listing (Figure 2). The final output includes the following items for each roadway segment:

1. Name of street;
2. Direction of travel;
3. Beginning point cross street of the roadway segment;
4. Ending point cross street of the roadway segment;
5. Length of the roadway segment;
6. Roadway characteristic, e.g., type of roadway or geometric change such as addition of a turn lane or change in pavement width (if there is no change in this criterion, this column remains blank);
7. Reference point of the geometric change, if any (the location of the roadway geometric change is referenced by printing the distance, direction, and cross-street name of the beginning point or ending point of the roadway segment that is nearest the change);
8. Number of through lanes on the roadway segment;
9. Number of turn lanes on the roadway segment;
10. Type of surface;
11. Roughness characteristic of the segment;
12. Roadway surface cracking;
13. Utility cuts on the pavement;

Figure 2. Roadway data report.

CITY OF ANN ARBOR DEPT. OF STREETS, TRAFFIC AND PARKING : ROADWAY DATA REPORT AS OF 09-21-78 PAGE 2

---

\*\*\*\*\*  
 \* STREET NAME - ROSEDALE STREET TYPE - LOCAL DIRECTION OF TRAVEL - SOUTH \*  
 \*\*\*\*\*

BEGINNING STREET	ENDING STREET	SGMT LEN	ROADWAY CHARACTER	REFERENCE PT DIST	THR LN	TRN LN	SURF TYPE	CURB TYPE	WALK TYPE	SERVICEABILITY RATING	SRV	STRID	DATE	LINE NUMBER														
PACKARD	1ST AUBIN	301			2	0	BIT	CONC	N/A	3	3	4	3	1	3	61	27	0976	01000001									
1ST AUBIN	REDWOOD	998			2	0	BIT	CONC	N/A	3	3	4	3	1	3	61	27	0976	01100001									
REDWOOD	ISHARON	80	END SDWK W	80	8	BEG	2	0	BIT	CONC	CONC	3	3	4	3	3	1	3	63	27	0976	01200001						
REDWOOD	ISHARON	121	END SDWK E	121	8	BEG	2	0	BIT	CONC	CONC	3	3	4	3	3	1	3	63	27	0976	01300001						
REDWOOD	ISHARON	226	BEG SDWK W	226	8	BEG	2	0	BIT	CONC	N/A	3	3	4	3	1	3	1	3	61	27	0976	01400001					
REDWOOD	ISHARON	38	END SDWK W	38	8	BEG	2	0	BIT	CONC	CONC	3	3	4	3	3	1	3	1	3	63	27	0976	01500001				
REDWOOD	ISHARON	33	BEG SDWK E	33	8	BEG	2	0	BIT	CONC	N/A	3	3	4	3	1	3	1	3	1	3	61	27	0976	01600001			
REDWOOD	ISHARON	75	END SDWK E	75	8	BEG	2	0	BIT	CONC	CONC	3	3	4	3	3	1	3	1	3	1	3	63	27	0976	01700001		
REDWOOD	ISHARON	68	BEG SDWK W	68	8	BEG	2	0	BIT	CONC	N/A	3	3	4	3	1	3	1	3	1	3	1	3	61	27	0976	01800001	
REDWOOD	ISHARON	111					2	0	BIT	CONC	CONC	3	3	4	3	3	1	3	1	3	1	3	1	3	63	27	0976	01900001

TOTAL STREET LENGTH = 1840

