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A summary is presented of research undertaken to develop a rural two-lane, two-way computer simulation model that could be used by the highway design practitioner to measure and evaluate traffic-flow consequences for various alternatives considered during the roadway design process. To this end, a microscopic computer simulation model written in FORTRAN was developed. For the simulation roadway, the model can incorporate vertical grades, intersecting side roads, climbing lanes, and non-passing zones. Traffic and speed data used by the model include driver desired speeds, overall posted speed for the highway, localized speed restrictions, individual main-road traffic lane volumes, side-road traffic volumes, vehicle composition in five categories, and vehicle acceleration and deceleration characteristics. Throughput statistics for use in design evaluation include distributions of space mean speed and speed change and a traffic-flow quality index. Effects on traffic flow of spot improvements in roadway geometry or traffic control can be obtained by placing windows in the program at specified locations. Output data are summarized and reported at user-specified time intervals. By using a FORTRAN level H compiler, the simulation model has been run on an IBM 370/168 computer. For an 8000-m (4.9-mile) long roadway and a real-time simulation of 3600 s, as two-way traffic volume varied from 400 to 800 vehicles/h, the actual computer time varied from 28.3 to 62.3 s. Model validation tests were performed and the results were found to be consistent with actual traffic-flow patterns. In addition, the model was applied to an actual field site, where the base condition and three redesign alternatives were simulated.

Highway engineers normally develop a number of preliminary design alternatives. These alternatives are then evaluated on the basis of environmental impact, cost, and traffic operation. The impact of an alternative on the environment is analyzed by comparing the "before highway location" situation with the "after highway location" situation. The cost study is a general economic analysis and involves an estimation of construction costs and vehicle operating costs. Traffic operation studies for preliminary design alternatives include estimation of traffic performance resulting from vehicle-roadway interactions. Due to its complexity and its often random nature, traffic flow on highways cannot be characterized in a straightforward manner. The highway engineer resorts to empirical relations based on real-world observations. Even though these relations provide a general idea of the nature of traffic operations, they are not sensitive enough to detect either roadway traffic-flow interactions for any individual design alternative or the differences in these interactions between two or more alternative designs.

Since the development of large-scale, high-speed computers, engineers have had available a technique for simulating those systems that require empirical study. In 1954, the first traffic simulation model in the United States was processed on a digital computer. Since then, many computer simulation models have been developed to describe traffic flow at either the macro or micro level. None of the models developed in the past, however, is able to simulate traffic flow on a rural two-lane roadway without major restrictions on the input roadway.

The object of the research reported in this paper was to develop a computer model for microsimulation of traffic flow on two-lane, two-way highways for the roadway and traffic volumes that are normally found on this class of highway. The following functional capabilities were deemed to be desirable in the computer model:

1. The model should be able to vary the directional distribution of traffic volumes and to accom-
moderate a number of different types of automobiles and trucks.

2. The headways assigned to vehicles should represent those found on two-lane highways.

3. The model should have the potential to incorporate minor crossroads where vehicles can either enter or leave the main simulation roadway.

4. The model should have the capability to permit individual vehicles to overtake and pass each other.

5. The user of the model should be able to define no-passing zones where vehicle overtaking and passing are prohibited.

6. The model should permit the user to place spot-speed restrictions at specific locations due to sharp curves, narrow pavement, or minor road intersections or at any other location where safety or vehicle operation requires a reduced speed.

7. The vertical grades on the simulation roadway should have the capability to interact with the performance characteristics of vehicles and affect vehicle operation where appropriate.

8. The model should be able to handle simulation roadway lengths normally found in roadway design.

It is anticipated that the model developed in this study will provide engineers with a useful and efficient method for evaluating roadway design alternatives. After the preliminary design stage, when design alternatives are formulated, engineers can use the model to simulate traffic operations on each alternative. The output from the model can provide statistics for the evaluation of traffic operations, level of service, air pollution, and road user costs. This output information can be used to evaluate each alternative by itself as well as in comparison with other alternatives. This evaluation process can be used to provide additional information for the decision maker who is choosing among alternatives.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Gerlough (1) has suggested that there are two methods available for simulating traffic flow. The first method is a physical representation in which each vehicle is portrayed as a binary one and the roadway is portrayed as a group of memory cells. Rules are interjected to regulate the movement of the vehicles. The second method is the memorandum method, in which each vehicle on the simulation roadway carries a file that contains all the physical information on the vehicle, such as position, ordinates, speed, gap, and traveling time. These files are periodically updated. Since the second method requires less computer time than the first, it was the one chosen for this study.

Computer Simulation Models for Two-Lane, Two-Way Highways

Janoff and Cassel (2) of the Franklin Institute Research Laboratories developed a computer traffic-flow model that simulated vehicle movement on a two-lane highway. The roadway configuration includes no-passing zones, sight-distance restrictions, and grades for each traffic lane at any given location along the simulation roadway. Vehicle speeds and headways are generated according to volume-speed and volume-headway relations taken from the 1965 Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) (3). Using roadway and traffic data as input, the model simulates traffic movement according to the conditions surrounding a particular vehicle. Output data from the model can be summarized for any desired time interval during the simulated real-time period.

Heimbach and others (4) modified the Franklin Institute simulation model and developed the North Carolina State University (NCSU) model for the purpose of investigating the no-passing-zone configuration on rural two-lane highways in relation to throughput volumes. Two subroutines, designated Truck-On-Grade and Car-Exit, and one main routine, called Speed-Headway, were added to the Franklin Institute model. The Truck-On-Grade subroutine makes it possible to duplicate the existing range of grades on two-lane, rural highways in North Carolina. The Speed-Headway program resulted from a need to generate speed and headway distributions for simulation that would match those found in the field. After comparing headway field data collected from several sections of primary highways in North Carolina with output data from calibrated headway distribution models such as the Negative Exponential, Pearson Type III, Schuhl, Schuhl-Pearson Type III, Schuhl-Negative Exponential, and Modified Schuhl models, they found the Schuhl model best fitted the data collected from the field. This study indicated that, when the NCSU model is used, field and simulation data can be closely matched.

Boal (5) presented a paper at the Seventh Conference of the Australian Road Research Board in 1974 on his computer simulation model for a two-lane highway. In his model, the simulation roadway is assumed to be straight and flat. The model is able to simulate the passing-overtaking maneuver. Stock and May (6) used a simulation model to evaluate the capacity of a two-lane road. The model they used is able to handle a simulation road that has high-design standards only. For example, speed restrictions due to design features cannot be simulated. Because the only interaction between the two opposite traffic flows is the passing maneuver, the passing gap acceptance is a function of the mean speed of the opposite flow. To simplify the simulation process, only one direction of traffic is explicitly simulated. The other direction of traffic moves at a constant speed with random headways.

Driver-Vehicle-Highway Relations

To support the development of a microsimulation model, there must be sufficient knowledge regarding vehicle behavior and the driver and roadway. In this respect, the journals are replete with articles indicating a number of driver-vehicle-highway relations that are useful in the development of a simulation model.

Schuhl (7) has suggested that headways on two-lane highways appear to consist of two subsets, one related to free-flowing cars and the other related to cars whose performance is constrained by the traffic ahead. Driver-vehicle behavior in a traffic platoon where there is no passing has been characterized as a stimulus-response situation. In stimulus-response models, the response of any driver in terms of instantaneous acceleration is characterized to be a function of the difference in velocity between his or her vehicle and the vehicle immediately ahead (8). Leong (9) studied the free-flow speed of vehicles on two-lane, two-way highways in New South Wales and found that desired speeds could be represented by a normal distribution density function. St. John and Kobett (10) analyzed the acceleration rate used by drivers and found that, if acceleration is not constrained by vehicle performance limits, drivers seem to choose an acceleration that can be expressed as a linear function of the difference between the driver's desired speed and current speed. Gerlough and Hubert (11) have noted that, at a "stop-controlled" intersection, the driver's gap-acceptance distribution can be char-
characterized by either an Erlang or lognormal distribution. Finally, the work of Taragin [12] suggests that the relation between highway operational speed and the degree of a horizontal curve is approximately linear.

Conclusions from Literature Review

From the literature reviewed, it can be concluded that, although much effort has been devoted to the development of computer models for the simulation of traffic flow since 1954, very few of these models are applicable to two-lane, two-way highways. The few exceptions are the Franklin Institute model, the NCSC model, the Boal model, and the Stock and May model. These models are able to simulate traffic on a rural two-lane highway with varying grades and no-passing-zone configurations. These models are limited, however, to minimal traffic entering or leaving the main roadway.

Finally, there does appear to be sufficient knowledge concerning real-world discrete vehicle behavior on a roadway to make it possible to model this behavior mathematically.

TRAFFIC-FLOW SIMULATION MODEL

In setting about to develop a computer simulation model for two-lane roadways, we developed a more explicit set of functional specifications. It was felt that the model should

1. Be capable of being understood well enough by the roadway design practitioner that he or she would feel comfortable in using it to test design alternatives;
2. Permit the user to locate speed restriction zones, no-passing zones, vertical grades, horizontal curves, minor side-road intersections, and passing bays or climbing lanes at any point along the simulation;
3. Accept a simulation roadway up to 13 km (8.1 miles) in length;
4. Be able to accommodate traffic leaving and entering the main simulation roadway via minor side roads;
5. Be able to simulate maximum hourly traffic volumes and directional distribution by traffic lanes that are found in the field;
6. Be able to accommodate vehicle overtaking and passing maneuvers;
7. Be able to simulate a number of different types of passenger cars and trucks, each with different acceleration and deceleration characteristics;
8. Permit the user to input typical speed and headway distributions found in the field;
9. Provide for interaction between the vehicle acceleration and deceleration characteristics and the horizontal and vertical alignment design and traffic control specified for the simulation roadway;
10. Have the capacity for at least 75 min of real-time simulation;
11. Provide real-time simulation that is efficient in terms of consumption of actual computer time;
12. Express throughput data characterizing simulation in statistics that are readily understood and usable by the roadway design practitioner in evaluation of design alternatives;
13. Make summarized, aggregate throughput data available for a few seconds' duration to at least 1 h of time; and
14. Enable the user to output simulation data for a number of spot locations throughout the simulation roadway.

Characteristics

By using the functional specifications outlined above, a computer model was developed that simulated traffic flow on a general two-lane, two-way roadway on a vehicle-by-vehicle basis. The Simulation of Vehicular Traffic (SOVT) model is written in FORTRAN and has been tested on an IBM 370/165 computer. The model permits vehicles to follow each other in the same direction in an orderly fashion and also permits vehicles that are moving faster to overtake and pass slower-moving vehicles. In the latter case, the decision to pass is based on the oncoming-traffic situation.

The upper limit for simulation traffic volumes is a function of traffic density and roadway length. For example, assuming a two-way traffic volume of 2000 vehicles/h and a throughput speed of 88 km/h (60 miles/h), the simulation roadway can be as long as 12 km (7.4 miles). Any directional distribution of traffic volume is acceptable. Any percentage distribution of five vehicle types is also acceptable. Acceleration and deceleration characteristics for these vehicles are defined by the user. Individual input speed distribution for each type of vehicle is also defined by the user.

With respect to the simulation roadway, the model accepts roadway lengths of 2-12 km (1.25-7.5 miles). At any point along the roadway, the user is able to specify for each traffic lane the location of speed-restriction zones. These restrictions may be due to sharp horizontal curves, narrow roadway widths, sight-distance restrictions, or school zones. The user is also able to specify the magnitude of vertical gradients, both positive and negative, and no-passing zones. The latter can be a section where sight distance is less than the minimum passing sight distance or an area where passing is prohibited to ensure safe vehicle operation. The model provides for interaction between the vehicle and the simulation roadway where individual vehicles encounter speed restrictions, vertical grades, or no-passing barriers.

The user is also able to designate as many as eight minor stop-controlled crossroads along the simulation section. Vehicles from the major roadway are able to exit onto the minor roadway by executing either a right or left turn. Vehicles from the minor roadway can also enter the major roadway by executing a right or left turn. The user can specify the total volume and vehicle composition of all vehicles entering and leaving the roadway as well as the percentage of directional turning movements at each minor intersection. Within the simulation roadway, the user has the option of designating the location of any passing bays or climbing lanes that permit traffic in one direction to operate over two traffic lanes in the same direction.

There is total simulation time for the model is defined by the user. Due to the variation of hourly traffic distribution, prolonged real-time simulation time is unrealistic. A total real time of not more than 1.5 h is reasonable. Within the total real time being simulated, the user is able to specify intermediate time intervals for which aggregate throughput statistics can be summarized and reported.

Description

There are two main steps in the simulation process. Figure 1 shows a simplified diagram that illustrates the functional processing steps for the SOVT model. In the first step, three sets of data are input into the Speed-Headway Program. These data include traffic data (traffic volume, maximum headway, and vehicle composition), roadway data (length of simu-
Figure 1. Processing steps for SOVT model.

1. **ROADWAY DATA**
   - Instruction
   - Speed Headway Program
   - Traffic Data

2. **VEHICLE QUEUES**
   - Instruction
   - Traffic Simulation Program
   - Traffic Operation Summary
   - Roadway Data

- **MODEL VALIDATION**

The purpose of model validation is to verify that the computer model developed is so structured that the movement of vehicles on the simulation roadway approximates that of vehicles on an actual roadway. Because of financial limitations, no field data were collected to validate this model. Instead, a hypothetical 8000-m (26250-ft) roadway section is used to check the reasonableness of simulation output. Different sets of data are input into the model to simulate traffic flow. The results of these simulation runs are compared to verify that the model does indeed generate traffic-flow data that have a pattern similar to that normally found on actual highways. Four check stations are located at 1000, 3000, 5000, and 7000 m (3280, 9843, 16404, and 22966 ft), respectively, from the beginning of the roadway section.

### Desired Speed Versus Throughput Speed

To analyze desired speed versus throughput speed, the hypothetical roadway section is assumed to be flat (0 percent grade) and to have neither speed-restriction zones nor no-passing barriers and to carry one type of passenger car that is equal to one American full-sized (type 1) car. The experiment is a 3x4 factorial design that consists of three levels of mean desired speed and four levels of standard deviation of speed.

The mean desired speed varies from 87.66 to 102.06 km/h (54.5-63.4 miles/h), and the standard deviation of speed varies from 9.97 to 15.37 km/h (6.2-9.6 miles/h). Traffic volumes are 400 vehicles/h on lane 1 and 200 vehicles/h on lane 2. The results are shown in Figure 2. As deviation of speed (a) among the vehicles on the road decreases, the overall travel speed (space mean speed) increases. When speed approaches 0--i.e., the desired speeds for all vehicles on the road are equal—the space mean speed reaches its maximum value, which approaches the mean desired speed.

### Input Volume Versus Throughput Speed

In the analysis of input volume versus throughput speed, it is again assumed that the simulation section is flat and devoid of passing barriers and that it consists of type 1 cars only. Lane 2 volume is held constant at 200 vehicles/h. Lane 1 volume is allowed to vary from 200 to 500 vehicles/h in increments of 100 vehicles/h. Input volume versus throughput speed is shown in Figure 3.

When one compares the space mean speed with operating speed from the 1965 HCM (3), it is found that the model generates throughput data similar to those values shown in the HCM, as shown in Figure 3.

### Grade, No-Passing Barriers, and Truck Percentage Versus Throughput Speed

To investigate the throughput effect of grade, percentage of no-passing barriers, and the composition of vehicle types, a 3x3x3 factorial experiment was performed. Three levels were designated for each of these three factors. To simplify the effect of the vehicle types, only two types of vehicles were defined: the full-sized car and the semitrailer truck.

By using FORTRAN H-level compiler, this model has been run on an IBM 370/165 computer. On an 8000-m (4.9-mile) roadway and with a simulation time of 3600 s, as traffic volume varies from 400 to 800 vehicles/h, the actual computer time varies from 28.3 to 62.3 s.
The three levels of vehicle composition of cars and trucks were 100 percent cars and 0 percent trucks, 95 percent cars and 5 percent trucks, and 90 percent cars and 10 percent trucks. The three levels of vertical grades were 0, 2, and 4 percent, and the three levels of no-passing barriers were 0, 20, and 40 percent. Input traffic volumes were held constant throughout these simulations. Lane 1 volume was set at 400 vehicles/h and lane 2 volume at 200 vehicles/h. The results of these simulation runs are shown in Figure 4. From the middle and right-hand graphs, it is obvious that the interactions between grade and percentage of no-passing barriers and between percentage of no-passing barriers and percentage of trucks are minor. The left-hand graph shows that, as grades become steeper, the effect of truck percentage on throughput speed is greater. This can be explained by the restriction of truck acceleration capability due to steep grade.

Crossroad Traffic Volume Versus Throughput Speed

To investigate the effect of crossroad traffic, the same 8000-m hypothetical roadway is used. The following input data are used for these test runs:

1. Lane volume is 400 and 200 vehicles/h for lanes 1 and 2, respectively.
2. The three levels of crossroad traffic volume are 0, 50, and 100 vehicles/h.
3. Mean desired speed is 87.66 km/h (54.5 miles/h), and standard deviation of desired speed is 9.97 km/h (6.2 miles/h) on the main roadway.
4. Four internal check stations were located at 1000, 3950, 4050, and 7000 m (3280, 12963, 13283, 8000 m).
and 22 966 ft) from the beginning of the simulation section.

5. The vertical grade is 0 percent.
6. The traffic stream includes only one type of car.
7. A no-passing barrier is specified at 3930-4000 m (2894-13 123 ft) from the beginning of the section.
8. A crossroad is located 4000 m from the beginning of the section.

The simulation results are summarized below (1 km = 0.62 mile):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crossroad Volume (vehicles/h)</th>
<th>Throughput Speed (km/h)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>85.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>85.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>83.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Throughput speed showed very little difference while crossroad traffic increased from 0 to 50 vehicles/h. However, there was a more noticeable difference in throughput speed when crossroad traffic increased from 50 to 100 vehicles/h. The results of a Kolmogorov-Smirnov test indicated that the speed distribution at the crossroad intersection is not significantly different for crossroad traffic of 0 and 50 vehicles/h. Yet it is significantly different for crossroad traffic of 50 and 100 vehicles/h. These results can be interpreted as follows:

1. When traffic volumes are relatively low, the effect of crossroad traffic on the throughput speed is very minor.
2. As traffic volume from the crossroad increases, the effect of crossroad traffic becomes greater and the throughput speed is significantly reduced.

Effect of Other Factors

Speed-restriction zones, passing bays (climbing lanes), and crossroads can be defined in the SOVT model. The effectiveness of these parameters was tested by using the same hypothetical roadway. The following input values were used for these test runs:

1. Lane volume is 400 and 200 vehicles/h for lanes 1 and 2, respectively.
2. Roadway length is 8000 m.
3. Mean desired speed is 87.66 km/h (54.5 miles/h), and standard deviation of desired speed is 9.97 km/h (6.2 miles/h).
4. Four check stations were located at 1000, 3000, 5000, and 7000 m (3280, 9843, 16 404, and 22 966 ft) from the beginning of the simulation section.
5. Only two vehicle types were defined.

For the above data, two sets of with and without conditions were simulated. Input data for these two sets were as follows:

1. With climbing lane and without climbing lane--(a) vertical grade of 4 percent, (b) 10 percent trucks, (c) no-passing barriers at 900-1100 m (2953-3609 ft) and 7100-7300 m (23 294-23 950 ft) from the beginning of the section, and (d) a climbing lane added at 1100-7100 m (3609-23 294 ft) from the beginning of the section; and
2. With speed restriction zone and without speed restriction zone--(a) vertical grade of 0 percent, (b) 0 percent trucks, (c) no-passing barrier at 4000-6000 m (13 123-19 685 ft), and (d) speed-restriction zone at 4000-6000 m with mean input speed of 72 km/h (44.7 miles/h) and standard deviation of input speed of 7.2 km/h (4.5 miles/h).

The results of these comparison simulation runs are summarized as follows:

1. Without a climbing lane, the space mean speed is 82.51 km/h (51.6 miles/h), and average speed at check station 3 (a point two-thirds of the way up the 4 percent grade) is 81.62 km/h (50.7 miles/h). After a climbing lane was added, space mean speed increased 2.4 km/h (1.5 miles/h) to 85.1 km/h (52.9 miles/h), and average speed at check station 3 increased 5.5 km/h (3.4 miles/h) to 87.14 km/h (54.1 miles/h). If one uses the Kolmogorov-Smirnov significance test with 95 percent confidence, the difference between these two sets of data is significant. These results show a definite improvement in traffic flow when a climbing lane is added to the steep grade.

2. With a speed-restriction zone, space mean speed is 79.32 km/h (49.3 miles/h), and average speed at check station 3 (a point inside the speed-restriction zone) is 68.34 km/h (42.5 miles/h). After eliminating the speed-restriction zone, simulation results showed a space mean speed of 67.97 km/h (42.5 miles/h) and an average speed at check station 3 of 83.28 km/h (51.7 miles/h). The results of the Kolmogorov-Smirnov significance test show that the speed distribution at the end of the speed-restriction zone is significantly different. These results show a negative effect on speed when a speed-restriction zone is imposed on the simulation section. These results also demonstrate the effectiveness of the speed-restriction-zone mechanism in the SOVT model.

MODEL APPLICATION

In order to test the different features of the model, an actual field site was chosen. The test section was a two-lane highway approximately 8-10 km (5-6.2 miles) long that had the following characteristics:

1. No signalized or major intersections,
2. At least one minor stop-controlled intersection,
3. Sections where speed is restricted due to either geometry or regulation,
4. Numerous no-passing barriers, and
5. A variety of vertical grades.

Test Site

A section of US-64 between Raleigh and Pittsboro in Chatham County, North Carolina, was chosen for the test run. This site begins at the end of a four-lane divided section west of the New Hope Reservoir and runs to a point approximately 200 m (655 ft) east of the Pittsboro city limits. It was chosen because it is a typical rural highway with very few driveways and fulfills the required conditions. The total length of this section is 10 782 m (6.7 miles).

Existing Condition

Vertical grades, horizontal curves, crossroads, no-passing barriers, and speed restriction zones were measured in the field. The field data were checked against records contained in a road inventory sheet prepared by the North Carolina Department of Transportation.

This section of US-64 is located in rolling ter-
US-64 is an alternative corridor for diverting traffic from the already congested I-85 corridor. To improve the capacity and level of service on this section of highway, several alternatives were developed. These alternatives can be described as follows:

1. In alternative 1, the existing narrow bridge is replaced by a bridge 13.5 m (44 ft) wide. This will eliminate the speed-restriction zone and the no-passing barrier at the bridge. In addition, with minimum construction work, the sharp curves at station 59+00 can be flattened; i.e., the speed-restriction zone and the no-passing barriers from station 59+00 to station 65+18 can be eliminated.

2. In alternative 2, besides the restrictions listed in alternative 1, it is found that the long upgrade for eastbound traffic from station 48+00 to 29+00 is too long and too steep for heavy trucks to climb. To improve this situation, a climbing lane is proposed.

3. In alternative 3, it is proposed that all sharp curves be flattened and that all speed-restriction zones, except one that has been posted with a lower speed limit because of the transition from rural to urban speed limit at the west end of the test section, be eliminated.

Result of the Simulation

Traffic flow for the base condition and the three improvement alternatives was simulated by the SOVT model. Speed profiles for all alternatives are shown in Figure 6. The simulation results, which are summarized in Table 1, show increasing speed and reduced delay as the quality of highway improvements increases. These results also indicate the changes in traffic flow that an engineer can expect as a result of different levels of roadway improvement.

When all of this traffic operational information is used in conjunction with engineering cost estimates, roadway design engineers can determine trade-off points and recommend a proposed design.

CONCLUSIONS

From the preceding analysis, it can be concluded that
highway features including climbing lanes, speed-reduction zones, no-passing-zone barriers, stop-controlled crossroads, varying vertical grades, and overtaking and passing of vehicles, can successfully simulate traffic flow on two-lane roadways.

2. The model is able to generate throughput that is consistent with actual traffic-flow patterns; and

3. The throughput data can be used to evaluate roadway design alternatives.
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Applying the Level-of-Service Concept to Climbing Lanes

ABISHAI POLUS, JOSEPH CRAUS, AND ITZHAK GRINBERG

A summary is presented of one phase of a multiphase study that deals with heavy-vehicle flow on downgrades and is concerned with geometric and flow warrants for the inclusion of truck climbing lanes on two-lane rural highways. One criterion for the introduction of climbing lanes on two-lane rural highways for both upgrade and downgrade directions is based on the level-of-service concept; another criterion is based on speed reduction. A suggested set of volume graphs is devised for the level-of-service criterion, and extensive use is made of a previously developed model for truck equivalency factors. A scheme for the relative location and signing of climbing lanes is also presented.

Current traffic composition on most highways includes an increasing number of trucks and other heavy vehicles, the relatively poor performance of which greatly affects the freedom of operation of traffic, particularly on grades. The result is an increase in the potential for accidents and a reduction in level of service. The winding two-lane rural road with steep grades and significant truck volume is familiar to many designers and to drivers, who suffer from the greatly restricted capacity of such sections. These developments have heightened the concern of highway engineers over the severity of accidents on rural roads. Since it is fairly well recognized that most accidents between private cars and heavy vehicles are severe in nature, it can readily be understood why certain preventive design measures are necessary.

The provision of appropriate climbing lanes on different grades along rural highways is considered one possible measure for improving safety and capacity. The need for such measures increases when volume computations indicate that an upgrade or downgrade experiences a low level of service or causes capacity to be exceeded.

This paper, which describes one phase of a multiphase study dealing with heavy vehicles on two-lane rural highways, is concerned with geometric and flow warrants for the inclusion of truck climbing lanes and signing of climbing lanes.