# Transportation Employment as a Source of Regional Economic Growth: A Shift-Share Approach

GRAHAM S. TOFT and ROGER R. STOUGH

### ABSTRACT

The U.S. economy is experiencing major structural and regional adjustments as it develops into an information-oriented society. Traditional (heavy) manufacturing is threatened by overseas competition while young high technology and service industries are burgeoning. In addition, a major shift in population and employment is observed from Old North to Old South and to the West. Such economic and societal transformations can be expected to affect significantly the transportation economy. By means of a shift-share analysis, the changing size and distribution of transportation employment between 1969 and 1982 is documented. The Northeast and Midwest are losing competitive share in all two-digit standard industrial classification (SIC) transportation categories except transportation services. In this paper background is provided for regional theorists interested in regional configurations, transportation planners, and economic development specialists determined to capitalize on comparative advantages of their respective states and localities. The aim is to document, rather than explain, regional shifts in transportation employment.

The quest to determine relationships between transportation, investments, and economic activity has proven to be more complicated and intractable than originally conceived. Location theorists have demonstrated that transportation in the abstract is a major determinant in location decisions and urban or regional form  $(\underline{1},\underline{2})$ . However, the impacts of investments in many basic forms of public works are indirect, subtle, and possibly variable over the growth stages of an urban or regional economy.

Attempts to demonstrate these relationships focus on one or the other side of the "chicken and egg" problem: Transportation investments affect economic growth, while economic activity spurs transportation development. Even if a complex model of simultaneous equations were built, findings would probably be inconclusive because of uncontrollable variables. Furthermore, the marginal productivity of infrastructure investment over the life cycle of regional economies is seldom considered. Research findings do suggest that in the early stages a highway network exhibits developmental stimulus, while in later stages it acts more as an agent of personal mobility (3,4).

In this paper a different approach is taken to the transportation-economy question by examining shift in the size and location of the transportation sector of the economy. The U.S. economy is at an advanced stage of sophistication in the sense that the transportation infrastructure and related economic institutions are well established. The distribution and spatial and temporal changes of transpor-

G.S. Toft, Institute for Interdisciplinary Engineering Studies, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Ind. 47907. Current affiliation: Office of Fiscal and Management Analysis, Legislative Services Agency, Statehouse, Indianapolis, Ind. 46204. R.R. Stough, School of Public and Environmental Affairs, Indiana University, Bloomington, Ind. 47401.

tation employment are of considerable interest to state and local economic development and transportation planning officials.

The purpose of this paper is to document regional shifts in transportation employment that result from, or possibly stimulate, regional shifts in U.S. economic activity, especially from North to South and West. The paper is only indirectly concerned with transportation investments as a measure of industry economic activity. Focus is on general economic activity in transportation that is driven, interalia, by regional economic vitality and the availability of prior and ongoing infrastructure investments.

From a theoretical viewpoint, this study adds to documentation of major regional and axial shifts now occurring in the U.S. spatial economy. For infrastructure planners and economic development specialists, it provides information on regions and states that are winning and losing in the transportation sector and where opportunities for growth in transportation employment might lie.

## BACKGROUND

### Approaches

Approaches to the study of transportation and economy have focused largely at the macro- or microlevels. Studies at the mesolevel such as that presented in this paper are in shorter supply.

At the macrolevel, cost of transportation considerations have been central to the formulation of location theories (1) and urban spatial structure models (2). Alongside this theory-building, numerous empirical studies explored transportation as a derived demand, dependent on economic activities, or as a determinant of new production possibilities and demographic change. Using multivariate statistical techniques, some generalizations for highway networks include the following:

- In the early stage of the development of a regional economy the highway network is insufficient to stimulate development,
- In the second stage it is an agent for physical development, and
- 3. In the third state it shifts to becoming a mobility asset  $(\underline{3},\underline{4})$ . Known as the "saturation shift theory,"  $(\underline{5})$  these findings feature transportation as a shifting stimulus to economic activity over regional economic growth stages. Shifts are also observable in terms of the location and distribution of transportation employment in mature economies as discussed later.

At the microlevel much is known about the relationships between new transportation investment and economic development. Indirect local economic and developmental impacts of transit investment, for example, can be characterized and operationalized in computer impact models (5).

It is at the mesolevel that economy and transportation studies appear to be less abundant. Wheat pointed out in 1969 that little was known about whether superior transportation significantly influences manufacturing growth in small to midsized urban areas. Apart from his work in the early 1970s, little is known about a topic that has potentially far-reaching implications for highway and airport investment strategies to enhance economic development. The quest to position regions, states, and localities for economic opportunities and growth generates a need for semiaggregated or segmented data. This paper adds to this body of literature by segmenting growth in transportation employment subcategories for the United States using shift-share analysis.

# Regional and Axial Shifts in the U.S. Spatial Economy

The Northeast and Midwest are losing out to growth in the Southeast, South, Southwest, and West. This is evidenced by population movements, as well as shifts in industrial location and employment. The Northeast always has been a net out-migration region. The sudden change in pace and destination of population movement beginning in the 1970s is significant. Although the West and Southwest show gains in population, dramatic growth appears in the South. This might be interpreted as a direct transfer from the Old North to the Old South (8).

Among the many factors hypothesized as contributing to this regional reorientation is the controversial notion that an axial shift is occurring in transportation movements. Vining et al. point to an obscure proposition that the natural grain of the U.S. landscape is north-south, and that high costs are associated with maintaining the principal eastwest axis of the U.S. space economy (8). Given a weakening of conservative values and a liberalizing of institutions in the South after the 1960s, along with such physical improvements as air-conditioned comfort, the South has shown rapid growth since the mid-1960s.

### Research Question

The central focus for inquiry is whether regional and axial shifts are having an effect on the spatial pattern of the transportation sector. Particular attention is given to north-south shifts in the share of transportation employment as indicated between the East North Central states of Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Ohio, and Wisconsin; and the East South

Central, and South Atlantic states (Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Mississippi, North Carolina, and South Carolina). Six of the top ten trucking states are included in this group (9).

### DATA

As is commonly the case in shift-share studies, this analysis uses employment data as an indicator of industry economic activity. For an industry such as transportation, in which many of the work elements are nonroutine, and thus dependent on human resources, employment is a sound surrogate measure of general economic activity. County business patterns are used for employment data. The advantages of these data are that data from this source are provided annually allowing selection of appropriate years for comparison, and employment data are available by standard industrial classification (SIC) to two or more digits by state. Disadvantages of these data are that they do not include railroad workers, single employed persons, and government workers (nonfederal) and that some definitions have changed during the past 20 years causing some discontinuities for trendline analysis (10).

The period 1969 through 1982 is used for the analysis because 1969 was characterized by strong economic performance and 1982 was characterized by poor economic performance. In business cycle terms, employment changes were thus computed between peak and valley years, which will tend to give a conservative estimate of growth rates. Data were compiled and analyzed on a year-by-year basis to confirm this conclusion.

Employment growth is compared for the following transportation-related industries:

- Total transportation, communications, and public utilities (SIC 4). See Table 1;
- Local and interurban passenger transit (SIC 41). See Table 2;
- Trucking and warehousing (SIC 42). See Table
  - Water transportation (SIC 44). See Table 4;
  - · Air transportation (SIC 45). See Table 5;
  - Pipelines (SIC 46). See Table 6; and
- Transportation services (SIC 47) that include freight forwarding, arranging transportation services (including ticketing, railcar and motor vehicle rentals), weigh station, and regulatory activity. See Table 7.

For Tables 1 through 7, note that the County Business Pattern employment data is based primarily on the number of employees reported on U.S. Treasury Form 941 by single-establishment firms for the mid-March pay period each year. The employment figures do not include most self-employed persons or those employed by state and local governments and rail-roads. Consequently, figures derived from the course will be less than most other estimates of labor-force size.

### METHODOLOGY

Shift-share analysis is an approach for identifying the differences in the rates of growth among two or more regions or states. The shift-share analysis specifies those parts of employment change within an industry that are attributable to (a) national total employment growth, (b) employment growth in the industry under analysis, and (c) a state's growth within a particular industry. The shift-share technique helps answer two basic questions about a par-

TABLE 1 Employment Change in Transportation, Communications, and Public Utilities Between 1969 and 1982 (SIC 41, 42, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49)

Region, Division State	Employment 1969	Employment 1982	Percent Change	National Growth	Employment Industry Mix	Change Related to Competitive/ State Share	Total*
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
ORTHEAST			i.				
New England	196,740	223,509	13.61	62,662	(13,595)	(22,291)	26,769
Maine	12,838	14,899	16.05	4,089	(887)	(1,141)	2,061
New Hampshire	10,009	14,177	41.64	3,186	(692)	1,672	4,168
Vermont	6,397	7,760	21.31	2,037	(442)	(232)	1,363
Massachusetts	106,569	113,047	6.08	33,942	(7,364)	(20,100)	6,478
Rhode Island	14,383	12,188	(15.26)	4,581	(994)	(5,782)	(2,195
Connecticut	46,544	61,438	32.00	14,824	(3,216)	3,286	14,894
Middle Atlantic	842,916	822,101	(2.47)	268,469	(58,245)	(231,043)	(20,81
New York	479,919	416,393	(13.24)	152,854	(33,162)	(183,218)	(63,526
New Jersey	144,221	186,538	29.34	45,934	(9,966)	6,348	42,317
Pennsylvania	218,776	219,170	0.18	69,680	(15,117)	(54,169)	394
JOHN CRUMP II (MARINOM							
NORTH CENTRAL/MIDWEST East North Central	671,992	712,948	6.09	214,029	(46,435)	(126,639)	40,950
Ohio	173,756	183,251	5.46	55,341	(12,007)	(33,840)	9,49
Indiana	75,787	91,530	20.77	24,138	(5,237)	(3,158)	5,74
Illinois	231,183	227,890	(1.42)	73,632	(15,975)	(60,950)	(3,29
Michigan	127,829	131,064	2.53	40,714	(8,833)	(28,646)	3,23
Wisconsin	63,437	79,213	24.87	20,205	(4,383)	(45)	15,77
West North Central			26 40			<i>(</i> . 217	74,31
Minnesota	280,659 63,401	354,972 84,260	26.48 32.90	89,390 20,193	(19,394) (4,381)	4,317 5,047	20,85
Iowa	38,352	45,413	18.41	12,215	(2,650)	(2,504)	7,06
Missouri	104,905	121,367	15.69	33,412	(7,249)	(9,701)	16,46
North Dakota	8,148	13,589	66.78	2,595	(563)	3,409	5.44
South Dakota	8,679	11,858	36.63	2,764	(600)	1,014	3,179
Nebraska	23,639	30,408	28.63	7,529	(1,633)	873	6,76
Kansas	33,535	48,077	43.36	10,681	(2,317)	6,178	14,54
SOUTH South Atlantic	502 077	720 /24	7.4 E.C	140 485	(2/ 010)	00 000	224.54
Delaware	503,877	728,426	44.56	160,485	(34,818)	98,882	3.03
Haryland	9,290	12,320	32.62	2,959	(642)	713	7,42
Virginia	66,700 73,477	74,122	11.13	21,244	(4,609)	(9,211)	
West Virginia		100,167	36.32	23,405	(5,077)	8,362	26,69
North Carolina	28,052	29,078	3.66	8,935	(1,938)	(5,969)	1,02
South Carolina	79,231	117,872	48.77	25,235	(5,475)	18,881	38,64
Georgia	28,984	40,794	40.75	9,231	(2,003)	4,582	11,81
Florida	84,901 133,242	133,486	57.23	27,041	(5,867)	27,415	48,58
		220,585	65.55	42,438	(9,207)		87,34
East South Central	160,827	226,833	41.04	51,223	(11,113)	25,896	66,00
Kentucky	38,590	52,067	34.92	12,291	(2,667)	3,851	13,47
Tennessee	49,477	71,593	44.70	15,754	(3,419)		22,11
Alabama	49,775	68,783	38.19	15,853	(3,439)	6,595	19,00
Mississlppi	22,985	34,390	49.62	7,321	(1,588)	5,673	11,40
West South Central	349,283	592,959	69.76	111,246	(24,135)		243,67
Arkansas	23,516	33,991	44.54	7,490	(1,625)		10,47
Louisiana	72,899	122,563	68.13	23,218	(5,037)	31,485	49,66
Oklahoma	48,326	72,427	49.87	15,392	(3,339)		24,10
Texas	204,542	363,978	77.95	65,147	(14,134)	108,428	159,43
WEST							
Mountain	133,072	249,399	87.42	42,383	(9,195)	83,139	116,32
Montana	10,477	16,231	54.92	3,347	(734)		5,75
Idaho	10,039	14,713	46.56	3,197	(694)		4,67
Wyoming	6,473	12,469	92.63	2,062	(447)		5,99
Colorado	41,272	77,931	88.82	13,145	(2,852)		36,65
New Mexico	15,047	25,124	66.97	4,792	(1,040)		10,07
Arizona	23,174	49,465	113.45	7,381	(1,601)	20,511	26,29
Utah	16,447	31,746	93.02	5,238	(1,136)		15,29
Nevada	10,143	21,720	114.14	3,230	(701)	9,047	11,57
Pacific	534,118	749,412	40.31	170,117	(36,908)	82,085	215,29
Washington	58,492	79,236	35.46	18,629	(4,042)		20,74
Oregon	39,156	51,815	32.33	12,471	(2,706)		12,65
California	410,162	573,658	39.86	130,637	(28,342)		163,49
Alaska	7,449	16,258	118.26	2,373	(515)	6,951	8,80
Hawaii	18,859	28,445	50.83	6,007	(1,303)	4,883	9,58
United States Industry Employment	3,703,344	4,626,875	24.94				

<sup>\*</sup>Total is computed by subtracting the 1969 from the 1982 Employment figures. Consequently, total figures may vary slightly from the figures obtained by summing National Growth, Industry Mix and Competitive/State Share.

TABLE 2 Employment Change in Local and Interurban Passenger Transit Between 1969 and 1982 (SIC 41)

Re ion, Division State	Employment 1969	Employment 1982	Percent Change	Emp National Growth		hange Related Competitive/ State Share	Total*
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
NORTHEAST							
New England	30,305	28,430	(6.19)	9,652	(18,535)	7,007	(1,875
Maine	1,165	913	(21.63)	371	(712)	89	(252
New Hampshire	1,044	1,539	47.41	333	(639)	801	495
Vermont	677	580	(14.33)	216	(414)	101	(97
Massachusetts	18,556	15,119	(18.52)	5,910	(11,349)	2,002	(3,437
Rhode Island	1,896	1,694	(10.65)	604	(1,160)	354	(202
Connecticut	6,967	8,585	23.22	2,219	(4,261)	3,660	1,618
Middle Atlantic	135,936	74,500	(45.19)	43,296	(83,138)	(21,593)	(61,436
New York	89,873	38,922	(56.69)	28,624	(54,966)	(24,609)	(50,95)
New Jersey	16,545	15,318	(7.42)	5,270	(10,119)	3,622	(1,227
Pennsylvania	29,518	20,260	(31.36)	9,401	(18,053)	(606)	(9,258
WORTH CENTRAL/MIDWEST							
East North Central	64,854	37,255	(42.56)	20,656	(39,665)	(8,593)	(27,599
Ohio	13,509	5,560	(58.84)	4,303	(8,262)	(3,989)	(7,949
Indiana	4276	3,064	(28.34)	1,362	(2,615)	41	(1,212
Illinois	29,088	14,779	(49.19)	9,265	(17,790)	(5,783)	(14,309
Michigan	9,316	4,101	(55.98)	2,967	(5,698)	(2,485)	(5,215
Wisconsin	8,665	9,751	12.53	2,760	(5,300)	3,625	1,086
West North Central	21,978	19,454	(11.48)	7,000	(13,442)	3,918	(2,524
Minnesota	8,172	8,101	(0.87)	2,603	(4,998)	2,324	(2,524
Iowa	2,382	1,372	(42.40)	759	(1,457)	(312)	(1,010
Missouri	7,706	5,799	(24.75)	2,454	(4,713)	352	(1,907
North Dakota	592	3,777	(24.73)	188	(362)	- 332	(1,90)
South Dakota	510	737	44.51	162	(312)	376	227
Nebraska	1,580	1.086	(31.27)	503	(966)	(31)	(494
Kansas	1,628	2,359	44.90	518	(996)	1,208	731
SOUTH							
South Atlantic	32,404	24,737	(23.66)	10,321	(19,818)	1,831	(7,667
Delaware	1,004	1,350	34.46	320	(614)	640	346
	5,972	4,319	(27.68)	1,902	(3,652)	97	(1,65
Haryland Virginia	7,984	4,910	(38.50)	2,543	(4,883)	(743)	(3,07
West Virginia	1,938	1,158	(40.25)	617	(1,185)	(212)	(78)
North Carolina	4,644	3,264	(29.72)	1,479	(2,840)	(19)	(1,38
South Carolina	1,240	- 5,204	(2)./2/	394	(757)		
Georgia	3,240	2,425	(25.15)	1,032	(1,982)	135	(81
Florida	7,622		(4.08)	2,428	(4,662)	1,923	(31
East South Central	10,996	7,102	(35.41)	3,502	(6,725)	(671)	(3,894
Kentucky	3,747	1,475	(60.64)	1,193	(2,292)	(1,174)	(2,27)
Tennessee	4,054	3,049	(24.79)	1,193	(2,479)	183	(1,00
Alabama	2,339	1,825	(21.98)	745	(1,431)	171	(514
Mississippi	856	753	(12.03)	273	(524)	148	(10:
West South Central	17,459	15,452	(11.50)	5,561	(10,678)	3,109	(2,00
Arkansas	1,041	791	(24.02)	332	(637)	55	(25
Louisiana	4,038	3,686	(8.72)	1,286	(2,469)	831	(35)
Oklahoma	1,304	1,196	(8.28)	415	(798)	274	(10
Texas	11,076	9,779	(11.71)	3,528	(6,774)	1,949	(1,29
/EST							
Mountain	8,702		59.10	2,772	(5,322)	7,694	5,14
<b>Hontana</b>	802	1,148	43.14	255	(491)	582	34
Idaho	674	735	9.05	215	(412)	258	6
Wyoming	341		53.67	109	(209)		18
Colorado	2,181		0.45	694	(1,333)	649	1
New Mexico	1,749		20.52	557	(1,069)		35
ATIZODA	1,188		217.59	378	(727)	2,933	2,58
Utah Nevada	1,160		- 00 40	369	(709)		1.59
	1,767		90.49	563	(1,081)	2,117	9.50
Pacific	37,328		(6.90)	11,889	(22,830)		(2,57
Washington	3,569		(18.27)	1,137	(2,183)		(65
Oregon	2,699		27.45	860	(1,651)		74
California	29,450		(16.55)	9,380	(18,012)		(4,87
Alaska Hawaii	517 1,610		137.20	165 513	(316) (985)		2,20
United States	2,010	5,017	23,120		(703)		-,.0
Industry Employment	367,664	259,889	(29.31)				
United States							

<sup>\*</sup>Total is computed by subtracting the 1969 from the 1982 Employment figures. Consequently, total figures may vary slightly from the figures obtained by summing National Growth, Industry Mix and Competitive/State Share.

TABLE 3 Employment Change in Trucking and Warehousing Between 1969 and 1982 (SIC 42)

Region, Division State	Employment 1969	Employment 1982	Percent Change	National	Industry	hange Related Competitive/	to Total*
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	Growth (5)	Mix (6)	State Share (7)	(8)
ORTHEAST							
New England	54,502	50,354	(7.61)	17,359	(9,282)	(12,225)	(4,14
Maine New Hampshire	4,018 2,857	4,098	1.99 43.58	1,280 910	(684)	(516)	1 24
Vermont	2,134	4,102 2,144	0.47	680	(363)	822 (306)	1,24
Massachusetts	27,939	25,081	(10.23)	8,899	(4,758)	(6,999)	(2,85
Rhode Island	4,528	2,633	(41.85)	1,442	(771)	(2,566)	(1,89
Connecticut	13,026	12,296	(5.60)	4,149	(2,218)	(2,660)	(73
Middle Atlantic	200,465	179,746	(10.34)	63,848	(34,139)	(50,428)	(20,71
New York New Jersey	81,510 53,532	61,803	(24.18)	25,961	(13,881)	(31,787)	(19,70
Pennsylvania	65,423	54,801 63,142	2.37 (3.49)	17,050 20,837	(9,116) (11,142)	(6,665) (11,977)	1,26
				****			
ORTH CENTRAL/MIDWEST				20.00			
East North Central Ohio	232,237	222,020	(4.40)	73,967	(39,550)	(44,635)	(10,21
Indiana	66,243 29,207	62981 32,001	(4.92) 9.57	21,098 9,302	(11,281) (4,974)	(13,079)	(3,26
Illinois	75,199	65,760	(12.55)	23,951	(12,806)	(1,534)	2,79
Michigan	40,296	34,802	(13.63)	12,834	(6,862)	(20,583) (11,466)	(9,43 (5,49
Wisconsin	21,292	26,476	24.35	6,782	(3,626)	2,029	518
Nace Nace Acres	You.						
West North Central Minnesots	90,646	114,923	26.78	28,871	(15,437)	10,841	24,27
Iova	18,925	23,922	26.40	6,028	(3,223)	2,192	4,99
Missouri	14,267	17,036	19.41	4,544	(2,430)	655	2,76
North Dakota	33,850	36,062	6.53	10,781	(5,765)	(2,805)	2,21
South Dakota	2,004 2,828	4,536		638	(341)	2,235	2,53
Nebraska	7,738	5,032 11,587	77.93 49.74	901 2,465	(482)	1,784	2,20
Kensas	10,934	16,748	53.17	3,482	(1,318) (1,862)	2,702 4,194	3,84 5,81
OUTH ANIA-MA	151,540	180,182	18.90	48,265	(25,807)	6,184	28,64
South Atlantic	2,986	2,340	(21.63)	951	(509)	(1,088)	(64
Delaware	18,789	20,176	7.38	5,984	(3,200)	(1,398)	1,38
Maryland Virginia	21,800	25,624	17.54	6,943	(3,713)	593	3,82
West Virginia	7,058	7,831	10.95	2,248	(1,202)	(273)	77
North Carolina	34,585	41,239	19.24	11,015	(5,890)	1,529	6,6
South Carolina	9,532	12,341	29.47	3,036	(1,623)	1,396	2,80
Georgia	27,657	32,654	18.07	8,809	(4,710)	899	4,99
Florida	29,133	37,977	30.36	9,279	(4,961)	4,527	8,84
East South Central	54,893	75,433	37.42	17,483	(9,3488)	12,405	20,54
Kentucky	11,942	16,009	34.06	3,804	(2,034)		4,00
Tennessee	22,195	30,846	38.98	7,069	(3,780)		8,6
Alabama	14,685	19,379	31.97	4,677	(2,501)		4,69
Mississippi	6,071	9,919	63.38	1,934	(1,034)	2,948	3,8
West South Central	98,672	153,958	56.03	31,427	(16,804)	40,663	55,20
Arkansas	8,520	11,935	40.08	2,714	(1,451)	2,152	3,4
Louisiana	14,761	21,681	46.88	4,701	(2,514)		6,9
Oklahoma	14,342	24,081	67.91	4,568	(2,442)		9,7
Texas	61,049	96,261	57.68	19,444	(10,397)	26,165	35,2
EST							
Mountain	36,823	61,601	67.29	11,728	(6,271)		24,7
Hon tana	2,974	5,104	71.62	947	(506)		2,1
Idaho	3,268	5,027	53.82	1,041	(557)		1,7
Wyoming	2,264	4,456	96.82	721	(386)		2,1
Colorado	12,398	16,624	34.09	3,949	(2,111)		4,2
New Mexico	3,440	5,431	57.88	1,096	(586)		1,9
Arizona	5,244	10,845	106.81	1,670	(893)		5,6
Utah Nevada	5,763 1,472	11,038 3,076	91.53 108.97	1,836 469	(981) (251)		5,2 1,6
		1400-200000 2.00					151
Pacific	120,117	153,584	27.86	38,257	(20,456)		33,4
Washington	14,453	20,403	41.17	4,603	(2,461)		5,9
Oregon	12,774	15,664	22.62	4,068	(2,175)		2,8
California Alaska	89,111 864	112,286 2,192		28,382 275	(15,176)		23,1
Hawaii	2,915	3,039		928	(496)		1,3
United States							
Industry Employment	1,039,380	1,193,397	14.82				
United States							

\*Total is computed by subtracting the 1969 from the 1982 Employment figures. Consequently, total figures may vary slightly from the figures obtained by summing National Growth, Industry Mix and Competitive/State Share.

TABLE 4 Employment Change in Water Transportation Between 1969 and 1982 (SIC 44)

Region, Division State	Employment 1969	Employment 1982	Percent Change	Emp National Growth		hange Related Competitive/ State Share	to Total*
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
IORTHEAST							
New England	2,266	4,240	87.11	722	(88)	1,341	1,974
Maine	274	684	149.64	87	(11)	333	410
New Hampshire	95	164	72.63	30	(4)	42	69
Vermont		83	×	5. <del>0</del> 0	-	5	
Massachusetts	1,284	2,650	106.39	409	(50)	1,007	1,366
Rhode Island	613	576	(6.04)	195	(24)	(208)	(37
Connecticut	724			236	(28)	#	•
Middle Atlantic	46,452	37,544	(19.18)	14,795	(1,812)	(21,891)	(8,908
New York	30,149	19,671	(34.75)	9,602	(1,176)	(18,905)	(10,478
New Jersey Pennsylvania	7,489 8,814	10,635 7,238	42.01 (17.88)	2,385 2,807	(292) (344)	1,053 (4,040)	3,146 (1,576
ORTH CENTRAL/MIDWEST East North Central	8,590	7,830	(8.85)	2,736	(335)	(3,161)	(760
Ohio		2,916		1,320	(162)	(2,386)	(1,228
	4,144		(29.63)			(38)	157
Indiana	699	856	(22.46	223 823	(27) (101)	(102)	620
Illinois	2,584	3,204 754	(23.99) (35.17)	823 370	(45)	(734)	(409
Michigan Wisconsin	1,163	/34	(33.17)	3/0	(43)	(/34/	(409
	-	25		-			
West North Central	2,394 403	5,193	116.92 45.41	762 128	(93) (16)	2,130 70	2,799 183
Minnesota Iowa	53	5,886	45.41	17	(2)	- 70	103
		4 407	121.00		(78)	2,060	2,616
Missouri	1,991	4,607	131.99	634		2,000	2,010
North Dakota	•		7	-		Ş.	
South Dakota	3	9	5	12	•	ğ	
Nebraska Kansas	2	126	<u> </u>	>2	-	9	-
SOUTH South Atlantic	25,007	31,323	25.26	7,965	(975)	(673)	6,316
Delaware	489	2,483	407.77	156	(19)	1,857	1,994
Haryland	8,421	6,071	(27.91)	2,682	(328)	(4,704)	(2,350
Virginia	5,302	4,584	13.54	1,689	(207)	(2,200)	(718
West Virginia	566	585	3.36	180	(22)	(139)	19
North Carolina	2,602		3.30	829	(101)	-	_
South Carolina	1,325	1,950	47.17	422	(52)	255	625
Georgia	1,044	1,935	87.07	333	(41)	617	909
Florida	7,860	- 50° 10000	74.26	2,503	(307)	3,640	5,837
East South Central	8,438		33.93	2,688	(329)	505	2,86
							5
Kentucky Tennessee	1,114		82.59	355	(43)	309	920
Alabama	852		42.61	271	(33)	125	36:
Mississippi	4,463 2,009		15.68 43.35	1,421 640	(174) (78)	(548) 309	70i 87:
West South Central	27,092	66,551	145.65	8,629	(1,057)	21 007	20 45
Arkansas	123		143.03	39	(5)	31,887	39,45
Louisiana	18,536		126.79	5,904	(723)	18,321	23,50
Oklahoma	77		216.88	25	(3)	145	16
Texas	8,479	24,270	186.24	2,701	(331)	13,421	15,79
West							
Hountain	-	-		27.1	=	-	=
Hontana	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
Idaho	100	₩.	-	-	*	-	-
Wyoming	-		-	-	•	•	1
Colorado	-	20	-	5-8		-	12
New Mexico	-	18	-	-	-	-	-
Arizona	-	-	•	-	-	-	-
Utah Nevada	-	130		•	-	-	-
Pacific	34,476		3.26	10,981	(1,345)	(8,512)	1,12
Washington	7,772		1.71	2,475	(303)	(2,039)	13:
Oregon	3,679		2.83	1,172	(143)	(924)	10
California	22,014		3.46	7,011	(858)	(5,391)	76
Alaska Hawaii	1,011 1,701		12.46	322 542	(39) (66)	(159)	- 12
United States			27.95				
Industry Employment	160,906						

<sup>\*</sup>Total is computed by subtracting the 1969 from the 1982 Employment figures. Consequently, total figures may vary slightly from the figures obtained by summing National Growth, Industry Mix and Competitive/State Share.

TABLE 5 Employment Change in Transportation by Air Between 1969 and 1982 (SIC 45)

Region, Division State	Employment 1969	Employment 1982	Percent Change	Emp National Growth	loyment C Industry Mix	Competitive/ State Share	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
NORTHEAST							
New England	9,076	11,858	30.65	2,891	(540)	431	2,782
Maine	164	616	275.61	52	(10)	-410	452
New Hampshire	128	-	100	41	(8)	150 D	-
Vermont		515	1,77	. <del></del>	-	-	- 3
Massachusetts	8,095	9,125	13.84	2,578	(482)	(977)	1,120
Rhode Island		-	-	1		-	-
Connecticut	817	1,602	96.08	260	(49)	573	785
Middle Atlantic	71,402	71,408	0.008	22,742	(4,248)	(18,487)	6
New York	56,390	49,257	(12.65)	17,960	(3,355)	(21,738)	(7,133
New Jersey	6,343	9,631	51.84	2,020	(377)	1,645	3,288
Pennsylvania	8,669	12,520	44.42	2,761	516	1,606	3,851
NORTH CENTRAL/MIDWEST	00.004				(2.0=0)	(= = = = )	
East North Central	38,296	42,648	11.36	12,197	(2,279)	(5,567)	4,352
Ohio	4,920	6,588	33.90	1,567	(293)	394	1,668
Indiana	1,971	2,188	11.01	628	(117)	(293)	21
Illinois	24,043	25,520	6.14	7,658	(1,431)	(4,751)	1,477
Michigan	5,876	6,200	5.51	1,872	(350)	(1,198)	324
Wisconsin	1,486	2,152	44.82	473	(88)	281	660
West North Central	26,417	28,378	7.42	8,414	(1,572)	(4,881)	1,961
Minnesota	7,036	9,336	32.69	2,241	(418)	478	2,300
lowa	741	755	1.89	236	(44)	(178)	14
Missouri	16,321	14,811	(9.25)	5,190	(971)	(5,737)	(1,510
North Dakota	178	276	55.06	57	(11)	52	98
South Dakota	287	236	(17.77)	91	(17)	(125)	(5)
Nebraska	736	729	(0.95)	234	(44)	(198)	(
Kansas	1,118	2,235	99.91	356	(67)	827	1,11
COLUMN							
SOUTH South Atlantic	60,481	00 000	EO 21	10 272	(2 500)	1/ 7/2	20 / 20
		90,909	50.31	19,263	(3,599)	14,763	30,428
Delaware	802		-	255	(48)	-	_
Maryland	1,419	1,907	34.39	452	(84)	120	481
Virginia West Virginia	6,985	9,857	41.12	2,225	(416)	1,063	2,87
North Carolina	175	595	240.00	56	(10)	375	421
South Carolina	3,720 754	6,509	74.97	1,185	(221)	1,825	2,78
Georgia		1,218	61.54	240	(45)	269	46
Florida	14389	28,132	95.51	4,583	(856)	10,016	13,74
52A N N N DN	33,093	42,691	29.00	10,540	(1,969)	1,026	9,59
East South Central	9,251	14,634	58.19	2,946	(550)	2,987	5,38
Kentucky	1,258	2,077	65.10	401	(75)	493	81
Tennessee	3,028	8,824	191.45	964	(180)	5,013	5,79
Alabama	4,584	3,096	(32.46)	1,460	(273)	(2,675)	(1,48
Mississippi	381	637	67.19	121	(23)	157	25
West South Central	30,383	48,512	59.67	9,677	(1,808)	10,260	18,12
Arkansas	339	1,059	212.39	1,088	(20)	632	72
Louisiana	2,976	8,031	169.86	948	(177)	4,284	5,05
Oklahoma	8665	3,714	(57.14)	2,760	(516)	(7,195)	(4,95
Texas	18,403	35,708	94.03	5,861	(1,095)	12,538	17,30
WEST							
Mountain	13,637	27,027	98.19	4,343	(811)	9.858	13,39
Montana	398	697	75.13	127	(24)	196	29
Idaho	329	548	66.57	105	(20)	134	21
Wyoming	209	470	124.88	67	(12)	206	26
Colorado	6,933	14,903	114.96	2,208	(413)	6,175	7,97
New Mexico	866	818	(5.54)	276	(52)	(272)	(4
Arizona	2,781	4,982	79.14	886	(165)	1,481	2,20
Utah	1,073	2,291	113.51	342	(64)	940	1,21
Nevada	1048	2,318	121.18	334	(62)	999	1,27
Paniff.	70 175					10	
Pacific	79,175	91,251	15.25	25,217	(4,711)	(8,430)	12,07
Washington	7,124	8,684	21.90	2,269	(424)	(285)	1,56
Oregon	1,467	1,964	33.88	467	(87)	117	49
California	63,275	68,595	8.41	20,153	(3,765)	(11,068)	5,32
Alaska Hawail	2,656 4,653	5,655 6,353	112.91 36.90	846 1,482	(158) (277)	2,311 512	2,99 1,70
United States		0,333		1,402	(2//)	312	1,70
United States Industry Employment	340,793	429,071	25.90				
United States Total Employment		74,297,252	31.85				

<sup>\*</sup>Total is computed by subtracting the 1969 from the 1982 Employment figures. Consequently, total figures may vary slightly from the figures obtained by summing National Growth, Industry Mix and Competitive/State Share.

TABLE 6 Employment Change in Pipelines Except Natural Gas Between 1969 and 1982 (SIC 46)

Region, Division State	Employment 1969	Employment 1982	Percent Change	National	Industry		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	Growth (5)	M1x (6)	State Share (7)	(8)
ORTHEAST	(±	-			•	-	
New England		-		-	- 5	27.	1
Haine			-	7	7	_	- 2
New Hampshire	-	5		•	į		-
Vermont	-		•	-	-		-
Massachusetts	*	•	•	-			
Rhode Island	•	-	-	•	-		- 2
Connecticut	5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Middle Atlantic	1,101	1,204	9.36	351	(132)	(115) (62)	103
New York	174	146	(16.09)	55	(21)	60	85
New Jersey	127	212	66.93	40 255	(15) (96)	(113)	46
Pennsylvania	800	846	5.75			(113)	
ORTH CENTRAL/MIDWEST							
East North Central	1,637	2,073	88.28	351	(132)	754	972
Ohio	570	956	67.72	182	(69)	273	386
Indiana	135	165	22.22	43	(16)	3	30
Illinois	766	824	7.57	244	(92)	(94)	58
Michigan	166	128	(22.89)	53	(20)	(71)	(38
Wisconsin	-	(4)			-	-	-
West North Central	1,352	1,2334	(8.73)	431	(163)	(386)	(118
Minnesota	-	(*)		100	-	-	•
Iowa	174	- 1		55	(21)	(102)	(72
Missouri	259	187	(27.80)	82	(31)	(123)	(72
North Dakota	-	-	-	-	-		-
South Dakota		•	-	-	-	( <del>=</del> )	-
Nebraska	184	-	-	59	(22)	(171)	1.4
Kansas	1,093	1,047	(4.21)	348	(131)	(171)	(46
OUTH							
South Atlantic	485	343	(29.28)	154	(58)	(238)	(142
		-	(2),120,	-	14.1		-
Delaware Haryland	_	-	2	-	-		-
Virginia	142	-	_	45	(17)		-
West Virginia	137	-	-	44	(16)	-	-
North Carolina	114		2	36	(13)	-	-
South Carolina		_	-	( 2			-
Georgia	485	343	(29.28)	154	(58)	(238)	(142
Florida		50	-				-
East South Central	322	327	1.51	103	(39)	(59)	
Kentucky	166	171	3.01	53	(20)	(28)	
Tennessee	100	50	3.01		(20)	(20)	
Alabama	-	65	2			- 5	-
Mississippi	156	156	0.00	50	(19)	(31)	Ī,
West South Central	5,347	5,322	(0.47)	1 703	16421	(1.005)	(2
Arkansas	- 5,347	- 3,322	(0.47)	1,703	(643)	(1,085)	-
Louisiana	812	801	(1.35)	259	(98)	(172)	(1
Oklahoma	1,980	-		631	(238)		-
Texas	4,535	4,521	(0.30)	1,444	(546)	(912)	(1
JEST							
Hountain	690	420			(83)	(407)	(27
Montana	133	43	(67.67)	42	(16)	(116)	(9
Idaho			-	-	-	-	-
Wyoming	322	227	(29.50)	103	(39)	(159)	(9:
Colorado New Mexico	235	966 150	(36.17)	75	(28)	(132)	(8.
Arizona	- 233	130	(30.1/)	_′3	(20)	(132)	(0.
Utah	2		2	-	-	-	_
Nevada	4		-	-		-	-
Pacific	782	1,812	131.71	249	(94)	875	1,03
Washington	- 102	1,012	131.71	-	(94)	- 6/3	1,03
Oregon	-	-	-	-	-	-	- 0
California	782	1,812	131.71	249	(94)	875	1,03
Alaska	-	182	-		•	-	-
Hawaii United States	<u> </u>				•		
Industry Employment	15,522	18,599	19.82				
United States							

<sup>\*</sup>Total is computed by subtracting the 1969 from the 1982 Employment figures. Consequently, total figures may vary slightly from the figures obtained by summing National Growth, Industry Hix and Competitive/State Share.

TABLE 7 Employment Change in Transportation Services Between 1969 and 1982 (SIC 47)

Region, Division State	Employment 1969	Employment 1982	Percent Change		loyment Ch Industry Hix	ange Related Competitive/ State Share	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	8)
NORTHEAST							
New England	4,329	11,428	163.99	1,379	3,887	1,833	7,099
Haine	3.40	346	- C	-	-	-	-
New Hampshire	:=0	487	(*):	-		-	-
Vermont	109	344	215.60	35	98	102	235
Hassachusetts	3,018	6,442	113.45	961	2,710	(247)	3,424
Rhode Island Connecticut	276 926	571 4,071	106.88 339.63	88 295	248 831	(41) 2,019	295 3,145
Middle Atlantic	36,869	51,778	40.44	11,743	33,105	(29,938)	14.909
New York	29,043	35,436	22.01	9,250	26,078	(28,936)	6,393
New Jersey	3,291	8,395	155.09	1,048	2,955	1,101	5,104
Pennsylvania	4,535	7,947	75.24	1,444	4,072	(2,104)	3,412
NORTH CENTRAL/MIDWEST							
East North Central	13,493	30,483	125.92	4,298	12,115	577	16,990
Ohio	2,289	5,795	153.17	729	2,055	722	3,500
Indiana	644	2,129	230.59	205	578	702	1,48
Illinois	7,000	14,637	109.10	2,230	6,285	(878)	7,637
Hichigan	2,809	5,327	89.64	895	2,522	(899)	2,518
Wisconsin	751	2,595	245.54	239	674	930	1,844
West North Central	4,919	10,388	111.18	1,567	4,417	(514)	5,469
Minnesota	1,226	3,390	76.51	390	1,101	673	2,16
Iowa	2 616	1,097	145.41	142	401	106	656
Missouri North Dakota	2,616 135	3,979 283	52.10 109.63	833 43	2,349 121	(1,819)	1,36
South Dakota	101	255 256	153.47	32	91	(16) 32	15
Nebraska	900	236	155.47	287	808	34	- 15
Kansas	394	1,383	251.02	125	354	510	98
Action							
SOUTH South Atlantic	11,869	30,621	157.99	3,780	10,657	4,314	18,75
Delaware	-	585		-	-	-	
Maryland	1,556	3,667	135.67	496	1,397	218	2,11
Virginia	873	3,376	286.71	278	784	1,441	2,50
West Virginia	143			46	128	-	-
North Carolina	977	2,470	152.81	311	877	305	1,49
South Carolina	360	1,878	421.67	115	323	1,080	1,51
Georgia	2,836	3,895	37.34	903	2,546	(2,391)	1,05
Florida	5,267	15,335	191.15	1,678	4,729	3,661	10,06
East South Central	2,123	5,157	142.91	676	1,907	452	3,03
Kentucky	443	1,191	168.85	141	398	209	74
Tennessee	647	2,152	232.61	206	581	718	1,50
Alabama Hississippi	901 132	1,368 446	51.83 237.88	287 42	809 119	(629) 153	46 31
West South Central	7,186	25,588	256.08	2,289	6,452	9,661	18,40
Arkansas	146	650	345.21	47	131	326	50
Louisiana	2,415	4,657	92.84	769	2,168	(696)	2.24
Oklahoma	474	1,179	148.73	151	426	128	70
Texas	4,151	19,102	360.18	1,322	3,727	9,902	14,95
WEST							
Mountain	1,458	8,751	500.21	464	1,309	5,519	7,29
Hon tana	92	404	339.13	29	82	201	31
Idaho	84	504	500.00	27	75	318	42
Wyoming	44		·	14	40	(*)	-
Colorado	600	3,263	443.83	191	539	1,933	2,66
New Mexico	86	513	496.51	27	77	322	42
Arizona	331	2,062	522.96	105	297	1,328	1,73
Utah Nevada	198 67	1,078 927	444.44	63 21	178 60	639 778	88 86
Pacific	17,687	47,354	167.73	5,633	15.881	8,153	29,66
Vashington	1,644	5,403	228.65	524	1,476	1,759	3,7
Oregon	770	2067	168.44	245	691	360	1,29
California	13,576	35,501	161.50	4,324	12,190	5,411	21,9
Alaska	-	649	2#5	-	-	-	-
Hawaii United States	1,697	4,383	158.28	540	1,524	622	2,6
Industry Employment	102,117	226,328	121.64				
United States							

\*Total is computed by subtracting the 1969 from the 1982 Employment figures. Consequently, total figures may vary slightly from the figures obtained by summing National Growth, Industry Mix and Competitive/State Share.

ticular industry for a particular region or state. Is the state increasing or decreasing its share of national employment for each industry (shown in each table as Competitive/State Share)? Does the state have an enhancing or impeding industry mix relative to the nation as a whole (shown as Industry Mix)?

In Tables 1-7, Columns 1, 2, and 3 give the raw data for each region and state. Column 4 displays the percentage growth rate in employment. Data for all nine geographic diversions and 50 states are given in each table. National employment data and percentage change for the particular industry (SIC) and for U.S. total employment is provided on the last two rows of each table.

Columns 5 through 8 designate the employment growth according to Items 1, 2, and 3 listed at the beginning of this section. First, changes in overall national employment may be treated as a reflection of business conditions (Column 5). For example, if national employment increases it will, to some extent, contribute to growth in specific industries and regions. The opposite effect would be translated by sluggish or declining national employment.

Employment in an industry may be increasing or decreasing independently from national employment trends and, consequently, may be viewed as a second component affecting employment changes in a region. Column 6 shows the increment in employment above or below that in Column 5 attributable to the national growth rate of the particular SIC. This is known as industry mix. A large negative number in this column, relative to other states, indicates that that state has above average concentration in a national slowgrowth industry.

Finally, a region or state may have particular attributes that make it more or less attractive than other areas for a particular industry. Because the areas being compared in this study are primarily the states, this component is called the state share. The state share is a reflection of how competitive a state is in the specific industry being examined. Column 7 shows the increment in employment above or below that in Column 6 attributable to how a particular state's SIC growth rate varies from the national growth rate for that SIC. By comparing the signs and absolute values of the growth components in Columns 6 and 7 it is possible to discern whether growth or decline in a particular region or state is attributable primarily to concentration of the industry or to the economic performance of that industry in the specific region or state.

The methodology also allows for the identification of high-performer regions and states. Two criteria are used to screen for high-performer states: (a) the competitive share (Column 7) must be at least equal to the national growth component (Column 5), that is, growth in competitive share must be comparable with growth resulting from the national economy; and (b) absolute growth in employment (Column 8) must be at least 2 percent of absolute growth for the industry nationally.

### RESULTS

### Major Employment Trends in Transportation

Table 8 displays the national growth rates for all the SICs under consideration. Except for transportation services (SIC 47), at 121.64 percent, the transportation sector had a slower growth (24.94 percent) than national employment growth (31.85 percent). Local and interurban passenger transit (SIC 41) had a negative growth of -29.31 percent. The remaining industry growth rates ranged from 14.82 percent (trucking and warehousing) to 27.95 percent (water transportation).

TABLE 8 National SIC Growth Rates

Negatives	Change 1969-1982 (%)
All U.S. employment	31.85
SIC 4-Total transportation communications and public	
utilities	24.94
SIC 41-Local and interurban passenger transit	-29.31
SIC 42-Trucking and warehousing	14.82
SIC 44-Water transportation	27.95
SIC 45-Air transportation	25.95
SIC 46-Pipelines	19.82
SIC 47—Transportation services	121.64

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, U.S. Department of Commerce. Census of County Business Patterns, U.S. Government Printing Office,

Growth rates by industry and by geographic region are given in Table 9. For SIC 4 (transportation, communications, and public utilities) growth rates in the Northeast and North Central regions were considerably lower than those in the South and West. In general, this pattern repeats itself for SICs 41, 42, and 45. Some variation can be observed in water transportation (SIC 44) where the growth in New England, West North Central and West South Central was outstanding. For pipelines (SIC 46), very high growth rates in East North Central and Pacific can be observed. However, both these transportation industries are unique to particular subregions of the United States and neither are major employers. SIC 47 (transportation services) also varied from the general pattern in that New England and East North Central displayed growth more nearly comparable with the South and West.

These broad patterns are shown in Table 10. Here growth rates are shown by three indicators: D for absolute decline, 1 for above industry rate, and 0 for below industry rate. High growth geographic divisions—those with five or more 1s—are all found in the South and West.

### Shift-Share Discussion by SIC

Because of the unique characteristics of employment in local and interurban passenger transit, water transportation, and pipelines, the following discussion concentrates on SICs 4, 42, 45, and 47 using the shift-share Tables 1, 3, 5, and 7.

The results of the shift-share analysis for SIC 4 appear in Table 1. All states enjoyed some growth in transport-related employment during the study period, with the exceptions of Rhode Island, New York, and Illinois (Column 8). The shift-share analysis shows that growth in the East North Central was due entirely to national growth (all negative figures in Columns 6 and 7). While all states "lost" employment in Column 6 because the transportation sector grew more slowly than total national employment, only in the New England, middle Atlantic and East North Central did most states "lose" employment due to de-clines in the state share. Moreover, the middle Atlantic and East North Central regions had by far the lowest percentage increase (-2.47 and 6.09 percent, respectively) in transport-related employment. In short, the East North Central states were not competitive with the East South Central, West South Central or South Atlantic states. During the study period growth in transport-related employment resulted in more absolute employment in the South Atlantic states than in the East North Central. Indiana and Wisconsin were the best-performing states within the East North Central states. However, the large majority of states in the South Atlantic, East and West South Central regions ex-

TABLE 9 Growth Rates by Region and SICa

	SIC 4	SIC 41	SIC 42	SIC 44	SIC 45	SIC 46	SIC 47
Northeast							
New England	13.61	-6.19	-7.61	87.11	30,65	_b	163.99
Middle Atlantic	-2.47	-45.19	-10.34	-19.18	0.01	9.36	40.44
North Central							
East North Central	6.09	-42.56	-4.40	-8.85	11,36	88.28	125.92
West North Central	26.48	-11.48	26.78	116.92	7.42	-8.73	111.18
South							
South Atlantic	44.56	-23.66	18,90	25.26	50.31	-29.28	157.99
East South Central	41.04	-35.41	37.42	33.93	58.19	1.51	142.91
West South Central	69.76	-11.50	56.03	145.65	59.67	-0.47	256,08
West							7
Mountain	87,42	59,10	67,29	_b	98.19	-39.13	500,21
Pacific	40,31	-6.90	27.86	3,26	15.25	131.71	167,73
Industry nationwide	24.95	-29.31	14.82	27.95	25.90	19.82	121.64
All U.S. employment	31,85	31.85	31.85	31.85	31.85	31.85	31.85

bNo data available,

TABLE 10 Simplified Growth Rates by Region and SIC

	SIC 4	SIC 41	SIC 42	SIC 44	SIC 45	SIC 46	SIC 47
Northeast							
New England Middle Atlantic	0 0,D	1,D 0,D	0,D 0,D	1 0,D	1 0	_a 0	1 0
North Central							
East North Central West North Central	- 0 1	0,D 1,D	0,D 1	0,D 1	0	1 0,D	1 0
South							
South Atlantic East South Central West South Central	1 1	1,D 0,D 1,D	1 1 1	0 1 1	1 1 1	0,D 0 0,D	1 1 1
West							
Mountain Pacific	1	1 1,D	1	_a 0	1 0	0 1	1

Note: D = absolute decline, 0 = below industry growth rate, and 1 = above industry rate.

<sup>a</sup>No data available,

perienced growth at almost twice the rate experienced in Indiana and Wisconsin.

Of all transportation employment categories, local and interurban passenger transit (SIC 41) suffered most between 1969 and 1982 (Table 2). The middle Atlantic and East North Central regions were hit hardest with changes of -45.19 and -42.56 percent, respectively, compared with the national rate of -29.31 percent. Relative to the southern states, the state share (Column 7) is worst for the Northeast and Midwest, indicating loss in competitive position.

This loss in competitive position is repeated for trucking and warehousing (SIC 42) in Table 3. In absolute terms, the East North Central region retains the highest absolute employment. However, with a growth rate of -4.4 percent, major losses occur. This is dramatized by contrasting Michigan's employment decline from 40,000 to 35,000, with North Carolina's gain from 35,000 to 41,000.

In Table 5, air transportation (SIC 45), two East North Central states perform above the national industry average, but on balance the Midwest loses competitive share to the South and West. Furthermore, in absolute terms, South Atlantic air transportation employment exceeds that for the East North Central; in relative terms the South Atlantic states have performed far beyond the East North Central states.

Finally, Table 7 shows the only bright spot for the Northeast and Midwest. Its transportation services (SIC 47) show a healthy growth rate of +125.92 percent, not far behind the East South Central and the South Atlantic, all performing ahead of the national average of +121.64 percent. Transportation services depend on sophisticated transportation networks, intermodel facilities and good computer and telecommunications systems. The Northeast and Midwest probably retain a strong technological and institutional endowment with their science-based industries, technical labor force, and built communications systems. The South and West would appear to have comparatively less advantage in this area of transportation employment.

aPercentage of change from 1969 to 1982.

In summary, the general pattern of Table 1 tends to persist at all two-digit levels, with some exceptions. That pattern is job loss in all regions due to industry mix, and further loss in the Northeast and Midwest due to state competitive share. Transportation services are the highest growth part of the transport sector. However, the general trend shows a loss in competitive share from North to South and West even though, in absolute terms, employment remains highest for many SICs in the North, because of industrial concentration.

### High-Performer States

With the use of the heuristic method described at the end of the Methodology section, Table 11 displays states that are high performers under each SIC. Several Northeast and Midwest states perform well in single SIC categories. However, the bulk of high-performance cases cluster in the South and West, particularly the West South Central and Mountain regions. Most noticeable states repeatedly performing well are Arizona, Colorado, Louisiana, Oklahoma, and Texas.

TABLE 11 High-Performer States

	SIC 4	SIC 42	SIC 45	SIC 47
Connecticut				Х
New Jersey				X
Wisconsin				Marginal
Minnesota				Marginal
Virginia				X
North Carolina			X	
Georgia	X		X	
Florida	X			X
Mississippi		X		
Tennessee			X	
Louisiana	X	X	X	
Oklahoma	Marginal			
Texas	X	X	X	X
Colorado	X		X	X
Arizona	X	X	X	Marginal
Utah	Marginal	X		
Washington				X
California				X
Alaska			X	

### INTERPRETATION OF RESULTS

These data show that transportation employment has grown slower than the national average for the period 1969 to 1982. All two-digit SIC categories reflect this pattern except transportation services (SIC 47), which grew at +121.64 percent compared with a total national employment growth of +31.85 percent. Even air transportation employment grew slower than U.S. total employment. This may point to structural changes within the transportation industry, where information, coordination, and networking are becoming relatively more important. Such a trend raises economic policy questions such as how can a region or state capitalize on the services growth component of the transportation industry. Superior transportation services are probably closely tied to established institutional arrangements and sophisticated communication and computer systems capability--which again indicates the interrelationship of telecommunications and transportation surfaces. Telecommunications may be more of a complement than a substitute in the transportation growth equation.

The data suggest that regional shifts in the U.S. spatial economy are reflected in the changing distribution of employment in the transportation sector. While the Northeast and Midwest regions combined

still surpass the South and West for transportation employment in absolute terms, growth rates in the latter two regions far exceed the former. This poses a threat to traditional transportation states in the frost belt. For example, Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, and Ohio are among the top 10 trucking states. The growth rate in the East North Central region for trucking and warehousing (SIC 42) was -4.4 percent, whereas directly south of the East South Central it was +37.42 percent. The competitive position of the New England, middle Atlantic, and East North Central in transportation employment is clearly under threat.

While not confirming an axial shift, these data are congruent with the theory. In each of the SICs, the South and West regions are gaining employment at the expense of the Northeast and Midwest. Only in transportation services where comparative advantage may hinge on established institutional networks and on computer and communications systems is employment growth in the Northeast and Midwest comparable with the South. The services component of the transportation industry may be little affected by the natural north-south grain.

However, the outstanding growth states are not in the Old South as axial shift theory would suggest. Rather, they are in the West South Central and Mountain. This suggests a diagonal shift to the new South and near-lower West, especially to such states as Arizona, Colorado, Lousiana, Oklahoma, Texas, and Utah.

### CONCLUSION

The shift-share approach is an instructive tool for displaying relationships between transportation and economy. By tracking shares in transportation employment across various transportation SICs, it is possible to segment various attributing factors (national growth, industry mix, and competitive share), and to identify regions or states of competitive gain or loss. Therefore, it adds to a body of mesolevel approaches that are currently in demand for strategic analysis by state, regional, and local economic development specialists.

The shift-share approach also confirms some megatrends affecting the U.S. spatial economy, and thus, transportation network futures as well. Regional demographic and employment shifts in the United States appear to be affecting the regional distribution of transportation employment. Between 1969 and 1982, the Northeast and Midwest states lost competitive share to the South and West states. This occurred noticeably in all transportation employment categories except transportation services. The traditional Midwest trucking states for example, although still larger in absolute employment, are losing trucking and warehousing employment to the South.

These regional trends are congruent with an axial shift theory which asserts that the natural north-south grain of the United States may become more important relative to the historical east-west axis. Because the implications for transportation investments, both nationally and regionally, might be significant, this axial shift theory needs more thorough exploration.

# REFERENCES

- W. Alonso. Location Theory. <u>In</u> Regional Development and Planning: A Reader (J. Friedmann and W. Alonso, eds.), MIT Press, Cambridge, Mass., 1964.
- E.S. Mills. Urban Economics, 2nd ed., Scott, Foresman & Company, Glenview, Ill., 1980.
- 3. J.A.N. Do Vallee and K.C. Sinha. Relationship

Between Highway Development and Regional Economy: A Case Study of the Central Region of Portugal. Proc., International Conference on Roads and Development, Ecole Nationale des Ponts et Chaussees, Paris, France, May 1984.

 F.R. Wilson, G.M. Graham, and M. Aboul-Ela. Highway Investment as a Regional Development Policy Tool. <u>In</u> Transportation Research Record 1046, TRB, National Research Council, Washing-

ton, D.C., 1985, pp. 10-14.

5. H. Meier. Transport Systems and Regional Development: Countries with Highly Industrialized Economies. In Transport and the Challenge of Structural Change, Eighth International Symposium of Theory and Practice in Transport Economics, Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development, Paris, France, 1980.

 W.T. Watterson. Estimating Economic and Development Impacts of Transit Investments. <u>In</u> Transportation Research Record 1046, TRB, Na-

- tional Research Council, Washington, D.C., 1985, pp. 1-9.
- L.F. Wheat. The Effect of Modern Highways on Urban and Manufacturing Growth. <u>In</u> Highway Research Record 277, TRB, National Research Council, Washington, D.C., 1969, pp. 9-24.

 D.R. Vining et al. A Principal Axis Shift in the American Spatial Economy. Professional Geographer, Vol. 34, No. 3, 1982, pp. 270-278.

- G.S. Toft and K.C. Sinha. Possible Impacts of International Registration Plan on Trucking Industry and State Economy: A Case Study of Indiana. <u>In</u> Transportation Research Record 1030, TRD, National Research Council, Washington, D.C., 1985, pp. 77-84.
- 10. M.R. Greenberg, A. Krueckeberg, and C.D. Michaelson. Local Population and Employment Projection Techniques. Center for Urban Policy Research, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, N.J., 1978.