

# Field Evaluation of Bonded Concrete Overlays

SHIRAZ D. TAYABJI AND CLAIRE G. BALL

A field program of strain and deflection measurements was conducted by the Construction Technology Laboratories (CTL) for the Iowa Department of Transportation. The objective of the field measurement program was to obtain information on bonded concrete resurfaced pavements that can be used as a data base for verifying bonded resurfacing thickness design procedures. Data gathered during the investigation included a visual condition survey, engineering properties of the original and resurfacing concrete, load related strain and deflection measurements, and temperature-related curl (deflection) measurements. Field load testing was conducted by CTL at five sites in Iowa during April 1986. This report presents the results of field testing, analysis of results, and recommendations to incorporate study results in Iowa design procedures for bonded concrete overlays. Results of the investigation indicate that the four overlaid pavement sections evaluated as part of the reported study are performing as monolithic pavements with high interface shear strength at the interface. The strength of the existing pavement at all of the four overlaid test sections was high. In addition, cores obtained from sections 4 and 5 did not indicate D-cracking related damage in the overlay concrete. Comparison of the condition surveys for Section 1 (non-overlaid JRCF) and Section 2 (overlaid JRCF) indicate that all cracking in the existing pavement is not reflected through the overlay and that the cracks that did reflect through have remained tightly closed. Similarly, the condition survey of sections 4 and 5 indicate that cracks reflected through the overlay continue to remain tightly closed even after almost seven years of service. The field investigation conducted by CTL verifies that for properly constructed bonded overlays, pavement strengthening is achieved and that the overlaid pavement behaves monolithically as a full-depth concrete pavement.

A field testing program to measure strains and deflections was conducted by the Construction Technology Laboratories (CTL) for the Iowa Department of Transportation. The objective of the field measurement program was to obtain information on bonded concrete resurfaced pavements that could be used as a data base for verifying bonded resurfacing thickness design procedures. Data gathered during the investigation included a visual condition survey, engineering properties of the original and the overlay concrete, load related strain and deflection measurements, and temperature-related curl (deflection) measurements.

Resurfacing is basically the addition of a surface layer to extend the life of an existing pavement. Portland cement concrete has been used to resurface existing pavements since about 1913.

For many years concrete overlays were designed based on experience or engineering judgment. Use was also made of the Corps of Engineers procedure for design, which requires a coefficient that rates the condition of the existing pavement. However, since the rating for this procedure is based on the amount of surface cracking, it is subjective. In the last few years, several more rational procedures have been developed for concrete overlays. These procedures incorporate an evaluation of the existing pavement by nondestructive load testing and/or use the finite element methods of analysis to establish overlay thickness requirements. A recent design procedure for bonded overlays developed by the Portland Cement Association (PCA) is based on the finite element method of analysis (1). This procedure incorporates the strength characteristics of the existing and overlay pavement to compute overlay thickness. The procedure currently used by the Iowa DOT to establish bonded overlay thickness requires use of the Road Rater equipment to evaluate the existing pavement.

Field load testing was conducted by CTL at five sites in Iowa during April 1986. This paper presents the results of field testing, analysis of results, and recommendations to incorporate study results in Iowa's design procedure for bonded concrete overlays.

## RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

Objectives of the study were as follows:

1. Perform condition survey and load testing of the overlaid pavement sections.
2. Analyze field data.
3. Prepare a report containing a discussion of use of the field data to verify design procedures for bonded concrete overlays.

## PAVEMENT TEST SECTIONS

Field measurements were obtained at five pavement sections located in the State of Iowa. A brief description of each pavement section follows:

### Section 1

This test section, located along the westbound lanes near mile post 190 on I-80, is a 24-ft wide roadway. The original pavement, constructed in 1964, is jointed reinforced concrete with

joints spaced at 76 feet 6 inches. This pavement is nominally 10-in thick and has not been overlaid. The outside shoulder consists of a granular base and asphalt concrete wearing surface.

## Section 2

This test section is located adjacent to (just west of) section 1 and is also a 24-ft wide roadway. The pavement is jointed reinforced concrete with joints spaced at 76 feet 6 inches. The pavement section had been overlaid with portland cement concrete. The original pavement, constructed in 1964, is nominally 10-in thick. The overlay was constructed in 1984 and is nominally 4-in thick. The outside shoulder consists of a granular base and asphalt concrete wearing surface.

## Section 3

This test section is located along the northbound lane near station 435 + 20 on County Road T-61, just south of Eddyville along the Monroe and Wapello County Line. The original pavement, constructed in 1972, is reinforced concrete with joints spaced at 40-ft intervals. The pavement section has been overlaid with portland cement concrete. The overlay, constructed in 1985, is plain concrete. In the overlay, transverse joints were provided to match the joints in the existing pavement at 40-ft intervals and intermediate joints were provided at 20-ft intervals. The original pavement is nominally 6-in thick and overlay is about 4-in thick. The shoulder consists of a granular base.

## Section 4

This test section is located along the eastbound lanes of I-80 near mile post 39, just west of the Avoca interchange. The pavement is continuously reinforced concrete (CRC) and is overlaid. The existing pavement is nominally 8-in thick and overlay is nominally 3-in thick. The outside shoulder consists of a granular base and asphalt concrete wearing surface.

The original pavement was constructed in 1966 and exhibited D-cracking deterioration at time of overlay in 1979.

## Section 5

This test section is located adjacent to (just east of) section 4. The original pavement is jointed reinforced concrete with joints spaced at 76 feet 6 inches. The pavement is overlaid

with portland cement concrete. Thickness of the original pavement is nominally 10 inches, and the overlay is nominally 3-in thick.

The original pavement was constructed in 1965 and exhibited D-cracking deterioration at time of overlay in 1979.

## BONDED OVERLAY CONSTRUCTION

When a bonded concrete overlay is used, steps are taken to ensure complete bond with the existing pavement so that the overlay becomes an integral part of the base slab. A schematic of a bonded overlay is shown in figure 1.

This section summarizes Iowa construction procedures for bonded overlays. The procedures described were used for the overlay construction at sections 4 and 5 along the eastbound lanes of I-80 in Pottawattawie County just west of Avoca.

A 4½-mi section of I-80 was resurfaced in 1979 with nominally 3-in thick bonded plain concrete. The resurfaced pavement was an 8-in thick CRC except for about 2,100 feet of 10-in thick jointed reinforced concrete near the east end of the project. The resurfaced pavement exhibited considerable D-cracking along joints and cracks.

The existing surface, milled to a depth of about ¼ inch, was cleaned by sandblasting and air-blasting. A cement grout was sprayed onto the cleaned surface just ahead of the overlay placement. Work also included installing edge drains and pressure relief joints in the existing pavement and the overlay. Transverse joints were provided in the bonded overlay to match joints in the existing pavement along the jointed portion of the project.

## CONDITION SURVEY OF TEST SECTIONS

A visual condition survey was conducted at each test section. For sections 1, 2, and 5, the length surveyed was about 300 to 350 feet. For sections 3 and 4, the length surveyed was about 100 feet. Extent and severity of visible cracking was noted. For jointed pavements, severity of faulting was also noted. It should be noted that sections 1, 2, 4, and 5 carry heavy truck traffic. The average daily traffic (ADT) in each direction exceeds 6,000 vehicles and includes about 35 percent trucks. Results of the condition survey are presented in the following paragraphs.

### Test Section 1

The condition survey for section 1 is given in figure 2. As seen in figure 2, there is a large amount of transverse cracking




FIGURE 1 Bonded concrete overlay.




FIGURE 2 Condition survey for section 1.




FIGURE 3 Condition survey for section 2.

within the test section area. Cracking was generally of low-to-medium severity. A few cracks did exhibit high severity. Transverse joints were faulted about  $\frac{1}{4}$  to  $\frac{3}{8}$  inches.

Two slab panels, denoted Slab A and Slab B, selected for instrumentation are also indicated in figure 2.

#### Test Section 2

The condition survey for section 2 is given in figure 3. Cracking in section 2 is not as extensive as for section 1. Cracking was generally of low-to-medium severity. Faulting was not evident at the transverse joints within and near the test section.

Two slab panels, denoted Slab A and Slab B, selected for instrumentation are also indicated in figure 3.

#### Test Section 3

No cracking or damage was visually evident at section 3. Joint spacing at this location is 20 feet for the overlay and 40 feet for the existing pavement. There was no mid-slab cracking for faulting at joints.

#### Test Section 4

Section 4 is a continuously reinforced concrete pavement. The condition survey for section 4 is given in figure 4. Crack spacing within the length of pavement surveyed ranged from 1 foot to about 12 feet, with most cracks spaced 5 feet or more. All cracks were tight.

Locations of instruments (strain gages and deflectometers) are also identified in figure 4.




FIGURE 4 Condition survey for section 4.




FIGURE 5 Condition survey for section 5.

TABLE 1 RESULTS OF CORE TESTS

Item	Test Section				
	1	2	3	4	5
Compressive Strength of Original Concrete, psi	8,590	8,160	6,860	6,920	6,770
Split Tensile Strength of Original Concrete, psi	630	730	680	600	660
Split Tensile Strength of Overlay Concrete, psi	---	660	670	730	780
Interface Shear Strength, psi	---	490	550	370	500
Overlay Thickness, in.	---	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.0
Original Pavement Thickness	10.5	10.0	6.0	8.0	10.0

### Test Section 5

The condition survey for section 5 is given in figure 5. Cracking was generally of low severity. Faulting was not evident at transverse joints within the length of pavement surveyed.

Two slab panels, denoted as Slab A and Slab B, selected for instrumentation are also indicated in figure 5.

### CORE TESTING

The installation of deflectometers used to measure slab deflections required coring 4¼-in diameter holes along the pavement edge. The 4-in diameter cores recovered were used for compressive, split-tensile, and shear strength testing. Test results are summarized in table 1.

Results of core tests indicate that strength of the original pavement concrete at all test sections was very high. For the original pavement core concrete compressive strength ranged from 6,770 to 8,590 psi and split-tensile strength ranged from 600 to 730 psi. For the overlay concrete, split-tensile strength ranged from 660 to 780 psi. The interface shear strength for the four sections with the bonded overlay ranged from 370 to 550 psi.

Assuming that the 28-day concrete compressive strength of concrete (at time of construction) was about 5,000 psi, test results indicate a compressive strength gain of about 35 to 72 percent in a period of about 20 years for the original concrete.

## INSTRUMENTATION

All pavement test sections were instrumented to measure load-induced strains and deflections at the pavement surface. In addition, pavement temperature and slab curl were monitored

with respect to time. Curl is the change in the vertical profile of the slab resulting from a change in the slab temperature.

For test sections with jointed pavement two adjacent slab panels were instrumented. Each slab panel was instrumented to obtain strains and deflections at mid-slab edge and deflection at a joint corner. For section 4, with the continuously reinforced concrete pavement, several cracked segments of the pavement were instrumented to obtain four replicate readings of edge longitudinal and interior transverse strains and edge deflection.

Typical strain gage and deflectometer locations for the jointed pavements of sections 1, 2, 3, and 5 are shown in figure 6. Exact locations of the gages and deflectometers for sections 1, 2, and 5 are shown in figures 2, 3, and 5, respectively. Instrumentation for section 4 is shown in figure 7. The instrumentation plan was established to provide maximum values of strains and deflection due to edge loading.

A brief description of instrumentation procedures used at the test sections follows.




FIGURE 6 Typical instrumentation for sections 1, 2, 3, and 5.




FIGURE 7 Instrumentation for section 4.

### Load Strains

Load strains were measured with 4-in long electrical-resistant strain gages bonded to the pavement surface. All gages were placed in recessed grooves to protect them from direct application of wheel loads. The procedure for applying gage was:

- Grind a recess sufficient to remove the texture grooves in the pavement surface.
- Heat the concrete surface, when necessary.
- Clean the recess with acetone.
- Apply a thin coat of adhesive.
- Place the gage in the adhesive and remove all air bubbles.
- Connect lead wires to the gage.
- Run lead wires in recessed grooves to the pavement edge.
- Waterproof the gage.
- Fill gage and lead wire recesses with silicon rubber.

### Load Deflections

Load deflections were measured with resistance-bridge deflectometers mounted in core holes located near the pavement edge. Readings were referenced to encased rods driven in the subgrade to a depth of 6 feet. The installation procedure

used for the deflectometers allowed passage of the trucks directly over the deflectometer locations.

### Curl Measurements

Pavement curl was measured with 0.001-in indicators placed at the same locations as the deflectometers. Curl readings were referenced to the encased rods placed in the subgrade. Curl readings were taken approximately once an hour.

### Temperature Measurements

Changes in pavement temperature were measured with copper-constantan thermocouples placed at the surface of the concrete pavement and at the bottom of the pavement in the core holes used for placing deflectometers. Air temperature was monitored with a thermocouple shaded from direct sun.

### Monitoring Equipment

Data were monitored and recorded with equipment carried in Construction Technology Laboratories' field instrumen-




FIGURE 8 Variation of curl and deflection with time at section 1.

tation van. Strain and deflection data were recorded with a high-speed computer-based data acquisition system. Up to twenty channels of instrumentation were monitored and recorded simultaneously for each vehicle loading. Computer programs were written to monitor, record, and tabulate all field data. All analog data from strain gages and deflectometers were digitized and stored on computer floppy disks. Readings from each item of instrumentation were digitized simultaneously at the rate of approximately 200 points per second. Detailed loading curves for each strain gage and deflectometer were stored on computer floppy disks for future examination.

All monitoring and recording instruments were calibrated prior to testing.

## LOAD TESTING

Loading was applied using two trucks supplied by Iowa DOT. One truck was loaded to provide a 20-kip nominal single-axle load (SAL). The second truck was loaded to provide a 34-kip nominal tandem-axle load (TAL).

It had been planned to use Iowa DOT Model 400 Road Rater equipment in conjunction with CTL load testing. This was planned to establish a correlation between Road Rater deflections and measured responses under the 20-kip SAL and 34-kip TAL. The Road Rater unit is an electronically controlled, hydraulically powered unit mounted in the rear

of a van. A dynamic load is applied at a fixed frequency. The actual dynamic load applied is a function of displacement of the mass used to impart the loading. For rigid pavement, Iowa DOT uses peak-to-peak dynamic load of about 2,000 lb at a frequency of 30 cycles per second. The Road Rater has been used by Iowa DOT to determine AASHTO structural numbers for flexible pavements, to determine subgrade support values for rigid pavements, and to determine overlay requirements for both rigid and flexible pavements (2, 3).

The Road Rater unit was available only for testing at sections 1, 2, and 3 at the end of the CTL field testing program.

Strains and deflections were recorded for the 20-kip single axle and 34-kip tandem-axle loadings with the trucks moving at creep speed. Two wheel paths were used. For one wheel path, tire placement was 2 inches from the pavement edge. For the second wheel path, tire placement was 18 inches from the pavement edge. The tire placement distance is the distance from the pavement edge to the outside edge of the outside tire sidewall. Care was taken to ensure that wheel paths of the trucks coincided with the desired paths painted on the pavement.

Sections 1 and 2 were tested on April 25, 1986, section 3 was tested on April 26, 1986, and sections 4 and 5 were tested on April 23, 1986. Each day, testing was generally started between 8:00 and 9:00 am, and testing was repeated several times until about 2:00 pm. Specific testing times were governed by traffic control requirements and preparation times required at each test section.

TABLE 2 MEASURED RESPONSES AT SECTION 1

Response Type		Axle Load	Test Time				
			8:30 a.m.	9:30 a.m.	10:30 a.m.	11:30 a.m.	1:00 p.m.
WHEEL PATH: 2 in. from edge							
Edge Strain	SAL		30	27	27	26	26
	TAL		16	19	19	19	20
Long. Strain @ 18 in.	SAL		29	32	30	28	28
	TAL		14	15	15	15	18
Edge Deflection, in.	SAL		0.012	0.013	0.012	0.012	0.012
	TAL		0.020	0.018	0.017	0.015	0.015
Corner Deflection, in.	SAL		0.029	0.029	0.026	0.024	0.018
	TAL		0.033	0.028	0.026	0.024	0.022
WHEEL PATH: 18 in. from edge							
Edge Strain	SAL		16	16	14	13	13
	TAL		11	10	9	10	10
Long. Strain @ 18 in.	SAL		16	16	13	13	16
	TAL		10	8	9	8	10
Edge Deflection, in.	SAL		0.008	0.009	0.009	0.008	0.008
	TAL		0.014	0.012	0.012	0.011	0.011
Corner Deflection, in.	SAL		0.021	0.019	0.019	0.017	0.013
	TAL		0.023	0.021	0.020	0.019	0.017

- NOTES: 1. SAL = 20-kip single-axle load  
TAL = 34-kip tandem-axle load  
2. For TAL, strain values listed are the larger of the two peak values under the two axles  
3. Strain readings are in millionths.

## DATA ANALYSIS

This section presents a summary of the field data and comparison of field data with results of theoretical analysis of bonded overlay sections. As stated previously, curl was measured at each deflectionometer location generally between 8:00 am and 2:00 pm. Because of variations in slab curl with changes in temperature, measured deflections due to load along a slab edge or corner are affected by the time of testing. In addition, measured slab strains may also be affected by time of testing but at a lower level. Therefore, care has to be exercised in interpreting deflection and strain measurements if these measurements are made at different times of a day or on different days.

### Curling and Warping Effects

Soon after concrete is placed, drying shrinkage of the concrete begins. Drying shrinkage in a slab-on-grade occurs at a faster rate at the slab surface than at the slab bottom. In addition, because the subgrade and subbase may remain wet, the slab bottom remains relatively moist. Thus, total shrinkage at the bottom is less than at the top. This differential in shrinkage results in a lifting of the slab from the subbase at edges and corner. Movements of this type resulting from moisture differentials are referred to as warping. Over a period of time,

the warping behavior is modified by creep effects. However, warping is almost never recoverable.

In addition to warping, a slab-on-grade is also subjected to curling. Curling is the change in the slab profile due to temperature differential between slab top and bottom. Curling is a daily phenomenon. Slabs are curled upward from their warped shape during the night when temperatures are low and curled downward from their warped shape during the midday period when temperatures are higher.

Typical variations with time of pavement curl and deflections under load at slab edge and corner are shown in figure 8 for section 1. As shown in figure 8, corner curl was highest for jointed pavement sections 1, 2 and 5 with joint spacing of 76 feet 6 inches. Edge curl at all sections was low and thus had almost no effect on deflections due to truck loading over a period of time.

### Summary of Measured Strains and Deflections

Pavement responses (strains and deflections) measured at sections 1 through 5 are listed in tables 2 through 6, respectively. Responses listed are generally an average of two readings (from Slab A and Slab B) for sections 1, 2, 3, and 5. For section 4, responses listed are generally an average of four readings.

The strains reported in the tables are those measured at

TABLE 3 MEASURED RESPONSES AT SECTION 2

		Test Time				
Response Type	Axle Load	9:00 a.m.	9:50 a.m.	11:00 a.m.	11:50 a.m.	1:20 p.m.
WHEEL PATH: 2 in. from edge						
Edge Strain	SAL	10	11	11	12	13
	TAL	11	11	10	9	12
Long. Strain @ 18 in.	SAL	12	13	14	14	13
	TAL	15	13	13	14	15
Edge Deflection, in.	SAL	0.010	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.008
	TAL	0.015	0.014	0.013	0.013	0.012
Corner Deflection, in.	SAL	0.014	0.013	0.012	0.012	0.011
	TAL	0.018	0.016	0.015	0.014	0.014
WHEEL PATH: 18 in. from edge						
Edge Strain	SAL	7	5	5	5	6
	TAL	5	5	6	6	6
Long. Strain @ 18 in.	SAL	9	10	9	10	9
	TAL	7	8	9	8	9
Edge Deflection, in.	SAL	0.007	0.007	0.007	0.007	0.006
	TAL	0.011	0.010	0.010	0.010	0.009
Corner Deflection, in.	SAL	0.010	0.009	0.009	0.008	0.008
	TAL	0.014	0.012	0.011	0.011	0.010

- NOTES: 1. SAL = 20-kip single-axle load  
TAL = 34-kip tandem-axle load  
2. For TAL, strain values listed are the larger of the two peak values under the two axles.  
3. Strain readings are in millionths



TABLE 4 MEASURED RESPONSES AT SECTION 3

Response Type	Axle	Test Time				
	Load	8:00 a.m.	8:50 a.m.	9:55 a.m.	10:35 a.m.	11:20 a.m.
WHEEL PATH: 2 in. from edge						
Edge Strain	SAL	42	38	37	35	34
	TAL	33	32	29	27	28
Long. Strain @ 18 in.	SAL	34	33	31	30	28
	TAL	26	28	25	26	26
Edge Deflection, in.	SAL	0.016	0.015	0.014	0.014	0.014
	TAL	0.020	0.022	0.022	0.021	0.021
Corner Deflection, in.	SAL	0.018	0.017	0.017	0.017	0.017
	TAL	0.024	0.024	0.024	0.024	0.023
WHEEL PATH: 18 in. from edge						
Edge Strain	SAL	23	23	20	20	20
	TAL	22	21	21	20	20
Long. Strain @ 18 in.	SAL	22	24	24	22	22
	TAL	22	20	20	20	20
Edge Deflection, in.	SAL	0.010	0.011	0.010	0.010	0.010
	TAL	0.017	0.016	0.016	0.016	0.016
Corner Deflection, in.	SAL	0.012	0.012	0.012	0.012	0.012
	TAL	0.018	0.018	0.017	0.017	0.017

- NOTES: 1. SAL = 20-kip single-axle load  
TAL = 34-kip tandem-axle load  
2. For TAL, strain values listed are the larger of the two peak values under the two axles.  
3. Strain readings are in millionths

TABLE 5 MEASURED RESPONSES AT SECTION 4

Response Type	Axle	Test Time			
	Load	9:45 a.m.	11:15 a.m.	1:15 p.m.	2:15 p.m.
WHEEL PATH: 2 in. from edge					
Edge Strain	SAL	30	29	26	27
	TAL	21	20	23	20
Trans. Strain (at 18 in.)	SAL	-9	---	-15	-16
	TAL	-12	-23	-23	-22
Trans. Strain (at 26 in.)	SAL	-13	---	25	-24
	TAL	-13	-18	-22	-23
Edge Deflection, in.	SAL	0.013	0.012	0.011	0.011
	TAL	0.016	0.016	0.015	0.015
WHEEL PATH: 18 in. from edge					
Edge Strain	SAL	16	14	16	15
	TAL	14	14	15	15
Trans. Strain (at 18 in.)	SAL	-2	-6	-6	-7
	TAL	-5	-10	-9	-8
Trans. Strain (at 26 in.)	SAL	-7	-9	-9	-7
	TAL	-9	-12	-15	-11
Edge Deflection, in.	SAL	0.008	0.008	0.008	0.008
	TAL	0.013	0.012	0.012	0.011

- NOTES: 1. SAL = 20-kip single-axle load  
TAL = 34-kip tandem-axle load  
2. For TAL, strain values listed are the larger of the two peak values under the two axles.  
3. Negative value of strain indicates tensile strain at slab surface.  
4. Strain readings are in millionths

TABLE 6 MEASURED RESPONSES AT SECTION 5

Response Type	Axle	Test Time			
	Load	10:15 a.m.	11:40 a.m.	1:35 p.m.	2:40 p.m.
WHEEL PATH: 2 in. from edge					
Edge Strain	SAL	22	22	21	23
	TAL	19	21	20	20
Long. Strain @ 18 in.	SAL	12	11	10	10
	TAL	10	9	10	12
Edge Deflection, in.	SAL	0.012	0.011	0.011	0.010
	TAL	0.017	0.016	0.015	0.015
Corner Deflection, in.	SAL	0.023	0.018	0.016	0.014
	TAL	0.024	0.019	0.017	0.017
WHEEL PATH: 18 in. from edge					
Edge Strain	SAL	16	12	13	15
	TAL	14	14	11	11
Long. Strain @ 18 in.	SAL	15	14	16	16
	TAL	12	14	12	14
Edge Deflection, in.	SAL	0.009	0.008	0.007	0.007
	TAL	0.012	0.012	0.012	0.012
Corner Deflection, in.	SAL	0.015	0.011	0.010	0.010
	TAL	0.019	0.014	0.012	0.012

- NOTES: 1. SAL = 20-kip single-axle load  
TAL = 34-kip tandem-axle load  
2. For TAL, strain values listed are the larger of the two peak values under the two axes.  
3. Strain readings are in millionths

the slab surface. It is assumed that strains at the slab bottom are equal in magnitude but opposite in sign. Thus, a reported value of 20 millionths compressive strain at the slab surface would imply a 20 millionths tensile strain at the slab bottom. Typical graphical recordings of edge strain at section 2 are shown in figure 9 for 20-kip single-axle and 34-kip tandem-axle loadings.




FIGURE 9 Typical recordings for edge strain.

A summary of the measured responses is presented for all test sections in table 7 for the 20-kip SAL and 34-kip TAL along the lane edge.

It is seen from table 7 that measured responses were much lower at section 2 with a total nominal slab thickness of 14 inches compared to responses at section 1 with a total nominal slab thickness of 10 inches. Measured strain values at section 2 were less than half of those at section 1. Measured deflection values at section 2 were also much lower, indicating the beneficial effects of the 4-in thick (nominal) overlay at section 2.

Measured responses at section 4 (overlaid CRCP) were a little larger than at section 5 (overlaid JRPC). This difference is accounted for by the larger thickness of the existing pavement at section 5. Section 3 had generally the highest measured responses with strains under a 20-kip SAL ranging from 34 to 42 millionths. Edge deflection, under the 20-kip SAL at section 3 ranged from 0.014 to 0.016 inches.

Corner deflections at the sections with jointed pavement generally were about 30 to 60 percent greater than edge deflections.

For section 4 (overlaid CRCP), the magnitudes of tensile transverse strains measured at the slab surface at 26-in inward from the edge were almost equal to edge longitudinal strains.

It should be noted that the Road Rater unit was used at sections 1, 2, and 3. At section 2, the Road Rater was used at mid-slab, and at 2-in and 18-in intervals in from the edge. At section 3, the Road Rater was used at mid-slab, at 7 inches from the edge, and at a joint location at 9 inches inside from the edge. Because the Road Rater was not placed directly

TABLE 7 SUMMARY OF MEASURED RESPONSES

Response Type	Test Section				
	1	2	3	4	5
<b>20-kip SAL at edge</b>					
Edge Strain	26-30	10-13	34-42	36-30	21-23
Long. Strain (@ 18 in.)	28-32	12-14	28-34	—	10-12
Trans. Strain (@ 18 in.)	—	—	—	(-9)-(-16)	—
Trans. Strain (@ 26 in.)	—	—	—	(-13)-(-25)	—
Edge Deflection, in.	0.012-0.013	0.008-0.010	0.014-0.016	0.011-0.013	0.010-0.012
Corner Deflection, in.	0.018-0.029	0.012-0.015	0.017-0.018	—	0.014-0.023
<b>34-kip TAL at edge</b>					
Edge Strain	16-20	9-12	28-33	20-23	19-20
Long. Strain (@ 18 in.)	14-18	13-15	25-28	—	9-12
Trans. Strain (@ 18 in.)	—	—	—	(-12)-(-23)	—
Trans. Strain (@ 26 in.)	—	—	—	(-13)-(-23)	—
Edge Deflection, in.	0.015-0.020	0.012-0.015	0.020-0.022	0.015-0.016	0.015-0.017
Corner Deflection, in.	0.022-0.033	0.014-0.018	0.023-0.024	—	0.017-0.024
Total Slab Thickness, in. (nominal/actual)	10.5/10.5	14.0/14.3	10.0/10.5	11.0/12.3	13.0/14.0

NOTES: 1. Negative value of strain indicates a tensile strain at slab surface.  
 2. Ranges of values given for different times of testing.  
 3. Strain readings are in millionths.

over the CTL instrumentation, and because deflections measured by the Road Rater are generally of low magnitude (about 0.001 to 0.002 inches), the CTL data acquisition system was not able to provide usable data for the case of the Road Rater loadings.

### Analysis of Results

A comparison was made between measured responses and calculated theoretical responses. Pavement responses (edge stresses and edge deflections) were calculated using a finite element computer program, Program JSLAB. Program JSLAB, developed by the Construction Technology Laboratories for the Federal Highway Administration, can analyze jointed slabs (4). Load input is in terms of wheel loads at any location on the slabs. Loss of support, variable support or material properties, as well as bonded and unbonded concrete overlays,

can be considered. In the program, the subbase/subgrade support is characterized by the modulus of subgrade reaction.

Analysis was conducted for various thicknesses of pavement slabs subjected to 20-kip SAL and 34-kip TAL at the mid-slab pavement edge. Analysis was conducted for a single slab 12-ft wide and 20-ft long. Values of modulus of subgrade reaction used were 100, 300, and 500 pci. The overlaid sections were assumed to behave monolithically.

The measured strains were converted to stresses by assuming that the modulus of elasticity of concrete was 5,000,000 psi. Use of this value of the modulus of elasticity is justified considering the high compressive and split-tensile strengths of the concrete at the test sections. In addition, it is assumed that the overlaid pavements at sections 2 to 5 behave monolithically as evidenced by the high interface shear strengths between the overlay and the existing pavement.

The measured and computed edge stresses and deflections are compared in figure 10 for the 20-kip SAL and in figure




FIGURE 10 Comparison of measured and calculated responses for the 20-kip single-axle loading.

11 for the 34-kip TAL. It is seen that the measured stresses as well as deflections are a function of the total pavement thickness. Measured deflections are lower for larger total pavement thickness.

The modulus of subgrade reaction,  $k$ , values at the five test sections were reported to be about 200 pci. It is seen that the measured edge deflections correspond well with computed edge deflections at a  $k$ -value of about 200 pci for both the SAL and the TAL. Measured edge stresses also correspond well with computed edge stress except for sections 1 and 2. Measured edge stresses at sections 1 and 2 are much lower than would have been anticipated, especially considering reasonably good agreement between measured and computed edge deflections at these sections. One reason for lower measured edge stresses could be that the effective panel length (distance between transverse cracks) in the existing pavement is much shorter than the 20 feet assumed in the theoretical analysis. The condition survey for section 1, shown in figure 2, indicates an effective panel length of about 15 feet in the panels containing the instrumentation. The condition survey for section 2, shown in figure 3, indicates an effective panel length of about 20 feet in the overlay in the panels containing the instrumentation. However, the effective panel length in the existing pavement at section 2 may be less than 20 feet.

Based on the comparisons shown in figures 10 and 11, it is seen that the overlaid pavements are behaving monolithically and that the overlaid pavements are responding as full-depth pavement.

#### Effect of Wheel Path

The field investigation was planned to also provide information on the effect of wheel path. As discussed previously wheel paths used for both the SAL and the TAL were 2-in and 18-in inside from the edge. The 2-in wheel path simulated the edge loading condition. The effect of having a wheel path just 18 inches away from the edge is shown in figure 12. There is a significant reduction in measured edge stresses and edge deflections at all five sections for the wheel path at 18 inches compared to the wheel path at 2 inches. Similar reductions were also measured for joint deflections.

Thus, lane widening at time of overlay, if practical, and lane widening at time of new construction if a tied-concrete shoulder is not used, should be given serious consideration. Keeping truck traffic away from the free lane edge can significantly improve pavement performance by reducing critical stresses and deflections.





FIGURE 11 Comparison of measured and calculated responses for the 34-kip tandem-axle loading.




FIGURE 12 Comparison of responses for wheel paths of 18-in. and 2-in. inside from the edge.

## SUMMARY

Results of the investigation indicate that the four overlaid pavement sections evaluated as part of the reported study are performing as monolithic pavements with high interface shear strength at the interface. The strength of the existing pavement at all of the four overlaid test sections was high. In addition, cores obtained from sections 4 and 5 did not indicate D-cracking related damage in the overlay concrete.

Comparison of the condition surveys for section 1 (non-overlaid JRCP) and section 2 (overlaid JRCP) indicate that all cracking in the existing pavement is not reflected through the overlay and that the cracks that did reflect through have remained tightly closed. Similarly, the condition survey of sections 4 and 5 indicate that cracks reflected through the overlay continue to remain tightly closed even after almost seven years of service.

The field investigation conducted by CTL verifies that for properly constructed bonded overlays, pavement strengthening is achieved and that the overlaid pavement behaves monolithically as a full-depth concrete pavement.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Work was conducted by the Construction Technology Laboratories under the direction of W. G. Corley, Vice-President, Engineering Development Division. Vernon J. Marks of the

Iowa Department of Transportation provided technical coordination. The cooperation by the many personnel of the Iowa Department of Transportation is gratefully acknowledged.

The opinions and findings expressed or implied in the paper are those of the authors. They are not necessarily those of the Iowa Department of Transportation.

## REFERENCES

1. S. D. Tayabji and P. A. Okamoto. Thickness Design of Concrete Resurfacing. *Proceedings of the Third International Conference on Concrete Pavement Design and Rehabilitation*, Purdue University, April 1985.
2. D. Heins. *Dynamic Deflections for Determining Structural Rating of Flexible Pavements*. Project HR-178 Report, Iowa Highway Research Board, Iowa Department of Transportation, Ames, Iowa, February 1979.
3. V. J. Marks. *Improving Subgrade Support Values with Longitudinal Drains*. Project MLR-B4-3 Interim Report. Iowa Department of Transportation, Ames, Iowa, January 1984.
4. S. D. Tayabji and B. E. Colley. *Improved Rigid Pavement Joints*. Report FHWA-RD-B6-040, Federal Highway Administration, U.S. DOT, February 1984.

---

*Publication of this paper sponsored by Committee on Pavement Rehabilitation.*