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#### Abstract

The effects of currently imposed truck restrictions on transportation demand estimates, the mix of trucks in congested traffic, and truck travel times and trip length are examined. During the past 3 years, the Chicago Area Transportation Study (CATS) has been developing the Transportation System Development Plan for 2010. The travel demand process incorporates the results of a 1986 commercial vehicle survey, 1980 and 2010 socioeconomic variables, and network characteristics in the traditional four-step demand modeling process. The CATS practice of combining truck trips with automobile trips in the form of automobile vehicle equivalences before path assignment does not accurately reflect demand on a number of major Chicago area roadways where truck restrictions exist. The assignment procedure has been adjusted to prevent trucks from being loaded to restricted roadways. The resulting traffic assignment shows the significant effects of the restrictions on the vehicle mix of congested roads. A comparison of restricted versus unrestricted demand estimates shows that truck restrictions affect truck travel times and trip lengths. It was determined that these restrictions significantly affect the transportation industry and do not appear to be effective in reducing overall congestion in selected locations.


The effects of currently imposed truck restrictions on traffic congestion, travel times, and route length of truck trips on Chicago area roadways are examined. In addition, some questions regarding truck restrictions are explored.

The Chicago Area Transportation Study (CATS) has adopted a long-range program known as the 2010 Transportation System Development (TSD) Plan (1). In creating the plan, travel demand estimates were developed for commercial vehicles as separate trip types. Many transportation planning agencies model truck travel by increasing automobile person trips by 5 to 15 percent, but CATS has traditionally used separate demand estimate models to account for truck travel. For the 2010 TSD plan, truck trip characteristics were developed for four distinct types of commercial vehicles according to the results of the CATS 1986 commercial vehiche survey (2). These truck trips were then combined with automobile trips to estimate travel demand on alternative highway networks.

The current practice of combining automobile and truck trips, bcfore trip assignment, on the simulated networks assigns vehicles to roadways without regard to truck access limitations. This practice assumes that all vehicles have equal access to all streets and does not accurately reflect the actual circuitous routes that trucks are forced to take because of restrictions on numerous streets in the region. CATS staff have explored a new method to analyze the assignment of commercial vehicles in the regional demand modeling process,

[^0]the results of which are reported here. CATS is currently developing other methods (e.g., parallel path assignments) that may improve the modeling process further.

Truck restrictions are in place for a number of reasons:

- To improve or maintain the residential quality of neighborhoods,
- To remove trucks from roads such as parkways and boulevards,
- To reduce damage to roadways and bridges,
- To minimize noise levels,
- To restrict the movement of hazardous materials,
- To minimize pedestrian conflicts, and
- To increase the roadway capacity available to automobile drivers.

Many large trucks are also effectively restricted from access to some major streets because of low clearances under older railroad viaducts, most of which are in the city of Chicago. In addition, truck restrictions interact with many strategic decisions and operational characteristics of private-sector transportation companies, such as the location of and access to manufacturing plants and industrial complexes.
The following discussion covers the effect of truck restrictions on the local nonrestricted roadways (increasing the percentage of trucks on nonrestricted streets), the added costs to the transportation function for many businesses (from the increase in travel and delivery times), and the possible environmental implications (from longer and more circuitous truck trips).

## DEFINITIONS

In 1986, CATS embarked on a major study of commercial vehicle behavior. As presented in Table 1, the majority of commercial vehicles are divided by the Illinois Secretary of State into two separate groups for licensing purposes: (a) the Weight Plates Group (WPG), which includes local cartage companies such as United Parcel Service and Waste Management, and (b) the International Registration Program (IRP), which includes over-the-road operators such as Yellow Freight. Also included in the survey were United States Postal Service (USPS) vehicles. The USPS operates 1 percent of the total commercial vehicles in the region. As seen in Table 1, 360,000 commercial vehicles were registered in the six-county Chicago area in 1986.

The four vehicle class definitions [i.e., B truck (Illinois license plates that end with B or have B TRUCK written on
the side), light, medium, and heavy] presented in Table 1 were necessary to model their distinct trip characteristics more accurately in the regional modeling process. Table 2 presents the average (mean and median) daily trip frequency and trip length for the four classes of commercial vehicles. The survey demonstrated that the length and type of trips made by stepvans and pickup trucks were different from the length and type of trips made by the large tractor-semitrailers.
Because the regional highway assignment allocated trips and calculated capacity in a base unit of passenger automobiles, truck trips were converted to automobile vehicle equivalents (VEQ) in the modeling process. The presence of a heavy commercial vehicle on a section of road is obviously much different from that of a passenger car. Given the various types of operational considerations (e.g., size, weight, acceleration, speed, and maneuverability) of the distinct truck classes and the various types of roadway characteristics (e.g., speed limit, level of access control, parking, intersection capacity, and lane width) throughout the region, the VEQ for each class represents an average equivalent number of passenger automobiles that a truck from that class represents on the road. For example, in the regional model, one heavy truck added to a section of road would have the assumed equivalent effect on capacity and traffic congestion of three automobiles. The VEQs applied in the development of the 2010 plan and
for this exercise are 1 VEQ for B and light trucks, 2 VEQs for medium trucks, and 3 VEQs for heavy trucks.

With the goal of adequately measuring the impact of restrictions on larger commercial vehicles, a number of resources were reviewed to determine what type of commercial vehicle classes should be defined as large trucks. These trucks would be prohibited from using the restricted streets on the regional network. It was determined that the medium and heavy groups defined in the survey would be aggregated as large trucks. This group consisted of those vehicles with a gross weight range of 28,001 to $80,000 \mathrm{lb}$, corresponding closely to the $26,000-\mathrm{lb}$ threshold established for Class 7 and 8 vehicles as defined by the Motor Vehicle Manufacturers' Association (3). Examples of this large truck group include beverage trucks, concrete mixers, charter buses, dump trucks, fuel trucks, tractorsemitrailers, and multitrailer vehicles. The total number of trips for the base year of 1980 and the forecast year of 2010 are presented in Table 3.

## RESTRICTED ROADWAYS

The CATS internal study area consists of six northeastern Illinois counties. In addition, CATS has divided the region into 1,542 internal zones and 101 external zones. In general,

TABLE 1 COMMERCIAL VEHICLE REGISTRATIONS IN NORTHEASTERN ILLINOIS


Note: Data are from 1986 commercial vehicle survey (4).

TABLE 2 TRIP FREQUENCY AND TRIP LENGTH OF COMMERCIAL VEHICLES

| Vehicle Class | VEQ ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Total Registrations | Working Vehicles ${ }^{b}$ | Daily Trip Frequency ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  | Average Trip Length ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Mean | Median | Mean | Median |
| B truck | 1 | 240,600 | 129,398 | 6.9 | 5.0 | 11.1 | 7.4 |
| Light | 1 | 48,182 | 28,277 | 7.9 | 6.0 | 9.6 | 7.3 |
| Medium | 2 | 21,800 | 12,240 | 9.3 | 8.5 | 10.4 | 8.4 |
| Heavy | 3 | 48,801 | 12,854 | 5.9 | 4.8 | 24.9 | 22.4 |

[^1]TABLE 3 CHICAGO AREA TRUCK TRIPS

|  | No. of Trips by Type of Vehicle |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Commercial <br> Vehicles | Medium and <br> Heavy Trucks <br> $>28,000 ~ \mathrm{lb}$ |
| Internal $^{n}$ |  |  |
| 1980 | $1,348,155$ | 180,915 |
| 2010 | $1,713,488$ | 156,805 |
| External $^{b}$ | 115,644 | 67,493 |
| 1980 | 131,551 | 77,087 |
| 2010 | $1,463,799$ | 248,408 |
| Total Trips | $1,845,039$ | 233,892 |
| 1980 | 2010 |  |

"Trips made within the region.
${ }^{b}$ Trips into, out of, or through the region.
the size of the zonc is determined by the population, household, and employment density. The internal zonal system is shown in Figure 1.

The CATS highway network file contains over 18,000 links (a section of roadway that connects two intersections) that represent over 11,000 bidirectional mi of roadway. Speed, distance, capacity, impedance, and other variables are coded as network characteristics for each link. A list of restricted links was compiled and applied to the highway network file. The impedance variable on this file allows the analyst to effectively eliminate the link as a possible path for choice components.

Given that (a) not all roads and streets are coded into the highway network (especially residential streets), (b) not all types of truck restrictions apply exclusively or completely to


FIGURE 1 Traffic assignment zone system (revised 1984).
the large truck group as defined，（c）not all truck operators comply with the restrictions as posted，and（d）staff－hour and computer－time constraints exist，it was determined that only one network with all of these restricted links would be nec－ essary for this exercise．If the results were determined to be significant（measurable），then further research would be war－ ranted．

Examples of restricted links in this study include the express lanes of I－90／94（Kennedy and Dan Ryan expressways），Lake Shore Drive（US－41），the boulevard system in the city of Chicago，and locations where height restrictions（viaduct clearances less than 13 ft ）prohibit tractor－semitrailer activity． In fact，many truck drivers avoid clearances in the 13 ft 0 in ． to 13 ft 6 in ．range because of variances between the posted sign and the actual clearance．These restricted roads and the limits of the restricted links are presented in Tables 4 and 5， respectively．Only a few of these roads are not on the CATS highway network．

In total， 568 links representing 377 directional mi were effectively removed from the network as restricted roads． Table 6 presents the 2010 base network file＇s directional miles and number of links．The last two columns indicate the num－ ber of links and miles that were removed．Most of these links are in the city of Chicago，and a significant percentage is in the older industrial section of the south and southeast portions of the city．

## TRAVEL DEMAND ANALYSIS

Future travel demand estimates are generated from forecast socioeconomic data and proposed network improvements using the four－step transportation demand modeling process．Inter－ nal truck trip productions and attractions were generated for
each zone from rates developed in the 1986 commercial vehi－ cle survey and applied to household and employment levels （4）．Trip distributions were then developed from the produc－ tions and attractions using a doubly constrained intervening opportunities model（IOM），in which trip destinations are a function of production and attraction values for each zone matched against the distribution of trips from all zones．To properly measure the total activity level of commercial vehi－ cles，CATS applied the results of a 1984 external survey（5）． This survey determined the number of truck trips into，out of，and through the region．Commercial vehicle trips in the external analysis were divided into comparable classes of com－ mercial vehicles and then combined with the results of the 1986 survey．Total commercial vehicle and large－truck trips are presented in Table 3.

In trip or vehicle assignment，truck trips are traditionally combined with automobile trips in the network as VEQs and then an equilibrium assignment process is used．Paths are chosen on the basis of minimum times and loaded using a series of all－or－nothing（AON）assignments．Link impedances are computed after each AON assignment and used to cal－ culate a new set of paths，which are then reloaded．Five iter－ ations of this process are combined to compute the equilib－ rium volumes．The five sets of paths from the assignment on the restricted network are saved．
The large－truck trips were reloaded onto these paths and combined using the equilibrium weights from the initial assign－ ment to get the final large－truck link loads（6）．This process was run using both an unrestricted and a restricted network．The loads on unrestricted streets in a restricted network were then compared with the unrestricted large－truck link loads from the original assignment．The analysis of this procedure generates some ideas concerning the effect restrictions have on the mix of vehicles on congested unrestricted streets．

TABLE 4 TRUCK－RESTRICTED ROUTES DUE TO BOULEVARD DESIGNATION，LOAD LIMIT，OR LENGTH LIMIT

| Fioute Name | From | To |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100th Boulevard | Escanaba Elvd． | Avenue＂L＂Elva． |
| 10Jrd Street | Western Āve． | Vincennes Âve． |
| 107 th Street | Western Ave． | M．L．$k$ ing Dr． |
| 112th Houllevard | Avenue＂L＂Elvd． | Indiana state line |
| $115 t h$ Street | Western Ave． | Vincennes Ave． |
| 24th Eoulevard | Marshall Elvd． | California Ave． |
| 26th Street | Kostner Ave． | Kiedzie Ave． |
| Slst Equlevard | Califarnia Blvd． | Western Ave． |
| ふ 1 st Street | Ogden Ave． | IL 50 （Cicer口 Ȧve．） |
| 3̇d Boulevard | Michigan Ave． | South Fkwy． |
| 4－rd Street | Archer Ave． | Western Elvd． |
| Slst Street | Cottage Grove Ave． | Lake Fark Ave． |
| 57th Boulevard | IC Fiailroad | Stony Island Ave． |
| S9th Street | IL 50 （Cicera Ave．） | California Ave． |
| $715 t$ Street | Ashland Ave． | I－94（Dan Fyan） |
| 71 st Street | Fulaski Ra． | Western Ave． |
| 8Srd Street | Kiedzie Ave． | Halsted Ave． |
| G2nd Equlevard | Jeffery Ave． | Anthony Elva． |
| Adams Eouleva；－d | Central Ave． | Austin Elvd． |
| Anthany Euul evard | 92nd Blvd． | Escanaba Elvd． |
| Ashl and Avenue | Irving Fark Fid． | Clark St． |
| Ashl and Eoulevard | Pratt Elvd． | Farga Ave． |
| Ashland Eoulevard | Roosevelt Fid． | Lake St． |
| Augusta Eoulevard | Elston Ave． | Als「tin Elvd． |
| Austin Houlevard | Cermak Fid． | Narth Ave． |
| Avenue＂L＂ | 100th Elvd． | 112th Elvd． |

TABLE 4 （continued on next page）

TABLE 4 (continued)

| Route Name | From | To |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| California Avenue | $515 t$ St. | 67 th 5 t . |
| California Avenue | Archer Ave. | 47th 5t. |
| California Boulevard | 24th Elvd. | J1st Elvd. |
| California Boulevard | Roosevelt Fid. | 18th St. |
| Campoell Fark Eoulevard | Dakley Elvd. | Leavitt St. |
| Central Avenue | State Fid. | lusra St. |
| Central Avenue | Slst 5t. | Fershing Fid. |
| Central Avenue | Cermak Fd. | $26 t h$ St. |
| Central Fark Eoulevard | Jackson Elvd. | Sth Ave. |
| Central Fark Boulevard | Madison St. | Jackson Elvd. |
| Central Fark Eoulevard | West Service Dr. | Garfield Sq. |
| Chicago Avenue | Thatcher Ave. | Austin Elva. |
| Damen Avenue | $47 \mathrm{th} \mathrm{St}$. | B7th St. |
| Dearborn Farkway | Eurton Flace | North Elva. |
| Diversey Farkway | Cannon Dr. | Dakley Elvd. |
| Division Street | Thather Ave. | Austin Elva. |
| Douglas Eoulevard | Independance Sq. | Douglas F'ark: |
| Drexel Square | Drexel Elvd. | Cottage Grove Ave. |
| Escanaba Eoulevard | Anthony Elva. | 100th Elvd. |
| Franklin Eoulevard | Sacramento Sq. | Central Fark Elvd. |
| Fullerton Parkway | Lincoln Fark West | Orchard 5t. |
| Fulton Eoulevard | Sacramento Elvo. | Central Fark Blva. |
| Garfield Eoulevard | M.L. King Dr. | Western Avenue |
| Garfield Square Boulevard | Manticeilo Ave. | Central Fark Ave. |
| Hamlin Eoulevard | Lake St. | 5th Ave. |
| Humboldt Eoulevard | Falmer Square | North Ave. |
| Hyde Fark Eoul evard | Drexel Elvd. | 56 Sh 5t. |
| Independance Eoulevard | Garfield Fark | Independance Sq. |
| Independance Square | Independance Elvd. | Independance Sq. |
| I -90/94 | Express lanes of the | Kennedy Expressway |
| 1-90/94 | Express lanes of the | Dan Fiyan Eiopressway |
| Jackson Eoulevard | Austin Glvd. | 5 Lake Shore Dr. |
| Jeffery Avenue | Jackson Fark | 92nd Elvd. |
| Kedzie Eoulevard | Logan Sq. | Madison St. |
| King Drive | I-94 (Calumet) | 115 th St. |
| King Drive | I-90 (Skyway) | US 12/20 (55th 5t.) |
| King Drive | 26th St. | 63rd St. |
| Lake Shore Drive | Hallywood | Hayes Dr. |
| Laramie Avenue | Lake St. | I-290 |
| Lincaln Fiark West | Clark St. | Fullerton Pkwy. |
| Logan Boulevard | Diversey Fkwy. | Logan Square |
| Logan Square | Tray St. | Kedzie Elva. |
| Loomis Eoul evard | 47 th 5 St | 87th St. |
| Marine Drive | Sheridan Fed. | Foster Dr. |
| Marquette Road | IL 50 (Cicero Ave.) | Stony Island Ave. |
| Marshall Boulevard | Douglas Fark. | 24th Elvd. |
| Michigan Avenue | Dak St. | Garfield Elva. |
| Midway Flaisance | Stony I Sland Ave. | Cottage Grove Ave. |
| Normal Eoulevard | Garfield Elvd. | 72nd St. |
| North Avenue | Clark St. | East End Turnabout |
| Dak Fark Avenue | North Ave. | Cermak: Fid. |
| Dakley Eoul evard | Roosevelt Fid. | North Ave. |
| Dakwood Eoulevard | M.L. King Dr. | Drexel Elvd. |
| Ogden Eoulevard | Oakwood Elvd. | Albany Ave. |
| Falmer Eoul evard | Kedzie Elvd. | Humboldt Elvd. |
| Fershing Avenue | Kedzie Ave. | Archer Ave. |
| Pratt Houlevard | Lake Michigan | CNW FFR |
| Fiandalph Drive | Lake Shore Dr. | Michigan Ave. |
| Fiidge Eoulevard | Devon Ave. | Howard 5t. |
| Fidgeland Avenue | North Ave. | Roosevelt Rd. |
| Foosevelt Road | Ashland Elvd. | Ogden Ave. |
| Sacramento Boulevard | Augusta Elva. | Doitglas Fark |
| Sacramento Square | Sacramento Elvd. | Sacramento Elvd. |
| Sheridan Road | Melrose St. | Diversey Pkwy. |
| Sheridan Fioad | Chicago city limits | Lake Shore Dr. feeder |
| South Shore Drive | Jackson Foark | 日isrd Fil. |
| State Fiarkway | Schiller St. | North Elvd. |
| Warren Boulevard | Ogden Ave. | Garfield Fiark |
| Washington Eoulevard | Harlem Ave. | $15 t$ Ave. |
| Washington Eoulevard | Canal St. | Austin Elvd. |
| Western Eoulevard | Garfield Elvd. | 31st Elvd. |
| Yates Eoulevard | $715 t 5 t$. | 87th St. |

TABLE 5 TRUCK-RESTRICTED ROUTES DUE TO LOW CLEARANCE (CLEARANCE $<13 \mathrm{ft} 0 \mathrm{in}$.)

| Route Name | Qverhead Facility | $\underset{\text { From }}{\text { [----- Link }}$ | closed -...---- To |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16th Street | BRC | IL 50 | Kostmer |
| 18th Street | ATSF | Wentworth | Clark |
| 18th Street | ATSF | Canal | Wentworth |
| 26th Street | CF | Ryan Feeder | State |
| 43rd Street | CR | Ryan | State |
| 47 th Street | IHE | Halsted | Fiacine |
| bSrd Street | Metra | Ryan | State |
| 67th Street | Metra | Normal | Vincenes |
| 67th Street | CR | State | M.L. King |
| 67 th Street | CWI | Halsted | State |
| $715 t$ Street | ICiMetra | Cottage Grove | Stoney Island |
| 71st Street | CWI | Halsted | Normal |
| 71st Street | Metra | Normal | Wentworth |
| 日srd Street | Metra | Halsted | Vincenes |
| Armitage Avenue | CNW | IL 50 | Kostmer |
| Belmont Avenue | Metra | Kostner | Fulaski |
| Eroadway Street | Metra | Western | Francisco |
| Canal Street | ATSF | Cermak | Archer |
| Central Avenue | CNW/CTA | Lake EE | Lake WB |
| Chicago Avenue | CNW | Kedzie | Sacramento |
| Clyborn Avenue | CNW | Fullerton | Diversey |
| Colfas Avenue | ERC | 95th | 9.3rd |
| Diversey Avenue | CTA | Lincoln | Halsted |
| Diversey Avenue | CNW | Damen | Ashland |
| Elston Avenue | CNW | North | Courtl and |
| Foster Avenue | CNW | Damen | Ashland |
| Foster Avenue | CTA | Eroadway | Sheridan |
| Fullerton Avenue | Metra | Kostmer | Fulaski |
| Halsted Street | ATSF | Archer | Cermak |
| Halsted Street | CR | Fershing | 4.3 rd |
| Halsted Street | EN/CNW | 16 th | Fionsevelt |
| Homan Avenue | CSX | Roosevelt | Eisen |
| Howara Street | CNW | Clark | Fiodge |
| Howard Street | CTA | Clark | Fiogers |
| Indiana Avenue | IC/Metra | 130 th | 13日th |
| Jeffery Avenue | EFEC | 95th | 9 Sr |
| Kedzie Avenue | WC | North Ave. | Armitage |
| Kedzie Avenue | CNW | Lhicago | Augusta |
| Kimball Avenue | CNW | Addi | kennedy |
| kostner Avenue | EN | Ogden | 26 th |
| Lake Street | CTA | IL 50 | Kostner |
| Laramie Avenue | CNW | Lake | Chicago |
| Lawrence Avenue | CTA | Broadway | Sheridan |
| Madison Avenue | CNW | California | Western |
| North Avenue | CNW | Elston | Kennedy |
| Ogden Avenue | CTA | Cermak | Central Fark |
| Racine Avenue | EN/CNW | 16 th | Elue Island |
| Ridge Eoulevard | CNW | Feterson | Devon |
| State Street | CFi | bsird | Skyway |
| Touiny Avenue | CNW | Clark | Fiidge |

Key to Iverhead Facilities:

| ATSF | Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company |
| :--- | :--- |
| EN | Eurlington Northern Filroad Company |
| ERC | Ellt Railway Company of Chicago |
| CNW | Chicago and North Western Transportation Company |
| CR | Consolidated Fail Corporation |
| CSX | CSX Transportation, Inc. |
| CTA | Chicago Transit Authority |
| CWI | Chicago and Western Indiana Fiailroad Company |
| IC | Illinois Central Railroad Company |
| IHE | Indiana Harbour Belt Fiailroad |
| Metra Metropolitan Fiail Commuter railroad) |  |
| WC | Wisconsin Central |

TABLE 62010 BASE NETWORK MILES AND NUMBERS OF LINKS ON TOTAL AND RESTRICTED NETWORKS

|  | ［ …－Total Directional Miles | －－－－］ <br> Number of Links | ```[ -- Fiestri Directional Miles``` | cted－－〕 Number of Links |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 22，450． 29 | 18，036 | 376.79 | 568 |
| Facility Type |  |  |  |  |
| Arterial | 16，526．12 | 13，756 | 329．39 | 501 |
| Expressway | 819.51 | 768 | 47.04 | 65 |
| Ramps | 267.52 | 8．55 | 0.00 | is |
| Other | 4， 837.14 | 2，677 | 0.86 | 2 |
| Functional Class |  |  |  |  |
| Freeway | 726.54 | 634 | 14.92 | 10 |
| Major Highway | 975．79 | 日ころ | 0.00 | 0 |
| Area Service | 1，ЗBE． 30 | 1，190 | 12.94 | 19 |
| Frincipal Arterial | 451.63 | 518 | 42.54 | 70 |
| Minor Arterial | 3，325．18 | 〕，ड心5 | 73．73 | 121 |
| Urban Collector | 2，703．44 | 3， 292 | 209.66 | 317 |
| Rural Local Road | 4，82日．日 | 2，967 | 22．64 | 29 |
| Rural Collector | 2，943． 37 | 1，758 | 0.00 | 9 |
| Wther | 5，107． 37 | 3，509 | 0.36 | 2 |

TABLE 7 TRAVEL AND CONGESTION FORECAST

| Year | VEQ Miles of Travel |  |  | Bidirectional Miles of Roadway |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Automobile and Truck | Excess | Congested | Total | Congested | Percent |
| 1980 | 108，229，548 | 8，180，174 | 43，543，539 | 9，437 | 1，377 | 14.59 |
| 2010 | 143，846，969 | 16，372，952 | 75，343，521 | 9，579 | 2，275 | 23.75 |

Note：Congestion is defined as exceeding level－of－service D．

TABLE 8 SUM OF TRAVEL TIMES AND DISTANCES BETWEEN ALL 1，542 INTERNAL ZONES

| Year | Travel Times（min） |  |  | Distances（mi） |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Unrestricted | Restricted | Percent Increase | Unrestricted | Restricted | Percent Increase |
| 1980 | 5，397，756．36 | 5，989，457．71 | 10.96 | 3，009，173．30 | 3，046，229．65 | 1.23 |
| 2010 | 5，896，105．15 | 6，503，624．99 | 10.30 | 3，237，160．37 | 3，281，369．4！ | 1.37 |

TABLE 9 VMT，EXCESS TRAVEL，AND COST DUE TO RESTRICTED NETWORK

|  | 1980 | 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VM＇I＇（VEQ mi of travel） |  |  |
| Unrestricted | 7，093，414 | 7，047，696 |
| Kestricted | 11，268，955 | 11，294，243 |
| Percent increase | 58.87 | 60.25 |
| Avg daily excess hours of travel | 52，631．73 | 53，526．75 |
| Avg daily cost to |  |  |
| trucking industry（\＄） | $1,003,844.26$ | 1，016，374．46 |
| Annual $\operatorname{cost}^{a}$（\＄） | 250，961，065．50 | 254，093，614．88 |

Note：For March 1988 there were 46,319 trucking company employces in the Chicago area．Their average salary was $\$ 14.70 / \mathrm{hr}$ ．Fuel cost is estimated at $\$ 1.00 / \mathrm{gal}$ ．
${ }^{a}$ At 250 trading days per year．

The results of the 2010 TSD plan modeling process indicate that congestion is a problem in the Chicago area．From the 1980 simulations，it was estimated that 15 percent of the road mileage was congested，defined by exceeding level of service E．The congested mileage will increase to 24 percent in 2010. As presented in Table 7， 40 percent of the total vehicle miles of travel（VMT）is on congested roads；this will increase to 52 percent in 2010．One of the basic assumptions made in this analysis was that，as trucks（in VEQs）are removed from the restricted routes，they will be replaced by an equivalent num－ ber of automobiles（in VEQs）．Similarly，where the truck link volumes increase，an equivalent number of automobiles is removed．Therefore，the total congestion on both the restricted and nonrestricted roads is assumed to remain constant．This assumption appears to be reasonable for this analysis because
the modeled unrestricted traffic volumes (which included trucks as VEQs) on truck-restricted routes are close to the actual automobile counts.

## RESULTS

The sum of travel times and the sum of the miles required to travel between each of the 1,542 internal zonal pairs increased from the unrestricted networks to the restricted networks. As presented in Table 8, increases were seen for both 1980 and 2010. The sum of restricted 2010 travel times increased 10.3 percent, and the sum of the miles required to travel increased 1.4 percent. These network characteristics are in minutes and miles. They are not weighted by the number of trips between each zone and converted to vehicle minutes and vehicle miles. For example, a single truck making a trip between a zonal pair will travel an average of 1.4 percent longer distance on a restricted network and will take an average of 10.3 percent more time.
In the original unrestricted network simulations, average trip distances for the four truck classes were calibrated to match the results of the 1986 commercial vehicle survey. However, most of the restricted links, along with many manufacturing facilities, truck terminals, and intermodal yards, are in
the city of Chicago and therefore a significant portion of the large truck travel is in the older portions of the city.
As shown in Table 9, the actual increase in total VMT for the large-truck group, as measured in VEQ, was 60 percent on the restricted network. The economic effects of restrictions and the concentration of truck activity can be seen when the data are broken down to examine the actual average daily excess hours of travel required ( $53,527 \mathrm{hr}$ for 2010 ) on a restricted network, the additional truck fuel consumption ( $250,000 \mathrm{gal}$ ), and the average daily cost to the trucking industry ( $\$ 1,016,000$ ) from restrictions and circuitous routes.
Tables 10 through 13 present travel times and distances for selected zones in the region for 1980 and 2010 for unrestricted and restricted assignments. Travel times between zones increased more than the miles required to travel, and the effect on trips made from zones in the older, industrial regions of the city (e.g., CATS zone 0330) was larger than the effect on zones in other areas. If the previous routes were based on minimum times in a larger, less restricted network, it is obvious that minimum time paths on a smaller, more restricted network would be less direct and therefore more time-consuming. This rerouting forces trucks off the unrestricted minimum time paths onto slower, more congested parallel or alternative streets.

Table 14 shows that trucks, as a percentage of the total loadings, increased dramatically on the unrestricted links. As

TABLE 10 TRAVEL TIMES BETWEEN SELECTED ZONES: 1980

| Zone | To: | Time (min) from: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Loop | Roseland | Chicago Heights | Brighton Park | West Lawn | O'Hare | McCook | Aurora |
| 0069 | Loop |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unrestricted | 0.00 | 27.67 | 54.74 | 17.79 | 26.52 | 32.56 | 28.09 | 64.20 |
|  | Restricted | 0.00 | 43.43 | 70.68 | 28.70 | 28.82 | 34.13 | 29.77 | 64.26 |
| 0128 | Roseland ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unrestricted | 24.39 | 0.00 | 29.85 | 22.32 | 19.90 | 51.27 | 36.93 | 73.14 |
|  | Restricted | 37.62 | 0.00 | 30.28 | 35.60 | 25.26 | 62.83 | 40.71 | 75.62 |
| 0203 | Chicago Heights |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unrestricted | 51.35 | 29.64 | 0.00 | 50.48 | 42.97 | 65.81 | 46.95 | 77.51 |
|  | Restricted | 65.42 | 29.72 | 0.00 | 63.75 | 48.88 | 69.77 | 50.14 | 77.45 |
| 0330 | Brighton Park4300 S. Archer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unrestricted | 15.94 | 22.95 | 51.29 | 0.00 | 10.64 | 40.33 | 18.09 | 57.96 |
|  | Restricted | 28.03 | 35.61 | 63.91 | 0.00 | 22.64 | 51.22 | 28.16 | 67.42 |
| 0346 | West Lawn6700 S. Cicero |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unrestricted | 24.66 | 20.48 | 44.81 | 10.47 | 0.00 | 48.58 | 19.06 | 60.21 |
|  | Restricted | 28.22 | 27.75 | 49.96 | 21.65 | 0.00 | 49.88 | 20.52 | 60.40 |
| 0514 | O'Hare |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unrestricted | 33.50 | 55.18 | 69.82 | 42.17 | 50.55 | 0.00 | 33.91 | 53.34 |
|  | Restricted | 38.04 | 66.28 | 75.73 | 55.75 | 53.41 | 0.00 | 34.76 | 53.70 |
| 0602 | McCook-Summit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unrestricted | 26.60 | 39.12 | 49.43 | 18.72 | 19.40 | 32.71 | 0.00 | 47.00 |
|  | Restricted | 28.67 | 41.09 | 53.73 | 27.83 | 19.49 | 33.34 | 0.00 | 46.45 |
| 1284 | Aurora |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unrestricted | 63.06 | 75.78 | 78.08 | 59.94 | 61.59 | 52.37 | 47.95 | 0.00 |
|  | Restricted | 63.82 | 77.08 | 78.09 | 69.82 | 62.59 | 52.88 | 47.91 | 0.00 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unr | stricted | 73,444.34 | 86,415.35 | 103,225.98 | 76,260.06 | 80,464.06 | 66,074.88 | 67,830.42 | 86,987.00 |
| Res | icted | 89,174.69 | 101,735.68 | 115,729.07 | 103,179.49 | 92,408.82 | 76,661.09 | 77,427.23 | 96,663.10 |
| Mean |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unr | stricted | 47.63 | 56.04 | 66.94 | 49.46 | 52.18 | 42.85 | 43.99 | 56.41 |
| Rest | icted | 54.28 | 61.92 | 70.44 | 62.80 | 56.24 | 46.66 | 47.13 | 58.83 |
| Percent increase |  | 13.95 | 10.49 | 5.22 | 26.98 | 7.79 | 8.89 | 7.13 | 4.29 |

Note: Total equals total travel time between Zone $i$ and all other zones $(1,542)$ in the six-county region. Mean equals the average travel time between Zone $i$ and all other zones.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Junction of I-57 and I-94.

TABLE 11 TRAVEL DISTANCES BETWEEN SELECTED ZONES: 1980

| Zone | To: | Distance (mi) from: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Loop | Roscland | Chicago Heights | Brighton Park | West Lawn | OHare | McCook | Aurora |
| 0069 | Loop |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unrestricted | 0.00 | 13.38 | 32.24 | 7.32 | 11.61 | 17.84 | 15.17 | 40.93 |
|  | Restricted | 0.00 | 14.93 | 33.43 | 8.64 | 13.03 | 17.87 | 15.58 | 41.09 |
| 0128 | Roseland ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unrestricted | 12.83 | 0.00 | 14.30 | 10.25 | 9.21 | 29.83 | 22.69 | 43.49 |
|  | Restricted | 13.40 | 0.00 | 14.30 | 10.21 | 10.31 | 34.90 | 17.05 | 43.82 |
| 0203 | Chicago Heights |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unrestricted | 32.05 | 14.30 | 0.00 | 23,88 | 22.41 | 44.38 | 27,50 | 51.59 |
|  | Restricted | 34.40 | 14.30 | 0.00 | 31.21 | 23.41 | 4.4.38 | 29.58 | 52.19 |
| 0330 | Brighton Park4300 S. Archer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unrestricted | 7.01 | 10.30 | 23.69 | 0.00 | 4.34 | 21.25 | 10.31 | 40.65 |
|  | Restricted | 6.97 | 10.26 | 25.20 | 0.00 | 5,27 | 21.54 | 10.31 | 40.98 |
| 0346 | West Lawn6700 S. Cicero |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unrestricted | 11.62 | 9.26 | 22.92 | 4.34 | 0.00 | 30.99 | 7.50 | 39.19 |
|  | Restricted | 13.05 | 10.24 | 23,92 | 4.44 | 0.00 | 32.29 | 7.50 | 40.82 |
| 0514 | O'Hare |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unrestricted | 18.60 | 30.83 | 46.45 | 21.71 | 31.84 | 0.00 | 21.29 | 36.93 |
|  | Restricted | 18.24 | 36.08 | 46.45 | 22.00 | 24.01 | 0.00 | 21.29 | 36.93 |
| 0602 | McCook-Summit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unrestricted | 14.92 | 17.05 | 30.17 | 10.35 | 7.50 | 20.46 | 0.00 | 30.47 |
|  | Restricted | 15.39 | 17.19 | 30.41 | 10.35 | 7.50 | 20.51 | 0.00 | 30.80 |
| 1284 | Aurora |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unrestricted | 41.35 | 45.97 | 48.16 | +1.37 | 39.67 | 36.36 | 31.14 | 0.00 |
|  | Restricted | 41.57 | 45.88 | 48.33 | 42,33 | 40.63 | 36.58 | 32.10 | 0.00 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unrestricted Restricted |  | 45,798.63 | 51,899.95 | 66,424.45 | 45,617.40 | +7.807.88 | +3.702.73 | 42,024,86 | 56.621 .53 |
|  |  | 50,668.00 | 57,248.28 | 69,820,66 | $50,549.09$ | 51.169 .93 | 49.712 .62 | 47,295.22 | 62,733,58 |
| Mean |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unrestricted |  | 29.70 | 33.66 | 43.08 | 29.58 | 31.00 | 28.34 | 27.25 | 36.72 |
| Restricted |  | 30.84 | 34.84 | 42.50 | 30.77 | 31.14 | 30. 26 | 28.79 | 38.18 |
| Percent increase |  | 3,83 | 3.52 | $-1.35$ | 4.00 | 0.46 | 6.76 | 5.62 | 3.98 |

Note: Total equals total distance between Zone $i$ and all other zones ( 1,542 ) in the six-county region. Mean equals the arerage distance between Zone $i$ and all other zones.
${ }^{a}$ Junction of 1-57 and 1-94.

TABLE 12 TRAVEL TIMES BETWEEN SELECTED ZONES: 2010

| Zone | To: | Time (min) from: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Loop | Roseland | Chicago Heights | Brighton Park | West Lawn | O'Hare | McCook | Aurora |
| 0069 | Loop |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unrestricted | 0.00 | 31.34 | 57.32 | 19.86 | 28.87 | 42.12 | 30.95 | 71.68 |
|  | Restricted | 0.00 | 46.58 | 72.43 | 34.66 | 38.08 | 51.84 | 37.00 | 77.31 |
| 0128 | Roseland ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unrestricted | 29.14 | 0.00 | 29.39 | 22.64 | 20.42 | 62.52 | 38.34 | 80.19 |
|  | Restricted | 46.72 | 0,00 | 29.10 | 37.92 | 27.28 | 71.15 | 40.38 | 80,09 |
| 0203 | Chicago Heights |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unrestricted | 55.82 | 29.09 | 0.00 | 49.77 | 42.97 | 72.35 | 47.87 | 81.37 |
|  | Restricted | 72.66 | 28.94 | 0.00 | 64.70 | 50.47 | 78.42 | 51.02 | 79.39 |
| 0330 | Brighton Park4300 S. Archer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unrestricted | 18.58 | 22.91 | 50.76 | 0.00 | 10.57 | 48.73 | 18.58 | 66.10 |
|  | Restricted | 30.00 | 37.71 | 65.48 | 0.00 | 22.13 | 64.06 | 28.87 | 77.41 |
| 0346 | West Lawn6700 S. Cicero |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unrestricted | 27.08 | 20.44 | 44.23 | 10.35 | 0.00 | 54.50 | 18.83 | 67.51 |
|  | Restricted | 32.57 | 25.60 | 51.41 | 21.70 | 0.00 | 57.53 | 19.37 | 69.20 |
| 0514 | O'Hare |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unrestricted | 37.99 | 58.22 | 76.00 | 46.23 | 54.35 | 0.00 | 38.85 | 60.50 |
|  | Restricted | 47.22 | 77.22 | 82.00 | 62.86 | 58.04 | 0.00 | 40.56 | 61.56 |
| 0602 | McCook-Summit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unrestricted | 28.17 | 37.55 | 49.79 | 17.52 | 18.19 | 38.11 | 0.00 | 52.94 |
|  | Restricted | 30.79 | 39.81 | 53.67 | 26.86 | 18.90 | 39.87 | 0.00 | 53.26 |
| 1284 | Aurora |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unrestricted | 67.12 | 79.43 | 81.25 | 63.30 | 64.87 | 59.47 | 51.37 | 0.00 |
|  | Restricted | 66.74 | 78.98 | 80.21 | 72.59 | 65.54 | 57.28 | 51.33 | 0.00 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | estricted | 78,842.90 | 88,608.92 | 106,066.94 | 77,747.99 | 81,019.99 | 73.998.49 | 69,961.80 | 93,886,73 |
|  | ricted | 96,441.44 | 103,377.58 | 117.627 .35 | 104.773.42 | 93,739.93 | 85,758.38 | 78,868.34 | 103,943.60 |
| Mean |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | estricted | 51.13 | 57.46 | 68.79 | 50.42 | 52.54 | +7,99 | 45.37 | 60.89 |
|  | ricted | 58.70 | 62.92 | 71.59 | 63.77 | 57.05 | 52.20 | 48.00 | 63.26 |
| Perce | Percent increase | 14.80 | 9.50 | 4.08 | 26.48 | 8.59 | 8.77 | 5.80 | 3.91 |

Note: Total equals total travel time between Lone 1 and all other zones (1.542) in the six-county region. Mean equals the average travel time between
Zone $i$ and all other zones.
"Junction of I-57 and 1-94.

TABLE 13 TRAVEL DISTANCES BETWEEN SELECTED ZONES: 2010

| Zone | To: | Distance (mi) from: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Loop | Roseland | Chicago Heights | Brighton Park | West Lawn | O'Hare | McCook | Aurora |
| 0069 | Loop |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unrestricted | 0.00 | 15.00 | 32.28 | 7.70 | 11.99 | 17.77 | 15.55 | 41.09 |
|  | Restricted | 0.00 | 13.96 | 32.82 | 7.58 | 12.88 | 18.71 | 15.43 | 40.97 |
| 0128 | Roseland ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unrestricted | 13.02 | 0.00 | 14.30 | 10.24 | 9.25 | 29.83 | 17.22 | 43.28 |
|  | Restricted | 15.21 | 0.00 | 14.30 | 10.21 | 10.32 | 34.90 | 17.08 | 45.69 |
| 0203 | Chicago Heights |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unrestricted | 32.24 | 14.30 | 0.00 | 22.98 | 22.41 | 44.38 | 27.50 | 47.88 |
|  | Restricted | 34.68 | 14.30 | 0.00 | 25.82 | 23.41 | 44.38 | 27.50 | 52.80 |
| 0330 | Brighton Park4300 S. Archer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unrestricted | 7.20 | 10.25 | 25.78 | 0.00 | 4.34 | 20.98 | 10.31 | 44.73 |
|  | Restricted | 7.69 | 10.21 | 25.89 | 0.00 | 4.34 | 23.38 | 10.31 | 41.92 |
| 0346 | West Lawn6700 S. Cicero |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unrestricted | 11.64 | 9.21 | 21.50 | 4.34 | 0.00 | 30.99 | 7.50 | 43.27 |
|  | Restricted | 13.26 | 10.27 | 24.31 | 4.38 | 0.00 | 30.99 | 7.50 | 40.46 |
| 0514 | O'Hare |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unrestricted | 17.19 | 29.78 | 45.03 | 23.05 | 23.74 | 0.00 | 16.12 | 34.70 |
|  | Restricted | 19.04 | 40.50 | 46.45 | 21.26 | 22.55 | 0.00 | 20.63 | 34.70 |
| 0602 | McCook-Summit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unrestricted | 15.11 | 17.03 | 28.75 | 10.35 | 7.50 | 20.46 | 0.00 | 28.32 |
|  | Restricted | 15.60 | 17.08 | 30.17 | 10.35 | 7.50 | 20.46 | 0.00 | 28.32 |
| 1284 | Aurora |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unrestricted | 41.35 | 45.97 | 48.06 | 41.41 | 39.71 | 35.72 | 31.18 | 0.00 |
|  | Restricted | 41.36 | 45.68 | 52.38 | 41.05 | 39.35 | 35.72 | 29.26 | 0.00 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unr | stricted | 45,768.43 | 51,775.31 | 64,947.16 | 46,554.89 | 46,040.26 | 41,446.66 | 41,506.43 | 56,805.55 |
| Res | ricted | 52,392.58 | 60,912.32 | 70,429.54 | 51,195.16 | 51,372.12 | 47,521.60 | 45,654.51 | 62,694.45 |
| Mean |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unrestricted Restricted |  | 29.68 | 33.58 | 42.12 | 30.19 | 29.86 | 26.88 | 26.92 | 36.84 |
|  |  | 31.89 | 37.07 | 42.87 | 31.16 | 31.27 | 28.92 | 27.79 | 38.32 |
| Percent increase |  | 7.44 | 10.41 | 1.78 | 3.21 | 4.72 | 7.61 | 3.23 | 4.03 |

Note: Total equals total distance between Zone $i$ and all other zones $(1,542)$ in the six-county region. Mean equals the average distance between Zone $i$ and all other zones.
${ }^{a}$ Junction of I-57 and I-94.
large-truck trips were removed from the restricted roads, the trips were forced onto unrestricted roads. As presented in Table 14, the level of truck activity on unrestricted roads showed a significant increase when this shift occurred. For example, in 1980 the average percentage of large trucks (in VEQ) over the total assignment load was 7 percent (on the unrestricted expressway sections). After the trucks were removed from the restricted links and forced onto unrestricted roads, this value increased to 28 percent. In the case of express lanes, most trucks were shifted to the local, unrestricted lanes. In the case of arterial restrictions, trucks were forced onto parallel arterial sections.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

Truck restrictions significantly affect the vehicle mix on unrestricted roadways and increase the travel times of total (and individual) truck movements. Therefore, proposed restrictions or removal of restrictions should not be viewed in isolation. Methods of accounting for truck travel and truck restrictions throughout the planning process must be explored. The processes that define commercial vehicles by size and weight, account for restrictions in network coding and simulation, and determine the VEQ factors should be evaluated so that restrictions that do not adversely affect traffic can be chosen or removed.

Restrictions increase the costs of transportation. These increases inflate the cost of goods to manufacturers and eventually to end users. The excess fuel consumption (and corresponding increase in pollution) caused by these inefficiencies could also be a significant factor. However, these negative consequences must be balanced against the many social, political, and economic pressures that support the benefits of truck restrictions, such as residential quality of life, pedestrian and automobile safety, and the cost of removing restrictions (e.g., viaduct rehabilitation or reconstruction and a possible increase in automobile-truck accidents).

Truck restrictions can be seen as a proactive measure, such as designating specified truck routes, or as a reactive measure, such as restricting truck traffic to allow commuters and automobiles to have access to larger levels of roadway capacity. In many cases, the restrictions are part of the historical nature of the road system and do not change with employment and housing patterns. Planners and highway agencies do not have to reevaluate the truck impact and the automobile-truck conflicts every few years to validate the original reasons for specific truck restrictions. However, agencies should be prepared to respond to questions concerning specific restrictions.

Two choices planners have in directing commodity flow (e.g., hazardous materials and steel coils) are to implement a designated or preferred truck route network or to restrict one set of roads while improving access on alternative or preferred routes. The process of implementing such plans on

TABLE 14 AVERAGE PERCENTIAGE OF LARGE TRUCKS（IN VEQ）（FOR UNRESTRICTED 1980 NETWORK LINKS ONLY）

| Facility Type | Lurestricted | Festricted | \＃口f Dos． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arterial | $0.72 \%$ | $5.01 \%$ | 13,352 |
| Expressway | $0.79 \%$ | 2日． $10 \%$ | 732 |
| Framps | $2.03 \%$ | $12.58 \%$ | $76=$ |
| Functional Class | Unrestricted | Festricted | \＃of Jbs． |
| Freeway | $6.78 \%$ | $29.57 \%$ | 653 |
| Major Highway | $1.90 \%$ | $6.88 \%$ | 641 |
| Area Service | $1.04 \%$ | $5.28 \%$ | 1，177 |
| Frinclpal Arteriai | $0.97 \%$ | $5.04 \%$ | 454 |
| Minor Arterial | $0.67 \%$ | $5.32 \%$ | उ， 242 |
| Urban Collector | $0.63 \%$ | 6． $34 \%$ | 2，997 |
| Fiural Local Fioad | $0.45 \%$ | 3． $45 \%$ | 2，542 |
| Major Collector | $0.80 \%$ | $4.37 \%$ | 1，257 |
| Minor Collector | $0.57 \%$ | $3.50 \%$ | 508 |
| ifor the | Unrestricted | Network Lin |  |
| Facility Type | Unrestricted | Restricted | \＃of Obs． |
| Arterial | $0.58 \%$ | $4.20 \%$ | 13，247 |
| Expressway | $6.02 \%$ | $24.85 \%$ | 701 |
| Fiamps | $1.60 \%$ | $8.75 \%$ | 754 |
| Functional Class | Unrestricted | Festricted | \＃of Ubs． |
| Freeway | $6.20 \%$ | $26.36 \%$ | 625 |
| Major Highway | $1.70 \%$ | $6.22 \%$ | 日S3 |
| Area Service | 0.73 \％ | $4.58 \%$ | 1，171 |
| Frimcipal Arterial | 9．67\％ | $4.38 \%$ | 448 |
| Minor Arterial | $0.57 \%$ | 4.6 \％ | 3，214 |
| Urban Callector | $0.46 \%$ | $5.00 \%$ | 2，775 |
| Fiural Local Foad | 0． $37 \%$ | $2.96 \%$ | 2，730 |
| Major Collector | $0.57 \%$ | 3． $30 \%$ | 1，251 |
| Minor Collector | $0.46 \%$ | $2.90 \%$ | 507 |

a large scale in mixed－use neighborhoods requires a significant level of coordination and continual interplay among represen－ tatives of the community，industry，land use planners，and transportation agencies．

As part of the 2010 TSD plan，CATS has developed a network of strategic regional arterials．This 1，300－mi network will be studied over the next 5 years．One of the key elements in the plan of study for these arterials will be an evaluation of the long－haul truck traffic options．

Other truck restriction programs，such as restrictions that are based on the hour of day or number of trucks，may require an exorbitant level of personnel to administer．Although the elimination of some current restrictions（e．g．，increased via－ duct clearances）is generally supported for economic and safety reasons，such aclivities will change traffic patterns and should be evaluated．

It has been shown that truck restrictions can be reasonably incorporated into the traditional travel demand modeling process．The effect of truck restrictions on model outputs is significant on the regional level．To provide more effective
regional transportation system plans，analysts must consider the effect of restrictions and the ways they affect unrestricted， alternative roads and other transportation－related activities．
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[^0]:    Chicago Area Transportation Study, 300 West Adams Street, Chicago, III. 60606.

[^1]:    ${ }^{a}$ VEQ is automobile vehicle equivalent.
    ${ }^{b}$ Working vehicles is the average number of vehicles operating in commercial activity on an average day.
    ${ }^{c}$ Trip frequency is the number of trips per day.
    ${ }^{d}$ Trip length is average miles per trip.

