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A comparative assessment was undertaken of network cost and performance under time-dependent system optimal (SO) and user equilibrium (UE) assignment patterns, with particular reference to the effectiveness of advanced traveler information systems (ATIS). Both SO and UE solutions were found using a new simulation-based algorithm for the time-dependent assignment problem. Experiments were conducted using a test network with signal-controlled junctions under progressively increasing network loading intensities. A diagnosis of system performance for various intensities of loading was effected using network-level traffic descriptors for both SO and UE assignments. The results affirm the validity of a meaningful demarcation between SO and UE assignments in urban traffic networks and provide useful insights for macroscopic network-level relations among traffic descriptors. These results suggest that ATIS information supply strategies based on SO route guidance could considerably outperform descriptive noncooperative information strategies, especially at moderate to high congestion levels in the network. The results also illustrate the time-dependent nature of the gains achieved by an SO assignment vis-à-vis a UE assignment in a congested traffic network.

Approaches incorporating advances in communication technologies, information processing systems, electronics, and automation, broadly labeled as intelligent vehicle highway systems (IVHS), continue to generate considerable interest for their potential to alleviate urban and suburban congestion of traffic systems. Advanced traveler information systems (ATIS) provide travelers with real-time information on existing traffic conditions or instructions on route selection from their current location to their destinations, or both. Successful implementation of ATIS, especially at high market penetration levels, involves the dynamic assignment of vehicles to "optimal" paths to reduce overall system user costs. Recently Mahmassani and Peeta (1) proposed a heuristic algorithm to solve the system optimal (SO) dynamic traffic assignment problem for the ATIS context, in which a central controller with known or predicted time-dependent origin-destination (O-D) trip desires over the horizon of interest solves for paths to prescribe to users to attain some systemwide objectives. A comprehensive review and discussion of dynamic assignment and traffic simulation models for ATIS-advanced traveler management system (ATMS) applications are given by Mahmassani et al. (2).

In this paper, the performance of a traffic network employing this solution methodology is analyzed for both SO and UE time-dependent assignments. As in the static case, SO and UE dynamic assignments involve similar algorithmic steps, differing primarily in the specification of path travel costs that form the basis of the corresponding assignments. SO dynamic assignment is accomplished using time-dependent marginal travel times [see Ghali and Smith (3)], whereas a UE assignment is attained using the time-dependent average travel times. The system performance under the above assignment schemes was analyzed for various intensities of network loading covering the spectrum of network states from uncongested networks to very highly congested networks. In addition, the numerical experiments illustrate the extent of the differences between SO and UE time-dependent assignments in terms of total system cost at varying levels of network congestion. This question is of fundamental importance to ATIS operations, with regard to the relative benefits of normative versus descriptive information supply strategies.

An SO assignment does not generally represent an equilibrium flow pattern because some users may be able to obtain individual advantages simply by changing routes, though they may impose a greater marginal cost on other users in the system in the process. Its significance to the ATIS context lies in providing a benchmark against which other assignments or flow patterns can be gauged, thereby yielding an upper bound on the benefits attainable with real-time traffic information. A Wardrop UE holds when users cannot improve their individual costs by unilateral route switching. There is no empirical evidence that UE conditions hold in real networks, although the UE solution is considered a reasonable and useful construct for the evaluation of long-term capacity improvements. Under real-time descriptive ATIS information on network conditions, a time-dependent UE pattern could be viewed as the result of the long-term evolution of the system, as users somehow learn and adjust under the supplied information. However, it is not at all clear that such convergence would be attained under inherently dynamic conditions (exacerbated by supplying information to users). Thus it is not known what the UE solution may represent from the standpoint of ATIS operation and evaluation. Actual user behavior and system performance under real-time descriptive information may be better or worse than the corresponding
time-dependent UE solution in terms of the overall system cost. Nevertheless, a time-dependent UE pattern may be considered as a useful proxy for a favorable scenario of long-term network performance under real-time descriptive information.

It is known from static network equilibrium theory that SO and UE lead to identical solutions only for situations in which the shortest paths taken by users simultaneously are the best paths from a system viewpoint. Such situations are observed when networks are relatively uncongested so that link operating speeds are unaffected by the flows on the links (limited vehicle interactions). At the other extreme, under highly congested conditions, system performance is not likely to be markedly different under the two assignment schemes because the opportunities for SO to sufficiently ameliorate the traffic situation probably would be limited.

For network conditions between the two extremes, the extent of the differences between SO and UE solutions, particularly in terms of overall system cost, is not known. This is very important for ATIS because if the two solutions are not perceptibly different, coordinated cooperative SO route guidance imposed by a central controller may not be necessary, and descriptive information that is less complicated and simpler to disseminate to noncooperating drivers may be sufficient. The similarity of the two solutions would have important implications for the focus that ATIS information supply strategies should take, with more attention directed to ways of guiding the system toward UE convergence and away from wide fluctuations. However, if SO indeed holds promise for meaningful gains over UE, then normative route guidance or strategies to induce the system near its SO should be pursued. It is also desirable to ascertain network and traffic conditions under which differences between SO and UE are meaningful.

In this paper, overall user cost and network performance under time-dependent SO and UE assignment patterns are examined in a series of numerical experiments performed on a test network under various loading levels. The system performance is gauged using average network-level traffic flow descriptors, in addition to the standard parameters such as average travel time. The time-dependent nature of the problem further complicates the already intricate problem of characterizing traffic flow performance at the network level that was previously addressed only under steady-state conditions, as discussed hereafter.

**NETWORK TRAFFIC FLOW THEORY**

Mahmassani et al. (4,5) generalized the definitions of speed, flow, and concentration to the network level and examined their interrelation in their model of network traffic performance. These concepts are extended in the dynamic case in the current analysis to characterize the vastly varying network traffic conditions (especially for medium to high network loading levels) during the peak period. Average network speed \( V \) (kilometers per hour) is obtained as the ratio of total vehicle kilometers to total vehicle hours in the network over the duration of interest. The average network concentration \( K \) (vehicles per lane kilometers), for the duration of interest, is the time average of the number of vehicles per unit lane length in the system. However, the concentration varies dramatically with time in dynamic traffic networks. Hence, the time-dependent network concentration is examined by taking 5-min averages of the number of vehicles per unit lane length in the system. An overall measure of network concentration \( K \) over the duration of the period of interest is obtained by taking the arithmetic average of the 5-min averages. Similarly, time-dependent network flow, interpreted as the average number of vehicles per unit time that pass through a random point along the network, is examined by taking 5-min averages; an overall measure of network flow \( Q \) over the peak period is obtained by taking the simple average of \( \left( \sum q \right) / \left( \sum l \right) \), where \( q \) and \( l \), respectively, denote the 5-min average flow and the length of Link \( i \), and the summations are taken over all network links.

Two fundamental relationships between these three network traffic flow variables are investigated in this study. The first relates average network speed \( V \) and average network concentration \( K \). For arterials or single roadways, a qualitative trend of decreasing speed with increasing concentration is well established. The same general trend was observed to hold at the network level in the simulation experiments of Mahmassani et al. (5), although the complexity of network interactions preclude the analytic derivation of such a relation directly from the link-level relations. The second relationship analyzed is the basic identity \( Q = K V \). Formally established for single roadways, it was shown to also hold at the network level in the previously mentioned steady-state experiments (5). These experiments were performed keeping the network concentration level constant for the duration of interest by treating the network as a closed system. The NETSIM package was used for the study, and vehicular behavior was governed by the comprehensive microscopic rules embedded in NETSIM. The present study replicates the network traffic conditions of a rush hour traffic situation. It uses the DYNASMART (DYnamic Network Assignment Simulation Model for Advanced Road Telematics) simulation-assignment model developed at The University of Texas at Austin for ATIS-ATMS applications. The \( Q = K V \) identity is expected to hold only approximately for time-varying network traffic flow.

**SOLUTION METHODOLOGY**

**Problem Statement**

Consider a traffic network represented by a directed graph \( G(N, A) \) where \( N \) is the set of nodes and \( A \) the set of directed arcs. A node can represent a trip origin, a destination, or a junction of physical links. Consider a network with multiple origins and destinations. The time experienced by a vehicle to traverse a given link depends on the interactions taking place among vehicles in the traffic stream along this arc. The analysis period of interest, taken here as the peak period, is discretized into small equal intervals \( t = 1, \ldots, T \). Given a set of time-dependent O-D vehicle trip desires for the entire duration of the peak period, expressed as the number of vehicle trips \( r_{ij}^q \) leaving Node \( i \) for Node \( j \) in time slice \( t \), \( \forall i, j \in N \) and \( t = 1, \ldots, T \), determine a time-dependent assignment of vehicles to network paths and corresponding arcs. In other words, find the number of vehicles \( r_{ij} \) that follow path
This section describes briefly the algorithm used to solve for SO
Simulation Assignment Solution Procedure
simulation model is used to represent the traffic interactions
system under a given assignment. As indicated earlier, the
algorithmic steps for solution procedure by Mahmassani and
modification for the
objective function and model system performance circum-
numbers of vehicles for each O-D pair for each assignment time step \( t = 1, \ldots, T \).
6. Update paths and the number of users assigned to those
paths. Paths are updated by checking whether the path iden-
tified in Step 4 already exists (i.e., has carried vehicles in at
least one prior iteration) for that O-D pair and including it if
it does not. The update of the number of vehicles (assignment
of vehicles to the various paths currently defined between the
O-D pair after the path update) is performed using the method
of successive averages (MSA), which takes a convex combina-
nation of the current path and corresponding auxiliary path
numbers of vehicles for each O-D pair and each time step.
A detailed description of MSA is provided by Sheffi and
Powell (8). Note that other convex combination schemes could
be used equally.
7. Check for convergence using an \( \varepsilon \)-convergence criterion.
8. If the convergence criterion is satisfied, stop the pro-
gram. Otherwise, update the iteration counter \( I = I + 1 \) and
go to Step 2 with the updated data on paths and the number of
vehicles assigned to each of those paths.

The complexity of the interactions captured by the simu-
lator when evaluating the objective function generally pre-
cedes the kind of well-behaved properties required to guar-
antee convergence of the algorithm in all cases. However,
such convergence was achieved in all the experiments re-
ported in this paper and in many other test networks solved
to date. Also, path marginals are not necessarily global be-
cause they are based on link level marginal travel times. Ef-
forts were made to attain a global optimum where local so-
lutions were suspected.

Modification To Obtain User Equilibrium Solution
As previously discussed, the solution to the time-dependent
UE problem is obtained by assigning vehicles to the shortest
average travel time paths instead of the least marginal paths
in the direction-finding step (Step 5). In other words, use the
(time-dependent) average travel times on links instead of the marginal travel times in the shortest-path calculations. In the above solution procedure, this simplifies Step 3 and modifies Step 4 as indicated.

EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN AND SETUP

Network Configuration and Traffic Characteristics

The test network used in this study, having 50 nodes and 163 links, consists of a freeway with a street network on both sides, as shown in Figure 2. Nodes within the freeway section are neither origin nor destination nodes. A total of 38 origin nodes and 38 destination nodes are obtained by excluding freeway nodes (Nodes 1 through 37 and 44). Freeway nodes are connected to the street network through entrance and exit ramps. Unless otherwise indicated in Figure 2, all arcs shown are two directional. All links are 0.83 km (0.5 mi) long and have two lanes in each direction, except for the entrance and exit ramps, which are directed arcs with a single lane. The freeway links have a mean free speed of 91.67 km/hr (55 mph), and the other links have a mean free speed of 50 km/hr (30 mph). In terms of traffic signal characteristics, 25 intersections have pre-timed signal controls, 8 have actuated signal controls, and the remaining 17 nodes have no signal control.

Experimental Setup

The comparative assessment of system performance for SO and UE assignments is conducted under various network loading levels, which generate various levels of network congestion. The network loading factor is defined as the ratio of the total number of vehicles generated in the network during the assignment period to a given reference number (19,403 vehicles over a 35-min period in the experiments). Table 1 shows the various loading factors considered in this study and the corresponding number of vehicles generated on the test network during the duration of interest (35 min in all cases). In addition, it shows the corresponding number of “tagged” vehicles (vehicles generated for the 30-min duration after the
5-min start-up time) for which relevant performance statistics are accumulated. The loading factors range from 0.6 (very low congestion with 11,616 vehicles) to 2.4 (extremely high congestion with 46,674 vehicles). Under each loading level, the UE and SO solutions are obtained, and the resulting time-dependent link flow patterns are obtained from DYNA-SMART. The shape of the loading curve for the various network loading levels emulates real-world network loading for the peak period, with an initially increasing generation rate until a peak is reached, followed by a decreasing vehicle generation rate.

In the present study, a start-up time of 5 min is provided in DYNASMART for the network to be reasonably occupied, followed by a 30-min peak period generation of traffic (for which performance statistics are accumulated). Another aspect of the experimental setup that critically influences the system performance is the spatial distribution of the O-D demand pattern. The vehicles generated are about evenly distributed spatially in terms of both their origins and destinations, except for Nodes 37 and 44, which generate or attract only about 25 percent of the number of vehicles originating or destined to a typical O-D node (i.e., Nodes 1 through 36).

RESULTS

The results from the various experiments are viewed from two principal perspectives. First, they form the basis for comparison of system performance, particularly user costs under UE and SO assignment schemes, thereby addressing the questions relevant to ATIS information strategies described in the introductory section of the paper. Second, they are used to investigate network-level traffic flow characteristics and relations using network-wide traffic descriptors. This investigation is conducted primarily for the SO flow pattern. An additional element of the study is the time-dependent analysis of the travel time gains of SO over UE, also of significance to ATIS operation.

The results provide several key insights from both of the above perspectives. They manifest a clear qualitative and quantitative distinction in the solution provided by the SO assignment scheme as opposed to the time-dependent UE assignment procedure to route vehicles in a general traffic network. The results also reveal important and robust macroscopic relationships among network-level traffic variables that parallel those for single roadways.

System Performance for SO and UE Assignments

Table 1 reports summary statistics on the system performance for the SO and UE assignments for the various loading factors. As expected, at low levels of network loading, when the network is relatively uncongested, the average travel times of vehicles in the network are relatively close across the various loading levels. As the load is increased, the effects of congestion become more prominent and the average travel times in the network increase at an increasing rate with the loading factor. At very high loading levels, the marginal effect of additional demand on system performance is very high. The results also indicate that there is only limited variation in the average distance traveled by vehicles under the various network loading levels, implying that greater congestion and not longer travel routes is the primary cause of the higher system trip times (the objective function seeks to minimize total system travel time only). Nevertheless, the average travel distance does increase with the loading level, reflecting an increasing percentage (although small in magnitude) of drivers assigned to longer travel routes. The average travel distances under UE for various network loading levels are smaller than the corresponding distances for SO, indicating a smaller percentage of long travel routes under UE. This may be explained by some users being assigned to longer routes to reduce congestion elsewhere to reduce systemwide travel times.

Figure 3 shows comparatively the average trip times under various network loads for UE and SO assignments. As discussed above, both curves illustrate the increasing marginal effects of additional demand on system trip times. Of more relevance to the central question addressed in this paper, Figure 3 highlights the difference in the quality of the solutions provided by the two assignment rules for time-dependent network flows. This is further illustrated in Figure 4, which depicts the percentage improvement in average travel time of SO over UE (as a fraction of the UE travel time) for the various average network concentrations corresponding to the

FIGURE 2 Network structure.
### TABLE 1 Summary Statistics for SO and UE Assignments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Loading Factor</th>
<th>No. of Generated Vehicles</th>
<th>No. of Tagged Vehicles</th>
<th>Average Trip Time (minutes)</th>
<th>Total Trip Time (hours)</th>
<th>Average Trip Distance (km)</th>
<th>Total Trip Distance (km)</th>
<th>Average Speed (km/h)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>System Optimal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>11616</td>
<td>10585</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>679.5</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>32096.2</td>
<td>47.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>15509</td>
<td>14098</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>916.0</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>42411.6</td>
<td>46.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>19403</td>
<td>17621</td>
<td>4.03</td>
<td>1183.1</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>53391.6</td>
<td>45.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>23305</td>
<td>21145</td>
<td>4.40</td>
<td>1549.5</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>64728.8</td>
<td>41.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>27196</td>
<td>24697</td>
<td>4.86</td>
<td>1999.1</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>76207.9</td>
<td>38.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>31090</td>
<td>28205</td>
<td>6.04</td>
<td>2837.1</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>90222.1</td>
<td>31.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>34978</td>
<td>31726</td>
<td>7.65</td>
<td>4042.9</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>103997.5</td>
<td>25.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>38871</td>
<td>35258</td>
<td>10.46</td>
<td>6149.5</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td>117013.3</td>
<td>19.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>40818</td>
<td>37014</td>
<td>13.08</td>
<td>8071.9</td>
<td>3.35</td>
<td>123996.7</td>
<td>15.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>42769</td>
<td>38784</td>
<td>16.57</td>
<td>10710.9</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td>128811.7</td>
<td>12.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>46674</td>
<td>42322</td>
<td>24.95</td>
<td>17601.7</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>149978.3</td>
<td>8.52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| User Equilibrium |                           |                        |                            |                         |                            |                         |                   |
| 0.60            | 11616                     | 10585                  | 3.86                       | 681.5                   | 3.00                       | 31839.6                 | 46.72             |
| 0.80            | 15509                     | 14098                  | 3.92                       | 920.8                   | 2.97                       | 41898.3                 | 45.50             |
| 1.00            | 19403                     | 17621                  | 4.15                       | 1219.5                  | 2.98                       | 52656.2                 | 43.18             |
| 1.20            | 23305                     | 21145                  | 4.60                       | 1622.5                  | 3.02                       | 63731.2                 | 39.28             |
| 1.40            | 27196                     | 24697                  | 5.43                       | 2236.5                  | 3.00                       | 74289.6                 | 33.22             |
| 1.60            | 31090                     | 28205                  | 6.79                       | 3192.2                  | 3.08                       | 87165.4                 | 27.30             |
| 1.80            | 34978                     | 31726                  | 9.00                       | 4762.9                  | 3.13                       | 99513.3                 | 20.88             |
| 2.00            | 38871                     | 35258                  | 12.91                      | 7587.7                  | 3.27                       | 115249.2                | 15.18             |
| 2.10            | 40818                     | 37014                  | 14.94                      | 9215.7                  | 3.22                       | 119132.5                | 12.93             |
| 2.20            | 42769                     | 38784                  | 18.55                      | 11993.6                 | 3.32                       | 128605.0                | 10.72             |

**NOTE:** 1 km = 0.6 mile

---

**FIGURE 3** Comparison of average trip times (minutes) of SO and UE assignments for various levels of network loading. The number by each plotted point is the corresponding loading factor.
various levels of network loading. At low loading levels, SO and UE provide essentially identical solutions. For loading factors 0.6 and 0.8, SO shows improvements of 0.3 and 0.5 percent, respectively, over UE. At such low concentration levels, average link speeds remain relatively unchanged because of limited interactions among vehicles, and the marginal travel time on the link is essentially identical to the average travel time, leading to almost identical solutions under the two assignment schemes. When network congestion increases slightly to loading factors of 1.0 and 1.2, the corresponding SO trip time improvements are 3.0 and 4.5 percent, respectively, over the UE solution. As the network becomes moderately congested, system benefits under the SO assignment become more pronounced, with 10.6 and 11.2 percent improvements over UE for loading factors of 1.4 and 1.6, respectively. For heavily loaded networks, very substantial gains are obtained, with 15.1 and 19.0 percent improvements in system travel times using SO for loading factors 1.8 and 2.0, respectively.

As the levels of network loading are increased further, the system reaches very high levels of congestion that near gridlock, and overall network throughput drops, making it increasingly difficult to discharge all vehicles from the system in a reasonable amount of time. Under these conditions, the ability to improve overall conditions by rerouting certain vehicles to paths with lower marginal costs diminishes as all links become highly congested. Thus, the advantage of an SO assignment relative to UE begins decreasing, as reflected by reduced improvements of 12.4 and 10.7 percent for loading factors of 2.1 and 2.2, respectively. The gains begin dropping rapidly beyond this point, with higher loading levels eventually yielding negligible differences in the quality of the solution provided by the two schemes.

Figure 5 depicts the cumulative demand generation as a function of time under the 2.0 loading factor along with the cumulative discharge curves under the SO and UE assignments. The various points on the plot are obtained by accumulating the statistics available for each 5-min interval. The area on the plot between the two discharge curves represents the time savings of SO over UE—in this case about 1,438 hr. The figure illustrates the time-dependent nature of the benefits generated by SO over UE. When the network is in the early stages of loading (for about the first 20 min), it is not sufficiently congested to produce meaningful differences between SO and UE assignments. Most of the savings of SO are accrued between 30 and 70 min into the peak period as the network is close to peak congestion levels. Beyond 70 min, there appear to be virtually no significant gains of SO over UE as the network is again relatively uncongested. Thus the benefits of route guidance based on SO assignment over UE routing are not accumulated uniformly over time—rather they are gained when the network is relatively well congested.
Figure 5 shows the cumulative generation curve and SO and UE cumulative discharge curves for a loading factor of 2.0. The points on the curve represent 5-min updates of the cumulative number of vehicles. The area between the SO and UE discharge curves represents the time savings for SO over UE.

Figure 6 shows the time savings per vehicle for SO over UE as a function of the vehicle's time of departure under various loading factors. To capture the time dependency of the benefits in a systematic manner, travel time savings are accumulated on the basis of the start times of the vehicles. In the figure, 0–5 on the y-axis (start time) refers to all vehicles that start between 0 and 5 min. Vehicles that start during the first 5 min do not face congested conditions, and hence SO does not yield savings over UE for these vehicles. Vehicles that start during the intervals 10–15 and 15–20 min accrue time savings at an increasing rate as the loading level increases. Over their trip, these vehicles encounter significant congestion that increases with the loading factor. For vehicles starting between 20 and 35 min, the benefits increase with
network loading at an increasing rate until the 2.0 loading factor level and then dip down. This trend illustrates the previously discussed tendency of diminished savings for SO under extremely high congestion conditions.

Network Flow Relations

The second aspect investigated through the experimental results relates to the macroscopic network-level traffic theoretic relationships among network-wide traffic descriptors for dynamic traffic networks under consideration. The pertinent traffic variables and their averages over time and space were defined in the first section of the paper. As noted, although mathematical relationships among traffic flow variables are reasonably well established for arterials and intersections, the intricacies of interactions at the network level preclude analytic derivability of network-wide traffic relationships from the link-level traffic models. However, the simulation results extend the previous findings of Mahmassani et al. (4,5) that the basic trends captured by the single roadway relationships seem to also hold at the network level for the dynamic case.

The network level speed–concentration relationship for the SO assignment is shown in Figure 7. Each point on the plot corresponds to a simulation run for the whole assignment period under a particular loading level. The figure clearly illustrates decreasing average network speed with increasing network concentration, paralleling the $K-V$ relationship for an individual roadway. Note that the plot has a point of inflection that corresponds approximately to the 1.8 loading factor. This qualitative trend has been observed previously in the simulation experiments of Mahmassani et al. (4) on a regular test network using the NETSIM package.

An essential element to be noted in the network-level analysis is the time-dependent nature of the phenomena of interest. Averaging quantities such as network flow and concentration over the duration of the peak period are likely to mask the time dependency of network performance. For example, overall network concentration is obtained by averaging low levels of concentration at both ends of the peak period and high levels in between, as shown in Figure 8, which shows the time-dependent variation of concentration normalized by dividing by a jam concentration of 96 vehicles per lane-km (160 vehicles per lane-mile) over the duration of interest. More detailed investigation of the interrelationships among network-level traffic descriptors over time will be reported elsewhere.

CONCLUSIONS

The experiments performed using the simulation-based algorithm to solve both the SO and UE versions of the time-dependent traffic assignment problem have provided insights of critical importance to the design of ATIS information supply strategies and results of fundamental significance in the context of network assignment and network traffic flow theories. Of course, experimental results from a single-test network preclude definitive generalizations; nevertheless, they offer an illustration of the insights that can be obtained on the basic constitution of the problems being addressed while suggesting directions for future research. The first main conclusion is that the results suggest meaningful differences in overall system cost and performance between time-dependent SO and UE assignments. The second main conclusion is that traffic networks under time-dependent traffic assignment patterns continue to operate within the envelope of relatively simple network traffic flow relationships that exhibit strong similarities to the traffic models established for individual road sections.
If we take the UE assignment results as somehow indicative of the situation that might be attained over time in a system in which drivers have access to real-time on-board descriptive information through ATIS, the results of our experiments suggest that there is considerable potential for SO, coordinated route guidance, especially in heavily congested (although not oversaturated) networks. These results appear to contradict unsupported claims that descriptive information would likely perform as well as normative SO route guidance because UE system costs were claimed to be very close to SO costs. Instead, they strengthen previous recommendations [e.g., by Mahmassani and Jayakrishnan (9)] that coordinated information is necessary beyond a certain market penetration level.

The results further highlight the dynamic nature of the benefits accumulated by an SO assignment over UE. They suggest that SO is most effective when traffic networks are moderately to highly congested. In the context of peak period traffic, this implies that most savings through SO assignment would be achieved neither at the beginning nor end of the peak period but in a time range in between. When the network is lightly or very highly congested (oversaturated), an SO assignment does not perform significantly better than UE. For relatively uncongested traffic situations, SO and UE yield almost identical solutions.

Future research on this topic will investigate the system performance under partial user compliance when users are provided with SO paths, thereby introducing an additional element of user behavior. With regard to the traffic network flow theoretic aspects, avenues for future efforts in this area include analyzing dynamic traffic networks from the perspective of the two-fluid theory of town traffic developed by Herman and Prigogine (10).

In conclusion, it is possible to characterize traffic flow in urban traffic systems using relatively simple macroscopic relationships that parallel traffic flow relationships at the individual roadway level. Simulation is an abstract representation of real-world traffic; thus the research is mostly exploratory rather than definitive in nature. Results to date strongly suggest that the performance of dynamic traffic networks is critically sensitive to network topology and network loading pattern.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is based on funding provided by FHWA under a contract entitled Traffic Modeling To Support Advanced Driver Information Systems. Additional support was provided by the U.S. Department of Transportation through the Southwest Region University Transportation Center.

The authors are grateful to Ta-Yin Hu and Athanasios Ziliaskopoulos for their essential contributions and to Richard Rothery for his beneficial comments and suggestions.

REFERENCES


The results and views expressed in this paper are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the sponsors' official opinion.

Publication of this paper sponsored by Committee on Transportation Supply Analysis.