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Foreword

This appendix is a supporting reference to the subdrainage guidance presented in PART 3, Chapter 1 of the Design Guide. Some sections of the referenced chapter are repeated here for emphasis and continuity. Of particular interest are sections on hydraulic design of the drainage components—permeable bases, separator layers, edgedrains, and outlets—drainage construction, and maintenance.
Introduction

As early as 1820, John McAdam noted that, regardless of the thickness of the structure, many roads in Great Britain deteriorated rapidly when the subgrade was saturated (1). It is recognized today that excess moisture in pavement layers, when combined with heavy truck traffic and moisture-susceptible materials, can reduce service life. Below-freezing temperatures can contribute to durability problems of saturated materials. Failures related to moisture-accelerated damage continue to take place to this day. In recognition of the impact moisture can have on pavement performance, the AASHTO Design Guide incorporated an empirical drainage coefficient into the 1986 design equations. This coefficient increased awareness and encouraged design of pavements with permeable drainage layers.

The M-E procedures consider the effects of excess moisture on unbound granular and subgrade layers through reductions in layer moduli. The incremental damage accumulation approach makes it possible to consider seasonal changes in unbound layers directly. This approach also makes it possible to consider changes in layer properties over time. These include the erosion of layers with subsequent loss of support conditions for PCC pavements and increased infiltration of moisture into AC pavements from cracking over time. However, the practical considerations of subsurface drainage design are important because not all of these effects can be considered in the M-E design procedure.

Sources of Moisture in Pavements

It is important to identify the sources of moisture in pavements before devising ways to combat it. Moisture in the subgrade and the pavement structure can come from many different sources (see figure 1). Water may seep upward from a high groundwater table due to capillary suction or vapor movements (2), or it may flow laterally from the pavement edges and side ditches. Another important source of water in pavements is surface infiltration of rainwater through joints, cracks, shoulder edges, and various other defects, especially in older deteriorated pavements. A study by the Minnesota Department of Transportation indicated that 40 percent of rainfall enters the pavement structure (3). In fact, Demonstration Project 87, Drainable Pavement Systems, states that surface infiltration is the single largest source of moisture-related problems in PCC pavements (4). Although AC pavements do not contain joints, they develop cracks, longitudinal cold joints that crack, and pavement edges that provide ample opportunity for water to infiltrate the pavement structure and cause damage.

This chapter addresses moisture infiltrating the pavement structure through the surface. Groundwater seepage is usually considered a geotechnical problem which needs to be addressed during embankment design and will not be discussed in this Guide.
Moisture-Caused and Moisture-Accelerated Damage

Problems caused by prolonged exposure to excess moisture fall into three broad categories:

- Softening of pavement layers and subgrade as they become saturated and remain saturated for lengthy periods of time.
- Degradation of material quality from interaction with moisture.
- Loss of bond between pavement layers from saturation with moisture (AC to AC, AC to PCC, and PCC to CTB or ATB).

Several research studies, including the Maryland Road Test (5), WASHO Road Test (6), AASHO Road Test (7), and the recent MnRoad study, have documented the adverse effects of moisture on pavement performance. A pavement that is stable at a given moisture content may become unstable if the materials approach saturation. High water pressures can develop in saturated soils when subjected to dynamic loading. Subsurface water can freeze, expand, and exert forces of considerable magnitude on a pavement. Water in rapid motion can transport soil particles and cause a number of different problems, including eroding of most materials and pumping of fines. These potential circumstances must be recognized and accounted for in the design of a pavement, or it will likely experience moisture-accelerated damage and require high maintenance and early rehabilitation.

The physical manifestation of moisture damage in pavements is in the form of several moisture-caused and moisture-accelerated distresses. Moisture-caused distresses are those that are primarily induced by moisture (e.g., stripping in AC pavements and D-cracking in PCC pavements). Moisture-accelerated distresses are those that are primarily initiated by factors other than moisture but whose rate of deterioration is accelerated in the presence of moisture. Most pavement distresses worsen in the presence of moisture. Tables 1 and 2 highlight various moisture-related distress types in PCC and AC pavements, respectively.

Figure 1. Sources of moisture in pavement systems.
Table 1. Moisture-related distresses in PCC pavements (adapted from 8).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Distress Manifestation</th>
<th>Moisture Problem</th>
<th>Climatic Problem</th>
<th>Material Problem</th>
<th>Load-Associated?</th>
<th>Structural Defect Begins in</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface Defects</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spalling</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Freeze-thaw Cycles</td>
<td>Mortar</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scaling</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Freeze-thaw Cycles</td>
<td>Chemical Influence</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes Finishing</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-Cracking</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Freeze-thaw Cycles</td>
<td>Aggregate Expansion</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crazing</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Rich Mortar</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes Weak Surface</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface Deformation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blow-up</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>Thermal Properties</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pumping and Erosion</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Moisture</td>
<td>Inadequate Strength</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faulting</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Moisture-Suction</td>
<td>Erosion-Settlement</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curling/Warping</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Moisture &amp; Temperature</td>
<td>Moisture and Temperature Differentials</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cracking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corner</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Moisture</td>
<td>Cracking follows Erosion</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagonal Transverse Longitudinal</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Moisture</td>
<td>Follows Erosion</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Punchout (CRCP)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Moisture</td>
<td>High deflections follow erosion</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Moisture-related distresses in conventional AC pavements (adapted from 8).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Distress Manifestation</th>
<th>Moisture Problem</th>
<th>Climatic Problem</th>
<th>Material Problem</th>
<th>Load-Associated?</th>
<th>Structural Defect Begins in</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>AC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Surface Deformation

- Bump or Distortion: Excess Moisture, Frost Heave, Volume Increase
- Corrugation or Rippling: Slight, Moisture and Temperature, Unstable Mix
- Stripping: Yes, Moisture, Loss of Bond
- Rutting: Excess in Granular Layers or Subgrade, Moisture, Plastic Deformation, Stripping
- Depression: Excess Moisture, Suction & Materials, Settlement, Fill Material
- Potholes: Excess Moisture, Moisture, Strength-Moisture

Cracking

- Longitudinal not-in-wheel-path and longitudinal in-wheel-path: No (accelerates crack severity), No, Construction
- Alligator (fatigue): Yes (accelerates crack severity), Spring-Thaw Strength Loss, Thickness
- Transverse: No (accelerates crack severity), Low Temp, F-T Cycles, Thermal Properties
- Slippage: Yes, No, Loss of Bond

Faulty Construction: Yes, Mix, Temp, Susceptible
Approaches to Address Moisture in Pavements

A major objective in pavement design should be to keep the base, subbase, subgrade, and other susceptible paving materials from becoming saturated or even being exposed to constant high moisture levels over time. Four approaches commonly employed to control or reduce moisture problems are listed below:

- Prevent moisture from entering the pavement system.
- Use materials that are insensitive to the effects of moisture.
- Incorporate design features to minimize moisture damage.
- Quickly remove moisture that enters the pavement system.

It is important to recognize that no single approach can completely negate the effects of moisture on the pavement system under heavy traffic loading over many years. Thus, it is often necessary to employ all approaches in combination, particularly for heavy traffic loading conditions.

Basic Subsurface Drainage Terminology

This section introduces some of the subdrainage components referred to throughout this chapter, along with short discussions of their functions and salient characteristics. Detailed design considerations are addressed later in this chapter.

**Permeable Base:** An open-graded drainage layer with a minimum laboratory permeability value of 1000 ft/day. This layer could be asphalt-treated, cement-treated, or untreated, depending on structural requirements. The primary function of this layer is to collect water infiltrating the pavement and to move it to the edgedrains within an acceptable timeframe. The aggregate used for the permeable base should be crushed (with at least two mechanically fractured faces) and wear resistant. Asphalt-treated drainage layers must be treated with a stiff AC binder to prevent draindown. Additional specifications on treated open-graded materials can be found in PART I, Chapter 2 of the Guide. Guide specifications are also available through the FHWA.

**Separator Layer:** An impermeable layer of aggregate material (treated or untreated) or geotextile or a combination thereof placed between the permeable base and the subgrade. The separator layer has three main functions: (a) to maintain separation between permeable base and subgrade and prevent them from intermixing, (b) to form an impermeable barrier that deflects water from the permeable base horizontally toward the pavement edge, and (c) to support construction traffic.

**Edgedrains:** Longitudinal pipes that run along the pavement length. They are placed 2 inches from the bottom of a trench dug on the side of the pavement adjacent to the lane–shoulder joint. They collect water discharged from the pavement structure and transfer it to the outlets. Pipe edgedrains and prefabricated geocomposite edgedrains (PGEDs) are the two types of commonly available edgedrains. Smooth-walled pipes having adequate strength to withstand loads placed on them are recommended for use as pipe edgedrains. Pipes should conform to the appropriate State or AASHTO specification.
Outlets: Short pipes that carry the water from the edgedrains to the side ditches. Non-perforated smooth, rigid pipes are recommended for outlets. This pipe must resist construction and maintenance traffic.

Headwall: Headwalls made of PCC are used to house drainage outlets to prevent them from damage caused by routine maintenance activities. They also help prevent slope erosion and aid in locating outlet pipes. Headwalls should be placed flush with the slope of the embankment so that routine maintenance activities are not impaired. Removable rodent screens are recommended with headwalls to prevent small animals from entering the outlets.

Side Ditches: Ditches dug to carry the water collected from the outlets away from the pavement. This feature is common to both surface and subsurface drainage. The side ditches should have a minimum longitudinal grade of 0.005 m/m and an adequate freeboard to be effective.

Storm Drains: In urban locations where ditches cannot be dug on the side of the highway, storm drains are installed to carry the surface and subsurface runoff.

Daylighting: In a daylighted pavement section, the edges of the base and subbase layers are exposed to allow water trapped in these layers to flow directly into the side ditch. Such a design is the conceptual opposite of a “bathtub” section.

Hydraulic Design of Permeable Base Systems

The issues involved in designing the main components of a permeable base system are presented in the following sections. The main topics of discussion are permeable base design, separator layer design, and edgedrain design. The FHWA microcomputer program DRIP (9) can perform the hydraulic design of these components rapidly and accurately. This program is available with the software accompanying the Design Guide. The National Highway Institute training course 131026, Pavement Subsurface Drainage Design, (10) provides a summary description of the program, along with an example design. The DRIP 2.0 User’s Guide is presented in Appendix TT.

Hydraulic Design of Permeable Bases

The recommended approach for performing hydraulic design of permeable bases is the time-to-drain procedure, which is based on the following assumptions:

- Water infiltrates the pavement until the permeable base is saturated.
- Excess runoff will not enter the pavement section after it is saturated.
- After the rainfall event ceases, water is drained to the side ditches or storm drains through edgedrains or by daylighting.

The main parameter of interest in the time-to-drain procedure is the time required to drain the permeable base to a pre-established moisture level. The design standard based on this parameter rates the permeable base quality of drainage from “Excellent” to “Poor.” Table 3 presents guidance for selecting permeable base quality of drainage based on this method.
Table 3. Permeable base quality of drainage rating based on time taken to drain 50 percent of the drainable water.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quality of Drainage</th>
<th>Time to Drain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>2 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>1 day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>7 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>1 month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very Poor</td>
<td>Does not drain</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For most Interstate highways and freeways, draining 50 percent of the drainable water in 2 hours is recommended; however, this is only a guideline. The objective of drainage is to remove all drainable water within a short period of time.

The inputs to the time-to-drain design procedure include basic pavement design and material properties such as roadway geometry (cross-slope, longitudinal slope, lane width), thickness of the permeable base, porosity and effective porosity of permeable base aggregate, and permeability of the permeable base material. Using these inputs, the time-to-drain parameter is calculated for a given degree of drainage (U). The final design is then chosen on the basis of this information.

The following is a step-by-step procedure for completing the time-to-drain design.

1. Assume a desired degree of drainage (U). For typical highway situations, U = 0.5.
2. Select a value for the permeable base thickness (H).
3. Determine the coefficient of permeability (k) of the proposed base material through laboratory testing. Permeable bases should typically have k values of 1000 ft/day or greater.
4. Calculate the resultant length (L<sub>R</sub>) and resultant slope (S<sub>R</sub>) from known roadway longitudinal grade (S), cross-slope (S<sub>x</sub>), and permeable base width (W).

\[
L_R = W \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{S}{S_x} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2} \tag{1}
\]

\[
S_R = \left( S_x^2 + S^2 \right)^{1/2} \tag{2}
\]

5. Calculate the porosity (N) and the effective porosity (N<sub>e</sub>) of the base material from known values of bulk specific gravity (G<sub>sb</sub>), unit weight (\(\gamma_d\)), and water loss coefficient (WL).

6.

\[
N = \left( 1 - \frac{\gamma_d}{9.81 \times G_{sb}} \right) \tag{3}
\]

\[
N_e = N \times WL \tag{4}
\]

Typical ranges of bulk specific gravity and unit weight for permeable base material are 2.65 to 2.70 and 15.5 to 19.0 kN/m<sup>3</sup>, respectively. The water loss coefficient for a permeable base material should be obtained from laboratory testing.
base is a function of the type and amount of fines present in the base and can be
determined from table 4.

Table 4. Water loss values as a percentage of total water (4).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type and Amount of Fines</th>
<th>Filler 2.5%</th>
<th>Filler 5%</th>
<th>Filler 10%</th>
<th>Silt 2.5%</th>
<th>Silt 5%</th>
<th>Silt 10%</th>
<th>Clay 2.5%</th>
<th>Clay 5%</th>
<th>Clay 10%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Material Type</td>
<td>Gravel</td>
<td>Sand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gravel</td>
<td>Sand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>70</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
Fines are defined as material passing the No. 200 sieve.
For gravel with 0 percent fines, water loss is equal to 80 percent.
For sand with 0 percent fines, water loss is equal to 65 percent.

6. Use either equation 5 or DRIP (9) to determine the time required to remove 50 percent of
the drainable water from the saturated permeable base (U = 0.5). Compare this value to the
target time-to-drain. If the design is unsatisfactory, continue iterations by changing the
inputs until the desired solution is obtained.

\[
t_{50} = \frac{N_e L_R^2}{2k(S_R L_R + H)}
\]  

(5)

Equation 5 represents a single point calculation of the time-to-drain parameter at a degree of
drainage of 0.5. DRIP can be used to compute the time required to drain to any desired degree
of drainage or saturation (S). When DRIP is used to compute the time to drain, time-history
plots from an initial fully saturated state to a completely drained state can be obtained.
Figure 3 is a sample plot of time to drain against the percent drained.

Figure 3. Percent drained versus time.
Sensitivity of the Time-to-Drain Procedure and Permeable Base Design

Recommendations. Of all the inputs that go into the calculations, permeability has the greatest influence and permeable base thickness the least influence on the time-to-drain parameter. The time required to drain a permeable base decreases exponentially with an increase in permeability. Therefore, to reduce the time to drain cost-effectively, it is recommended that the permeability be increased by a reduction in fines (a minimum of 1000 ft/day is required for permeable bases). However, care must be taken to maintain adequate stability in the permeable base while effecting a reduction in fines. To guarantee reasonable stability, a minimum coefficient of uniformity value, $C_{u}$, of 3.5 is required for an untreated permeable base. If this cannot be achieved, the base should be treated with either asphalt or portland cement.

Since the thickness does not have a significant effect on the time-to-drain parameter, a value 4 inches is recommended for permeable bases. This thickness should provide an adequate hydraulic conduit and lend itself to compaction without segregation.

Separator Layer Design

The issues involved in designing the two types of separator layers—dense-aggregate and geotextile—will be discussed in this section. If a combination of these layers is to be used due to adverse site conditions (see table 3 to determine when this might be necessary), it is recommended that the geotextile be placed on top of the separator layer.

Design of Aggregate Separator Layer. The aggregate separator layer must satisfy the uniformity and separation requirements at both the separator layer/subgrade interface and the separator layer/permeable base interface. The separator layer should serve as an impermeable barrier to prevent the water in the permeable base from entering the subgrade (permeability less than 15 ft/day is desired). The aggregate separator layer design is a three-step process.

Step 1: Check for Aggregate Separator Layer/Subgrade Interface Requirements

The gradation of the aggregate separator layer must meet the requirements for the aggregate separator layer/subgrade interface listed below:

Separation requirement: $D_{15}$ (Separator Layer) ≤ 5 $D_{85}$ (Subgrade)
Uniformity requirement: $D_{50}$ (Separator Layer) ≤ 25 $D_{50}$ (Subgrade)

$D_{x}$ represents the particle size that x percent of the material is small than by weight.

Theoretically, a spherical particle will be retained until the diameter of the retaining spheres is 6.46 times greater than the sphere to be retained. This relationship is shown in figure 4. By limiting the $D_{15}$ size of the aggregate separator layer to less than 5 times the $D_{85}$ size of the subgrade, the larger soil particles of the subgrade will be retained, allowing the soil bridging action to start. By limiting the $D_{50}$ size of the aggregate separator layer to less than 25 times the $D_{50}$ size of the subgrade, the gradation curves will be kept in balance.

Step 2: Check for Aggregate Separator Layer/Permeable Base Interface Requirements

Similar requirements must be applied to the permeable base/aggregate separator layer interface, as listed below:

Separation requirement: $D_{15}$ (Permeable Base) ≤ 5 $D_{85}$ (Separator Layer)
Uniformity requirement: $D_{50}$ (Permeable Base) ≤ 25 $D_{50}$ (Separator Layer)
Step 3: Check for Additional Requirements

The following additional requirements are necessary to ensure that the dense-graded aggregate separator layer does not have too many fines and is well-graded:

- Maximum percentage of material passing the No. 200 sieve should not exceed 12 percent.
- Coefficient of uniformity should be greater than 20, preferably greater than 40.

The first criterion limits the amount of fines in the aggregate separator layer, and the second provides guidance for developing a well-graded aggregate base.

The results of these checks are typically plotted on a gradation chart to develop a design envelope through which the gradation of the aggregate separator layer must pass. A sample plot of an aggregate separator layer gradation that satisfies the design checks is shown in figure 5. Also plotted on the figure is the design envelope developed from the criteria discussed above for sample permeable base and subgrade gradations.

In addition, some States prime the dense-graded separator layer to reduce erosion of fines at its surface.

**Design of Geotextile Separator Layers.** The discussion in this section summarizes basic geotextile design. Detailed guidance is provided in *Geosynthetic Design and Construction Guidelines* (11).

The parameters used to specify the appropriate geotextile to be used as a separator layer are the apparent opening size (AOS) and the gradient ratio (GR). The ability of a geotextile to retain soil particles is directly related to its AOS value which is the apparent largest hole in the
geotextile. The AOS value is equal to the size of the largest particle that can effectively pass through the geotextile in a dry sieving test (11). The gradient ratio is a measure of the soil/geotextile clogging potential. *ASTM D-4751, Determining Apparent Opening Size of a Geotextile*, and *ASTM D-5101, Measuring the Soil-Geotextile System Clogging Potential by the Gradient Ratio*, are standard tests normally employed to measure AOS and GR, respectively.

The important design criteria to be considered in specifying the properties of geotextile as a separator layer are divided into four categories, namely:

- Soil retention.
- Permeability.
- Clogging.
- Survivability and endurance.

The design guidelines for the soil retention, permeability, and clogging criteria are summarized in the flowchart in figure 6. In addition to these criteria, to ensure that the geotextile will survive the construction process, certain strength and endurance properties are required. *AASHTO-AGC-ARTBA Task Force No. 25* provides general guidelines for the selection of the minimum physical requirements of the geotextile (12, 13). This ensures that the geotextile has adequate strength and durability to survive both construction and long-term use. A relatively heavy (weight-to-area ratio of 0.03 kg/m$^2$), non-woven geotextile is recommended for separator layer applications.

**Edgedrain Design**

The hydraulic design of edgedrains is basically a four-step process. Each step is explained below.
Soil Retention Criteria

Less than 50% of subgrade material passes 0.06-mm sieve

Greater than 50% of subgrade material passes 0.06-mm sieve

Steady State Flow

Non-Steady State Flow

O_{95} < D_{85}

O_{95} < 0.5 D_{85}

O_{95} < 0.3 mm

Subgrade soil can move beneath geotextile

Subgrade soil cannot move beneath geotextile

Woven geotextile

Non-woven geotextile

O_{95} < D_{85}

O_{95} < 1.8 D_{85}

Clogging Criteria

Critical/Severe

Less Critical/Severe

Select geotextile meeting soil retention, permeability and less critical clogging criteria gradient ratio < 3

Use material with maximum opening size from the soil retention criteria. Woven fabrics: percent open area > 4% Non-woven fabrics: porosity > 30%

Additional criteria:

O_{95} > 3 D_{15}

D_{15} = soil particle size for which x percent are smaller (mm)

Permeability Criteria

Critical/Severe

Less Critical/Severe

k_{geotextile} > 10 k_{soil}

k_{geotextile} > k_{soil}

Symbol representations:

k = permeability

O_{x} = opening size in geotextile for which x percent of particles are smaller (mm)

AOS = O_{95}

D_{x} = soil particle size for which x percent are smaller (mm).

Figure 6. Flowchart summarizing the soil retention, permeability, and clogging criteria for selecting the properties of geotextile (10).

Step 1. Determine pavement discharge rate, q_d. This is the design flow for calculating the pipe capacity and outlet spacing. It can be determined using any of the following three approaches:

1. Pavement infiltration discharge rate
2. Permeable base discharge rate
3. Time to drain discharge rate.

However, for pavements with permeable bases the time to drain discharge rate calculation is appropriate to ensure consistency with the recommended permeable base design methodology (time to drain). The equation to estimate the design discharge is as follows:

\[ q_d = 24 WHN \frac{1}{t_D} \]  

(6)
where:
\[ q_d = \text{Design pavement discharge rate, ft}^3/\text{day/m}. \]
\[ W = \text{Width of permeable base, m}. \]
\[ H = \text{Base thickness, m}. \]
\[ N_e = \text{Effective porosity}. \]
\[ U = \text{Percent drained in decimal (50 percent is used most often)}. \]
\[ t_d = \text{Time to drain, hr}. \]

For pavements with nonerodible bases, discharge can be computed as follows:

\[ q_d = q_i W \quad (7) \]

where:
\[ q_i = \text{Design pavement infiltration rate, m}^3/\text{day/m}^2 \]
\[ W = \text{Width of permeable base, m} \]

Pavement infiltration, \( q_i \), can be estimated using the crack infiltration equation:

\[ q_i = I_c \left[ \frac{N + 1}{W} + \frac{1}{C_s} \right] \quad (8) \]

where:
\[ I_c = \text{Crack infiltration rate, m}^3/\text{day/m}^2 \text{ of pavement surface, typically 0.223 m}^3/\text{day/m}^2 \]
\[ N = \text{Number of lanes} \]
\[ W = \text{Pavement width, m} \]
\[ C_s = \text{Transverse crack spacing, m} \]
\[ \text{= Joint spacing for JCP; 10 to 30 m for CRC; 5 to 20 m for AC pavements} \]

Step 2. Determine edgerain flow capacity, \( Q \)

For pipe edgerains, the flow capacity of circular pipes can be determined from Manning’s equation:

\[ Q = \frac{0.2693 \times 10^{-3}}{n} D^\frac{8}{3} S^\frac{1}{2} \quad (9) \]

where:
\[ Q = \text{Pipe capacity, m}^3/\text{day} \]
\[ n = \text{Manning’s roughness coefficient} \]
\[ \text{= 0.012 for smooth pipes and 0.024 for corrugated pipes (4)} \]
\[ D = \text{Pipe diameter, mm} \]
\[ S = \text{Longitudinal slope, m/m} \]

For prefabricated geocomposite edgerains, the flow capacity is given by the following equation:

\[ Q = CD \left( S + \frac{D_1 - D_2}{L} \right)^{1/2} \quad (10) \]
where:

- \( Q \) = Geocomposite edgedrain capacity, m\(^3\)/day/m
- \( C \) = Manufacturer supplied PGED flow factor, m\(^3\)/day/mm. (typical range = 0.5 to 2.5)
- \( D \) = Averaged depth of flow = \((D_1 + D_2)/2\), mm
- \( D_1 \) = Depth of flow zone, m
- \( D_2 \) = Depth of outlet (outlet pipe diameter), m
- \( S \) = Longitudinal slope, m/m
- \( L \) = Outlet spacing, m

Figure 7 presents a schematic diagram of flow in a PGED, illustrating the various inputs to the equation above.

### Figure 7. Schematic diagram for computing flow of PGEDs (9).

#### Step 3. Determine outlet spacing, \( L \)

Once the pavement discharge rate \((q_d)\) and the edgedrain flow capacity \((Q)\) have been determined, the outlet spacing \((L)\) can be determined from the following equation:

\[
L \leq \frac{Q}{q_d}
\]  
(11)

#### Step 4. Determine the trench width

The edgedrain trench must be wide enough to transmit the water discharging from the pavement structure without interrupting the flow. In general, if a permeable backfill material is used, the width needed for the installation of the pipe drain is more than adequate to meet the
hydraulic requirement. The following equation can be used to ensure that the trench width is adequate to meet the hydraulic requirement:

\[ W_T = \frac{q_d}{k} \ast 1,000 \]  

where:
- \( W_T \) = Required minimum trench width, mm
- \( q_d \) = Pavement discharge rate, \( \text{m}^3/\text{day/m} \)
- \( k \) = Permeability of the backfill material, m/day

**Edgedrain Maintenance Requirements.** Pipes with a minimum diameter of 4 inches are required for longitudinal pipe edgedrains and outlets (6 inch pipes are commonly used in practice). This allows easy access of monitoring and maintenance equipment to the pipe interiors. Further, a maximum outlet spacing of 75 m is recommended. Dual outlets with headwalls are also recommended. The details of the recommended pipe edgedrain layout in a pavement are presented in figure 8.

The maintenance requirements for pipe diameter and outlet spacing often satisfy the hydraulic design requirements.

![Figure 8. Sketch of pipe layout, dual inlet/outlet systems, and headwalls (14).](image-url)

**Construction of Permeable Base Systems**

**Permeable Base Construction Considerations**

There is no specific procedure for constructing permeable bases. The standard procedures and specifications for placing AC or portland cement stabilized materials on roadways will be adequate, and most SHAs use procedures that seem best for their environs. The major objective is to make sure that the material is placed in a manner that provides a uniform, stable, non-erodible, and non-stripping supporting layer. The presence of qualified personnel aware of drainable base construction is recommended.
**Subgrade Support.** Good subgrade support is vital in permeable base construction. A minimum resilient modulus of 63 MPa is recommended. A strong subgrade provides a good construction platform and enhances the performance of the separator layer. A strong subgrade minimizes intermixing at the subgrade/separator layer and separator layer/permeable base interfaces, regardless of the type of separator being used (15). This will have a direct impact on pavement performance. However, when thick granular embankment layers are used (for example in deep frost areas), the subgrade strength requirement can be relaxed.

**Hauling.** Good practice dictates that traffic be minimized and restricted to low speeds with minimal turning. Permeable bases should not be used as a haul road even for light construction traffic. Equipment that could cause rutting, dirty equipment, and equipment transporting fines should not be allowed to traverse over the permeable base (16). If concrete trucks are allowed on the base, a stabilized permeable base should be used (4).

**Control Strip.** It is recommended that a control strip be constructed prior to constructing a permeable base so that the combination of aggregate materials and construction practices can be tested and, if necessary, adjusted to produce a stable permeable base. The test section should be constructed using the same aggregate materials and compaction practices that will be used on the project. A minimum length of 150 m is recommended for the test section, and the test section should become part of the finished roadway (10, 14).

**In-Place Permeability.** The permeability of the permeable base can be reduced to an unacceptable level by overcompaction or contamination with fines. The in-place permeable base should accept the inflow of water without ponding or flowing across the surface. In-place permeability tests for permeable bases are difficult to run but may be conducted to obtain estimates.

**Environmental Conditions.** Permeable base layers should be placed when the air temperature is above 5 °C. Areas of completed permeable bases that are damaged by freezing, rainfall, or other weather conditions, or are contaminated from sediments, dust, dirt, or foreign material, should be corrected to meet specified requirements.

**Special Considerations for Untreated Permeable Base Construction**

- The permeable base materials must be placed in a manner to prevent segregation and to obtain a layer of uniform thickness. Extra care should also be taken while stockpiling and handling the materials. An asphalt paving machine can be used to place the permeable base. A hopper-type base spreader box may be used if it can be operated to obtain specified thicknesses.
- The purpose of compacting a permeable base is to seat the aggregate. Most SHAs specify one to three passes of a 4.5- to 9-metric ton steel-wheeled roller. Over-rolling can cause degradation of the material and a subsequent loss of permeability. Vibratory rollers should be used with care to compact unstabilized permeable bases, because they can cause degradation, over-densification, and a subsequent loss of permeability (14). They can also cause liquefaction of wet separator and subgrade layers, causing contamination and intermixing (17).

**Special Considerations for the Construction of PATB**

- The PATB material should be spread at a temperature between 90 to 120 °C as measured in the hopper of the paving machine. Compaction should begin when the
The temperature of the PATB has cooled to 65 °C and should be completed before the temperature falls below 38 °C (14).

- One to three passes of a 4.5- to 9-metric ton steel-wheeled static roller should be adequate. Vibratory rollers should not be used.
- Construction traffic should not be allowed on the completed base during the next 24 hours.
- To ensure initial mix stability, experienced State agencies use:
  - Crushed aggregates.
  - Stiffer asphalt cement grades.
  - Temperature control prior to rolling.
  - Consider stripping susceptibility of PATB.

**Special Considerations for the Construction of PCTB**

- The PCTB should be placed on the grade using a spreading machine or a subgrade planer. A paver should follow the spreader machine. A subgrade planer attached with vibrating pans can be used for compacting the mix. Vibratory plates and screeds can also be used to place and compact. Tandem steel-wheeled rollers should not be used for compacting PCTB.
- It is difficult for a standard concrete paver to place PCTB with high cement contents (> 167 kg/m³) and low water-to-cement ratios (< 0.4). The PCC requirement should be optimized to achieve the required strength, durability, and permeability. The engineer should determine the exact amount of portland cement required to ensure that all aggregates are well coated. A water-to-cement ratio of 0.45 should be used to increase workability.
- There is no consensus on the most suitable method for curing PCTB. Some State highway agencies cover the permeable base with polyethylene sheeting for 3 to 5 days and apply a fine water mist cure several times on the day after the base is placed. Other agencies do not cure their PCTB at all because test data show that there is no significant difference in strength of cured and uncured PCTB. It is therefore recommended that a test strip of the PCTB be constructed and tested with the different curing methods available. The best method based on strength and performance should then be selected.

**Construction of Aggregate Separator Layers**

Generally, a dense-graded aggregate material is used as a separator layer. It should be a minimum of 4 inches thick. The aggregate separator layer is as important as the permeable base and subgrade in developing a strong pavement section. This layer is necessary to provide a stable platform for placing the permeable base and pavement surface layer, and it should be constructed using the normal construction techniques for dense-graded aggregate bases and subbases. On poor soils, up to 12 inches of gravel over a geotextile may be needed (17).

The aggregate separator layer should not experience any rutting or movement during the paving operation. Since most SHAs use a dense-graded aggregate for the separator layer, this material should be strong enough to support the paving operation. The material should be compacted until a density of 95 percent of the maximum density is reached, as determined by AASHTO T 180-90, “Moisture Density Relationship Using a 4.54 kg Rammer and a 457 mm Drop, Method D.”
Construction of Pipe Longitudinal Edgedrains

Proper construction of edgedrain systems is the key to providing good drainage. Unfortunately, edgedrains are often rendered unusable immediately after installation from a variety of construction-related accidents. Therefore, it is recommended that all the edgedrains be video inspected soon after construction. Details on video inspection are provided later in this appendix.

Trenching. The trench should be cut deep enough to place the top of the drainage pipe a minimum of 2 inches below the bottom of the permeable base. A minimum 2-in layer of bedding material is also recommended beneath the drainage pipe. These requirements place the bottom of the trench 8 inches below the bottom of the permeable base for 4-in pipes. The trench should be cut at a constant depth so that the bottom of the trench follows the pavement grade. To obtain proper line and grade, the bottom of the trench should be shaped (or grooved) to cradle the lower one-third of the pipe. The bedding groove helps to hold the pipe in place during installation. For the grooving to be effective, the shape of the groove must closely match that of the pipe being installed; an oversized bedding groove can do more harm than good (18).

Placement of Geotextile. The edgedrain trench should be lined with a geotextile to prevent migration of fines from the surrounding soil into the drainage trench. However, the top of the trench adjacent to the permeable base should be left open to allow a direct path for water into the drainage pipe, as shown in figure 3.

Placement of Drainage Pipes and Backfilling. If a layer of bedding material will be placed prior to placing the drainage pipes, the grooving of the trench bottom has to be done after placing the bedding material. When placing CPE pipes, extra care is also required to prevent overstretching during installation. The typical limit for tolerable longitudinal elongation of CPE pipes is 5 percent.

The backfill material should be placed using chutes or other means to avoid dumping the material onto the pipe from the top of the trench (18). To prevent displacement of drainage pipes during compaction, the backfill material should not be compacted until the trench is backfilled above the level of the top of the pipes. To avoid damage to the pipes during compaction, a minimum of 6-in of cover is recommended before compaction. Achieving adequate consolidation in a narrow trench can be difficult. Inadequate compaction can lead to settlement, which in turn will result in shoulder distresses. A minimum density of 95 percent Standard Proctor (AASHTO T-99) is recommended. Satisfactory compaction can be achieved by running two passes (two lifts, one pass per lift) with a high-energy vibratory wheel (19).

Automated equipment has been developed that can be used to install flexible pipes. Figure 9 shows an example of the equipment used in Illinois that can install pipe drains at a rate of about 5 km per day. This equipment has the following features (18):

- A tractor at the front end to provide locomotion.
- A chain-type trencher mounted on a “floating” boom. The depth of trench can be controlled by a mechanism activated by a remote laser benchmark, or the trench can be made a fixed depth below grade.
- Either a spiral screw or conveyor belt mounted below the trencher to deflect the excavated spoil falling from the scoops to each side of the trench.
- A boot functioning as a trench shield to support the trench wall and exclude crumbs while the pipe is feeding through.
Figure 9. Automated equipment to install pipe edgedrains (18).

- A groover located on the leading edge of the boot to form a semicircular bedding groove in the bottom of the trench. A hopper that holds and distributes the backfill material. A small vibrating compactor located within the hopper compacts the backfill.
- A second compactor on the trailing edge of the boom compacts the trench top.

Construction of Prefabricated Geocomposite Edgedrains

Geocomposite edgedrains are typically used in retrofit projects. The installation of these types of edgedrains involves trenching, installation of the panel drain, connecting drainage outlets, and backfilling. The recommended installation detail for geocomposite edgedrains is shown in figure 10.

Figure 10. Recommended installation for geocomposite edgedrains (20).
PGEDs typically have an inside cross-sectional thickness of 0.5 to 1 inch and a depth of 12 to 18 inches. The trench should be cut 4 to 6 inch wide and deep enough to place the top of the PGED 2 inches above the bottom of the pavement surface layer. The PGED should be placed on the shoulder side of the trench, and the trench should be backfilled with coarse sand to ensure intimate contact between the geotextile and the material being drained. Achieving this contact is very important to prevent loss of fines through the geotextile. The PGED should be connected to the outlet pipes prior to backfilling.

For geocomposite edgedrains, excessive compaction can cause crushing and buckling of the edgdrain panels. The recommended procedure is to backfill using coarse sand and compact by flushing with water (20). The cuttings from the drainage trench are not a suitable backfill material when installing a geocomposite edgdrain. If the panel design is not symmetrical about the vertical axis, the panel should be installed with the rigid or semi-rigid back facing the sand backfill (21).

**Maintenance of Subsurface Drainage Systems**

Of equal importance to providing subsurface drainage systems is the maintenance of the systems. Although very little can be done by way of maintenance to separator layers and permeable bases once they are constructed, post-construction maintenance is of paramount importance for proper functioning of the pipe drains, outlets, headwalls, and roadside ditches. Maintenance of the exposed periphery of daylighted bases is also critical.

**Importance of Proper Maintenance**

An improperly maintained system can clog and cause the pavement structure to become flooded with excess water—a condition that is usually worse than if no system was provided at all. Some of the common problems that occur as the result of improper maintenance of subsurface drainage systems with edgdrains are discussed below.

For permeable bases with longitudinal edgdrains:

- Crushed or punctured outlets that are left unattended for long periods of time.
- Outlet drains that are clogged with debris, mice nests, mowing clippings, vegetation, and sediment.
- Edgdrains (both pipe drains and fin drains) that are filled with sediment, especially at sags and slopes of less than 0.01 m/m.
- Missing rodent screens at the outlets.
- Missing outlet markers.
- Erosion around outlet headwalls and damaged headwalls.
- Shallow ditches that have inadequate slopes and that are clogged with vegetation.

For daylighted permeable bases:

- Excessive vegetative growth over the daylighted portion.
- Deposition of roadside debris.
- Silting of the daylighted openings.
Typical Inspection Techniques

Table 5 presents some typical inspection activities for daylighted and edgedrained permeable base systems, along with the frequencies at which they should be performed. To maintain the effectiveness of a subsurface drainage system with a daylighted permeable base, annual visual inspections are recommended. However, internal and external visual inspection of longitudinal pipes, outlet pipes, and ditches are essential for a system with edgedrains. Several inspection techniques and types of equipment can be used effectively for internal and external evaluation of edgedrain systems (22–26). Notable among these is the video inspection of edgedrain and outlet systems.

Table 5. Summary of various inspection activities and recommended frequencies (10).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommended Inspection Activity</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Frequency of Inspection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Daylighted Permeable Base</td>
<td>Visual inspection</td>
<td>Check vegetative growth, silting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permeable Base with Edgedrains</td>
<td>Site information</td>
<td>Inventory data collection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Roadway condition</td>
<td>Detect moisture-related distress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Visual external inspection</td>
<td>Check slopes, outlet markers, vegetation, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Video monitoring</td>
<td>Check internal pipe condition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Information logging</td>
<td>Record data and assess maintenance needs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Video Inspection of Edgedrains. Equipment that is typically required for the video inspection process includes a closed-circuit video camera, portable generator, weed eater, metal detector, and miscellaneous tools (e.g., shovels, crow bars, tape). Where there are questions about whether outlets can effectively drain into shallow ditches or medians, equipment for measuring ditch depths and the vertical drop to outlets is necessary. A detailed description of the equipment used in the recent FHWA study to inspect pipe edgedrains is presented in table 6. Figure 11 illustrates the camera system used for pipe inspections. For PGEDs, a rigid borescope camera system is used to check for clogging.

Typical Maintenance Activities

Maintenance activities can be grouped under two categories: routine maintenance and need-based maintenance. Routine maintenance activities need to be performed at least once a year, whereas need-based maintenance activities are performed only as the need arises.

Daylighted Bases. Typical routine maintenance activities on daylighted bases include weeding and manual removal of debris. Moderate flushing with a water jet can be performed to remove silt deposits in the daylighted openings. Care should be taken not to use a high-pressure jet, as it could result in permeable base damage. After the base is free of debris, a visual inspection
Table 6. Equipment description for FHWA video inspection study (26).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equipment Description</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Camera</strong></td>
<td>The camera is a high-resolution, high-sensitivity, waterproof color video camera engineered to inspect pipes 3 to 6-in in diameter. The flexible probe attached to the lighthead and camera has a physical size of 2.75 in and is capable of negotiating 4 x 4 in plastic tees. The lighthead incorporates six high-intensity lights. This lighting provides the ability to obtain a “true” color picture of the entire surface periphery of a pipe. The camera includes a detachable hard plastic ball that centers the camera during pipe inspections.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Camera Control Unit</strong></td>
<td>The portable color control unit includes a built-in 8-in color monitor and controls including remote iris, focus, video input/output, audio in with built-in speaker, and light level intensity control. Two VCR input/output jacks are provided for video recording as well as tape playback verification through the built-in monitor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Metal Coiler and Push Rod With Counter</strong></td>
<td>The portable coiler contains 150 m of integrated semi-rigid push rod, gold and rhodium slip rings, electro-mechanical cable counter, and electrical cable. The integrated push rod/electrical cable consists of a special epoxy glass reinforced rod with polypropylene sheathing material, which will allow for lengthy inspections due to the semi-rigid nature of this system.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Video Cassette Recorder</strong></td>
<td>The video cassette recorder is a high-quality four-head industrial grade VHS recorder with audio dubbing, still frame, and slow speed capabilities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Generator</strong></td>
<td>A compact portable generator capable of providing 650 watts at 115 V to power the inspection equipment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Molded Transportation Case</strong></td>
<td>A molded transportation case, specifically built for air transportation, encases the control unit, camera, and videocassette recorder.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Color Video Printer</strong></td>
<td>A video printer is incorporated into the system to allow the technician to obtain color prints of pipe anomalies or areas of interest.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 10. Camera system used for pipe inspections.
should be conducted right after a rainfall event or by dumping water on the pavement from a water truck to assess the impact of the maintenance activities on base drainability.

**Permeable Bases with Edgedrains.** Common routine maintenance activities include the replacement of damaged drains and outlets, replacement of headwalls, and removal of vegetation from around the drain outlets. Another key parameter to effective drainage system maintenance is the clear identification of outlet locations. If maintenance crews cannot locate the outlets, they cannot clean and maintain them. In addition to these tasks, it is also recommended that the drainage systems be flushed and rodded at least once every 2 years as a preventive measure.

If clogged drains pose a severe problem, flushing using high-pressure water is recommended (26, 27). A high-pressure rodding system called a jetter has been used successfully in many States for clearing clogged edgedrains and outlets.
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