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This publication contains papers presented at the Eighth Transportation Research Board Conference on Bridge Management held at the Renaissance Denver Hotel, April 26-28, 1999, in Denver, Colorado. The objective of the Conference was to provide a forum for the exchange of information about the state-of-the-practice and state-of-the-art in bridge management support systems between practitioners and researchers in all levels of the public and private sector. This publication contains papers on innovative inspection and data collection, condition deterioration models, user and life-cycle cost factors, approaches to bridge management, optimization and decision support, system components and implementation issues, project and network level activities, user demonstrations of bridge management software, long-term planning and asset management, and load rating and permit vehicle routing. These papers have not been subjected to the TRB peer review process.
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