Berkeley Transportation Systems, Inc.



Integration of WIM Data into an Archived Data User Service

Integration Examples

North American Travel Monitoring Exposition and Conference Seattle Sheraton Hotel, Seattle, WA June 23, 2010

Dr. Karl Petty, David Parsons, Tiffany Barkley, Bill Morris Berkeley Transportation Systems, Inc.



Overview

- Weight-in-Motion data is a rich, valuable source of traffic information
- Historically the ADMS's have been driven by ITS sensors from freeway operations
- There are a number of uses of this data and incorporation into an ADMS would be beneficial to a DOT
- Talk agenda:
 - California Freeway Performance Measurement System (PeMS)
 - Integrating WIM Data into PeMS
 - Using WIM Data in PeMS
 - WIM Reports and Visualizations
 - Application to MEPDG
 - Next Steps



What is PeMS?

- Real-time Archive Data Management System (rt-ADMS)
- PeMS collects many types of detailed, raw data in real-time and in batch mode – primarily freeway operations data
- It processes the data in real-time:
- Diagnostics
- Imputation for missing values
- Aggregations
- Fusing of different sources
- Computes many performance measures (travel time, delay, etc).
- Large # of tools to plot, chart, etc.
- Stores raw data forever
- Caltrans deployment:
- Has 32,000 sensors reporting every 30 seconds
- Over 19,000 census stations
- Started in 1999, ~12TB of data



Berkeley Transporta

Data Flows

- The WIM data flows through the Infotek system automatically
- WIM data is pulled from all the stations every night
- Receiving data from 184 stations
- Started in Spring of 2008
- PeMS paradigm: store raw data in database forever



Integrating WIM data into PeMS

- Format is compatible with FHWA's Traffic Monitoring Guide (TMG)
- PeMS stores raw records as well as aggregates
- Raw data is processed to compute individual vehicle measures
- These are then aggregated up over time
- Stored at the per-station level
- Various reports on built on top of this





WIM Data in PeMS

- Table shows the number of WIM stations
- Grouped by District
 - 12 Districts in Caltrans
- Total of 184 individual stations
- Users can drill in here to the region that they want
- Or they can jump to the map...

Caltrans PeMS > State of Califor	nia >	Facilities & Devices > I	ield Elem	ents > Su	ımmai	ry - Moz	zilla Fir	efox			X
<u>Eile Edit View History Bookman</u>	rks <u>T</u>	ools <u>H</u> elp									
M Inbox (2) - karl@bt-sy 🗵 📑	Berkel	ley Transportatio 🗵 🛛	🐨 PeMS Co	orridor Mo	dule	. 🛛 🖪	Caltra	ans PeMS	6 > St	× +	-
PeMS 10.3 Home	e Fee	edback Account (<u>pettyk</u>)	Help Lo	gout 🗌			All		: 🗸	Search) ^
State of California											
Eureka O Redding		Overview 🔻 🛛 Facilities &	Devices 🔻	Performar	nce 🔻	Data Q	uality 🔻	Events 🔻	Tools 🔻		-
Chico Reno Nevada	1	Facilities & Devices	s > Field	Elemer	nts >	Sumn	nary ,	ABOUT 1	'HIS REPOR	T	
Yuba Cityo OCarson City Santa Rosa O OSacramento		Group By: District 💌	Element	Type: Tr	uck Wei	ights	*	н	ide Empty	Rows	
San OStockton		Traffic Counters - Tru	ck Weight:	5							
San Jose	St Ge					5	Substati	ons			
Fresno ovisalia Las Ve	egas	District	Stations	Mainline	ноу	On Ramp	Οπ Ramp	Fwy-Fwy	Coll/Dist	Total	
Santa Maria O Lancaster	Bullh	01 - Northwest	3	6	0	0	0	0	0	6	
Lompoc Santa Clarita	2	02 - Northeast	6	12	0	0	0	0	0	12	
Los Angeles Ottemet	uma -	03 - North Central	11	22	0	0	0	0	0	22	=
Chap data 22010 Europa Technologias, Good	P	04 - Bay Area	13	26	0	0	0	0	0	26	_
		05 - Central Coast	4	8	0	0	0	0	0	8	
State Attributes		06 - South Central	6	12	0	0	0	0	0	12	
Controllers 5	5.778	07 - LA/Ventura	10	20	0	0	0	0	0	20	
Stations 12	2,351	08 - San Bernardine /Piverside	15	30	0	0	0	0	0	30	
Detectors 31	,983	09 - Eastern Sierra	1	2	Π	Ο	0	0	0	2	
Quick Links		10 - Central	- 9	18	n	0	0	0	0	18	
Jump to a district:		11 - San	10	20	0	- 0	0	0	0	20	
Assess vapauts valated to a district in the	~	Diego/Imperial			_	_	_	_	-		
state.		12 - Orange County	4	8	0	0	0	0	0	8	
		Totals	92	184	0	0	0	0	0	184	
		Related Field Elements Config Timeseries	Reports: S	ummary •	Contro	ollers • 9	ations	• Census	Stations •		
											_
Conditions of Use											V
		1111							J		



WIM Data in PeMS: Maps

- Users search for WIM stations on a map and jump to truck weight reports
- Inventory Widget shows months and years for which a station has truck weight data
 - Stations don't always report continuously like ITS stations
 - Finding data in time is important





WIM Reports: Timeseries

- Here, we are plotting:
 - Daily Volumes and Average Weight
 - Class 9 (5 Axle ST) only
 - All Lanes
 - I-5 S South of Stockton
- Peak volumes on Tuesdays and peak weights on Saturdays
- Can also plot monthly volumes to view seasonal variations in truck traffic measures
- Plots average: volumes, speeds, weights, lengths, wheelbases over time.





WIM Reports: Histogram

- Plots the distribution of length and width quantities by vehicle class.
- Here, we are plotting the distribution of vehicle weights for Class 9 vehicles in the right-lane (lane 3)
- Can also plot single axle, tandem axle, etc, weights
- Application: Axle Group load distribution required for Load Spectra analysis



WIM Reports: Axle Groups

Ρ M

- Tabular view of axle group information by vehicle class
 - Axle Groups/Vehicle
 - Axle Group Count
 - Weight/Axle Group
 - Average Axle Spacing
- PeMS assigns axle groups from inter-axle spacing information in the raw WIM data
- Here, we are viewing Average Weight per Axle Group in the right-most lane of WB I-210 east of Pasadena

🚭 Caltrans PeMS > Mainline	Census Stati 🔯 🏼 색	Caltrans PeMS > Ma	inline Censu	is 🔀 🛛 +					-
PeMS 10.3		Home Feedbac	k Accoun	t (<u>tbarkleγ</u>)	Help Log	jout		All 🔽	Search 🔷
Mainline Census S	Station 79050								
		Volumes 🔻 Ve	hicle Classi	fication 🔻 👘	Truck Weight	s 🔻 Modelii	ng 🔻 🗛DT/Pea	k Hour - Events 🔻	
					in doit in origina				
W Baseline Rd	(Truck Woid		lo Croun	6				
4-1		TTUCK WEIGI			S ABOU	I THIS REPOR	CI		
		From	2000	То	h	2000	Time of	Day	
·		rep 🔽 T 💌	2009 💌	FE	:D 🔽 28 💌	2009		100 👽 to 00:59 👽	
1 Fwy 210	Footh	Include Davs					0 00		
		⊻Su ⊻Mo ⊻	Tu 🗹 We 🛙	🗹 Th 🗹 Fr 🛙	🛛 Sa 🗹 Holi	days			
		Direction		La	ne	Quantity			
		Eastbound 💌		5	~	Weight/A	xle Group 👱		
1000		III VIEW TABLE	EXPORT TEXT	EXPORT to	.8LS				
Google				Weight/A	xle Group			Quantitu	
1210-E @ CA PM R	41.594	Vehicle Class	Single	Tandem	Tridem	Quad	Vehicle Count	Ava Avla Chaci	na 😽
District 7, Los Ange	eles	2: Cars	3,219.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	696	Avg Are opaci	ig j
City of Azusa		3: 2 Axle, 4T SU	3,241.69	2,811.00	2,902.67	0.00	5,783	Axle Groups/Ve	ehicle
Aliases: None		4: Bus	11,160.80	22,704.15	0.00	0.00	1,726	Axle Group Co	unt
Substations		5: 2 AXIE,61 SU	0,450,70	5,747.85	0.00	0.00	19,246	Weight/Axle Gr	quo
Leg A - 1210-W	*	7: 4+ Axle SU	13.237.81	28,586,49	37.357.70	0.00	268	Ava Axle Spaci	na
County/State PM	LA R41.594	8: < 4 Axle ST	8,614.82	12,741.01	0.00	0.00	4,710	<u>[].</u>	- 2
Assoc. VDS	717688	9: 5 Axle ST	9,217.13	19,449.74	18,960.00	0.00	58,586		
Sensor Type	Hydraulic	10: 6+ Axle ST	9,809.32	23,445.97	25,297.35	0.00	266		
Retrieval Method	Automated	11: < 5 Axle MT	8,067.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	6,434		
SHRP Site ID	Unknown	12: 6 Axle MT	8,098.36	14,646.23	0.00	0.00	1,403		
HPMS Sample ID	Unknown	13: 7+ Axle MT	11,032.14	31,650.37	29,069.29	18,592.00	126		
Traffic Volumes		14: User-Def	8,467.02	19,103.39	0.00	0.00	1,462		
Vehicle Classification		15: Unknown	6,064.73	17,640.26	13,249.51	22,691.26	1,597		
Truck Weights		All Venicles	8,001.28	19,145.59	18,502.01	22,281.33	107,227		
Data Inventory		Related Truck	Weights R	eports: Time	eseries • Axl	e Groups •	Histogram • ESA	L • Class Stats	



WIM Reports: ESAL

- Based on formulas in the 1993 AASHTO design guide
- Table shows:
 - Flexible and Rigid pavement
 - LEF: Load Equivalency Factors
 - ESAL: cumulative Equivalent Single Axle Load
 - Uses common parameters
- LEF=ratio of the damage per pass of a particular axle group to that of a single axle group with an 18,000 lb load
- ESAL=sum of LEFS over all vehicles in a given time period
- Application: Supports the most common analysis approach of estimating pavement damage with ESALs

ensus Station 1	03490 > Truck W	eights >	ESAL - Mo	zilla Fire	fox						
s <u>T</u> ools <u>H</u> elp											
bttp://pems	dot ca gov/2report for	m—18/dpor	le-tmas&co	otent-tma	trucke8tab	-trog wire	ecal&evpor	t-84 5	-	bome	
- ncp.//pens.	doc.ca.gov/sreport_ron	m—1601100	ue—angsaco	icenc—citig	u ucrosscab-		_esalotexpoi	(-01 M		npins	
Stati 🔝 🏼 🐴	Caltrans PeM5 > Ma	inline Ce	nsus 🔀	+							
	Home Feedbac	k Acci	ount (tbar	(lev) H	elp Loa	out			All		 Search
100 400											
on 103490											
	Volumes 👻 Ve	hicle Cla	assification	▼ True	k Weights	👻 Moo	deling 👻	AADT/P	eak Hour	Events •	Tools ▼
	Truck Weigh	ts > 1	ESAL -	ABOUT	THIS REDC	DRT					
	Truck Heigi	105 / 1		ABOUT	THIS IS IS	215.1					
	From	2000		To	20 00	2009		Time	of Day		
4010		2008		NUV	30	2008		Ő	00:00 👽	to 00:59 💙	
4014	Include Davs							0	00.00	00.00	
	Su Mo 🗹	Tu 🗹 w	e 🗹 Th 🖸	Fr 🗹 S	a 🗹 Holid	days					
	Direction							L	ane		
	Northbound 💌								3 💌		
()	III VIEW TABLE	EXPORT :	TEXT 🔚 EX	PORT to .XLS							
		Fle	x LEF	Flex	ESAL	Rigic	LEF	Rigid	ESAL		
	Vehicle Class	pt=3.0	pt=2.85	pt=3.0	pt=2.85					Count	
ata ©2010 Google	2: Care	sn=6.0	sn=4.75	sn=6.0	sn=4.75	pt=3.0	pt=2.85	pt=3.0	pt=2.85	1.460	
	2: Cars 3: 2 Axle: 4T SU	0.00	0.00	92	29	0.00	0.00	24	24	7,773	
				C							
	4: Bus	0.62	0.64	241	250	0.69	0.69	268	268	391	
	4: Bus 5: 2 Axle,6T SU	0.62	0.64	241 2,389	250 2,550	0.69	0.69	268 2,367	268 2,367	391 16,516	
	4: Bus 5: 2 Axle,6T SU 6: 3 Axle SU	0.62 0.14 0.21	0.64	241 2,389 812	250 2,550 902	0.69	0.69	268 2,367 1,144	268 2,367 1,144	391 16,516 3,930	
~	4: Bus 5: 2 Axle,6T SU 6: 3 Axle SU 7: 4+ Axle SU	0.62 0.14 0.21 1.35	0.64 0.15 0.23 1.42	241 2,389 812 138	250 2,550 902 145	0.69 0.14 0.29 1.78	0.69 0.14 0.29 1.78	268 2,367 1,144 182	268 2,367 1,144 182	391 16,516 3,930 102	
× A 8.693	4: Bus 5: 2 Axle,6T SU 6: 3 Axle SU 7: 4+ Axle SU 8: < 4 Axle ST 9: 5 Axle ST	0.62 0.14 0.21 1.35 0.29	0.60 0.64 0.15 0.23 1.42 0.32	241 2,389 812 138 1,608 45 889	250 2,550 902 145 1,769	0.69 0.14 0.29 1.78 0.31	0.69 0.14 0.29 1.78 0.31 1.01	268 2,367 1,144 182 1,697 72 949	268 2,367 1,144 182 1,697 72 954	391 16,516 3,930 102 5,539 72 393	
₩ A 8.693 ne	4: Bus 5: 2 Axle,6T SU 6: 3 Axle SU 7: 4+ Axle SU 8: < 4 Axle ST 9: 5 Axle ST 10: 6+ Axle ST	0.62 0.14 0.21 1.35 0.29 0.63 0.39	0.64 0.15 0.23 1.42 0.32 0.69 0.44	241 2,389 812 138 1,608 45,889 110	250 2,550 902 145 1,769 50,249 124	0.69 0.14 0.29 1.78 0.31 1.01 0.71	0.30 0.69 0.14 0.29 1.78 0.31 1.01 0.71	268 2,367 1,144 182 1,697 72,949 199	268 2,367 1,144 182 1,697 72,954 199	391 16,516 3,930 102 5,539 72,393 281	
A 8.693 ne draulic	4: Bus 5: 2 Axle,6T SU 6: 3 Axle SU 7: 4+ Axle SU 8: < 4 Axle ST 9: 5 Axle ST 10: 6+ Axle ST 11: < 5 Axle MT	0.62 0.14 0.21 1.35 0.29 0.63 0.39 0.96	0.68 0.64 0.15 0.23 1.42 0.32 0.69 0.44 1.02	241 2,389 812 138 1,608 45,889 110 8,974	250 2,550 902 145 1,769 50,249 124 9,567	0.69 0.14 0.29 1.78 0.31 1.01 0.71 0.92	0.38 0.69 0.14 0.29 1.78 0.31 1.01 0.71 0.92	268 2,367 1,144 182 1,697 72,949 199 8,646	268 2,367 1,144 182 1,697 72,954 199 8,644	391 16,516 3,930 102 5,539 72,393 281 9,382	
A 8.693 ne draulic tomated	4: Bus 5: 2 Axle,6T SU 6: 3 Axle SU 7: 4+ Axle SU 8: < 4 Axle ST 9: 5 Axle ST 10: 6+ Axle ST 11: < 5 Axle MT 12: 6 Axle MT	0.62 0.14 0.21 1.35 0.29 0.63 0.39 0.96 0.52	0.68 0.64 0.15 0.23 1.42 0.32 0.69 0.44 1.02 0.58	241 2,389 812 138 1,608 45,889 110 8,974 597	250 2,550 902 145 1,769 50,249 124 9,567 670	0.69 0.14 0.29 1.78 0.31 1.01 0.71 0.92 0.54	0.69 0.14 0.29 1.78 0.31 1.01 0.71 0.92 0.54	268 2,367 1,144 182 1,697 72,949 199 8,646 629	268 2,367 1,144 182 1,697 72,954 199 8,644 628	391 16,516 3,930 102 5,539 72,393 281 9,382 1,159	
A 8.693 ne draulic tomated known	4: Bus 5: 2 Axle,6T SU 6: 3 Axle SU 7: 4+ Axle SU 8: < 4 Axle ST 9: 5 Axle ST 10: 6+ Axle ST 11: < 5 Axle MT 12: 6 Axle MT 13: 7+ Axle MT	0.62 0.14 0.21 1.35 0.29 0.63 0.39 0.96 0.52 1.15	0.64 0.15 0.23 1.42 0.32 0.69 0.44 1.02 0.58 1.13	241 2,389 812 138 1,608 45,889 110 8,974 597 35	250 2,550 902 145 1,769 50,249 124 9,567 670 34	0.69 0.14 0.29 1.78 0.31 1.01 0.71 0.92 0.54 2.33	0.69 0.14 0.29 1.78 0.31 1.01 0.71 0.92 0.54 2.35	268 2,367 1,144 182 1,697 72,949 199 8,646 629 70	268 2,367 1,144 182 1,697 72,954 199 8,644 628 71	391 16,516 3,930 102 5,539 72,393 281 9,382 1,159 30	
A 8.693 ne draulic tomated known known	4: Bus 5: 2 Axle,6T SU 6: 3 Axle SU 7: 44 Axle SU 8: < 4 Axle ST 9: 5 Axle ST 10: 64 Axle ST 11: < 5 Axle MT 12: 6 Axle MT 13: 74 Axle MT 14: User-Def 15: Ulayawa	0.62 0.14 0.21 1.35 0.29 0.63 0.39 0.96 0.52 1.15 0.35	0.66 0.65 0.23 1.42 0.32 0.69 0.44 1.02 0.58 1.13 0.38 0.29	241 2,389 812 138 1,608 45,889 110 8,974 597 35 8,96 257	250 2,550 902 145 1,769 50,249 124 9,567 670 34 904 271	0.60 0.69 0.14 0.29 1.78 0.31 1.01 0.71 0.92 0.54 2.33 0.44	0.69 0.69 0.29 1.78 0.31 1.01 0.71 0.92 0.54 2.35 0.44	268 2,367 1,144 1,697 72,949 199 8,646 629 70 1,028 381	268 2,367 1,144 182 1,697 72,954 199 8,644 628 71 1,027 389	391 16,516 3,930 102 5,539 72,393 281 9,382 1,159 30 2,356 954	
A 8.693 ne draulic tomated known known	4: Bus 5: 2 Axle,6T SU 6: 3 Axle SU 7: 4+ Axle SU 8: < 4 Axle ST 9: 5 Axle ST 10: 6+ Axle ST 11: < 5 Axle MT 12: 6 Axle MT 13: 7+ Axle MT 14: User-Def 15: Unknown All Vehicles	0.62 0.14 0.21 1.35 0.29 0.63 0.39 0.96 0.52 1.15 0.35 0.27 0.51	0.64 0.64 0.15 0.23 1.42 0.32 0.69 0.44 1.02 0.58 1.13 0.38 0.28 0.28	241 2,389 812 1,608 45,889 110 8,974 597 35 836 257 61,913	250 2,550 902 145 1,769 50,249 124 9,567 670 34 904 271 67,467	0.69 0.14 0.29 1.78 0.31 1.01 0.71 0.92 0.54 2.33 0.44 0.40 0.73	0.69 0.14 0.29 1.78 0.31 1.01 0.71 0.71 0.54 2.35 0.54 0.44 0.40 0.73	268 2,367 1,144 182 1,697 72,949 199 8,646 629 70 1,028 381 89,588	268 2,367 1,144 182 1,697 72,954 199 8,644 628 71 1,027 382 89,590	391 16,516 3,930 102 5,539 72,393 281 9,382 1,159 30 2,356 954 122,266	
¥ 8.693 ne draulic tomsted known known	4: Bus 5: 2 Axle,6T SU 6: 3 Axle SU 7: 4+ Axle SU 8: < 4 Axle ST 9: 5 Axle ST 10: 6+ Axle ST 11: < 5 Axle MT 12: 6 Axle MT 13: 7+ Axle MT 14: User-Def 15: Unknown All Vehicles	0.62 0.14 0.21 1.35 0.29 0.63 0.39 0.96 0.52 1.15 0.35 0.27 0.51	0.88 0.64 0.15 0.23 1.42 0.32 0.69 0.44 1.02 0.58 1.13 0.28 0.28 0.28	241 2,389 812 138 1,608 45,889 110 8,974 597 35 836 257 61,913	250 2,550 902 1,769 50,249 124 9,567 670 34 904 271 67,467	0.68 0.69 0.14 0.29 1.78 0.31 1.01 0.71 0.71 0.72 0.54 2.33 0.44 0.40 0.73	0.69 0.14 0.29 1.78 0.31 1.01 0.71 0.92 0.54 2.35 0.44 0.44 0.40 0.73	268 2,367 1,144 182 1,697 72,949 199 8,646 629 70 1,028 381 89,588	268 2,367 1,144 182 1,697 72,954 199 8,644 628 71 1,027 382 89,590	391 16,516 3,930 102 5,539 72,393 281 9,382 1,159 30 2,356 954 122,266	
A 8.693 ne draulic tomated known known	4: Bus 5: 2 Axle,6T SU 6: 3 Axle SU 7: 44 Axle SU 8: < 4 Axle ST 9: 5 Axle ST 10: 64 Axle ST 11: < 5 Axle MT 12: 6 Axle MT 13: 7+ Axle MT 14: User-Def 15: Unknown All Vehicles Related Truck	0.62 0.14 0.21 1.35 0.29 0.63 0.39 0.96 0.52 1.15 0.35 0.27 0.51 Weights	0.644 0.155 0.23 1.42 0.32 0.69 0.444 1.02 0.58 1.13 0.38 0.28 0.28 0.55 5 Reports	241 241 2,389 812 138 45,889 110 8,974 597 35 836 257 61,913	250 2,550 902 145 1,769 50,249 124 9,567 670 34 904 271 67,467 ries • Axle	0.69 0.14 0.29 1.78 0.31 1.01 0.71 0.92 0.54 2.33 0.44 0.40 0.73 9 Groups	0.69 0.14 0.29 1.78 0.31 1.01 0.71 0.92 0.54 2.35 0.54 2.35 0.44 0.40 0.73	268 2,367 1,144 182 1,697 72,949 199 8,646 629 700 1,028 381 89,588 ram • E	268 2,367 1,144 182 1,697 72,954 199 8,644 628 71 1,027 382 89,590 SAL • Class	391 16,516 3,930 102 5,539 72,393 281 9,382 9,382 30 2,356 954 122,266 ss Stats	
A 8.693 ne draulic tomated known known	4: Bus 5: 2 Axle,6T SU 6: 3 Axle SU 7: 44 Axle SU 8: < 4 Axle ST 9: 5 Axle ST 10: 64 Axle ST 11: < 5 Axle MT 12: 6 Axle MT 13: 74 Axle MT 14: User-Def 15: Unknown All Vehicles Related Truck	0.62 0.14 0.21 1.35 0.29 0.63 0.39 0.96 0.52 1.15 0.35 0.27 0.51 Weights	0.64 0.63 0.23 1.42 0.32 0.69 0.44 1.02 0.58 1.13 0.38 0.28 0.55 5 Reports	241 241 2,389 812 138 45,889 110 8,974 597 355 836 257 61,913 . Timese	250 2500 902 145 1,769 50,249 124 9,567 670 34 9,04 271 67,467 ies • Axle	0.69 0.69 0.14 0.29 1.78 0.31 1.01 0.71 0.92 0.54 2.33 0.44 0.40 0.73 9 Groups	0.69 0.69 0.14 0.29 1.78 0.31 1.01 0.71 0.71 0.92 0.54 2.35 0.44 0.40 0.73 • Histoc	268 2,367 1,144 182 1,697 72,949 199 8,646 629 70 1,028 381 89,588	268 2,367 1,144 182 1,697 72,954 199 8,644 628 71 1,027 382 89,590 SAL • Class	391 391 16,516 3,930 102 5,539 72,393 281 9,382 1,159 30 2,356 954 122,266 ss Stats	
A 8.693 ne draulic tomated known known S O N D	4: Bus 5: 2 Axle,6T SU 6: 3 Axle SU 7: 44 Axle SU 8: < 4 Axle ST 9: 5 Axle ST 10: 6+ Axle ST 11: < 5 Axle MT 12: 6 Axle MT 13: 7+ Axle MT 14: User-Def 15: Unknown All Vehicles Related Truck	0.62 0.14 0.21 1.35 0.29 0.63 0.39 0.96 0.52 1.35 0.27 0.51 Weights	0.64 0.15 0.23 1.42 0.32 0.69 0.44 1.02 0.58 1.13 0.38 0.28 0.55	241 241 2,389 812 1,88 45,897 110 8,974 597 35 8,974 597 35 8,974 597 35 8,974 597 35 8,974 597 35 8,974 597 35 8,974 597 35 8,974 597 35 8,974 597 35 8,974 597 35 8,974 597 35 8,974 597 35 8,974 597 35 8,974 597 35 8,974 597 35 8,974 597 35 8,974 597 35 8,974 597 35 8,974 5,974 5,9777 5,977 5,9777 5,9777 5,9777 5,9777 5,9777 5,9777 5,97777 5,97777 5,97777 5,97777777 5,97777777777	250 2500 902 145 1,769 50,249 124 9,567 670 34 9,04 271 67,467 ies • Axle	0.69 0.69 0.14 0.29 1.78 0.31 1.01 0.71 0.71 0.54 2.33 0.44 0.40 0.73	0.69 0.69 0.14 0.29 1.78 0.31 1.01 0.71 0.71 0.92 0.54 2.35 0.44 0.40 0.73	268 2,367 1,144 182 1,697 72,949 199 8,646 629 70 1,028 381 89,588 ram • Et	268 2,367 1,144 1,697 72,954 199 8,644 628 71 1,027 382 89,590 5AL • Class	391 391 16,516 3,930 102 5,539 72,393 281 9,382 1,159 300 2,356 954 122,266 ss Stats	
	ensus Station 1 s Iools Help f http://pems. Stati @ 4 on 103490 for for for for for for for state and for the state of	ensus Station 103490 > Truck W s Jools Help thtp://pems.dot.ca.gov/?report_for Stati	ensus Station 103490 > Truck Weights > s Iools Help	ensus Station 103490 > Truck Weights > ESAL - Mo s ⊥ools Help http://pems.dot.ca.gov/?report_form=1&dnode=tmgs&cor Stati	ensus Station 103490 > Truck Weights > ESAL - Mozilla Fire s Iools Help The http://pems.dot.ca.gov/?report_form=18dnode=tmgs8content=tmg_ Stati	ensus Station 103490 > Truck Weights > ESAL - Mozilla Firefox s [ools]delp	ensus Station 103490 > Truck Weights > ESAL - Mozilla Firefox s [ools]delp	ensus Station 103490 > Truck Weights > ESAL - Mozilla Firefox s [ools]telp	ensus Station 103490 > Truck Weights > ESAL - Mozilla Firefox s	ensus Station 103490 > Truck Weights > ESAL - Mozilla Firefox s _ lools _ Help	ensus Station 103490 > Truck Weights > ESAL - Mozilla Firefox s [ools]Eelp Inttp://pems.dot.ca.gov/?report_form=1&dnode=tmgs&content=tmg_trucks&tab=tmg_wim_esal&export=&t ??



WIM Reports: Class Stats

- Class Stats Reports compare the distributions of quantities across all vehicle classes.
- Here, we are plotting vehicle weight distributions by class in Fremont, CA.
- Boxes extend from 25th percentile value to the 75th percentile value
- Whiskers: min and max point within 1.5 * IQR
- Outliers are little dots
- Can plot:
 - Vehicle Length
 - Vehicle Weight
 - Speed
 - Axle Count
 - Total Vehicles



Application: Supply data for MEPDG

- ADMS reports can support MEPDG software data needs
- Mechanistic-Empirical Pavement Design Guide (MEPDG) is the new recommended standard for pavement analysis.
 - Considers load spectra instead of ESALS
 - Reflects improved ability to characterize traffic
- Implication: More intensive data inputs required from designers
- ADMS can assist with this

MEPDG Input	ADMS Support
Average Annual Daily Truck Traffic (AADTT)	Sum of Monthly Timeseries volumes for all truck classes
Annual Truck Distribution Spectra	Sum of Monthly Timeseries volumes within each vehicle class
Monthly Distribution Factors	Monthly Timeseries volumes for all truck classes
Time of Day Distribution Factors	Hourly Timeseries volumes for all truck classes
Axle Load Spectra	Histogram of Weight by Axle Group



Application: WIM data in delay cost calculations

- Lane closure requests require estimation of delay due to late pickup
- Historically spreadsheet driven (a few different formats)

-	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н		J
6	Caculated	by: Rhodel	DeClaro						Date :	Mar 7, 2009
7										
8	Dir	County	Route	Prefix	Postmile	Closure	е Туре	Pr	oject Opera	tion
9	EB	SJ	088		14.000	Multi-Lane	Closure	Pave	ement Rehabil	itation
10						-				
11	Locatio	on Descri	iption	Chart No.	Count Date	Remarks				
12	In Ione-N	Aartell Cut-c	off to Begin Passir	1	05/25/06	None				
13										
14	(VOLUN	AE FACTOR TAB	LE	COS	ST FACTOR TABL	E	THROUG	HPUT CAPAC	ITY TABLE
15			Diversion:	0%		Cost per Truck:	\$24/veh-hr	Existing Nu	mber of Lanes:	2
16		Truck	Volume Percent:	5.50%	Lostp	er Passenger Car:	\$97ven-hr	Number of	Lanes Upened:	1
17		Passe	nger Car Percent:	94.5%	Cos	t for Mixed Traffic:	\$10/veh-hr	1	Lane Capacity:	600 veh/hr
18	Equival	lent Passen	ger Car per Truck:	1.5						
19										
20										
21				Cum	ulative Demand	l vs. Cumulative	e Capacity			
22										000
23										
24										
25										
26										
27										
28	Ē									
29	- P								2	405
30	~									
31							/			
32									1	200
33						1009				
34						600				
35		1								
36		3			N				3	
37		700			700				700	
38		20 Q			9 X				xr 7.	
39		5			7				7	
40					Tim	ie		Cumula	tive Demand	
41								-+- Cumula	tive Capacity	,
42			Demand	Adjusted	Cumulative	Cumulative	Queue	Queue	Tabl	Individual
40	Day	- Time	Uemand (veh/hr)	Demand	Demand	Capacity	Backup	Length	(veh-hr)	Delay
43	Thu	5-00 AM		(veh/hr)	(veh)	[veh]	(veh)	(mile)		(minutes)
44	Thu	6-00 AM	982	1009	1009	003	409	0.97	205	41
45	Thu	7-00 AM	1359	1396	2405	1200	1205	2.85	807	121
46		1.99 APR	1000	1000	2100	1200	1200	2.00		161
47										
48			FLAY&COST SH							
49		Total Delaw		05 + 807) uebebr -	1.012 ueb-br					
50	Total	rokar Deray: Dalau Co⇔t	نام ماهار (1012) (1012)	00 + 00 r j ven-hr =	49.940			DAMA	E - none d	oee not
51	Dolon Coo	> Dor How	(1012 ven-hr)	(\$9940) J (2 E-) -	\$3,340 \$4,970	_		exceed 9	se = none, d 6000/br reg	uirement
52	Leiag COS	an er nour :		(#3340)r(2 hr)=	\$4,310			CALCOU ?	sooonn req	anoment

			EA : 11-3	XXXXXX			
	D	irection/RT	E/PM: NB	/SD-5/R58.7	6/R60.96		
		Operat	tion: Mult	tilane Closu	ire		
F Percent Pa: Number of L Number o	Percent Truck: ssenger Cars: anes Existing: if Lanes Open:	2.6% 97.4% 3 Lanes 1 Lanes		Co Cost per Pa Cost for Mixed Single-La Open-La	ost per Truck: Issenger Car: Flow Traffic: ane Capacity: ane Capacity:	\$28/¥eh-Hr \$12/¥eh-Hr \$12/¥eh-Hr 1500 ¥eh/Hr 1500 ¥eh/Hr	
Time	Demand (¥eh)	Cumulative Demand (¥eh)	Cumulative Capacity (¥eh)	Difference (Yeh)	Area (¥eh-Hr)	Queue Length (mile)	Indiv. Delay (minutes)
4-5 AM 5-6AM	3010	3010	1500	1510	755	2.4	60
6-7 AM	5089	8099	3000	5099	3304.5	8.0	204
je 6000	1						1
A 500 400 300 2000 100	0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 		5	-6AM		6-	7 AM
A \$ 5000 4000 3000 2000 1000	0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 -		5- Dema	-6AM Time nd ■Capaci	ty	6-	7 AM
A \$ 5000 4000 3000 2000 1000 4	0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 -	Max. Indiv Vehicle D Total Cc Delay (idual Delay: belay Hours: best of Delay: Cost/10min:	-6AM Time nd Capaci 204 minutes 4,060 veh-hr \$48,447 \$4,037	ty	6-	7 AM

Berkeley Transpo

WIM & Delay

- PeMS automates the development of these charts
- Leverages data from an ITS station for input flow
- Typically needed to estimate the truck % (or look it up in a table)
- Can use WIM data from adjacent stations directly

2 Hour Delay, Average Cost, 2 Hour delay cost





Application: Regional differences in truck weights

- Example: Are trucks heavier leaving Los Angeles to the north or to the east?
- Using PeMS plots of WIM data, we can compare load spectras by vehicle class across the state.
- We can use WIM data from these station on I-5N north of LA and I-10E east of LA to answer this question





Application: Regional Differences in truck weights

- Plot vehicle weights for Class 9 over an entire year
 - April 2008 Mar 2009
- Top plot shows I5-North, bottom plot shows I10-East.
- Vehicle weights look higher north of LA.
- Can export to .XLS for further analysis





© 2010 Berkeley Transportation Systems, Inc.



Application: Regional Differences in truck weights

- Plotting weight bins by percentage of total confirms that truck weights are lighter leaving LA to the east than to the north.
- Trend is the same for Vehicle Class 12!
- **Conclusion**: Trucks leaving LA to the north are heavier
- Reason?
- We can speculate wildly:
 - Trucks going north on I-5 are leaving LA full?
 - Trucks going on EB I-10 are returning to Mexico empty?



Vehicle Class 9





- Flip previous example around:
 - Instead of looking at the cost for delay due to a closure, look at the cost to trucks that delay is causing
- **Example:** We want to figure out the average weekday delay cost to trucks on I-5 N in Orange County (a major freight corridor).
- Steps:
 - 1. Use WIM data to find the weekday truck volumes by hour
 - 2. Use loops to find the weekday delay by hour along the route
 - 3. Use cost factor to calculate weekday cost of delay to trucks



- Here, we are plotting the average weekday truck volume by hour of the day at a WIM station along the route.
- Peak volumes are between the hours of 9:00 AM and 3:00 PM







- Congestion data
- Here, we are plotting the average delay (in vehhours) along the entire 40 mile route, based on loop detector data (not WIM data)
- Delay peaks at 8:00 AM and between 4:00 PM and 7:00 PM
- From previous slide, we can see that most trucks travel in the off-peak hours.



- We assume that a truckhour of delay=\$28.70
- Results: Average weekday truck delay cost=\$7,200 on this corridor (\$144,000 per month!)
- Chart shows that, even though more trucks travel in the midday period, the most cost is incurred during the PM peak.
- Definite argument for demand shifting for trucks
- Can be shared with partners to assist with goods movement strategies





Next Steps

- Adjusting congestion reports by average truck volumes
- Associating truck volumes with incident delays
- Supply information for Pavement Management Programs
- Hourly and Monthly Adjustment Factors by vehicle class
- Error reports that detail violations
- Export files that can be directly imported into MEPDG and other design software (better organization of reports)

Berkeley Transportation Systems, Inc.



Questions