Planning and Operations Data Integration:

State of the Art Tools

presented to
NATMEC 2010

presented by Cambridge Systematics, Inc. Anita Vandervalk, P.E.



June 22, 2010





Outline

- Planning and Operations Data Integration What's New
- Examples of applications
 - » Integrated Corridor Management
 - » Transportation System Management and Operations (TSM&O)

Examples of tools

- » Analytic
- » Integration and Display





National Driving Factors

- Congestion Capacity investments are not cutting it
- Reliability
- Safety
- DOTs need to be accountable to the public
- Private sector
- Need for interagency corporation

Federal policy







What's New?

FHWA

- » ICM, ATM, TSM&O, Planning for Operations
- » Reauthorization
- » HPMS, TMG Update





Why Link Planning and Operations at State DOTs?

Roles and Benefits for Planning

- » Long range transportation plan
- » State Transportation Improvement Plan (STIP)
- » Corridor/regional/modal studies
- » Congestion and Performance Modeling
- » Utilize O&M data for planning purposes
- » Include O&M strategies in planning process





Why Link Planning and Operations at State DOTs? cont.

Benefits for Operations

- » Monitor and optimize operation and safety of the transportation system
 - Customer focused
 - Collect and utilize data to manage system
- » Shape the transportation plans for future to include O&M

Benefits for System Users

- » Improved ability to address short and long-term needs
- » Improved reliability
- » Improved emergency preparedness

IMPROVED SYSTEM PERFORMANCE





Framework for an Objectives-Driven, Performance-Based Approach





View the Guidebooks

FHWA Planning for Operations Web site

» <a>www.plan4operations.dot.gov



- Guidebook on the Congestion Management Process in Metropolitan Transportation Planning
 - » www.ops.fhwa.dot.gov/publications/cmpguidebook/cmpguidebook.pdf
- Management and Operations in the Metropolitan Transportation Plan – A Guidebook for Creating an Objectives-Driven, Performance-Based Approach
 - » www.ops.fhwa.dot.gov/publications/moguidebook/moguidebook.pdf





Integrated Corridor Management - Purpose

Invest in the right strategies

» A predictive capability to help determine which combinations of strategies are likely to be most effective

Invest with confidence

» Minimize conflicts or unintended consequences that would otherwise be unknowable before implementation

Improve the effectiveness/success of implementation

- » Help in building consensus among stakeholders
- » Optimize implementation staging





ICM - A Process for Continuous Improvement



Major ICM Analysis Efforts in the U.S.

USDOT ICM Program

- » Three Stage 2 AMS Sites (Minneapolis, San Diego, Dallas)
- Caltrans CSMP
 - » Twenty major corridors in California
 - Sacramento, SF Bay Area, Los Angeles region, Monterey/Santa Cruz, Orange County, San Diego, some Valley locations
- Atlanta GA
 - » Beltway and Radial Highways

New York



Buffalo and Mid-town Manhattan



Transportation System Management and Operations

⁶⁶Regional transportation systems management and operations (TSM&O) means an integrated program to optimize the performance of the existing infrastructure though implementation of multimodal, crossjurisdictional systems, services, and projects. These systems, services, and projects are designed to preserve capacity and improve security, safety, and reliability of transportation systems."

U.S. DOT Planning for Operations web site http://plan4operations.dot.gov/reg trans sys.htm





TSM&O Focus Areas Include

- Traffic incident management
- Traveler information systems (for roadways and transit)
- Regional traffic management centers
- Freeway management
- Regional traffic signal coordination
- Work zone traffic management
- Electronic payment
- Freight management







TSM&O A Paradigm Shift

- TSM&O is an organizational shift from transportation system outputs lane miles resurfaced) to mobility time reliability), that maximize the efficiency of the system
- TSM&O is a fundamental change in the way we solve the urban transportation problem









Purpose of the TSM&O Program - Florida

- Active Arterial Management
- Incident Management
- Performance Measurement







Initial Deployment Concept



SYSTEMATICS

Traffic Management Center







Travel Time Data Collection



SYSTEMATICS

Planning for Operations - Current Methods and Tools

- A. Sketch-planning tools
- B. Travel demand models
- C. Analytical/deterministic tools (HCM-based)
- D. Traffic signal optimization tools
- E. Simulation models
 - Macroscopic
 - Mesoscopic
 - Microscopic
- F. Archived operations data
- G. ...Plus many hybrid approaches







Planning for Operations - Current Methods A. Sketch Planning Tools

Provide quick order of magnitude estimates with minimal input data in support of preliminary screening assessments

Examples

- » Sketch
 - SCRITS
 - Quickzone
 - Turbo Architecture
 - Cal B/C
 - Simple spreadsheets
- » Hybrid
 - IDAS



28. Department of hangooteen	FHWA Home Feedback
Surface Transportation Efficiency Analysis Model (STEAM)	SCRITS
Screening for ITS (SCRITS)	
SCRITS (SCReening for ITS) is a spreadsheet analysis tool for estimating the user benefits of Intelligent Transportation Systems (or screening-level analysis tool to allow practitioners to obtain an initial indication of the possible benefits of various ITS applications analysis. For situations requiring greater accuracy, practitioners may wish to use more sophisticated tools such as simulation model being developed for FHWA. SCRITS was developed in response to the need for simplified estimates in the early stages of ITS-rel- either a focused ITS analysis, a corridor/subarea transportation study, or regional planning analysis.	TS). It is intended as a sketch-level . It is not intended for detailed s or the IDAS analysis tool currently ated planning, in the context of a
Download Excel Spreadsheet	

Download Users Guide (in PDF format; 52 KB)





Sketch Planning Tools

Advantages

Challenges

- Low cost
- Fast analysis times
- Limited data requirements
- View of the "big picture"

• Limited in scope, robustness, and presentation capabilities





Travel Demand Models

 Estimate the regional traffic impact of changes in travel demand or system capacity

- **Examples:**
 - » Regional Demand / Metropolitan Planning Organization Models
 - » IDAS







Travel Demand Models

Advantages

Challenges

- Validated models available for most metro areas
- Evaluation of the regional impacts
- Consistent with current planning practices

- Limited ability to analyze operational strategies
- High initial development costs





Analytical/Deterministic Tools

Analyze the performance (capacity, density, speed, delay, and queuing) for small segments of the transportation system based on Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) methods







Analytical/Deterministic Tools

Advantages

Challenges

- Quickly predict impacts for an isolated area
- Widely accepted

- Limited ability to analyze broader network impacts
- Limited performance measures





Traffic Signal Optimization Tools

- Analyze delay and identify optimum signal phasing and timing plans for isolated intersections or small signal systems
- Examples:
 - » PASSER
 - » TRANSYT-7F
 - » Synchro





Traffic Signal Optimization Tools

Advantages

•

- Effective tool for testing plans prior to field implementation
- Proven operational benefits

Challenges

- Limited to intersection
 Performance Measures
- No Freeway/Highway Capabilities





Traffic Simulation Models









Traffic Simulation Models

Macroscopic

» Simulation of flow, speed and density made on a segment by segment basis

Mesoscopic

» Dynamic estimation of individual vehicles based on average segment speeds

Microscopic

» Simulates detailed movement of individual vehicles throughout the network





Traffic Simulation Models

Advantages

- Detailed results, particularly microsimulation
- Dynamic analysis of incidents and real time diversion patterns
- Visual presentation opportunities

Challenges

- Demanding data and computing requirements, particularly microsimulation
- Resource requirements may limit network size and number of analysis scenarios
- Calibration can be time consuming





For More Information: <u>www.ops.fhwa.dot.gov/trafficanalysistools/</u>







AVID – CDOT Traffic Digital Dashboard

- Seamlessly <u>integrates</u> traffic data from a number of systems currently maintained by CDOT - TRADAS, TRANSYS, GIS, SAP, etc
 - into a single tool
- Allows traffic data to be <u>analyzed</u> and <u>visualized</u> using both standard components – Maps, Charts, Tables, News – and custom components – Short-Duration Counts, Bike/Pedestrian, FHWA
- Simplifies <u>dissemination</u> of data both within CDOT and to external traffic agencies
- Based on Business Process diagrams





Operational Areas

Functionality is contained within 10 operational areas:

- 1. Automated Traffic Recorders
- 2. Federal Highways
- 3. Bike/Pedestrian
- 4. Planning
- 5. Region
- 6. Route
- 7. Short Duration Counts
- 8. Traffic
- 9. Traffic Data Committee





Automated Traffic Recorders (ATR)

View all ATRs to provide an overall view (i.e., percentage of functioning ATRs) and the ability to drill down to a particular ATR to review specific counts or status history







Federal Highway

Aid the validation and assembly of the monthly data package to be submitted to FHWA as well as providing access to historical submissions and FHWA reports

🛞 🚽 🔟 http://www.dot.state.co.us/traffic/dashboard											← × Googl	e	
DOT /	VID : Ai	nalyse-Visuali	ze-int	egrate-E	Dissemina	te					L	ogged in as John: 13 ⁿ November	Smith Hel 2009 Config
Home ATR FHWA			Bike	/Ped	Planning	Region Route		Route Short Duration		TDC	Traffic	WIM	Messages
VT Reports													Search
Date	¥.	Submitted Not S	ubm.	Accepted	Rejected	Vehide Miles				CD OT Traf	fic Analysis Bus	iness Process	ICI E
October 200	19	98	3	94	4	4,500	Card	PDF	XLS				
September 2009		96	6	91	5	4,400	Card	PDF	XLS				
August 2009 94		94	7	89	5	4,399	Card	PDF	XLS				
July 2009		96	5	92	4	4,450	Card	PDF	XLS				
June 2009		95	6	91	4	4,300	Card	PDF	XLS				
May 2009		94	6	89	5	4,350	Card	PDF	XLS				
April 2009		95	5	90	5	4,300	Card	PDF	XLS				
March 2009		95	5	90	5	4,200	Card	PDF	XLS	Accented I	av Month		60.6
February 20	09	94	6	88	6	4,300	Card	PDF	XLS		.,		696
January 200	19	97	2	89	8	4,400	<u>Card</u>	PDF	XLS	2 ms			
raffic Monito	ring Systen	n								2 IIX 2 IIX 2 IIX 2 IIX			
SiteID▼▲	Location	▼ ▲	Status	Submit	ŧ					9 BN 2005 2005			
000003	SH 470 NW	I/O SH85, Santa Fe	٠							2005 2005			
000004	SH 36 SE/0	O SH 170, Superior	••							-	neral Janas Fabras Karas	Ap -00 May-00 Am-00 Ad-4	and
000006	SH 285 SE,	/O Indian Wells											
<u>000009</u>	SH I-25 N/O El Huerta View		••										06
000011	I-70 NE/O SH 131 Wolcott												
000015	SH 50 SE/C) SH 141, Whitewa	•										
000016	SH I-25 S/	O SH 34 Loveland											
000101	SH I-25 S/	O Santa Fe Trail	••										
		O SH 7 Broomfield											





Route

View all of the ATRs along a given route, and to view traffic statistics derived from the counts (i.e., AADT) for the route







Short Duration Counts

Aid in the scheduling, collection and validation of Short Duration Counts, and authorize the release of payment for those counts

AVID : Analyse-Visualize-Integrate-Disseminate Home ATR FHWA Bike/Ped Planning Region Route Duration TDC Traffic WIM Messages ontractor Smth Engineering atus All unts Date A Week AV Station AV Contractor AV Type AV Status AV Review : Station 100450 Nov-2009 Nov-	🕑 👻 🚺 hi	ttp://www	w.dot.state.co.	us/traffic/dasl	hboard							• •	Google			
Home ATR FHWA Bike/Ped Planning Region Route Short TDC Traffic WIM Messages antractor SmRh Engineering ▼ Search Search <td< th=""><th>DOT AV</th><th>'ID : A</th><th>nalyse-Visu</th><th>alize-Integ</th><th>rate-Dis:</th><th>seminat</th><th>te</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>Loggi 1</th><th>ed in as John Smith 3ⁱⁿ November 2009</th><th>n He Confi</th></td<>	DOT AV	'ID : A	nalyse-Visu	alize-Integ	rate-Dis:	seminat	te						Loggi 1	ed in as John Smith 3 ⁱⁿ November 2009	n He Confi	
Smith Engineering ate Range 14-Nov-2009 to Today atus All All Date Veek & Station 100450 -Nov-2009 Every -Nov-2009 In-Aug-2009 Short 24 23,339 11,460 12,090 -Nov-2009 In-Aug-2008 Short 24 12,393 13,5% 23,550 11,460 12,090 -Nov-2009 In-Aug-2008 Short 22,070 9.7% -Nov-2009 Short 22,070 9.7%	Home	ATR	FHWA	Bike/P	ed Pla	nning	Reg	gion	F	Route S	Short Jration	TDC .	Traffic	WIM N	4essages	
ate Range 14-Nov-2009 to Today atus All unts CDOT Treffic Analysis Business Process Image: Contractor in the status in t	ontractor	Smith	n Engineering												Search	
atus All unts CDOT Traffic Analysis Business Process Date Veek & Station 100450 Nov-2009 Nov-2009 Nov-2009 Nov-2009 Nov-2009 No	ate Range	14-N	ov-2009 📰 t	0 Today								L				
CDOT Traffic Analysis Business Process CODT Traffic Analysis Business Process Contractor Image: Contractor	atus	All		L	•											
Date Type Hours AADT % Change Readway Negative Dir. Positive Dir. Status Image: Contractive Dir. Status Image: Contractive Dir. Status Image: Contractive Dir. Status Image: Contractive Dir. Nov-2009 Image: Contractive Dir. Review: Status Image: Contractive Dir. Image: Contractive Dir. Status Image: Contractive Dir. <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>																
Date Type Hours AADT % Change Readway Negative Dir. Positive Dir. Status -Nov-2009	unts.											CDOT Traffic An	alysis Busines	ss Process	U I	
2-Nov-2009 Review : Station 100450 -Nov-2009 -Nov-2009 -Nov-2009 -Nov-2009 -Nov-2009 -Nov-2009 -Nov-2009 -Nov-2009 -Nov-2009 Short 24 23,393 19.5% 23,550 11,460 12,090 • 11-Aug-2008 Short 24 12,893 110.9% 23,550 11,176 12,360 • 13-10-2007 Short 24 22,700 9.7% • <td>Date ▲▼</td> <td>Week 🔺</td> <td>A▼ Station ▲▼</td> <td>Contractor</td> <td></td> <td>▲▼</td> <td>Туре</td> <td>AY</td> <td>Status</td> <td>47</td> <td><u> </u></td> <td></td> <td>F</td> <td></td> <td></td>	Date ▲▼	Week 🔺	A▼ Station ▲▼	Contractor		▲ ▼	Туре	AY	Status	47	<u> </u>		F			
Hor-2009 Message Print Export Nov-2009 Date Type Hours AADT % Change Roadway Negative Dir. Positive Dir. Status Nov-2009 12-Nov-2009 Short 24 23,393 19.5% 23,550 11,460 12,090 ● 11-Aug-2008 Short 24 18,389 19.5% 23,550 11,176 12,7949 ● 13-10-2007 Short 24 12,809 ● <t< td=""><td>2-Nov-2009</td><td>F</td><td>Review : Station</td><td>100450</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>3</td></t<>	2-Nov-2009	F	Review : Station	100450											3	
Nov-2009 Date Type Hours AADT % Change Roadway Negative Dir. Positive Dir. Status Nov-2009 12-Nov-2009 Short 24 23,933 19,5% 23,550 11,460 12,090 • 11-Aug-2008 Short 24 19,839 19,0% 23,556 11,176 12,360 • 18-Jun-2007 Short 48 22,424 1.2% 53,865 25,916 27,949 •	-Nov-2009										Message	e Print	Export			
Nov-2009 Date Type nous Audit % charge Negative Dr. Positive Dr. Status Nov-2009 12-Nov-2009 12-Nov-2009 12-Nov-2009 11.400 12,3550 11,460 12,090 • 11-Aug-2008 Short 24 23,593 19,5% 23,553 11,176 12,360 • 11-Jan-2007 Short 48 22,424 1.2% 53,865 25,916 27,949 • 1-Jan-2005 Short 20,500 27,35 •	-Nov-2009		Data	Ture	11.000	AADT	1.	or at		Deeducer	No option Dia	Desitive Die	Chathan			
-Hov-2009 11-Rug-2005 Short 24 23,539 11,539 23,530 11,400 12,030 I 11-Aug-2006 Short 24 18,839 -19,03% 23,536 11,176 12,360 I	-Nov-2009		10 New 2000	Type Churt	HUUIS	AADT	2000	76 UI	ange	RUduwdy	Negauve Dir.	Positive Dir.	Status			
11-A0J-2000 Stort 24 10,03 21008 23,535 11,176 12,300 testion 13-Jun-2007 Short 48 22,424 -1.2% 53,865 25,916 27,949 1	-Nov-2009	_	11 Aug 2009	Chart	24	23	0,393		19.5%	23,550	11,400	12,090				
Logarization Logarization <th logarization<<="" td=""><td></td><td>_</td><td>11-Aug-2000</td><td>Short</td><td>24</td><td>10</td><td>0,039</td><td></td><td>1 29/</td><td>23,536</td><td>25.014</td><td>27.040</td><td></td><td></td><td>_</td></th>	<td></td> <td>_</td> <td>11-Aug-2000</td> <td>Short</td> <td>24</td> <td>10</td> <td>0,039</td> <td></td> <td>1 29/</td> <td>23,536</td> <td>25.014</td> <td>27.040</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>_</td>		_	11-Aug-2000	Short	24	10	0,039		1 29/	23,536	25.014	27.040			_
Invoice Invoice 1-Jan-2005 Short 20,500 27,336 1-Jan-2004 Short 14,900 \$	cation		1-1ap-2006	Short	40	22	2 700		9.7%	33,003	23,910	27,949			Ø	
1 Jan-2004 Short 14,900 \$\$11,500 PDF 1-Any-2003 Short 24 \$\$10,045 PDF Approve Reject \$\$10,000 \$\$10,005 PDF \$\$10,045 PDF \$\$10,045 PDF \$\$10,005 PDF \$\$10,005 \$\$PDF \$\$10,000 \$\$PDF \$\$10,000 \$\$PDF	A \$100		1-1ap-2005	Short		20	1 500		27 294					Invoice		
10.1.000 0.000 14.000		Л	1-1ap-2004	Short		14	1 900		27.13 10					\$11,500	PDF	
Approve Reject \$9,055 PDF BROOWFTELD \$6/2009 Smith Engineering \$9,925 PDF	Y		12-Max-2003	Short	24		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,							\$10,045	PDF	
Approve Reject \$10,500 PDF BROOWFTELD \$6/2009 Smith Engineering \$9,925 PDF			12 May 2003	Shore										\$9,055	PDF	
BROOM FIELD \$9,925 PDF	DI D											Approve	Reject	\$10,500	PDF	
	BR	00	WFIELL	1 51		The				100		36/2009 Smith	n Engineering	\$9,925	PDF	
	N 1 10th Ave	/	(●″	E 160th A	ve		A M	19	3 51-	5	Summary				
V 1 tith Ave Summary 23		/ (100 ¹⁰		37	C IVI	5	4		20			_	
A D A M S Summary Ed I	and a second second	W 156ti	h Ave	YP-			5	2	E 156	ith Ave		10	_			
W 155th Ave	1 C		1				×	00	2		//	-			- C	
W 156th Ave E 160th Ave A D A M S W 156th Ave 5 W 156th Ave 5 A D A M S Summary 50 10	1	DA	BSC LL p				0	10000								





👙 CDOTDashboard - Windows Internet Explorer provided by Colorado DOT for v7		
🕒 🔾 🔻 🙋 http://ags.camsys.com/wireframes/cdotdashboard.htm	✓ 4 × Live Search	۶ -
<u>F</u> ile <u>E</u> dit <u>V</u> iew F <u>a</u> vorites <u>T</u> ools <u>H</u> elp		a
🚖 🛠 🌈 CDOTDashboard	🟠 🔻 🗟 👻 🖶 Pag	e ▼ ۞ T <u>o</u> ols ▼ [≫]
CDOT Traffic Digital Dashboard		
File Edit View Favorites Tools Help		
Carlos - Carlos http://www.dot.state.co.us/traffic/dashboard	Google	
Traffic Digital Dashboard	13 ^h November 2009 Configure	
Home ATR FHWA Bike/Ped Planning Region Route Short Duration TDC Traffic WIM	Messages	
Details	Search	
Location ID 56	Pedestrian Counts	
Name Holly Street Bridge @ Cherry Creek, Denver, CO		
Description		
Location	16.06.00 (14.06.00 15.00.00 (44.04.00 15.06.00 (14.06.00	
McMeen	Documents 😨 🖬	
Elementary	Name ▲▼ Type ▲▼ Comments	
	Project Location PDF Location description fro	
	Photo JPG Completed Bridge	
The state of the s		
	Add Edit Delete	
Start and a	Data Transfer 🛛 🖸 🗖	
	Site ID 107436	
A CALLARY A REPORT OF A CALLARY AND A CALLARY	File C:\Counts\SR12.xls	
	Format Excel	
	Upload	
	My Computer 🔯 🗮 100% 🔸 🏸	

AVID Development

- CDOT and Cambridge Systematics are about to embark on a phased approach to the implementation of AVID
- The initial phase which will focus on the ATR, Short Duration Counts and Traffic Data Committee operational areas
- Expected to be deployed in early 2011











