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## Overview

A simple question :
Do U.S. households favor high fuel economy vehicles when gasoline prices increase?

- Do households reduce fuel use proportionally more than vehicle travel in response to a fuel price increase?
- Do two-vehicle households use their higher fuel economy vehicle more when fuel prices increase?
- On a total travel basis?
- On a per-trip basis?


## Setup - Empirical strategy

$\square$ A natural experiment - gasoline price fluctuations 2008-2009
$\square$ Focus on short-run response - observe monthly cross-sections
$\square$ Focus on price-per-mile savings from switching - depends on gasoline price and fuel economy of vehicles owned
$\square$ Estimation strategy ( 3 parts):

- 1) Elasticities of fuel use and vehicle-miles traveled (VMT) with respect to fuel price
(log-log robust ordinary least squares)
- 2) Switching overall - Effect of price-per-mile savings on fraction of miles driven in more efficient vehicle (generalized linear model with logit link)
- 3) Switching by trip - Effect of price-per-mile savings on probability of choosing the more efficient vehicle by trip (conditional logit model)
$\square \quad$ Alternative model specifications - include or condition on household, vehicle, or trip characteristics


## Setup - Data Set

2009 U.S. National Household Transportation Survey :

- Monthly repeated crosssections of U.S. households
- Household characteristics
- Vehicle ownership and vehicle attributes
■ Travel in each vehicle on "travel day"
Supplemented with :
- City and highway fuel economy (Ward's vehicle attribute data, 2008)
- Fuel price data (by U.S. state including taxes - NHTSA and EIA)
U.S. average gasoline price over survey period

ppmile $_{\mathrm{Vi}, \mathrm{HH}}=(\$ / \mathrm{gal}) /(\mathrm{mi} /$ gal $)$
ppmile $_{\text {savings }, ~}^{\mathrm{HH}}{ }=$ ppmile $_{\mathrm{V} 1}-$ ppmile $_{\mathrm{V} 2}$


## Result \#1: Elasticities - Households reduce fuel use

 more than VMT in response to gasoline price increasesTable 2 Aggregate gasoline price elasticity of demand for VMT and gasoline. Log indicates natural log. (* p<0.05 ** p<0.01 *** $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ )

> Estimated elasticities increase (in magnitude) with income, decrease with degree of urbanization, and increase with the number of vehicles owned.

|  | Log VMT | Log Gasoline Use |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Log gasoline price | $-0.112^{* * *}$ | $-0.144^{* * *}$ |
|  | $(-3.74)$ | $(-4.88)$ |


| Elasticities with respect to fuel price: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Vehicle-miles | -0.112 |
| Gasoline use | -0.144 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 0.0999^{2} 9 \\ & 0.29 \end{aligned}$ |  |


| Household size | $0.251 * * *$ | $0.259 * * *$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $(63.87)$ | $(67.05)$ |
| Weekday | $-0.0942^{* * *}$ | $-0.0895^{* * *}$ |
|  | $(-9.73)$ | $(-9.41)$ |

Constant
0.107
$-2.645^{* * *}$
5
(1.22)
(-30.56)

## Result \#2: Switching by total distance - Households (modestly) increase use of high efficiency vehicles

| savings | Marginal effect <br> (milfraclsavings) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| (cents per mile) | Estimate | S.E. |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | 0.013734 | 0.001262 |
| $\mathbf{2 . 5}$ | 0.013764 | 0.001278 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 0.013665 | 0.001259 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 0.01344 | 0.001205 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 0.013099 | 0.00112 |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | 0.012117 | 0.00088 |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | 0.010843 | 0.000589 |

Table 6 (b) Effect of per mile cost savings on switching behavior in aggregate sample with predictive margins and marginal effects for the GLM model. (abridged) S.E. - standard errors

Every one-cent increase in price-per-mile savings leads to an increase in the fraction of miles traveled in the more efficient vehicle of $\mathbf{0 . 0 1 4}$.

## Switching varies strongly by income level

Table 7 Predictive margins and marginal effects of per mile savings on fraction of miles traveled in the higher efficiency vehicle, by income category. (evaluated at 5 cents per mile, abridged)

| Income category | Marginal effect |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | (milfrac\|savings) | S.E. |
|  | 0.0236 | 0.0047 |
| U US \$25,000 | 0.0216 | 0.0022 |
| US \$25,000 - <br> \$60,000 | 0.0101 | 0.0023 |
| US \$60,000 - <br> \$100,000 | 0.0062 | $\downarrow$ |
| US \$100,000 |  |  |

The effect of price-per-mile savings on switching decreases as income increases.

## Result \#3: Switching by trip - the probability of high efficiency vehicle use increases with price per mile

Table 9 Effect of price per mile savings on the choice of a high efficiency vehicle by trip for the aggregate sample.

| Household size | $-0.0769^{* * *}$ | $-0.0711^{* * *}$ | $-0.0703^{* * *}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(-11.24)$ | $(-10.10)$ | $(-9.97)$ |  |

Table 10 Routine daily trips showed highest probability of switching, while effect for vacation trips and work not significant.

|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { To / } \\ \text { From } \\ \text { Work } \end{gathered}$ | Workrelated business | Shopping | Other family / personal business | School/ church | $\begin{gathered} \text { Medical / } \\ \text { dental } \end{gathered}$ | Vacation | Visit friends / relatives | Other social / recreation |
| Per mile savings | $0.0330 * *$ | 0.0220 | $0.0366^{* *}$ | $0.0306 * *$ | $0.0332 * *$ | $0.0471^{* *}$ | -0.0206 | $0.0424^{* *}$ | $0.0344 * * *$ |
|  | (6.21) | (1.73) | (8.50) | (6.46) | (4.29) | (4.59) | (-1.07) | (4.98) | (7.50) |

## Conclusions

## Elasticities (1)

$\square$ In the short run households reduce fuel use more than they reduce VMT - elasticities vary with income, urbanization, vehicle ownership
Vehicle switching ( $2 \& 3$ )
$\square$ Switching occurs on both total distance and per trip basis - but modest! (On average households realize only 5\% of available savings, and switching nationwide corresponds to a less than 1\% reduction in gasoline use in response to $\$ 2 /$ gal gasoline price increase.)
$\square$ Reduced switching at higher income levels $\rightarrow$ consistent with share of fuel cost in total household expenditures declining with income

## Implications and future work

## $\square$ Implications

■ Energy / GHG policy impact - need to consider vehicle usage as well as vehicle purchase response and how it will differ across households

- Role of income - as incomes rise, importance of switching response may diminish
- Impact of switching is small - but could still affect results if omitted from highly aggregated energy-economic models used in policy analysis
$\square$ Future work
■ Non-linear switching behavior (e.g. price thresholds - \$4/gal?)
- Alternative fuel vehicles as part of household fleets
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## Elasticities conditional on income

|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | < \$25,000/yr |  | \$25,000-\$60,000/yr |  | \$60,000-\$100,000/yr |  | >\$100,000/yr |  |
|  | VMT | Gasoline | VMT | Gasoline | VMT | Gasoline | VMT | Gasoline |
| Log gasoline price | 0.00436 | -0.0354 | -0.141** | -0.170*** | -0.0993 | -0.119* | -0.133* | -0.178** |
|  | (0.05) | (-0.38) | (-2.76) | (-3.38) | (-1.76) | (-2.15) | (-2.33) | (-3.18) |
| Spring | 0.108 | 0.131 | 0.0912* | 0.126** | 0.109* | 0.133** | 0.139** | 0.172*** |
|  | (1.33) | (1.63) | (2.05) | (2.86) | (2.22) | (2.75) | (2.86) | (3.62) |
| Summer | 0.0000312 | 0.0300 | $0.140^{* *}$ | 0.159*** | 0.157** | 0.173*** | 0.156** | 0.185*** |
|  | (0.00) | (0.37) | (3.14) | (3.62) | (3.24) | (3.62) | (3.19) | (3.84) |
| Fall | -0.0340 | -0.00789 | 0.0559 | 0.0733* | 0.0358 | 0.0533 | 0.0895** | 0.109*** |
|  | (-0.63) | (-0.15) | (1.91) | (2.54) | (1.13) | (1.73) | (2.79) | (3.47) |
| Household size | $0.271^{* * *}$ | 0.276*** | 0.271*** | 0.279*** | 0.243*** | 0.252*** | 0.222*** | 0.234*** |
|  | (21.58) | (22.15) | (37.37) | (39.17) | (34.76) | (36.32) | (32.54) | (35.22) |
| Weekday | -0.0726* | -0.0682* | -0.0738*** | -0.0686*** | -0.0720*** | -0.0682*** | -0.150*** | -0.145*** |
|  | (-2.47) | (-2.34) | (-4.45) | (-4.20) | (-3.91) | (-3.76) | (-8.15) | (-8.05) |
| Constant | 3.044*** | 0.157* | 3.447*** | 0.534*** | 3.654*** | 0.722*** | 3.892*** | 0.966*** |
|  | (45.41) | (2.38) | (91.49) | (14.37) | (87.27) | (17.47) | (92.51) | (23.47) |
| N | 11709 | 11709 | 26697 | 26697 | 18395 | 18395 | 16520 | 16520 |

## Elasticities conditional on urbanization

|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Urban |  | Semi-urban |  | Rural |  |
|  | VMT | Gasoline | VMT | Gasoline | VMT | Gasoline |
| $\underset{\underset{y}{\text { gasoline }}}{\text { price }}$ | -0.0916** | -0.130*** | -0.0931 | -0.106 | -0.0642 | -0.0781 |
|  | (-2.70) | (-3.89) | (-0.71) | (-0.83) | (-0.97) | (-1.21) |
| $\qquad$ | 0.357*** | 0.344*** | 0.337*** | 0.322*** | 0.259*** | 0.235*** |
|  | (38.00) | (37.05) | (10.12) | (9.91) | (15.47) | (14.18) |
| Spring | 0.0775** | 0.110*** | 0.0641 | 0.0676 | 0.0922 | 0.116* |
|  | (2.63) | (3.81) | (0.57) | (0.62) | (1.65) | (2.13) |
| Summer | 0.110*** | 0.136*** | 0.101 | 0.105 | 0.0880 | 0.0986 |
|  | (3.75) | (4.71) | (0.89) | (0.95) | (1.55) | (1.77) |
| Fall | 0.0423* | 0.0650*** | 0.0543 | 0.0601 | 0.0259 | 0.0351 |
|  | (2.22) | (3.47) | (0.71) | (0.80) | (0.68) | (0.93) |
| Household size | 0.252*** | 0.263*** | 0.256*** | 0.253*** | 0.243*** | 0.245*** |
|  | (56.69) | (60.04) | (14.58) | (14.73) | (28.87) | (29.31) |
| Weekday | $0.0952^{* * *}$ | $\stackrel{-}{0.0879 * * *}$ | -0.105* | -0.107** | -0.0825*** | -0.0860*** |
|  | (-8.66) | (-8.12) | (-2.52) | (-2.64) | (-3.88) | (-4.14) |
| Constant | -0.451*** | -3.230*** | -0.110 | -2.831*** | 1.088*** | -1.562*** |
|  | (-4.44) | (-32.16) | (-0.30) | (-7.88) | (5.97) | (-8.66) |
| N | 53628 | 53628 | 4833 | 4833 | 14859 | 14859 |

## Elasticities conditional on household vehicle ownership

|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | One-vehicle households | Two-vehicle households | Three-vehicle <br> households |  |  |  |
|  | VMT | Gasoline | VMT | Gasoline | VMT | Gasoline |
| Log <br> gasoline <br> price | $-0.154^{*}$ | $-0.181^{* *}$ | $-0.0865^{*}$ | $-0.115^{* *}$ | $-0.192^{* *}$ | $-0.230^{* * *}$ |
|  | $(-2.35)$ | $(-2.77)$ | $(-2.05)$ | $(-2.75)$ | $(-2.89)$ | $(-3.56)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Switching, by trip purpose



## Elasticities depend on household characteristics

| Income | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | < \$25,000/yr |  | \$25,000-\$60,000/yr |  | \$60,000-\$100,000/yr |  | >\$100,000/yr |  |
|  | VMT | Gasoline | VMT | Gasoline | VMT | Gasoline | VMT | Gasoline |
| Log gasoline price | 0.00436 | -0.0354 | -0.141** | -0.170*** | -0.0993 | -0.119* | -0.133* | -0.178** |
|  | (0.05) | (-0.38) | (-2.76) | (-3.38) | (-1.76) | (-2.15) | (-2.33) | (-3.18) |
| Urbanization | Table 4 | (1) | (2) | (3) |  |  | (5) | (6) |
|  |  | Urban |  | Semi-urban |  |  | Rural |  |
|  |  | VMT | Gasoline | VMT | G | line | VMT | Gasoline |
|  | Log gasoline price | -0.0916** | -0.130*** | * -0.0931 |  |  | -0.0642 | -0.0781 |
|  |  | (-2.70) | (-3.89) | (-0.71) |  |  | (-0.97) | (-1.21) |

Number of
vehicles
owned

| Table 5 | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | One-vehicle households | Two-vehicle households |  | Three-vehicle households |  |  |
|  | VMT | Gasoline | VMT | Gasoline | VMT | Gasoline |
| Log gasoline <br> price | $-0.154^{*}$ | $-0.181^{* *}$ | $-0.0865^{*}$ | $-0.115^{* *}$ | $-0.192^{* *}$ | $-0.230^{* * *}$ |
|  | $(-2.35)$ | $(-2.77)$ | $(-2.05)$ | $(-2.75)$ | $(-2.89)$ | $(-3.56)$ |
|  |  | 17 |  |  |  | $\\|\\|\\|$ |

Massachusetts Institute of Technology
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## Result \#2: Households (modestly) increase use of high efficiency vehicles when per-mile savings increase

|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Per mile savings | 0.0540*** | 0.0517*** | 0.0541*** | 0.0562*** | 0.0556*** | 0.0556*** | 0.0136*** |
|  | (11.68) | (10.83) | (10.52) | (10.94) | (10.77) | (10.78) | (11.00) |
| Log of household income |  | -0.176*** | -0.177*** | -0.142*** | -0.151*** | -0.151*** | -0.0371*** |
|  |  | (-6.57) | (-6.58) | (-5.20) | (-5.53) | (-5.53) | (-5.58) |
| Seasonal dummies omitted due to space |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Household size |  |  |  | -0.104*** | -0.0488*** | -0.0482*** | -0.0119*** |
|  |  |  |  | (-8.84) | (-3.69) | (-3.64) | (-3.66) |
| Average passengers per vehicle |  |  |  |  | -0.140*** | -0.141*** | $-0.0347 * * *$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | (-7.00) | (-7.06) | (-7.17) |
| Weekday |  |  |  |  |  | -0.0251 | -0.00611 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | (-0.84) | (-0.83) |
| Constant | -0.0954*** | 1.857*** | 1.870*** | 1.784*** | 1.992*** | 2.012*** | 0.994*** |
|  | (-4.69) | (6.22) | (6.24) | (5.95) | (6.60) | (6.66) | (13.55) |
| N | 17965 | 16766 | 16766 | 16766 | 16766 | 16766 | 16766 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17\| |

## Predicted milfrac and marginal effects

| savings | Predicted milfrac |  | Marginal effect (milfrac\|savings) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (cents per mile) | Estimate | S.E. | Estimate | S.E. |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | 0.474555 | 0.005482 | 0.013734 | 0.001262 |
| $\mathbf{2 . 5}$ | 0.508954 | 0.003452 | 0.013764 | 0.001278 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 0.543266 | 0.003718 | 0.013665 | 0.001259 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 0.577173 | 0.0059 | 0.01344 | 0.001205 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 0.61037 | 0.008479 | 0.013099 | 0.00112 |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | 0.673561 | 0.013241 | 0.012117 | 0.00088 |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | 0.731053 | 0.016796 | 0.010843 | 0.000589 |

## Switching varies strongly by income level

|  | Marginal effect |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Income <\$25,000 |  |  |
| Per mile savings <br> (cents) | (milfrac\|savings) | S.E. |
| $\mathbf{0}$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{2 . 5}$ | 0.0245 | 0.0049 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 0.0244 | 0.0050 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 0.0236 | 0.0047 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 0.0222 | 0.0040 |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | 0.0203 | 0.0031 |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | 0.0158 | 0.0012 |
| $\mathbf{I n c o m e}$ \$25,000 - | 0.0114 | 0.0006 |
| $\mathbf{\$ 6 0 , 0 0 0}$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{P e r}$ mile savings | (milfrac\|savings) | S.E. |
| $\mathbf{( c e n t s ) ~}$ | 0.0221 | 0.0023 |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | 0.0221 | 0.0023 |
| $\mathbf{2 . 5}$ | 0.0216 | 0.0022 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 0.0206 | 0.0020 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 0.0192 | 0.0016 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 0.0157 | 0.0008 |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | 0.0120 | 0.0002 |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ |  |  |


|  | Marginal effect |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Income \$60,000 - <br> \$100,000 |  |  |
| Per mile savings <br> (cents) | (milfrac\|savings) |  |
| $\mathbf{0}$ |  |  |
| S.E. |  |  |
| $\mathbf{2 . 5}$ | 0.0102 |  |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 0.0102 |  |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 0.0101 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 0.0101 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | 0.0099 |  |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | 0.0095 |  |
| Income >\$100,000 | 0.0089 |  |
| Per mile savings | (milfrac\|savings) |  |
| (cents) | 0.0063 |  |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | 0.0023 |  |
| $\mathbf{2 . 5}$ | 0.0023 |  |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 0.0062 |  |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 0.0062 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 0.0062 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | 0.0061 |  |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | 0.0060 |  |
|  |  |  |

## Structural equations

## $\square$ Elasticities (1)

Gasoline use
$\ln G_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} \ln P_{i}+\beta_{2} \ln Y_{i}+\gamma\left(Z_{i}\right)+\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}}+\varepsilon_{\mathrm{i}}$
Vehicle-miles traveled
$\ln V M T_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} \ln P_{i}+\beta_{2} \ln Y_{i}+\gamma\left(Z_{i}\right)+s_{i}+\varepsilon_{i}$
$\square$ Effect of price per mile savings on switching (2)
$E\left(y_{i} \mid x_{i}\right)=G\left(X_{i} \beta\right), 0 \leq G(z) \leq 1 \forall z \in R$
milfrac $_{i}(0<y<1)=G\left(\beta_{0}+\beta_{1}\left(\right.\right.$ savings $\left.\left._{i}\right)+\beta X_{i}+\epsilon_{i}\right)$
$G(u)=\ln \left(\frac{u}{1-u}\right)$

