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## The Nation's Largest Transit System



## NYC Transit/Staten Island Railway



| Key Facts on Subways |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Avg. Weekday Riders: | $5,284,930$ |
| 2011 Ridership: | $1,640,327,811$ |
| Lines Operated: | 23 |
| Daily Trains Operated: | 8,279 |
| Stations: | 468 |
| Track Miles: | 631 |
| Subway Cars: | 6,311 |
| Signals: | 12,080 |
| Mainline Switches: | 3,259 |

## NYC Transit/MTA Bus



## Key Facts on Buses

Avg. Weekday Riders: 2,522,290
2011 Ridership: 783,562,437
Bus Routes: 297
Buses: $\quad 5,900$
Bus Stops: 15,226

## Metro-North Railroad



## Long Island Rail Road



| Key Facts |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Avg. Weekday Riders: |  |
| 2011 Ridership: | $80,983,003$ |
| Lines Operated: | 11 |
| Daily Trains Operated: | 735 |
| Stations: | 124 |
| Track Miles: | 594 |
| Rolling Stock: | 1,185 |

## MTA Bridges \& Tunnels



## Origins of the Capital Plan

By 1982 the system was on the brink of collapse

- Graffiti, crime and poor image
- Subway ridership fell $40 \%$
- Crumbling network of legacy railroads
- Frequent breakdowns and derailments

"Fhoto Ceded "The Canarsie Kut on Flicks


## Origins of the Capital Plan

## Paradigm shift to rescue the system

- Five year investment plan mandated by state legislature since 1982.
- Establishment of an Independent Engineer Consultant for better oversight
- Twenty Year capital planning and asset management process:
> Identify needs to maintain state of good repair
> Support long-term service goals
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## The Process

1. Asset Inventory and Condition Assessment Update.
2. Long-term priorities and impacts in five year increments.
3. Integration of a Transit Asset Management (TAM) Model.
4. Regional Strategic Review.


MTA Summary of Continuing Needs: 2010-2029
( $2008 \$$ in millions)

| Agency | 2010-2014 | 2015-2019 | 2020-2024 | 2025-2029 | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NYC Transit | $\$ 22,180$ | $\$ 20,126$ | $\$ 22,117$ | $\$ 19,723$ | $\$ 84,146$ |
| Long Island Rail Road | 3,492 | 4,232 | 4,091 | 4,557 | 16,372 |
| Metro-North Railroad | 2,106 | 3,820 | 3,281 | 2,579 | 11,786 |
| MTA Bus Company | 708 | 988 | 839 | 663 | 3,198 |
| MTA Bridges and Tunnels | 3,025 | 3,459 | 4,141 | 1,731 | 12,356 |
| MTA Police and Security | 651 | 239 | 39 | 43 | 972 |
| Total | $\$ 32,162$ | $\$ 32,864$ | $\$ 34,508$ | $\$ 29,295$ | $\$ 128,832$ |

1. Asset Inventory and Condition Assessment Update

## The Data：Asset Inventory \＆Condition Assessment

－MTA agencies inventory and rate conditions of all assets．

| Mainline Signals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Budget Category：T．008 Signals \＆Communications |  |  |  |  | Sponsor Dept：Electrical（RC \＃2900） |  | Maintaining Dept：Signal System Maint．（RC \＃2990） |  |  |  |  |  |  | Standard in EHect：YN |  |  |  |  | Useful life： 50 |  |  |  | Condilon |  |  |  |
| Location |  |  |  |  |  | Last Major Caplal Prodect |  |  |  |  |  | Equlpmant |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ung | Anes | Sut | Oin． | From（Oigh） | To（Destination） | Plotring No． | Proved Discridion | $\begin{gathered} \text { hanard } \\ Y_{1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ben } \\ U_{s y y} y_{r} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sbbs } \\ \text { Compl } \\ \text { ir } \end{gathered}$ | $\operatorname{Coses}($（IM） | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Equ } \\ & \text { Type } \end{aligned}$ | Vot | Frag |  |  |  | 5 4 5 | 吾 | 㕉 | Aga | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 震 } \\ & \frac{3}{2} \\ & \frac{\bar{g}}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{y}{4} \\ & \frac{1}{4} \\ & \text { 若 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{8}{3} \\ & \frac{3}{3} \\ & \frac{8}{8} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 量 |  |  |
| Oveen | 17 | H | NO | 20 109th 51 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A | 110 | 00 | 80 | $\gamma$ |  | 194 | 4. | 100 | 25 | 48 | 29 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Ouens | 17 | 1 | NO | S017945 | NO 179\％St． |  |  |  |  |  |  | Elect | 110 | 60 | 90 | $Y$ |  | 1994 | 60 | 100 | 25 | 54 | 1.9 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acheif | 18 | A | W0 | 80， 3 maka VanWe | NO．Jamaka Van Wid | EN2．228 | Signas：Reda 1310，Addod Capady | 1989 | 1967 | 1937 | \＄25．6 | Elod | 110 | 6 | 120 | $Y$ | 1988 | 1982 | 100 | 100 | 75 | 91 | 1.3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Acher | 18 | $B$ | N0 | 310 Stathin Elva | NOSutpil Blwd | EN12．1288 | Sgrals：Foute 1310，Added Capady | 1962 | 1889 | 19 \％ 7 | \＄255 | Eled | 110 | 60 | 120 | $Y$ | 1982 | 1968 | 100 | 100 | 75 | 91 | 1.0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Acher | 18 | C | W0 | S0Parsons Plva | NOParsons Blad． | EN2：1285 | Sgnak：Rexte 1310，Addod Capady | 1962 | 1967 | 1887 | \＄25．5 | Elod | 110 | 60 | 120 | Y | 1982 | 1982 | 100 | 100 | 75 | 91 | 1.1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 63dSt． | 19 | A | N0 | NO574St | SOLex Ave． | EN12．120 | Sginal：Axole 131，Added Capraty | 1961 | 1㿥 | 1986 | \＄20．5 | Elud | 110 | 60 | 180 | $Y$ | 1981 | 1981 | 100 | 100 | 75 | 91 | 0.7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| B30］St． | 19 | B | NO | NOLex Auvul | SOLex Ave Ul | EN $12.12{ }^{\text {a }}$ | Signas：hode 1914，Added Copody | $1{ }^{1} 4$ | 㥄 | 1886 | \＄5 | Eled | 110 | \％ | 180 | Y |  | 14 | 100 | 100 | 75 | 91 | 1.0 | 1 | $\overline{1}$ | 1 | 1 |
| 63 dSt． | 19 | C | N0 | NOLex Aus | S0LLex Ava | EN12．120 | Sgnas：Risute 1314，Added Capady | 1961 | 19\％\％ | 1986 | \＄20．5 | Elod | 110 | 60 | 180 | $\gamma$ | 1981 | 1981 | 100 | 100 | 75 | 91 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

## Long-Term Priorities and Impacts in Five-Year Increments

MTA Uniform condition rating framework


- Asset conditions rated on a scale of 1 (best) to 4 (worst) and informs capital needs 5 year and beyond
- 4 = Deteriorated: Serious functional deficiencies; unacceptable stoppage expected.
> Priority capital investment.
- 3 = Deficient: Serious functional deficiencies; stoppages can be minimized through maintenance.
> Capital investment can be deferred at some expense.
- 2 = Acceptable: Considered to be adequate; stoppages addressed through maintenance.
> Capital investment can be deferred.
- 1 = Modernized: meet most standards.
> Replacement not needed in next 5 years.


## The Data: Asset Inventory \& Condition Assessment

- Evaluates asset investment strategies for optimal replacement:
> Cyclical or needs-based, component or renewal needs
- Develops strategies for each asset category to prioritize critical needs.
- Projects the SGR backlog.
- Prioritizes SGR needs.
- Constrained only by:
> operations
$>$ market for construction
> Internal resources

The Data: Asset Inventory \& Condition Assessment
Part A: Summary of Capital Assets $\quad$ T-4 Stations

2. Long-term priorities and impacts in five year increments

## Long-Term Priorities and Impacts in Five-Year Increments

The results of this process include...

- Identification of investment options to maximize passenger service benefits.
- Descriptions, costs, and time periods for all investment categories.
- Framework for next five-year capital plan.
- Future capital investment informed through asset class strategy.
"If you don't know where you're going, chances are you will end up somewhere else."
- Yogi Berra



## Long-term priorities and impacts in five year increments

| Part B: | Category: |
| :--- | :--- |
| Capital Needs by 5- year Period | T-4: Passenger Stations |



## Integration of Transit Asset Management Model

## Transit Asset Management Continuum



Time Frame of Analysis

## Integration of Transit Asset Management Model (continued)



- Leverages 30 years of experience
- Builds on evolving asset maintenance management capabilities to develop a compelling argument for sufficient and continual capital funding
- Analytical, off-the-shelf decision support software tool to examine impacts of alternative funding scenarios on future asset conditions


## Integration of Transit Asset Management Model (continued)

## The Output:

- Run scenarios:
> Asset-based projections of state-of-good-repair (SGR) backlog
> Future SGR needs
$>$ Future condition of MTA assets
> Operating implications in fiscally constrained scenarios
- Prioritize SGR needs:
$>$ Age
> Operational Impact
> Cost Effectiveness
- Integrate into Capital Planning Process:

> Twenty Year Needs Assessment
> Five-Year Capital Program
- Graphical Representation


## Regional Strategic Review

- A regional scan is conducted every five years to help identify the need for strategic investments that could be made over the next several capital plans
- Analyze demographic, economic and travel trends
- Determine the ability of the future network to accommodate these trends
> Evaluate alternate growth, network and development scenarios
- Previously identified studies/capital initiatives will address capacity/travel deficits
$>$ New Fare/Customer Information technology
$>$ Reverse-peak commuter rail capacity
$>$ Bus network enhancements
> Corridor studies

Regional Strategic Review
Proposed Strategic Enhancements: 2010-2029


## Regional Strategic Review

Proposed Strategic Enhancements: 2010-2029


## Benefits to Date

## Benefits to Date

## In the 1980s: Stabilized the System

$$
1982 \text { - } 1991 \text { Program }
$$

- Old rolling stock overhauled or replaced
- Eliminated graffiti
- Rebuilt track and stations
- Reduced derailments and breakdowns.


Emphasis on stabilizing the system

## Benefits to Date

## In the 1990s: Emphasis shift to Normal Replacement

- One third of subway stations rebuilt
- Lift-equipped buses for better ADA compliance 1992-1999 Program
- High-level rail platforms for faster boarding
- Bi-level coaches increased LIRR capacity
- Introduced MetroCard


BEFORE




AFTER

## Benefits to Date

In the 21st ${ }^{\text {st }}$ Century: Shift to System Improvement

Improved stations \& connections


Addressed delays with technology \& information


2000-2004

$\$ 21.1$ billion
Developed Bus Rapid Transit


Benefits of investment have freed up funds for System Improvements

## Benefits to Date

And a shift to Network Expansion


System
Improvement $12 \%$

## 2005-2009 Program <br> Other

 $2 \%$

## 2010 - 2014 Program


\$24.3 billion

## Then and Now

## \$72.4 billion later (or \$107.5 billion in 2012 dollars).......



## Thank you!

- For more information:
- www.mta.info/capital
- www.facebook.com/MTA.info
- www.youtube.com/mtainfo
- www.flickr.com/photos/mtaphotos


