#### An Outcome-based Scenario Approach for Analyzing Risk in Infrastructure Asset Management





Amir Hessami Roger E. Smith





Picture from: https://www.coag.gov.au/infrastructure\_and\_transport

# What is Scenario Planning?

- "A scenario is an internally consistent view of what the future might turn out to be—not a forecast, but one possible future."
  - Michael Porter
- "Scenario planning is that part of strategic planning which relates to the tools and technologies for managing the uncertainties of the future."

- Gill Ringland

- Scenario Planning is the process of considering:
  - (1) What future conditions or events are probable?
  - (2) What will be the consequences or effects of these events?
  - (3) How can we respond to or benefit from them? \*

\* Definition from http://www.businessdictionary.com/

### **Overview of Scenario Planning**

- A tool for long-range and medium-range planning
- Visualize a set of possible futures
- Consider a limited number of scenarios
- Event-based vs. outcome-based scenarios

# **History of Scenario Planning**

- 1940s RAND "Future-Now" method
- Mid-1970s scenario planning adopted by DHL, Shell, and GE
- Late-1970s Majority of Fortune 1000 corporations adopted a form of scenario planning
- In 2004, a survey demonstrated that 45% of MPOs use a form of scenario planning

# Using Scenarios in Transportation Planning



Source: Nashville Area MPO Website

http://www.nashvillempo.org/regional\_plan/land\_use/regional\_land\_use\_model.aspx

# Using Scenarios in Transportation Planning

#### Transportation Indicators

\$142N

5160M -

\$80M

The following charts show each scenario's performance relating to getting around the region.

Total Cost of

Lane Miles Needed

#### Land Use and Housing Indicators

The following charts show each scenario's performance relating to land consumption, housing choices and walkable neighborhoods. New Housing Units in Walkable Areas

Walkable Areas = mixed use and pedestrian oriented design





Source: "Oregon Scenario Planning Guidelines", Oregon Department of Transportation http://www.oregon.gov/ODOT/TD/OSTI/pages/scenarios.aspx

# Asset Management Decision-making Levels

- Strategic Level
- Network Level
- Program Level
- Project Selection Level
- Project Level (a.k.a., Field Level)

Flintsch, G. W., & Bryant, J. (2006). Asset management data collection for supporting decision processes. US Department of Transport, Federal Highway Administration, Washington, DC.

# Asset Management Decision-making Levels

- Organization Management Level
- Portfolio Management Level
- Systems Management Level
- Individual Assets



# **Dimensions of Uncertainty**

- Location:
  - Context, Model, Inputs, or Outcome
- Level:
  - Four Levels of Uncertainty
- Nature:
  - Lack of Knowledge vs. Inherent Variability

Walker, W. E., Harremoës, P., Rotmans, J., van der Sluijs, J. P., van Asselt, M. B., Janssen, P., & Krayer von Krauss, M. P. (2003). Defining uncertainty: A conceptual basis for uncertainty management in model-based decision support. *Integrated Assessment*, *4*(1), 5–17.

# Levels of Uncertainty in Decision Analysis

- Level 1: A clear, single vision of the future
- Level 2: A limited set of possible future outcomes, one of which will occur
- Level 3: A specific range of possible future outcomes
- Level 4: A limitless range of possible future outcomes

## Levels of Uncertainty in Asset Management

Which level of uncertainty is most suitable for asset management?









# Applying Scenario Planning in Asset Management

- Define the expected range of the budget required to maintain asset performance above a certain level (and/or)
- Define the expected range of asset performance given a certain amount of budget



# Applying Scenario Planning in Asset Management

- The performance of assets over time is subject to uncertainty
- Managers can benefit from an outcome-based scenario approach
- Quantiles are used to summarize the outcome distribution
  - The "worst case" or lower-limit scenario is defined as the 5th percentile
  - The "best case" or upper-limit scenario is defined as the 95th percentile
  - The "most likely" scenario is defined as the 50th percentile

### Scenario Planning in Asset Management

Three Scenarios:

"Best Case," "Worst Case," and "Most Likely Case"



# **Quantile Regression**

- Introduced in the late 1970s by Koenker
- Defines the Quantiles of the Response Variable
- Provides a More Complete Picture of the Relationships Between Variables
- Primarily Developed for Ecological Applications

**Example 1 – Education and Income\*** 

\* Buchinsky, Moshe. "Recent advances in quantile regression models: a practical guideline for empirical research." *Journal of Human Resources* (1998): 88–126.



# **Quantile Regression**

- Introduced in the late 1970s by Koenker
- Defines the Quantiles of the Response Variable
- Provides a More Complete Picture of the Relationships Between Variables
- Primarily Developed for Ecological Applications

Example 2 – Changes in Trout Density\*

\* Cade, Brian S., and Barry R. Noon. "A gentle introduction to quantile regression for ecologists." *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment* 1.8 (2003): 412–420.



### Scenario Planning in Asset Management

Three Scenarios:

"Best Case," "Worst Case," and "Most Likely Case"



# **Quantile Curves**

#### Pavement Performance Curve (Deshmukh, 2009):

$$y_i = PCI_i = 100 - \frac{\rho}{\left[ln\left(\frac{\alpha}{Age_i}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}$$

where:

*Age* is the age of the current pavement surface *ln* is the natural logarithm

 $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\rho$  are regression constants.

# **Quantile Curves**

Based on this equation, the loss function  $L(\alpha, \beta, \rho)$  can be written as follows:

 $e_i(\alpha, \beta, \rho) = y_i(\alpha, \beta, \rho) - \hat{y}_i(\alpha, \beta, \rho)$ 

$$L(\alpha, \beta, \rho) = (\tau - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_i(\alpha, \beta, \rho) \ 1(e_i < 0) + \tau \ \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_i(\alpha, \beta, \rho) \ 1(e_i \ge 0)$$

where:

 $1(e_i \le 0)$  is the indicator function and is defined as:

 $1(e_i < 0) = \begin{cases} 1 \ if \ e_i < 0 \\ 0 \ if \ e_i \ge 0 \end{cases}$ 

# Case Study

- City of Bryan
- Pavement Condition Index (PCI)
- Historical Performance Data

X	📱 🕞 🛪 🕏 🛪 🗧 sec con rep.REV [Compatibility Mode] - Excel									
F	TLE HOME INSERT PA	GE LAYOUT	FORMULAS	DATA	REVIEW	VIEW ADD-IN	NS Team			
N	18 • : 🗙 🗸	fx								
	А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	
1	Name	Section	LCD	SurTyp	Rank	Area	Insp Date	# Samps	PCI	
2	14th St W (West 14th Street)	Sims-Parke	05/21/1981	AAC	N	7,272	07/30/2008	27	55.00	
3	14th St W (West 14th Street)	Ster-Hwy21	06/17/1978	AC	E	9,552	07/31/2008	30	52.00	
4	14th St W (West 14th Street)	Sterl-Sims	01/04/1974	AC	N	6,468	07/31/2008	34	47.00	
5	15th St E (East 15th Street)	Hous-Just	05/26/1950	AC	N	3,345	07/28/2008	58	31.00	
6	15th St E (East 15th Street)	Plum-Tex	09/01/2010	AC	E	10,678	09/01/2010	0	100.00	
7	15th St E (East 15th Street)	Tabor-Tex	01/01/2005	AC	E	20,430	07/30/2008	3	94.00	
8	15th St W (West 15th Street)	Sims-Hall	12/01/1976	ST	E	24,300	07/31/2008	32	87.00	
9	15th St W (West 15th Street)	Tabor-Sims	05/01/2008	AC	E	34,368	07/30/2008	0	100.00	
10	16th St E (East 16th Street)	Tabor-Wash	12/01/2008	AC		9,131	12/01/2008	0	100.00	
11	16th St W (West 16th Street)	Bryan-Tab	02/06/1952	AC		4,960	07/30/2008	56	35.00	
12	16th St W (West 16th Street)	Parke-Brya	10/01/1988	AAC		5,008	07/30/2008	20	57.00	
13	16th St W (West 16th Street)	Sims-Hwy21	08/01/1967	PCC		45,120	07/31/2008	41	12.00	
14	16th St W (West 16th Street)	Sims-Parke	10/01/1988	AC	E	6,220	07/30/2008	20	57.00	
4.5					-			-		

# **Historical Performance Data**



# Performance Curves for Historical Data



# Results



#### An Outcome-based Scenario Approach for Analyzing Risk in Infrastructure Asset Management





# Amir Hessami@tamuk.edu Amir.Hessami@tamuk.edu Roger E. Smith

Picture from: https://www.coag.gov.au/infrastructure\_and\_transport