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## User Delay Cost Background

- Monetizes delay
- Calculated for each hour for each segment (TMC):

System Delay $=\frac{\text { Vehicle Miles Traveled }}{\text { Reported Speed }}-\frac{\text { Vehicle Miles Traveled }}{\text { Free Flow Speed }}$
System Delay $=\frac{\text { Vehicle Miles }}{\frac{\text { Miles }}{\text { Hour }}}-\frac{\text { Vehicle Miles }}{\frac{\text { Miles }}{\text { Hour }}}=$ Vehicle Hours

- Unadjusted Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) calculated for each hour for each segment

Vehicle Miles Travel $=$ Volume $*$ TMC Length

## Value of Time Calculations

- User Delay converted to User Delay Cost (UDC) by multiplying it by Value of Time (VOT)
System UDC = VOT * System Delay
- Separate for passenger and commercial values
- Users can specify costs
- Defaults to TTI Values

| Year | Commercial Cost | Passenger Cost |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2008 | 81.52 | 16.10 |
| 2009 | 89.75 | 16.01 |
| 2010 | 88.12 | 16.30 |
| 2011 | 86.81 | 16.79 |
| $2012^{*}$ | 86.81 | 16.79 |
| $2013^{*}$ | 86.81 | 16.79 |
| $2014^{*}$ | 86.81 | 16.79 |
| $2015^{*}$ | 86.81 | 16.79 |

* For years we do not have costs for, we use the values from the closest year


## User Delay Cost Background

## - Sample screen from VPP Suite

## Please be advised...

- The volume data used to generate this report may not be precise enough for your analysis. Read more...
- The per-person and per-vehicle costs shown are lower bounds. This algorithm is at its most accurate with contiguous freeway TMCs (as opposed to networks of roads or arterials).


## Report parameters

- Vehicle costs
- 2013 - Passenger: $\$ 16.79$ Commercial: $\$ 86.81$
- Percentage of vehicles (weighted on segment length)
- 2013 - Passenger: 90\% Commercial: 10\%
- Delay is calculated against the freeflow speed for segments whose speeds fall below average

Vehicle Type Display
All $\quad$ Total cost
Total Cos

|  | 12 AM | 1 AM | 2 AM | 3 AM | 4 AM | 5 AM | 6 AM | 7 Am | 8 AM | 9 AM | 10 Am | 11 Am | 12 PM | 1 PM | 2 PM | 3 PM | 4 PM | 5 PM | 6 PM | 7 PM | 8 PM | 9 PM | 10 PM | 11 PM | Daily Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1/01/13 | \$0.3k | \$0.3k | \$0.1K | \$0.3k | \$0.4k | \$1.3k | \$2.6k | \$2.8k | \$1.5K | \$0.6K | \$0.5K | \$0.2k | \$0.4k | \$0.7k | \$0.7k | \$0.9K | \$1.3k | \$2.2k | \$2.8k | \$1.3k | \$0.6k | \$0.7k | \$0.6K | \$0.5K | \$23.6K |
| 1/02/13 | \$0.3k | \$0.2K | \$0.1K | \$0.1K | \$0.1k | \$0.1k | \$5.3k | \$1K | \$0k | \$0.2K | \$0.5k | \$1K | \$0.2k | \$0.3k | 50.2k | \$6k | \$22.4K | \$51K | \$16.8k | \$1.4K | 50.4k | \$0.3k | \$0.5k | \$0.7k | \$109.3k |
| 1/03/13 | \$0.3 | 50.2K | 50.2 K | \$0.1K | s0. 1 K | \$0.1K | \%6.5K | \$13.5K | \$7.6K | \$1.8K | \$11.6K | \$2.4K | 50.3k | \$1.1K | s3.8k | \$6.2K | \$33k | \$29.4K | \$49.3k | 520.8k | \$0.6. | \$0.6K | \$0.5k | \$0.5k | \$190.5K |
| 1/04/13 | \$0.2k | \$0.2k | \$0.2K | \$0.2K | \$0.1K | \$0.1K | \$1.9K | \$2.1K | \$4.9K | \$0.16 | \$0.1k | \$0.3k | \$0.15 | \$0.5k | \$1.2k | \$2.7K | \$86.3k | \$140.4k | \$68.2k | \$1.6K | 50.6k | \$2.5k | \$6.1K | \$4.5K | \$325.1K |
| 1/05/13 | \$1.3k | \$0.4K | \$0.2K | \$0.2K | \$0.2K | \$0.2K | \$0.4k | \$0.2K | \$0.15 | \$0.3k | \$6.7K | \$1.2K | \$1.8k | \$1.2K | \$0.2K | \$0.4k | \$0.3k | \$1.5K | \$3.6K | \$0.9K | 50.8k | \$0.8k | \$1K | \$1K | \$24.8k |
| 1/06/13 | \$0.5K | \$0.6K | 50.3k | \$0.3K | 50.3k | \$0.4K | \%0.5K | 50.6K | \$0.6K | \$0.5K | \$0.5K | \$0.3k | \$0.3k | \$0.9K | \$0.6K | \$0.4K | \$0.2K | \$0.7k | \$1.2K | \$1.1K | \$ $\$ 2.2 \mathrm{~K}$ | \$1K | \$1K | \$0.7k | \$15.6k |
| 1/07/13 | \$0.9K | \$0.5k | \$0.6K | \$0.3k | \$0.1K | \$0.1k | \$11.7K | \$32.9k | \$6.7k | \$2.4K | \$0.16 | \$0.3k | \$0.2k | \$0.2k | \$0.3k | \$1.6K | \$22.5k | \$35.5K | \$14.sk | \$0.7k | \$1.2k | \$1.2k | \$6.5K | \$5.1K | \$146.6K |
| 1/08/13 | \$0.5K | \$0.4K | \$0.2K | \$0.2K | \$0.2k | \$0.1K | \$18.7k | \$44.6K | \$36.2k | \$10.6K | \$0.2k | \$0.3K | 50.3k | \$0.9K | \$9.5K | \$35.8k | \$50.1k | \$62.1K | \$50.2k | \$3.8K | \$ 1.1 K | \$1.4K | \% $1 \times$ | \$0.8K | \$329.2K |
| 1/09/13 | 50.4K | \$0.3K | \$0 2 K | \$0.2K | 50.1 K | \$0.2K | \&7.8k | \$17.9K | \$28.2k | \$5.8K | \$0.1k | \$0.1K | 50.3K | \$0.2K | 56.1K | \$39.9K | 838.8k | \$88.2K | \$36k | 56.6K | \$2.9K | \$0.3K | \$1.6K | \$1.1k | \$283.2K |
| 1/10/13 | \$0.3K | \$0.3K | \$0.1K | \$0.1K | \$0.1K | \$0.1K | \$13K | \$47.2K | \$45.7K | \$12K | \$0.2K | \$0.2K | \$0.3k | \$0.3K | \$2.7k | \$24.9K | \$50.1K | \$80.2K | \$42.4K | \$1.1K | \$0.6K | \$0.3k | \$1.1K | \$0.4K | \$323.9K |
| 1/11/13 | \$0.6K | \$0.2K | \$0.1K | \$0.1K | \$0.1k | \$0.1K | \$16.4K | \$43.3k | \$14.6K | \$0.3k | \$0.2k | \$0.4K | \$0.9k | \$1.3K | \$40k | \$97.8k | \$150k | \$200.9K | \$144.7K | \$18.2K | \$ $\$ 1.4 \mathrm{~K}$ | \$1.3K | \$1K | \$1K | \$734.8K |
| 1/12/13 | \$0.9K | \$0.9k | \$0.3K | \$0.3K | \$0.2K | \$0.2K | \$0.3k | \$0.3k | \$0.1K | \$0.3K | \$0.11 | \$0.3k | \$0.3k | \$0.1K | \$0.3k | \$3.6K | \$3.2k | \$1.2K | \$1.2K | \$28.2K | \$10.1K | \$1.7K | \$1K | \$1K | \$56.1k |
| 1/13/13 | \$0.4K | \$0.5K | \$0.5K | \$0.3k | \$0.5K | \$0.4K | \$0.8K | \$0.7k | \$0.3k | \$0.4K | \$1K | \$7.3K | \$23.2K | \$1.5K | \$0.8K | \$1K | \$0.9K | \$3.5K | \$4.3K | \$2K | \$1.5K | \$1.1K | \$0.9K | \$0.9K | \$54.8K |
| 1/14/13 | \$0.7K | \$0.4K | \$0.3k | \$0.2K | \$0.1k | \$1.3K | \$49K | \$55.1K | \$58.4K | \$6.6K | \$0.3k | \$0.2K | \$0.3k | \$0.6K | \$0.1K | \$3.7K | \$26.2K | \$40.4K | \$9K | \$3.8k | \$1.9K | \$2.5K | \$2.1K | \$1.1K | \$264.1K |

## Issues involved in UDC at Network Scale

- Overestimating delay due to inaccurate volumes
- First estimate a system delay ...
- Second use average trip statistic to get average user delay.


## Gotcha \#1

- Formulas imply that vehicles traverse the roadway within the allotted time period
- Safe assumption if daily, or peak period
- Dangerous assumption for hourly, 15 minute, etc.

Ex. 10 mile segment at peak congestion of 5 miles per hour

Time to traverse section - 2 hours
GREATER THAN THE REPORTING PERIOD!!!

- UMD caps max delay to evaluation period


## Gotcha \#2

- Volume from factored HPMS volumes are used
- Safe for average day or average peak hours calculation
- Dangerous specific day, specific hour

Ex. Snow storm in December in DC during rush hour, closes beltway. Reported speed is 7 mph .

Volume based on HPMS - 6000 vph
Actual volume - close to zero

- UMD adjusts volume based on traffic flow principles


## User Delay : Two Methods

## Method A

- Calculates average user delay for each segment
- Sums across all segments
- Assumes vehicle traverses the whole network


## Method B

- Calculates total delay across network
- Divide total delay by total volume
- Average delay per segment


Per User Delay $=\sum_{i} \sum_{j} \frac{U \operatorname{ser} \text { Delay }_{i j}}{V^{\text {olume }} i j}$
Per User Delay $=\frac{\sum_{i} \sum_{j} \text { User Delay }_{i j}}{\sum_{i} \sum_{j} \text { Volume }_{i j}}$
$\mathrm{i}=$ time interval $\mathrm{j}=$ segment

## User Delay Cost Two Different Ways




## User Delay Cost Spectrum



## Gotcha \#3

- Previous concepts for UDC defined in a corridor or segment context in which all vehicles are assumed to traverse entire corridor
- On a network level, this assumption is the root cause of the problem.
- Solution - calculate total vehicle delay per VMT, and multiply by average VMT per person


## User Delay Costs with Path Data $\mathrm{A} \longrightarrow \mathrm{B} \longrightarrow \mathrm{C} \longrightarrow$

| Path Volume <br> (Vehicles) |  | To |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | 200 | 300 | 100 |
| From | B |  | 400 | 350 |
|  | C |  |  | 250 |


| Path Delay <br> (Minutes) | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | B | C | D |  |
| From | A | 3 | 8 | 13 |
|  | B |  | 5 | 10 |
|  | C |  |  | 5 |

 Per User Delay $=\frac{(200 * 3)+(300 * 8) \ldots+(250 * 5)}{\sum_{i} \sum_{j} 200+300 \ldots+250}=6.9$ minutes per user

- Weighted average of the paths
- Average user delay cost
- This is what we want
- Only possible with path level data which is not available



## User Delay Costs with Path Data <br> 

| Path Volume <br> (Vehicles) |  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | 200 | 300 | 100 |  |
| From | B |  | 400 | 350 |  |
|  | C |  |  | 250 |  |



Per User Delay $=\frac{\sum_{i} \sum_{j} \text { Path Volume }_{i j} * \text { Nath }^{2} \text { Day }_{i j}}{\sum_{i} \sum_{j} \text { Pan }} \quad \mathrm{i}=$ time interval $\boldsymbol{j}=$ path Per User Delay $=\frac{(200 * 3)+(3008 D .+(250 * 5)}{\sum_{i} \sum_{j} 20+300 \ldots+250}=6.9$ minutes per user

- Weighted puerage of the paths
- Avecage user delay cost
- TAis is what we want
- Only possible with path level data which is not available



## Recommended Approach

- Calculate total delay
- Calculate total VMT
- Calculate per VMT delay total delay / total VMT

- Multiply Per VMT delay by average person miles - tricky
- Need data showing average VMT traveled by user


## Recommended Approach

- Calculate total delay
- Calculate total VMT
- Calculate per VMT delay total delay / toteal VMT

- Multiply Per VMT delay by average person miles - tricky
- Need data showing average VMT traveled by user



## VMT Rate Availability

Table 30. Daily Travel Statistics by Weekday vs. Weekend 1990 and 1995 NPTS and 2001 and 2009 NHTS.

| Daily Travel Statistics | 1990 |  | 1995 |  | 2001 |  | 2009 |  | 95\% CI |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Weekday | Sat/Sun | Weekday | Sat/Sun | Weekday | SatiSun | Weekday | Sat/Sun | Weekday | Sat/Sun |
| Vehicle Trips per Driver | 3.41 | 2.89 | 3.81 | 2.99 | 3.56 | 2.85 | 3.21 | 2.53 | 0.03 | 0.05 |
| \% work trips | 27.80\% | 9.70\% | 31.90\% | 12.50\% | 31.20\% | 10.60\% | 30.99\% | 10.14\% | 0.58 | 0.65 |
| \% non-work trips | 72.20\% | 90.30\% | 68.10\% | 87.50\% | 68.80\% | 89.40\% | 69.01\% | 89.86\% | 0.58 | 0.65 |
| VMT per Driver | 28.54 | 28.36 | 33.46 | 28.87 | 34.35 | 28.70 | 30.55 | 25.01 | 0.89 | 1.05 |
| Average Vehicle Trip Length | 8.47 | 9.96 | 8.85 | 9.73 | 9.75 | 10.22 | 9.62 | 10.03 | 0.26 | 0.46 |
| Average Time Spent Driving (in minutes) | 50.68 | 46.07 | 59.48 | 48.05 | 64.79 | 52.39 | 59.83 | 46.68 | 0.84 | 1.32 |
| Person Trips | 3.82 | 3.60 | 4.43 | 3.96 | 4.18 | 3.86 | 3.91 | 3.51 | 0.04 | 0.07 |
| Person Miles of Travel | 32.6 | 40.64 | 37.68 | 41.14 | 39.41 | 42.31 | 35.76 | 37.05 | 1.33 | 3.32 |
| Average Person Trip Length | 9.47 | 11.51 | 8.63 | 10.53 | 9.60 | 11.18 | 9.37 | 10.80 | 0.34 | 0.99 |

- Average time spent driving includes all drivers, even those who did not drive a private vehicle on the day in which the hou sehold was interviewed.
- Average trip length is calculated using only those records with trip mileage information present.
- 1990 person and vehicle trips were adjusted to account for survey collection method changes (see 2001 Summary of Travel Trends Appendix 2)
- "\% Work Trips" also includes Work-Related Business.
- NPTS is Nationwide Personal Travel Survey. Cl is Confidence Interval. VMT is Vehicle Miles of Travel. PMT is Person Miles of Travel


## National Household Travel Survey

 http://nhts.ornl.gov/2009/pub/stt.pdf
## VMT Rate Availability

## United States Department of Transportation

## Office of the Assistant Secretary for Research and Technology <br> Bureau of Transportation Statistics
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Table 5-3: Highway Vehicle-Miles Traveled (VMT): 2005, 2010
Excel|CSV

| State | 2005 |  |  | 2010 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total VMT (millions) | Estimated Population | VMT per capita | Total VMT (millions) | Estimated Population | VMT per capita |
| Alabama | 59,661 | 4,545,049 | 13,127 | 64,163 | 4,785,401 | 13,408 |
| Alaska | 5,035 | 669,488 | 7,521 | 4,798 | 714,146 | 6,719 |
| Arizona | 59,799 | 5,974,834 | 10,008 | 60,063 | 6,413,158 | 9,366 |
| Arkansas | 31,972 | 2,776,221 | 11,516 | 33,504 | 2,921,588 | 11,468 |
| California | 329,267 | 35,795,255 | 9,199 | 322,849 | 37,338,198 | 8,647 |
| Colorado | 47,962 | 4,660,780 | 10,291 | 46,940 | 5,047,692 | 9,299 |
| Connecticut | 31,675 | 3,477,416 | 9,109 | 31,294 | 3,575,498 | 8,752 |

## Bureau of Labor Statistics

http://www.rita.dot.gov/bts/sites/rita.dot.gov.bts/files/publications/state_transportation _statistics/state_transportation_statistics_2011/html/table_05_03.html

## Solution

- Calculate per VMT Delay

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Per VMT Delay }=\frac{\sum_{i} \sum_{j} \text { User } \text { Delay }_{i j}}{\sum_{i} \sum_{j} \text { Volume }_{i j} * \text { Segment Length }_{j}} \\
\qquad \mathrm{i}=\text { time interval } \mathrm{j}=\text { segment }
\end{gathered}
$$

- Multiply by average VMT for a person to get average delay per person
- Multiply by value time to get User Delay Cost


## Thank you

Reuben M. Juster, EIT
RMJcar@umd.edu
Faculty Research Assistant
University of Maryland College Park
Center for Advanced Transportation Technology
W: (301) 314-0426
M: (310) 597-2300

