ARKANSAS

Long Range Intermodal Transportation Plan





TRB Scenario Planning Conference

Jessie Jones, PE Transportation Planning and Policy Arkansas State Highway and Transportation Department

Kevin Ford Decision Lens





Visioning Workshop



Based on the workshop discussion and thinking about all of the scenarios, do you think the importance of each of the following over the next 25+ years will decrease, remain about the same or increase?



70

Based on the workshop discussion and thinking about all of the scenarios, do you think the importance of each of the following over the next 25+ years will decrease, remain about the same or increase?



Based on the workshop discussion and thinking about all of the scenarios, do you think the importance of each of the following over the next 25+ years will decrease, remain about the same or increase?



Long Range Intermodal Transportation Plan Goals



Safety and Security



Economic Competitiveness



Infrastructure Condition



Environmental Sustainability



Congestion Reduction, Mobility and System Reliability



Multimodal Transportation System

Arkansas Statewide Long Range Intermodal Transportation Plan



Goal Comparison Results







Annual Traffic Fatalities



Bridge Condition





Arkansas Long Range Intermodal Transportation Plan



Alternative Future Scenarios





Keep it Smooth – Preserve the Investment

- Focus
 - Maintain and preserve the existing highway and bridge system
 - Higher priority on highway and bridges on the National Highway System (NHS)







Think Locally – Trade Globally

- **Focus**
 - Enhance infrastructure investments that support industry retention and attraction
 - Allocate funds to increase capacity and improve system conditions on key corridors to improve freight efficiency and connectivity







Connecting Communities – Forging Opportunities

• Focus

- Increase capacity to improve economic competitiveness.
- Allocate funds to complete the Four-Lane Grid System.









Four-Lane Grid System





Bigger Cities – More Mobility



Focus

- Allocate funds to alleviate urban congestion
- Emphasis on:
 - Congested corridors
 - Increasing transit operations
 - Preserving the existing urban system
 - Addressing gaps in the bicycle and pedestrian network



Bigger Cities Growth Scenario



Funding Scenarios









Bigger Cities Image: Consection Index Connecting Communities Image: Cities Think Locally Image: Cities 0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7

2040 Capacity

Annual Hours of Non-Truck Delay





2040 Bridges





Public Transportation





Scenario Comparison

Strategy Name	Keep it Smooth	Think Locally - Trade Globally	Connecting Communities	Bigger Cities
Strategy Cost	\$ 600.7 M	\$ 600.7 M	\$ 600.7 M	\$ 600.7 M
Percent Good Interstate Miles	98	98	98	98
Percent Good NonInterstate NHS Miles	77.23	71.9	67.1	67.36
Percent Good NHS Miles	78.01	72.9	70.35	70.49
Percent Poor Interstate Deck Area	9.03	41.39	60.8	41.39
Percent Poor NonInterstate NHS Bridge Deck Area	9.69	32.97	41.64	32.97
Percent Poor NHS Bridge Deck Area	9.38	36.92	50.63	36.92
Reduction in 2040 NonTruck Delay Million Hours	4.17	28.13	28.6	27.24
Percent Peak LOS D or Better Miles	99.62	99.76	99.76	99.75
Regional Congestion Index	0.62	0.55	0.43	0.59
Reduction in 2040 Freight Congestion Cost in Millions	28.7	179.2	253.1	185.6
Reduction in 2040 Truck Delay Thousand Hours	224	1432	1880	1455
Average Freeway Speed in mph	53.16	55.62	56.22	54.98
Change in 2040 Freeway Million VMT	0.32	1.51	3.49	0.22
Percent Maintenance Needs Met	30.62	30.62	30.62	30.62
Percent ITS Needs Met	77	142	142	142
Percent Public Transportation Needs Met	6.04	7.85	7.85	53.69
Percent Bike Ped Needs	2.5	2.5	2.5	25



Performance-based Scenario Development

Portfolio Goal: Optimize LRITP Programmatic Investment Areas

Safety and Security

- 🖹 % of Bridge Deck Area on the Interstate in Poor Condition
- 🗈 % of Bridge Deck Area on the Non-Interstate NHS in Poor Condition
- B % of Pavement on the Interstate in Good Condition (based on PSR/IRI)
- 🗈 % of Pavement on the Non-Interstate NHS in Good Condition (based on PSR/IRI)
- Congestion Reduction, Mobility and System Reliability
 - 🗈 Change in 2040 Annual Hours of Non-Truck Delay Relative to No-Build
 - Change in % Lane-Miles with Level-of-Service D or Better during Peak Hours Relative to No-Build
 - Change in Regional Congestion Index Relative to No-Build
 - 🗈 Economic Competitiveness
 - Change in 2040 Freight Congestion Cost Relative to No-Build
 - Change in 2040 Annual Hours of Truck Delay Relative to No-Build
 - Environmental Sustainability
 - Multimodal Transportation System



Priority Driven Scenario

- Scenario represents the optimal allocation of resources given
 - Stakeholder priorities
 - Must-hit Performance
 Targets
 - Program equity constraints



Trade-offs



• Interactive Controls enable exploratory tradeoff & financially-constrained analyses



Decision Lens Live Demo

What if? Analysis















What if? Wanted Peak











Summary

- Using scenario planning to engage stakeholders and the public
- Linking resource allocation to system performance
- Setting realistic priorities and targets
- Understanding trade-offs
- Informs leadership

Questions

Jessie Jones, PE Arkansas State Highway and Transportation Department

jessie.jones@ahtd.ar.gov

Kevin Ford Decision Lens kford@decisionlens.com





Project Website http://www.wemovearkansas.com





Online Engagement









Help Privacy About MetroQuest



Funding and Performance









Arkansas Long Range Intermodal Transportation Plan