## Classifying California Truck Activity Using Loop Sensors

### **Innovations in Freight Data Workshop:** Technologies for Monitoring, Tracking, and Data Collection

Andre Tok May 17th, 2017











# **Trailer Configurations**



Which of the following corridors has seen the highest volume of double belly dump trailer trucks in 2017?





Which of the following corridors has seen the highest volume of double belly dump trailer trucks in 2017?



SR-14 @ Newhall Ave (ILD), ILD site Summary Data for Tuesday, Apr 25 2017																											
Click on individual summary volume counts to obtain detailed hourly breakdown by body class																											
Vehi		NB (Truck Lanes Only)										SB (Truck Lanes Only)															
Pas		21173											15512														
Si	ngle U	lnit Tru	ick								3619						2495										
Truck	with S	ingle	Trailer								585										534						
Tracto	or with	Semi-	Trailer								1815						1583										
Tractor v		673										660															
SR-14 @ Newhall Ave (ILD): NB (Truck Lanes Or														2 0	Clas	s M	Multi: Breakdown by Hour of Day										
Body Class	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	Total		
Agricultural Van				1																					1		
Bottom/Belly Dump	2	12	13	15	5	7	14	13	29	32	33	35	35	39	36	13	9	6	6	10	2	8	6	5	385		
Enclosed Van	5	2	1	2	4	1					1	2	2					2	2			з	9	5	41		
End Dump																	1								1		
<u>Hopper</u>			1		1				2	3	1	2	1	2	3	1	1								18		
Platform/Tank	6	8	7	7	4	16	10	9	12	13	10	10	17	11	10	17	5	7	8	2	7	7	10	4	217		
Van/Platform (Low Chassis)	1							1	1		1			2	2		1	1							10		
SR-14 @	Nev	vha	II Av	/e (l	LD)	: SE	3 (Ti	ruck	La	nes	On	ly),	Tier	20	Clas	s M	ulti:	Bre	eak	dow	/n b	y He	our	of C	Day		
Body Class	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	Total		
Agricultural Van								1																	1		
Bottom/Belly Dump	11	1	4	8	11	35	25	23	26	22	29	23	34	23	16	5	7	14	19	10	13	5	3	12	379		
Enclosed Van		1		3	3	7	3	5	2	3	1	1	1	2			2		1	1	1				37		
End Dump				1																					1		
Hopper	1				1		1	з	5	2	з	1	3	1	з					1	2			1	28		
Platform/Tank	8	4	4	9	20	13	11	12	12	10	10	12	12	6	6	з	4	5	8	7	8	11	6	з	204		
Van/Platform			1	1	1			1			2	1	1				1			10							



What is the approximate daily weekday volume of logging trucks on I-80 heading from the Sierra Nevada east bound towards Sacramento in November 2016?







What is the approximate daily weekday volume of logging trucks on I-80 heading from the Sierra Nevada east bound towards Sacramento in November 2016?



						Sı	I-80 @ Immary	) Web Data	ber L for Ti	.n (ILI uesda	D), IL ıy, N	D site ov 15	e 2016	i												2		R	~							
		Click on individual summary volume counts to obtain detailed hourly breakdown by body class															1	422		72	Plumas	2 -														
		Vehicle Cate	EB (Truck Lanes Only)									WB (Truck Lanes Only)									27		R	Vational		1										
2	20	Passenger Vehicle				35326									35969										35989							25	3	Forest	1 ton	a free
а.	50	Single Unit Truck						3	412										3217					ch	ico	3	A	No.	~	SI						
		Truck with Single Trailer						3	073										3075					3	N	15	کر تعز	$\int$	E. Ja	20						
		Tractor with Multuiple	Tractor with Semi-Inailers					:	252										275					4		4-94	10 J	مسمع	the second second							
<b>D</b> .	50																						13	1 2 1	1	f.	N	Tahoe Iational								
			1-80 @ W	/ebber L	n (ILD)	: WB (		anes	Only)	, Tier	2 CI	ass S	iemi:	Breal	kdow	/n by	Hour	of Day	/								· 2	T	my	Forest						
		Body Class	00 01	02 0	3 04	05	06 07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14 1	15	16 1	7 18	19	20	21	22 2	23	Total		÷. )	1m	Tim	1 7	P							
ſ	100	20ft Container	4	2 3	3 4	1	4 5	2	1	5	4	9	4	5	3	1		2		1	2	1	63		Yuba	Try G	Ę.	K		17						
<b>U</b> .	TOO	40ft Container Reefer	3	3	/ 8 3 3	U U	4 2	5	•	о 1	3	2	1	-	0 2	1 1	2	3	2		1	1	123	IN L		1X		2 hours	and the second second	~ / . Ť						
		53ft Container	3 1		1	2	2	1	3	9	6	12	8	3	6	12 0	3	2	3	2	2	3	90			XIT	7 2		5	Eldorado						
	200	Agriculture	1		2			4		1		1	2	1	1								13		(I)			F	and and	National Forest						
α.	200	Auto			1		3 1	1	1	3	3	5	6		2	3 6	4	1	2	1	1		44			-	46	4M	E-	E_						
		Beverage	1			1	1			1		6	1	1	3	1 2	1	1	1				21		Sa	cramen	to	Ni		ANGL .						
		Bulk Waste					1				2	2			1		2	4	1				13			116	J.	my	34	1 - M						
		Drop Frame Van	1		1 1		5 3	5	7	5	5	8	6	3	1	5 1	2	4	3	2	5	1	74		2.1	2	6	X	X	E. L						
		Dump Englaced Van (EHMA) 8)	2			1	4	2	6	3	3	3	1	1	1	1 。			1	1			29	field	Long L	12	S	frend	X	59						
		Enclosed Van (FHVA 9)	26 25	19 1	9 36	24	39 31	36	38	45	44	55	70	80 8	53	° 3	) 40	37	29	24	42 3	28	919			201	Yr7		AN	2						
		Enclosed Van Reefer (FHWA 8)						2					1	1	1	2 1							8	Sard -	4			A	+ 5	no-						
		Enclosed Van Reefer (FHWA 9)	21 27	22 2	8 25	32	25 30	32	22	38	43	32	57	37	43	25 30	23	24	31	12	29	16	702	600	Che	• St	ockton			XX						
		Livestock			7	-	F 1		2	2		4			2	• •	2	2			-		59		A.	S-+			Sin Mark	55						
		Logging			14	15	13 15	11	19	20	12	12	14	28	19	4 3	3			2	1		205	ard	The	₹.		YT	the second	-2-5						
		Low Boy Platform	-		2 2	3	• /	10		10	12	14	•	•	1	•				2	2	2	142	emont	1	1	15	Modesto	~ ~	N						
		Open Top Van	1 2		4 6	10	5 2	7	3	8	3	3	9	4	5	3 1	1	1	1	1	3	2	83		(THE	.ar		24	A							
		Platform	2	3 0	0 6 4 2	9	11 15	20	9	22	22	28	18	17	8	• 1	12	11	5	6	0	2	288		0.20	A.	Y	Zim	X	N. 1						
		19114					0		-		-	•			· ·	• •				× I	-	-	103	Janjo	1 6	25	<u>بر</u>	LAS	to and							

# **Existing Truck Activity Data Sources**

### Mobile



- GPS
- Telematics

### **Static / Count Data**



- Weigh-In-Motion (WIM)
- Automatic Vehicle Classifier (AVC) System

### Surveys



- 2002 National Vehicle Inventory and Use Survey (VIUS)
- 2016/17 California VIUS
- Regional Intercept Surveys

# **The Research Question**

Can we leverage existing infrastructure to provide detailed truck activity data at the statewide level to meet freight modeling and analysis needs?

# **Our Solution**

Develop comprehensive cutting-edge classification models that

- can be implemented at <u>existing traffic detector sites</u>
- to provide truck activity data by industry-affiliated configuration
- using <u>advanced inductive signature technology</u>

## Loops are out there! Common In-Pavement Detection Systems:



Standalone Inductive Loop Detector System



Automatic Vehicle Classifier (AVC) System with Piezo Sensors



Weigh-In-Motion (WIM) System



# Inductive Signature Technology

- Conventional ILD measure bivalent outputs
  - Produce traffic counts, not truck counts
- Advanced ILD measure inductance changes  $\rightarrow$  'Inductive Signature'
  - Inductive signatures are indicative of body configuration



## **How Distinctive Are Inductive Signatures?**

#### **Enclosed Van**



#### Livestock



#### Low Boy Platform





#### Drop Frame Van



#### **Basic Platform**



#### Tanks



#### Sample FHWA Class 9 (5- Axle Semi-Trailer) signatures by trailer configuration

# Data Collection Effort for Model Development and Validation

- 18 sites across California
- Over 140 hours of data with more than 40,000 truck records captured and processed

### 1-5 @ Redding I-5 @ Willows -80 @ Webber Ln I-680 @ Oak Park Blvd SR-205 @ Mountain House Pkwy I-580 @ Corral Hollow Rd SR-99 @ Barstow Ave SR-46 @ Hunter Ranch SR-99 @ Schuster Rd. SR-46 @ SR-99 US-101 n/o SR-46 5 @ 7th Standard US-101 n/o Los Osos Valley - And 710 s/o Willowerne I-405 @ Edward St I-5 n/o SR-76\_SR-78 w/o Jefferson **Data Collection Sites**



Data collection setup

-5 @ Chinguapin

## **Data Groundtruth Process**

Vehicle axle and body configuration entries, and inductive signature and WIM data are manually linked in a database through a custom groundtruth interface

Vehicle axle and body configuration data entry form

Inductive Signature and WIM Data (where applicable)



## **Body Classification Model Architecture**



# Selected Body Classification Model Results

### Tier 3 Multi-Unit Single Trailer Results by Body Type

				Tra	ining	Comn Vali	non Site dation	Inde Site V	ependent Validation
•	Enclosed van		Body Type	CCR	Samples	CCR	Samples	CCR	Samples
•	Agriculture		7 Enclosed Van Group	97%	8762	95%	3548	92%	950
•	53ft Container		Tank/Dump	78%	780	64%	340	77%	130
			Platform Group	84%	2071	77%	835	75%	197
•	Platform		40ft Container	79%	536	80%	247	84%	123
•	Container Chassis		20ft Container	77%	124	68%	50	44%	43
•	Drop frame van		Auto	94%	93	75%	40	54%	13
			Livestock	97%	74	83%	30	80%	5
			Logging	91%	81	100%	12	-	-
			Overall CCR	93%	12521	89%	5102	85%	1468

# Signature Implementation at ILD Sites

- Upgrading hardware at ILD sites is straightforward
  - Simple swapping of advanced detector cards in roadside traffic cabinet
  - Installation of field processing unit
  - Setup configuration of advanced detector cards
  - No need for in-pavement installation requiring traffic closures
  - Existing traffic operations are not compromised

Conventional **Detector Cards** 





Before

After Hardware setup at I-15 freeway in Fallbrook

Solid-State Field **Processing Unit** 

- Independent wireless communications
- **Receives and processes** signature data from detector cards via USB
- Advanced Signature **Detector Cards**

## **Total Currently Deployed Sites**



## The Outcome: Truck Activity Monitoring System (TAMS) A truck counting system that is...



# LIVE DEMO

- 1. Walk Through TAMS Web Interface
- 2. Live Classification Demo



industry

restricted truck corridors

# Just Getting Started: A platform for future research and applications

- Analysis of archived raw signature data
- Identify alternative energy trucks?
- Profile overloaded trucks?
- Improved models for traffic census
- State-wide self-learning truck classification system
- Improved tracking through integration with other data sources such as Bluetooth / GPS

