TABLE 1 : CONNECTIVITY SOLUTIONS

| Solution | Species Group | Region | Mitigation Type | Timing Of Solution/ Evaluation | Impact Reduction Benefits | Cost Range | Design Considerations | |
|---|--|---------------|-------------------------------|---|---|--|---|---|
| Shift Alignment - Prevent or reduce in | mpact through alte | ration to the | proposed road alig | nment such that th | ne connectivity fu | inction can be maintained | | |
| Examples: | • Multi- species | VT | Minimization | Project Planning/ Alternatives Analysis | | | Shift road alignment at least 100 ft away from edge of Missisquoi River and restore area to functional riparian habitat | Austin, J.M., M connectivity a Vermont: an o Transportatior Environment, http://escholar |
| INSTALL STRUCTURE - Provide over | pass, underpass, c | or at-grade c | ross to facilitate wil | dlife passage over | , under or across | the roadway | | |
| Overpass: Grade separation structure | e designed to allow | v wildlife to | cross over an inters | ecting highway or | railroad, usually | covered with vegetation | | |
| | Carnivores/large herbivores/small - medium sized mammals/flying animals/reptiles & amphibians | ALL | Minimization/ Compensation | Project Planning/ Alternatives Analysis | Maintain connectivity between core habitats; maintain biodiversity; reduce WVCs | >\$1 million | Size range >40 m wideWidth required increases with length of overpass (width to length ratio should be >0.8) Designed to resemble natural habitat | Bissonette, J./ Effectiveness Academies, W http://onlinepu |
| Examples: | Ungulates/multi- species | Alberta | Minimization/ Compensation | | Maintain connectivity between core habitats; maintain biodiversity; reduce WVCs | \$1,688,993/overpass (2007); IN CONSTRUCTION - \$3,290,000 - \$3,760,000 for Lake Louise Area of Park including traffic control & detour; fencing \$69/m (2007 \$) (Huijser et al. 2008) | 52-m wide x 70 m long overpasses (Huijser et al. 2008) Openness ratio =5.41 (Clevenger and Waltho 2005) Planted with native grasses/shrubs/white spruce (Gloyne and Clevenger 2001) Lake Louise overpass - 60m wide across 2-lane road (Huijser et al. 2008) | Clevenger, A.I Crossing Struct 453-464 <u>http://biology.t</u> Gloyne, C.C. a structures on t 117-124. <u>http://www.wilk</u> M.P. Huijser, F reduction stud Transportation |
| | | | | | | | | nttp://www.tnv |
| Bridge underpass: structure (>20') including supports, erected over a depression or obstruction and having a floor for carrying traffic or other moving loads | | | | | | | | |
| Examples: | Carnivores/large herbivores/small - medium sized mammals/flying animals/reptiles & amphibians, aquatic animals | ALL | Minimization/ Compensation | Project Planning/ Alternatives Analysis | Maintain connectivity between core habitats; maintain biodiversity; reduce WVCs | | Location in the landscape influences effectiveness Light in the underpass will increase openness and therefore, may be helpful for some species | Bissonette, J./ Effectiveness Academies, W http://onlinepu |
| | Bighorn sheep | AZ | | | Maintain connectivity between core habitats; maintain biodiversity; reduce WVCs | | Openness ratios: = 75, 28, 56 (highest was most successful) | Bristow, K. an of desert bigh Transporation http://www.azo |
| | Mountain goat | MT | | | Maintain connectivity between core habitats; maintain biodiversity; reduce WVCs | | Openness ratio = 25-57 12-28 feet h x 90 ft w x 44 ft through 8-ft fencing | Singer, F.J., W underpasses abstract only |

Source(s)

M. Ferguson, G. Gingras, and G. Bakos. 2003. Strategies for restoring ecological and establishing wildlife passage for the upgrade of Route 78 in Swanton, overview. IN: Proceedings of the 2003 International Conference on Ecology and n, Eds. C.L. Irwin, P. Garrett, K.P. McDermott. Center for Transportation and the North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC: pp. 253-259.

ship.org/uc/item/50q5q4m7

A. and P.C. Cramer. NCHRP Report 615: Evaluation of the Use and of Wildlife Crossings. Transportation Research Board of the National Vashington D.C., 2008.

bs.trb.org/onlinepubs/nchrp/nchrp_rpt_615.pdf

P. and N. Waltho. 2005. Performance Indices to Identify Attributes of Highway actures Facilitating Movement of large Mammals. Biological Conservation 121(3):

ucf.edu/~rnoss/papers/Clevenger%20and%20Waltho%202005.pdf

and A.P. Clevenger. 2001. Cougar (Puma concolor) use of wildlife crossing the Trans-Canada highway in Banff National Park, Alberta. Wildlife Biology 7:

Idlifebiology.com/Downloads/Article/326/En/7_2_gloyne.pdf

P. McGowen, A.P. Clevenger, and R. Ament. 2008. Wildlife-vehicle collision dy: best practices manual. Report to Congress. U.S. Department of n, Federal Highway Administration.

wa.dot.gov/environment/hconnect/wvc/index.htm

A. and P.C. Cramer. NCHRP Report 615: Evaluation of the Use and of Wildlife Crossings. Transportation Research Board of the National Nashington D.C., 2008.

ubs.trb.org/onlinepubs/nchrp/nchrp_rpt_615.pdf

nd M. Crabb. 2008. Evaluation of Distribution and Trans-highway movment norn sheep: Arizona Highway 68. Final Report 588. Arizona Department of

dot.gov/TPD/ATRC/publications/project_reports/PDF/AZ588.pdf

N.L. Langlitz, and E.C. Samuelson. 1985. Design and construction of highway used by mountain goats. Transportation Research Record. 1016:6-10

| Solution | Species | Pagion | Mitigation Type | Timing Of Solution/ | Impact Reduction Bonofits | Cost Pango | Design Considerations | |
|----------|---|---------|-------------------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|
| | Florida panther/ | FL | Mitigation Type | LValuation | Maintain connectivity | | Openness ratio = 0.92-1.12 Underpass has 22.3 m median opening | Foster, M.L. a other wildlife. |
| | alligators | | | | between core habitats; maintain biodiversity; reduce WVCs | | 3 m high fence | http://www.jsto |
| | Multi-species | NC | | | Maintain connectivity between core habitats; maintain biodiversity; reduce WVCs | | Openness ratio = 2.48-4.03 3 m high fencing ≥800 m from underpasses (continued through underpasses to other side) One underpass has a stream | McCollister, M Fencing to Re 1731. http://onlinelib |
| | • Elk | AZ | | | Maintain connectivity between core habitats; maintain biodiversity; reduce WVCs | \$1.5 - 2 million/underpass; video/cameras -\$7000 | Openness ratios - 12.3 and 5.5 Minimize length or add atrium Avoid areas with human disturbance Some underpasses with streams | Dodd, N.L., J. Measures to M Arizona Route http://www.aze |
| | Mountain lions, multi- species | Alberta | | | Maintain connectivity between core habitats; maintain biodiversity; reduce WVCs | \$675,597-965,139 (2007) - 12m w x 30m l underpass; IN CONSTRUCTION - Lake Louise area - \$2,350,000 (2007) incl traffic control & detour (16-25m w under- pass); fencing \$69/m (2007 \$) (Huijser et al. 2008) | Open span /creek=3m h x 11 m w (Phase 1 &2) 12m w x 5 m high underpass (Phase 3A) (Huijser et al. 2008) Openness ratio =0.4-1.25 minimize human disturbance/use (Clevenger and Waltho 2000) | Gloyne, C.C. a structures on t 117-124. <u>http://www.wik</u> M.P. Huijser, F reduction stud Transportation <u>http://www.fhw</u> Clevenger, A.F underpasses i <u>http://www.tran</u> |
| | Multi-species | Ontario | Minimizaton/ Compensation | | Maintain connectivity between core habitats; maintain biodiversity; reduce WVCs | bridge =\$1.2 million; | 81 m open span bridge | Gartshore, R. Richmond Hill Environmenta 2005 Internati Garrett, and K North Carolina http://www.ico |
| | Large herbivores, carnivores, small & medium-sized mammals | MT | Minimization/ Compensation | | Maintain connectivity between core habitats; maintain biodiversity; reduce WVCs | \$435,340 (2007\$); fencing \$27-42/m (Huijser et al. 2008) | Open span bridge 12m w x 30 m l (height unknown) (Huiijser et al. 2008) | M.P. Huijser, F reduction stuc Transportation http://www.fhv Huijser, M.P., Collision and between Evar http://www.mc oct10.pdf |
| | Multi-species | VT | Minimization/ Compensation | | Maintain connectivity between core habitats; maintain biodiversity; reduce WVCs | | 500-ft wide bridge span over wetland/upland habitat complex | Austin, J.M., M connectivity a Vermont: an o Transportation Environment, http://escholar |

nd S.R. Humphrey. 1995. Use of highway underpasses by Florida panthers and Wildlife Society Bulletin 23(1): 95-100

or.org/pss/3783202

1.F. and F.T. Van Manen. 2010. Effectiveness of Wildlife Underpasses and educe Wildlife-Vehicle Collisions. Journal of Wildlife Management 74(8): 1722-

rary.wiley.com/doi/10.2193/2009-535/abstract

W. Gagnon, S. Boe, A. Manzo, and R.E. Schweinsburg. 2007. Evaluation of Minimize Wildlife-Vehicle Collisions and Maintain Permeability across highways: e 260. Final Report 540. Arizona Department of Transportation.

dot.gov/TPD/ATRC/publications/project_reports/PDF/AZ540.pdf

and A.P. Clevenger. 2001. Cougar (Puma concolor) use of wildlife crossing the Trans-Canada highway in Banff National Park, Alberta. Wildlife Biology 7:

dlifebiology.com/Downloads/Article/326/En/7 2 gloyne.pdf

P. McGowen, A.P. Clevenger, and R. Ament. 2008. Wildlife-vehicle collision ly: best practices manual. Report to Congress. U.S. Department of n, Federal Highway Administration.

va.dot.gov/environment/hconnect/wvc/index.htm

P. and N. Waltho. 2000. Factors influencing the effectiveness of wildlife in Banff National Park, Alberta, Canada. Conservation Biology 14(1): 47-56.

nswildalliance.org/resources/200884165345.pdf

. G., M. Purchase, R.I. Rook,and L. Scott. Bayview Avenue Extension, II, Ontario, Canada Habitat Creation and Wildlife Crossings in a Contenious al Setting: A Case Study (September 2005). Pages 55-76 IN Proceedings of the ional Conference on Ecology and Transportation, edited by C. Leroy Irwin, Paul K.P. McDermott. Raleigh, NC: Center for Transportation and the Environment, a State University, 2006.

et.net/ICOET_2005/proceedings/2005ICOETProceedingWeb.pdf

P. McGowen, A.P. Clevenger, and R. Ament. 2008. Wildlife-vehicle collision dy: best practices manual. Report to Congress. U.S. Department of n, Federal Highway Administration.

va.dot.gov/environment/hconnect/wvc/index.htm

T.D.H. Allen, and W.Camel. 2010. US 93 Post-Construction Wildlife-Vehicle Wildlife Crossing Monitoring and Research on the Flathead Indian Reservation ro and Polson, Montana. Annual Report. Montana Department of Transportation.

dt.mt.gov/research/docs/research_proj/wildlife_crossing/phaseii/annual_report_

M. Ferguson, G. Gingras, and G. Bakos. 2003. Strategies for restoring ecological and establishing wildlife passage for the upgrade of Route 78 in Swanton, overview. IN: Proceedings of the 2003 International Conference on Ecology and on, Eds. C.L. Irwin, P. Garrett, K.P. McDermott. Center for Transportation and the North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC: pp. 253-259.

ship.org/uc/item/50q5q4m7

| Solution | Species Group | Region_ | Mitigation Type | Timing Of Solution/ Evaluation | Impact Reduction Benefits | Cost Range | Design Considerations | |
|---|--|-------------|-------------------------------|---|---|---|--|--|
| Culvert - covered with embankment around entire perimeter | Carnivores/small - medium sized | ALL | Minimization/ Compensation | Project Planning/ Alternatives | Maintain connectivity | | Location in the landscape influences effectiveness Light in the underpass will increase openness and therefore, may | Bissonette, J., Effectiveness |
| | animals/reptiles & amphibians, | | | Analysis | core habitats; maintain | | be nelptul for some species | http://onlinepu |
| | | | | | reduce WVCs | | | |
| Box culvert - culvert with a square or | rectangular cross- | sectional p | rofile having 4 sides | , including a botto | m. | 1 | | 1 |
| Examples: CLASS 1: Small; ≤1.5 m (5 ft) | Some medium- sized mammals, aquatic animals, small mammals, reptiles & amphibians | ALL | Minimization/ Compensation | Project Planning/ Alternatives Analysis | Maintain connectivity between core habitats; maintain biodiversity; reduce WVCs | | | |
| | Spotted salamander/ mole salamanders | MA | Minimization | | Maintain connectivity between core habitats; maintain biodiversity; reduce WVCs | | Bury drift fence 6-10 cm (Jackson and Tyning 1989) Tunnels 200 m apart (FHWA Critter Crossings website); or 200 ft. apart (Jackson 2003). Min. 2 ft. x 2ft concrete culverts, open grate top and soil bottom (Jackson 2003) Culvert wingwalls and min. 18-inch high vertical walls extend 100 to 200 feet in length (Jackson 2003) | Jackson, S.D. spotted salam proceedings of http://www.um and_roads.pd Jackson, Scot Tunnels in Ne Massachusett http://www.um FHWA Critter http://www.fhv |
| | Otter, beaver, muskrat, herps | VT | Minimization/ Compensation | | Maintain connectivity between core habitats; maintain biodiversity; reduce WVCs | | Concrete wetland box culverts min. 4' wide Open grate, trapezoidal cast concrete amphibian tunnels | Austin, J.M., M connectivity an Vermont: an o Transportation Environment, http://escholar |
| | Santa Cruz long-toed salamander | CA | Minimization/ Compensation | | Maintain connectivity between core habitats; maintain biodiversity; reduce WVCs | | • Five 32cm h x 47cm w and one 21 cm h x 23 cm w tunnels constructed of non-abrasive cement polymer with slots along top Entrances screened with wire mesh (5cm x 10 cm) to reduce predator access Permanent fencing 40 cm h, curved | Allaback, M.L. long-toed sala http://www.tws |
| | Small & medium-sized mammals | МТ | Minimization/ Compensation | | Maintain connectivity between core habitats; maintain biodiversity; reduce WVCs | \$70,932 (2007 \$); fencing \$27-42/m (Huijser et al. 2008) | Concrete box culverts, 1.2m w x 1.8m h x 27.5m l (Huijser et al. 2008) Openness ratio =0.08 | M.P. Huijser, F reduction stud Transportation http://www.fhw Huijser, M.P., ⁷ Collision and ¹ between Evan http://www.mc oct10.pdf |

A. and P.C. Cramer. NCHRP Report 615: Evaluation of the Use and of Wildlife Crossings. Transportation Research Board of the National Nashington D.C., 2008.

ubs.trb.org/onlinepubs/nchrp/nchrp_rpt_615.pdf

and T.F. Tyning. 1989. Effectiveness of drift fences and tunnels for moving nanders under roads. Pp. 93-99 In T.E.S. Langton (ed.) Amphibians and Roads. of the toad tunnel conference. ACO Polymer Products, Shefford, England.

nassextension.org/NREC/images/stories/linked_content/pdf_files/amphibians_ If

ott. 2003. Proposed Design and Considerations for Use of Amphibian and Reptile ew England. Department of Natural Resources Conservation, University of tts Amherst.

nass.edu/nrec/pdf_files/herp_tunnels.pdf

Crossings Website

wa.dot.gov/environment/wildlifecrossings/salamand.htm

M. Ferguson, G. Gingras, and G. Bakos. 2003. Strategies for restoring ecological and establishing wildlife passage for the upgrade of Route 78 in Swanton, overview. IN: Proceedings of the 2003 International Conference on Ecology and n, Eds. C.L. Irwin, P. Garrett, K.P. McDermott. Center for Transportation and the North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC: pp. 253-259.

rship.org/uc/item/50q5q4m7

.. and D.M. Laabs. 2002-03. Effectiveness of road tunnels for the Santa Cruz amander. Transactions of the Western section of the Wildlfie Society 38/39:5-8.

s-west.org/transactions/Allaback%20Laabs.pdf

P. McGowen, A.P. Clevenger, and R. Ament. 2008. Wildlife-vehicle collision dy: best practices manual. Report to Congress. U.S. Department of n, Federal Highway Administration.

wa.dot.gov/environment/hconnect/wvc/index.htm

T.D.H. Allen, and W.Camel. 2010. US 93 Post-Construction Wildlife-Vehicle Wildlife Crossing Monitoring and Research on the Flathead Indian Reservation ro and Polson, Montana. Annual Report. Montana Department of Transportation.

dt.mt.gov/research/docs/research_proj/wildlife_crossing/phaseii/annual_report_

| | Species | | | Timing Of Solution/ | Impact Reduction | | | |
|--|--|---------|-------------------------------|---|---|--|---|--|
| Solution | Group | Region | Mitigation Type | Evaluation | Benefits | Cost Range | Design Considerations | |
| CLASS 2: Medium ; >1.5 m (5 ft) to 2.4 x 2.4 m (8 ft) | Large carnivores, small & medium- sized mammals, reptiles & amphibians, aquatic animals, some flying animals | ALL | Minimization/ Compensation | Project Planning/ Alternatives Analysis | Maintain connectivity between core habitats; maintain biodiversity; reduce WVCs | | | |
| | Multi-species | FL | Minimization/ Compensation | | Maintain connectivity between core habitats; maintain biodiversity; reduce WVCs | | 2.4x2.4m submerged culverts 1.8x1.8m dry culverts Openness ratio <0.6 Concrete barrier wall 1.1 m h, 15.2 cm overhanging lip; wall runs 2.8 km e and 2.5 km w | Dodd, C.K., W. in reducing wik 118: 619-631. http://www.scie user=10&_cov origin=browse 4%23498300% acct=C000050 8c3ed58de&se |
| CLASS 3: Large - 2.4 m x 6.1 m (8x20 ft) or 3.1 x 3.1 m (10x10ft) to open span bridges | Large herbivores, large carnivores, small & medium- sized mammals, reptiles & amphibians, aquatic animals, some flying animals | ALL | Minimization/ Compensation | Project Planning/ Alternatives Analysis | Maintain connectivity between core habitats; maintain biodiversity; reduce WVCs | | | |
| | Florida panther | FL | Minimization/ Compensation | | Maintain connectivity between core habitats; maintain biodiversity; reduce WVCs | | Openness ratio = 1.2 2.4 m h x 7.3 m w, 14.6 m l | Land, D. and M other wildlife in Proceedings of Tallahassee, F |
| | Mountain lions; multi- species | Alberta | Minimization/ Compensation | | Maintain connectivity between core habitats; maintain biodiversity; reduce WVCs | \$217,156-241,285 (2007 \$) (4x7); \$173,725 (2007 \$) (2.5x3); IN CONSTRUC- TION - Lake Louise area 3-4m w and h \$940,000 incl traffic control & detour; fenc- ing \$69/m (2007 \$) (Huijser et al. 2008) | Metal culvert= 4m h x 7 m w, concrete box culvert= 2.5m h x 3m w; all crossings with dirt substrate (Phase 1&2) (Gloyne and Clevenger 2001) Metal culverts 3.5m h x 4.2m w x 96m l & 4m h x 7m w x 56 l, openness ratio =0.15-0.5 (Clevenger and Waltho 2000) | Gloyne, C.C. a structures on tt 117-124. <u>http://www.wild</u> Clevenger, A.P underpasses ir M.P. Huijser, P. reduction study Transportation <u>http://www.fhw</u> |
| | Black bear | FL | Minimization/ Compensation | | Maintain connectivity between core habitats; maintain biodiversity; reduce WVCs | | Openness ratio- 1.22 2.4m h x 7.3 m w x 14.3 m l 3 m fence with barbed wire - 0.6 km to west, 1.1 km to east; bury fence | Roof, J. and J. Florida. 7 pp. In Transportation mortality semin ER-58-96. http://www.icoe |

J. Barichivich, and L.L. Smith. 2005. Effectiveness of a barrier wall and culverts dlife mortality on a heavily traveled highway in Florida. Biological Conservation

encedirect.com/science?_ob=ArticleURL&_udi=B6V5X-4BG8TPH-1&_ rerDate=08%2F31%2F2004&_rdoc=8&_fmt=high&_orig=browse&_ &_zone=rslt_list_item&_srch=doc-info(%23toc%235798%232004%2399881999 %23FLA%23display%23Volume)&_cdi=5798&_sort=d&_docanchor=&_ct=15&_ 0221&_version=1&_urlVersion=0&_userid=10&md5=c2e64825118ceaaf42f6be7 earchtype=a

M. Lotz. 1996. Wildlife crossing designs and use by florida panthers and in southwest Florida. In G.L. Evink, P.A. Garrett, D. Zeigler, and J. Berry, eds. of the International Conf. on Wildlife Ecology and Transportation. June, 1996. FL. FL DOT FL-ER 58-96.

et.net/downloads/96paper26.pdf

and A.P. Clevenger. 2001. Cougar (Puma concolor) use of wildlife crossing he Trans-Canada highway in Banff National Park, Alberta. Wildlife Biology 7:

dlifebiology.com/Downloads/Article/326/En/7_2_gloyne.pdf

P. and N. Waltho. 2000. Factors influencing the effectiveness of wildlife n Banff National Park, Alberta, Canada. Conservation Biology 14(1): 47-56.

P. McGowen, A.P. Clevenger, and R. Ament. 2008. Wildlife-vehicle collision y: best practices manual. Report to Congress. U.S. Department of p. Federal Highway Administration.

va.dot.gov/environment/hconnect/wvc/index.htm

. Wooding. 1996. Evaluation of the S.R. 46 wildlife crossing in Lake County, In G.L. Evink, P. Garrett, D. Zeigler and J. Berry (eds.) Trends in Addressing Related Wildlife Mortality, proceedings of the transportation related wildlife nar. State of Florida Department of Transportation, Tallahassee, FL. FL-

et.net/downloads/96paper27.pdf

| | Spe <u>cies</u> | | | Timing Of Solution/ | Impact Reduction | | | |
|---|--|--------------|-------------------------------|---|---|--|--|---|
| Solution | Group | Region | Mitigation Type | Evaluation | Benefits | Cost Range | Design Considerations | |
| Arch culvert - a culvert section formi | ng an arc of a circl | e and having | g a natural substrate | for its base (botto | omless) | | | |
| Examples: CLASS 1: Small; ≤1.5 m (5 ft) | Some medium- sized mammals, aquatic animals, small mammals, reptiles & amphibians | ALL | Minimization/ Compensation | Project Planning/ Alternatives Analysis | Maintain connectivity between core habitats; maintain biodiversity; reduce WVCs | | | |
| CLASS 2: Medium; >1.5 m (5 ft) to 2.4 x 2.4 m (8 ft) | Large carnivores, small & medium- sized mammals, reptiles & amphibians, aquatic animals, some flying animals | ALL | Minimization/ Compensation | Project Planning/ Alternatives Analysis | Maintain connectivity between core habitats; maintain biodiversity; reduce WVCs | | | |
| CLASS 3: Large - 2.4 m x 6.1 m (8x20 ft) or 3.1 x 3.1 m (10x10ft) to open span bridges | Large herbivores, large carnivores, small & medium- sized mammals, reptiles & amphibians, aquatic animals, some flying animals | ALL | Minimization/ Compensation | Project Planning/ Alternatives Analysis | Maintain connectivity between core habitats; maintain biodiversity; reduce WVCs | | | |
| | Some large herbivores, carnivores, small & medium-sized mammals | МТ | Minimization/ Compensation | | Maintain connectivity between core habitats; maintain biodiversity; reduce WVCs | \$223,076 (2007 \$); fencing \$27-42/m (Huijser et al. 2008) | Metal arch underpass (Huijser et al. 2008) 7-8m w x 5m h x 18.3-21.9 l (Huijser et al. 2008) Openness ratio = 1.6-1.9 (Huijser et al. 2008) | M.P. Huijser, P reduction study Transportation <u>http://www.fhw</u> Huijser, M.P., T Collision and V between Evarce <u>http://www.mdf</u> <u>oct10.pdf</u> |
| Round/elliptical culvert - a culvert un | broken (entire in ci | oss-section | l | · | · | | | |
| Examples: CLASS 1: Small; ≤1.5 m (5 ft) | Some medium- sized mammals, aquatic animals, small mammals, reptiles & amphibians | ALL | Minimization/ Compensation | Project Planning/ Alternatives Analysis | Maintain connectivity between core habitats; maintain biodiversity; reduce WVCs | | | |
| | Herps/sm mammals | Ontario | Minimizaton/ Compensation | | Maintain connectivity between core habitats; maintain biodiversity; reduce WVCs | Migration study - \$71,000; 5 amphibian tunnels = \$360,000; monitoring - \$14,500/year | Round pipes: two concrete 1.2m diameter, two corrugated steel 1.2m diameter One 1m x 1.7m elliptical concrete Openness ratio = <0.6 (0.04-0.05) | Gartshore, R. C Richmond Hill, Environmental 2005 Internatio Garrett, and K. North Carolina http://www.icoe |

P. McGowen, A.P. Clevenger, and R. Ament. 2008. Wildlife-vehicle collision ly: best practices manual. Report to Congress. U.S. Department of n, Federal Highway Administration.

a.dot.gov/environment/hconnect/wvc/index.htm

T.D.H. Allen, and W.Camel. 2010. US 93 Post-Construction Wildlife-Vehicle Wildlife Crossing Monitoring and Research on the Flathead Indian Reservation o and Polson, Montana. Annual Report. Montana Department of Transportation.

t.mt.gov/research/docs/research_proj/wildlife_crossing/phaseii/annual_report_

G., M. Purchase, R.I. Rook,and L. Scott. Bayview Avenue Extension, I, Ontario, Canada Habitat Creation and Wildlife Crossings in a Contenious al Setting: A Case Study (September 2005). Pages 55-76 IN Proceedings of the ional Conference on Ecology and Transportation, edited by C. Leroy Irwin, Paul K.P. McDermott. Raleigh, NC: Center for Transportation and the Environment, a State University, 2006.

et.net/ICOET_2005/proceedings/2005ICOETProceedingWeb.pdf

| | Species | | | Timing Of Solution/ | Impact Reduction | | | |
|--|--|----------|-------------------------------|---|---|---|---|--|
| Solution | Group | Region | Mitigation Type | Evaluation | Benefits | Cost Range | Design Considerations | |
| | Herps, small mammals | FL | Minimization/ Compensation | | Maintain connectivity between core habitats; maintain biodiversity; reduce WVCs | | Round 0.9m culverts Openness ratio <0.6 Concrete barrier wall 1.1 m h, 15.2 cm overhanging lip; wall runs 2.8 km e and 2.5 km w | Dodd, C.K., W in reducing will 118: 619-631. http://www.scie user=10&_cov origin=browsed |
| | | | | | | | | <u>4%23498300%</u> acct=C000050 <u>8c3ed58de&se</u> |
| | Small mammals | Alberta | Minimization/ Compensation | | Maintain connectivity between core habitats; maintain biodiversity; reduce WVCs | | 0.3 m dia metal drainage culverts Vegetative cover important | McDonald, W. use by small m http://onlinelibr |
| | • Red-sided garter snake | Manitoba | Minimization | | Maintain connectivity between core habitats; maintain biodiversity; reduce WVCs | | Drift fencing Pipes 6-12 inches; 20 cm polymer concrete channel covered by slotted iron gate | Carcnet websit |
| CLASS 2: Medium; >1.5 m (5 ft) to 2.4 x 2.4 m (8 ft) | Large carnivores, small & medium- sized mammals, reptiles & amphibians, aquatic animals, some flying animals | ALL | Minimization/ Compensation | Project Planning/ Alternatives Analysis | Maintain connectivity between core habitats; maintain biodiversity; reduce WVCs | | | |
| | • Bats | Wales | Minimization | | Maintain connectivity between core habitats; maintain biodiversity; reduce WVCs | Bat tunnel installation = \$180,000 (unsure if per tunnel or total) | 2.2 m and 1.8 m - diameter corrugated steel ellipitcal culverts installed on flight path/hedgerow lines Funnel leading to tunnels was planted to help continue hedgerow corridor effect | Wray, S., D. W Safe Crossing Proceedings o C. Leroy Irwin, the Environme http://www.icoe |
| | Some large herbivores, carnivores, small & medium-sized mammals | MT | Minimization/ Compensation | | Maintain connectivity between core habitats; maintain biodiversity; reduce WVCs | \$70,932 (2007 \$); fencing \$27-42/m (Huijser et al. 2008) | Elliptical culvert; 2m w x 1.5m h x 27.5m l (Huijser et al. 2008) Openness ratio =0.11 (Huijser et al. 2008) | M.P. Huijser, P reduction study Transportation <u>http://www.fhw</u> Huijser, M.P., T Collision and V between Evarc <u>http://www.mdt</u> <u>oct10.pdf</u> |
| CLASS 3: Large - 2.4 m x 6.1 m (8x20 ft) or 3.1 x 3.1 m (10x10ft) to open span bridges | Large herbivores, large carnivores, small & medium- sized mammals, reptiles & amphibians, aquatic animals, some flying animals | ALL | Minimization/ Compensation | Project Planning/ Alternatives Analysis | Maintain connectivity between core habitats; maintain biodiversity; reduce WVCs | | | |

I.J. Barichivich, and L.L. Smith. 2005. Effectiveness of a barrier wall and culverts dilife mortality on a heavily traveled highway in Florida. Biological Conservation

encedirect.com/science?_ob=ArticleURL&_udi=B6V5X-4BG8TPH-1&_

rerDate=08%2F31%2F2004&_rdoc=8&_fmt=high&_orig=browse&_ &_zone=rslt_list_item&_srch=doc-nfo(%23toc%235798%232004%2399881999 %23FLA%23display%23Volume)&_cdi=5798&_sort=d&_docanchor=&_ct=15&_ 0221&_version=1&_urlVersion=0&_userid=10&md5=c2e64825118ceaaf42f6be7 earchtype=a

and St Clair, C. C. (2004), Elements that promote highway crossing structure nammals in Banff National Park. Journal of Applied Ecology, 41: 82–93.

rary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1365-2664.2004.00877.x/full

te

cnet.ca/english/tunnels/snake_mortality.php

Vells., W. Cresswell, and H. Walker. Design, Installation, and Monitoring of Points for Bats on a New Highway Scheme in Wales. Pages 369-379 IN of the 2005 International Conference on Ecology and Transportation, edited by , Paul Garrett, and K.P. McDermott. Raleigh, NC: Center for Transportation and ent, North Carolina State University, 2006.

et.net/ICOET_2005/proceedings/2005ICOETProceedingWeb.pdf

P. McGowen, A.P. Clevenger, and R. Ament. 2008. Wildlife-vehicle collision
 y: best practices manual. Report to Congress. U.S. Department of
 n, Federal Highway Administration.

a.dot.gov/environment/hconnect/wvc/index.htm

T.D.H. Allen, and W.Camel. 2010. US 93 Post-Construction Wildlife-Vehicle Wildlife Crossing Monitoring and Research on the Flathead Indian Reservation o and Polson, Montana. Annual Report. Montana Department of Transportation.

t.mt.gov/research/docs/research_proj/wildlife_crossing/phaseii/annual_report_

| Solution | Species Group | Region | Mitigation Type | Timing Of Solution/ Evaluation | Impact Reduction Benefits | Cost Range | Design Considerations | Source(s) |
|--|---|--------------|-------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|
| At-grade crossing: designated areas | for wildlife to cross | s the roadw | av | | | | | |
| At-grade crossing | Large herbivores, reptiles & amphibians | ALL | Minimization | | Reduce WVCs | | | |
| Examples: | Mule deer | UT | Minimization | n/a | Reduce WVCs | 4-lane crosswalk - \$28,000/2-lane crosswalk =\$15,000; fencing | 2.3 m high fence 1 m fence at funnel Cattle guard lines on road surface | Lehnert, M.E. and J.A. Bissonette. 1997. Effectiveness of highway crosswalk structures at reducting deer-vehicle collisions. Wildlife Society Bulletin 25(4):809-818. |
| | Mule deer | WY | Minimization | n/a | Reduce WVCs | Utilized a deer-sensing warning system | Warning signs 300 m e & w of migratory route crossing 2.4 m high fence | Gordon, K.M., M.C. McKinstry, and S.H. Anderson. 2004. Motorist response to a deer-sen warning system. Wildlfie Society Bulletin 32(2): 565-573. |
| | Mule deer | West US | Minimization | n/a | Reduce WVCs | Temporary/seasonal warning signs; 6.5 -km strech of rd - \$1,740 (lg signs=\$400; small signs=\$90; lights=\$40) | Signs at mile intervals in migration corridors | Sullivan, T.L., A.F. Williams, T.A. Messmer, L.A. Hellings, S.Y. Kyrychenko. 2004. Effectiveness of temporary warning signs in reducing deer-vehicle collisions during mule of migrations. Wildlife Society Bulletin 32(3): 907-915. |
| | Amphibians | ME | Minimization | n/a | Reduce WVCs | Temporary/seasonal warning signs | Use standard roadway sign material Signs deployed seasonally to avoid "sign fatigue" | Maine Department of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife <u>http://www.maine.gov/ifw/atv_snowmobile_watercraft/news_events/pressreleases/2009/07</u> |
| | Ungulates | All | Minimization | n/a | Reduce WVCs | Animal detection system; cost- \$9,000 - 350,000; Cost of installation: \$3,000 - 60,000 | Overview of implemented systems throughout North America/Europe | Huijser, M.P. and P.T. McGowen. 2004. Overview of animal detection and animal warning systems in North America and Europe. IN: Proceedings of the 2003 International Conferer on Ecology and Transportation, Eds. Irwin CL, Garrett P, McDermott KP. Center for Transportation and the Environment, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC: pp. 368 382. |
| | | | | | | | | http://escholarship.org/uc/item/2cc2s81w |
| RETROFIT STRUCTURE - modify an e | existing structure o | or roadway o | corridor to better fac | ilitate wildlife pas | sage over, under | or across | | |
| Add ROW fencing to direct wildlife towards an existing structure | | | | | | | | |
| Examples: | • Moose | Quebec | Minimization | | Reduce WVCs; maintain access between core habitats | Approx. \$617,000; maintenance Approx: \$12,780 | Bridge underpass w/1.5 m high electric fence Openness ratio of existing bridge underpass = 4.87 (23 x 16 w x 7 h) Also included an at-grade crossing | LeBlond, M., C. Dussault, J.P. Ouellet, M. Poulin, R. Courtois, and J. Fortin. 2007. Electric Fencing as a Measure to Reduce Moose-Vehicle Collisions. Journal of Wildlife Manageme 71 (5): 1695-1703 http://www.jstor.org/pss/4496252 |
| | Desert Tortoise | CA | Minimization | | Reduce WVCs; maintain access along corridor | | 0.9-1.5 m diameter corrugated steel pipe 1.4m diameter concrete, 3-3.6 x 1.8-3m concrete box culverts Openness ratio = <0.6 24 km long fence, 45 cm high, buried, mesh/ hardware cloth | Boarman, W. I. and M. Sazaki. 1996. Highway Mortality in Desert Tortoises and Small Vertebrates: Success of Barrier Fences and Culverts. Pp. 169-173 In G.L. Evink, P. Garret D. Zeigler and J. Berry (eds.) Trends in Addressing Transportation Related Wildlife Mortali Proceedings of the Transportation Related Wildlife Mortality Seminar. State of Florida Department of Transportation, Tallahassee, Florida. FL - ER - 58 - 96 Discussed IN : http://fishandgame.idaho.gov/cms/wildlife/manage_issues/collision/amphi- bRep.pdf |
| | • Turtle | NY | Minimization | Project Planning Post- construction | Reduce WVCs; maintain access between core habitats | \$15,250 for 2000 meters of fencing | 50 x 100mm 12 ga. PVC coated fencing or mesh Platic UV resistent cable ties | Langen, Tom and John Falge. 2011 Design Considerations, Construction and Effectiveness of Fencing for Turtles. : Northern New York State Highway Traspostation Case Studies. New York State Wetlands Forum. April 2011. |
| | • Herps | FL | Minimization | n/a | Maintain access between core habitats | Low (until permanent design can be implemented) | 0.6 m temporary erosion control fence, buried 20 cm (0.4m above ground) Metal drainage culvert -3.5 m diameter x 46.6 m long Openness - 0.2 | Aresco, M.J. 2005. Mitigation measures to reduce highway mortality of turtles and other herpetofauna at a North Florida lake. Journal of Wildlife Management 69 (2): 549-560. http://www.jstor.org/stable/3803725 |
| | Lg herbivores | МТ | Minimization/ Compensation | Project Planning | Reduce WVCs | Estimated costs from Huijser et al 2008 - jumpouts = \$6,425-13,241; wildlife guards - \$30,840 | Bridge underpass w/ 8' fence w/ jumpouts (6-8'h) & cattle guards at fence ends (Craighead et al. 2010) | Craighead, L. A. Craighead, and L. Oechsli. 2010. Bozeman Pass Post-Fencing Wildlife Monitoring Project. Montana Department of Transportation. <u>ftp://161.7.16.40/research/OTHER/BOZEMAN_PASS/FINAL_REPORT-10-18-10.DOC.</u> M.P. Huijser, P. McGowen, A.P. Clevenger, and R. Ament. 2008. Wildlife-vehicle collision reduction study: best practices manual. Report to Congress. U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration. |
| | | | | | | | | http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/hconnect/wvc/index.htm |

| Solution | Species Group | Region | Mitigation Type | Timing Of Solution/ Evaluation | Impact Reduction Benefits | Cost Range | Design Considerations | |
|--|----------------------|---------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Retrofit underpass structure with ledges or pathways to facilitate passage | | | | | | | | |
| Examples: | Mountain lions | CA | Minimization/ Compensation | Post- construction | Maintain access between core habitats | \$1.4-1.6 million (revegetation/fence reconfig) | Bridge underpass, pavement removal, re-vegetation \$53 million (land acquisition) to restore patches on either side of crossing | Koelle, Alexan The Road-RIP 2003. Vol 8. w |
| | Bobcats/ ocelots | ТХ | Minimization | Project Planning | Maintain access between core habitats | | Box culverts modified with "catwalks" - 18- x 12- inch concrete elevated walkways through the length of culvert and along wing walls. | Hewitt, D.G., A expanded high highway cross Conference or |
| | Small mammals | MT | Minimization/ Compensation | Project Planning | Maintain access between core habitats | | Round culverts - added 25" w shelves to culverts & vole tube Culverts 3 & 4' diameter (material unknown) Added vole tube (similar to gutter drainage pipe) | Foresman KR Western Montana - an Transportation Environment, I |
| | Small mammals | СО | Minimization/ Compensation | Project Planning | Maintain access along corridor | | Wooden ledges (2.54 x 15.24 cm cedar planks, 1.83 m l attached end to end), glued blocks of wood (5x10.16cm, 30.48 cm l) to culvert wall at 1.83 m intervals with Liquid Nails Ramps same size as planks, attached at ends All culverts openness ratio <0.6 | Meaney, C., M in culverts for s Department of http://www.colo |
| Alter landscape: designing and managing habitats alongside roads with the aim of reducing collisions and/or facilitating safe passage across the roadway | | | | | | | | |
| Examples: | Pygmy owl | Mexico/ SW | Minimization | Project Planning | Reduce WVCs | | Plant/maintain Ig trees close to roadway and in median Drop road surface below surrounding elevations | Flesch, A.D. a Pygmy-owls in Transportation http://aaronfles Pygmy-owls% |
| | Royal terns | FL | Minimization | Project Planning | Reduce WVCs | 10-day pole installation = \$5,900 (materials + labor) (1994 \$) | Installed 122, 3m long silver-colored metal poles, 5.1 cm diameter, attached vertically, 3.7m apart on both sides of bridge | Bard, A.M, H.T Miller, and J.S bridge sites. W http://www.jsto |

| Source(s |
|----------|
|----------|

ndra. Cougar Corridors: Restoring the Missing Link in California's Chino Hills. Porter - Quarterly Newsletter of Wildlands Center for Preventing Roads. Spring www.wildlandscpr.org

dlandscpr.org/files/uploads/RIPorter/rr_v8-1.pdf

A. Cain, V. Tuovila, D. Shindle, and M.E. Tewes. 1998. Impacts of an away on ocelots and bobcats in southern Texas and their preferences for ings. Page 126-134, In Evink, G.L., et al eds. Proceedings of the International or Wildlife Ecology and Transportation.

et.net/downloads/98paper16.pdf

. 2004. Small mammal use of modified culverts on the Lolo South project of

update. IN: Proceedings of the 2003 International Conference on Ecology and n, Eds. Irwin CL, Garrett P, McDermott KP. Center for Transportation and the North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC: pp. 342-343.

ship.org/uc/item/7cw8043j

I. Bakeman, M. Reed-Eckert, and E. Wostl. 2007. Effectiveness of ledges small mammal passage. Report No. CDOT-2007-9. Final Report. Colorado f Transportation, Denver, CO.

oradodot.info/programs/research/pdfs/2007/smallmammal.pdf

nd R.J. Steidl. 2007. Association Between Roadways and Cactus Ferruginous Northern Sonora, Mexico. Final Report for Arizona Department of Environmental Planning Group, Tuscon, AZ.

sch.com/Publications/Reports/Flesch%20and%20Steidl.%20%202007.%20%20 20and%20roadways%20Final%20ADOT%20Report.pdf

 F. Smith, E.D. Egensteiner, R. Mulholland, T.V. Harber, G.W. Heath, W.J.B.
 Weske. 2002. A simple structural method to reduce road-kills of royal terns at /ildlife Society Bulletin 30(2):603-605.

or.org/pss/3784522