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ABSTRACT

Research and experience indicate the potential for future failures in the performance of epoxy-coated reinforcing steel in concrete bridges. Although not yet experiencing failures and seeing little sign they will, many transportation departments will be examining their existing structures in light of this potential for failure. To foster a better understanding of the problem, a resource document to guide these examinations and to provide some uniformity in the data collected has been prepared. The data to be collected will help define failure mechanisms, improve the prediction of failures, and guide improvements in the corrosion protection of reinforced concrete bridges.

BACKGROUND

Information about chloride-ion-induced corrosion of steel in Portland cement concrete is well known, as is the severity and extent of the early deterioration of steel-reinforced concrete bridge components in the United States. The severity and extent of the chloride corrosion deterioration of steel-reinforced concrete bridge components is a function of the in-place concrete characteristics and environmental exposure conditions. The concrete characteristics of importance are the type and degree of cracking and the effective chloride diffusion rate through concrete. Subsidence cracking is significantly more important to durability than structural negative moment cracking, as an example. The degree of cracking is related to construction practices and design. The effective chloride diffusion rate is a function of the permeability of the concrete, combined effects of the degree of consolidation and water-to-cement ratio, and ambient temperature profiles.

Environmental exposure conditions that influence the severity and extent of steel corrosion deterioration of concrete bridges are the amount or concentration of chloride present, exposure type (continuous or discrete time periods), and exposure condition (uniform or localized). The extent of the corrosion deterioration of a bridge deck in a severe deicer salt exposure climate may be similar to a bridge deck in a moderate deicer salt exposure climate, but the severity of the deterioration would be significantly different at the same exposure age. Likewise, the extent and severity of a reinforced concrete bridge column in a heavy deicer salt exposure region is going to be significantly different.
than a column in a warm marine environment at the same exposure age—even though both environments may be classified as severe.

In the early 1970s, research began on how to use various applications of epoxy-coated steel reinforcement in concrete to protect against the corrosion of steel reinforcement and subsequent damage to concrete structures. Primarily, applications have been directed at bridge decks; this practice continues, but other applications have included concrete elements such as bridge substructures, parapet walls, safety barriers, and some pavements. However, a review of the international state of the practice, which included epoxy-coated reinforcement (ECR) specifications and laboratory and field corrosion performance investigations, demonstrated that ECR corrodes. Thus, the question is not whether ECR will corrode, but when ECR will corrode in various environmental exposure conditions. Early long-term protection estimates were based on the theory of ECR being a chloride barrier system. More recent studies have demonstrated that the corrosion protection mechanism for ECR is an electrochemical barrier; the coating acts as a high-resistance coating that reduces the rate of the cathodic (noncorroding site) reaction that, in turn, reduces the rate of the anode (corroding site) reaction.

RESULTS

A state-of-the-practice review was conducted to provide a basis for the types of investigation plans being recommended and to provide background information to users. Four ECR field investigation plans were developed that include recommended surveys and test methods that reflect the objectives of the investigation. The four investigation plans and objectives are as follows:

1. Assessment for Additional Protection. The objective is to determine if a surface protection method that would significantly retard or prevent further chloride ingress would extend the service life of existing bridge members built with ECR.

2. Present Corrosion Resistant State. The objective is to determine the degree of protection currently being provided by ECR.

3. Identification of ECR Corrosion Resistant Properties. The objective is to identify those coating properties that significantly influence the corrosion protection performance of ECR.

4. Remaining Service Life. The objective is to estimate the remaining corrosion protection service life of bridge members built with ECR.

Implementation of the field investigation plans is presented in the report and includes bridge selection; planning of field investigations; field surveys; laboratory testing, equipment, and test methods; and investigation cost estimates. Implementation of the recommended investigation plans could be used to assist in assessing ECR performance within a state and among states.

The report's Table of Contents, provided as Appendix A, indicates the depth and breadth of coverage provided by the report.
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