

NCHRP

SYNTHESIS 307

**NATIONAL
COOPERATIVE
HIGHWAY
RESEARCH
PROGRAM**

Systems Engineering Processes for Developing Traffic Signal Systems

A Synthesis of Highway Practice

TRANSPORTATION RESEARCH BOARD
OF THE NATIONAL ACADEMIES

NCHRP

SYNTHESIS 307

**NATIONAL
COOPERATIVE
HIGHWAY
RESEARCH
PROGRAM**

Systems Engineering Processes for Developing Traffic Signal Systems

A Synthesis of Highway Practice

TRANSPORTATION RESEARCH BOARD
OF THE NATIONAL ACADEMIES

TRANSPORTATION RESEARCH BOARD EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE 2003 (Membership as of January 2003)

Officers

Chair: GENEVIEVE GIULIANO, *Director and Professor, School of Policy, Planning, and Development, University of Southern California, Los Angeles*

Vice Chairman: MICHAEL S. TOWNES, *Executive Director, Transportation District Commission of Hampton Roads, Hampton, VA*

Executive Director: ROBERT E. SKINNER, JR., *Transportation Research Board*

Members

WILLIAM D. ANKNER, *Director, Rhode Island DOT*

THOMAS F. BARRY, JR., *Secretary of Transportation, Florida DOT*

MICHAEL W. BEHRENS, *Executive Director, Texas Department of Transportation*

JOSEPH H. BOARDMAN, *Commissioner, New York State DOT*

SARAH C. CAMPBELL, *President, TransManagement, Inc., Washington, D.C.*

E. DEAN CARLSON, *Secretary of Transportation, Kansas DOT*

JOANNE F. CASEY, *President, Intermodal Association of North America, Greenbelt, MD*

JAMES C. CODELL III, *Secretary, Kentucky Transportation Cabinet*

JOHN L. CRAIG, *Director, Nebraska Department of Roads*

BERNARD S. GROSECLOSE, JR., *President and CEO, South Carolina State Ports Authority*

SUSAN HANSON, *Landry University Professor of Geography, Clark University*

LESTER A. HOEL, *L.A. Lacy Distinguished Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, University of Virginia*

ADIB K. KANAFANI, *Cahill Professor and Chairman, Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of California at Berkeley*

RONALD F. KIRBY, *Director-Transportation Planning, Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments*

HERBERT S. LEVINSON, *Principal, Herbert S. Levinson Transportation Consultant, New Haven, CT*

MICHAEL D. MEYER, *Professor, School of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology*

JEFF P. MORALES, *Director of Transportation, California DOT*

KAM MOVASSAGHI, *Secretary of Transportation, Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development*

DAVID PLAVIN, *President, Airports Council International, Washington, D.C.*

JOHN REBENS DORF, *Vice President, Network and Service Planning, Union Pacific Railroad Company*

CATHERINE L. ROSS, *Executive Director, Georgia Regional Transportation Agency*

JOHN M. SAMUELS, *Senior Vice President, Operations, Planning, & Support, Norfolk Southern Corporation*

PAUL P. SKOUTELAS, *CEO, Port Authority of Allegheny County, Pittsburgh, PA*

MARTIN WACHS, *Director, Institute of Transportation Studies, University of California at Berkeley*

MICHAEL W. WICKHAM, *Chairman and CEO, Roadway Express, Inc., Akron, OH*

MIKE ACOTT, *President, National Asphalt Pavement Association (ex officio)*

MARION C. BLAKEY, *Federal Aviation Administration, U.S. DOT (ex officio)*

REBECCA M. BREWSTER, *President and CEO, American Transportation Research Institute (ex officio)*

THOMAS H. COLLINS, (Adm., U.S. Coast Guard) *Commandant, U.S. Coast Guard (ex officio)*

JENNIFER L. DORN, *Federal Transit Administrator, U.S. DOT (ex officio)*

ELLEN G. ENGLEMAN, *Research and Special Programs Administrator, U.S. DOT (ex officio)*

ROBERT B. FLOWERS (Lt. Gen., U.S. Army), *Chief of Engineers and Commander, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (ex officio)*

HAROLD K. FORSEN, *Foreign Secretary, National Academy of Engineering (ex officio)*

EDWARD R. HAMBERGER, *President and CEO, Association of American Railroads (ex officio)*

JOHN C. HORSLEY, *Executive Director, American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (ex officio)*

MICHAEL P. JACKSON, *Deputy Secretary of Transportation, U.S. DOT (ex officio)*

ROBERT S. KIRK, *Director, Office of Advanced Automotive Technologies, U.S. Department of Energy (ex officio)*

RICK KOWALEWSKI, *Acting Director, Bureau of Transportation Statistics, U.S. DOT (ex officio)*

WILLIAM W. MILLAR, *President, American Public Transit Association (ex officio)*

MARY E. PETERS, *Federal Highway Administrator, U.S. DOT (ex officio)*

SUZANNE RUDZINSKI, *Director, Office of Transportation and Air Quality, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (ex officio)*

JEFFREY W. RUNGE, *National Highway Traffic Safety Administrator, U.S. DOT (ex officio)*

ALLAN RUTTER, *Federal Railroad Administrator, U.S. DOT (ex officio)*

ANNETTE M. SANDBERG, *Deputy Administrator, Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration, U.S. DOT (ex officio)*

WILLIAM G. SCHUBERT (Captain), *Administrator, Maritime Administration, U.S. DOT (ex officio)*

ROBERT A. VENEZIA, *Earth Sciences Applications Specialist, National Aeronautics and Space Administration (ex officio)*

NATIONAL COOPERATIVE HIGHWAY RESEARCH PROGRAM

Transportation Research Board Executive Committee Subcommittee for NCHRP

GENEVIEVE GIULIANO, *University of Southern California, Los Angeles*

(Chair)

E. DEAN CARLSON, *Kansas DOT*

LESTER A. HOEL, *University of Virginia*

JOHN C. HORSLEY, *American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials*

Field of Special Projects

Project Committee SP 20-5

SUSAN BINDER, *Federal Highway Administration*

THOMAS R. BOHUSLAV, *Texas DOT*

DWIGHT HORNE, *Federal Highway Administration*

YSELA LLORT, *Florida DOT*

WESLEY S.C. LUM, *California DOT*

GARY D. TAYLOR, *Michigan DOT*

J. RICHARD YOUNG, JR., *Post Buckley Schuh & Jernigan, Inc.*

MARK R. NORMAN, *Transportation Research Board (Liaison)*

WILLIAM ZACCAGNINO, *Federal Highway Administration (Liaison)*

MARY E. PETERS, *Federal Highway Administration*

ROBERT E. SKINNER, JR., *Transportation Research Board*

MICHAEL S. TOWNES, *Transportation District Commission of Hampton Roads*

Program Staff

ROBERT J. REILLY, *Director, Cooperative Research Programs*

CRAWFORD F. JENCKS, *Manager, NCHRP*

DAVID B. BEAL, *Senior Program Officer*

HARVEY BERLIN, *Senior Program Officer*

B. RAY DERR, *Senior Program Officer*

AMIR N. HANNA, *Senior Program Officer*

EDWARD T. HARRIGAN, *Senior Program Officer*

CHRISTOPHER HEDGES, *Senior Program Officer*

TIMOTHY G. HESS, *Senior Program Officer*

RONALD D. MCCREADY, *Senior Program Officer*

CHARLES W. NIESSNER, *Senior Program Officer*

EILEEN P. DELANEY, *Editor*

HILARY FREER, *Associate Editor*

TRB Staff for NCHRP Project 20-5

STEPHEN R. GODWIN, *Director for Studies and Information Services*

DONNA L. VLASAK, *Senior Program Officer*

DON TIPPMAN, *Editor*

JON WILLIAMS, *Manager, Synthesis Studies*

CHERYL Y. KEITH, *Senior Secretary*

NCHRP SYNTHESIS 307

**Systems Engineering Processes for Developing
Traffic Signal Systems**

A Synthesis of Highway Practice

CONSULTANT

ROBERT L. GORDON
Dunn Engineering Associates

TOPIC PANEL

BRUCE ABERNATHY, *Bucher, Willis, & Ratliff Corp.*
RICHARD A. CUNARD, *Transportation Research Board*
NATHAN H. GARTNER, *University of Massachusetts*
STEPHANY HANSHAW, *Virginia Department of Transportation*
K. LARRY HEAD, *Siemens Intelligent Transportation Systems*
ARIF KAZMI, *Arizona Department of Transportation*
EVA LERNER-LAM, *Palisades Consulting Group, Inc.*
ROBERT RUPERT, *Federal Highway Administration*
THOMAS URBANK II, *University of Tennessee*

SUBJECT AREAS

Highway Operations, Capacity, and Traffic Control

Research Sponsored by the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials
in Cooperation with the Federal Highway Administration

TRANSPORTATION RESEARCH BOARD

WASHINGTON, D.C.
2003
www.TRB.org

Systematic, well-designed research provides the most effective approach to the solution of many problems facing highway administrators and engineers. Often, highway problems are of local interest and can best be studied by highway departments individually or in cooperation with their state universities and others. However, the accelerating growth of highway transportation develops increasingly complex problems of wide interest to highway authorities. These problems are best studied through a coordinated program of cooperative research.

In recognition of these needs, the highway administrators of the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials initiated in 1962 an objective national highway research program employing modern scientific techniques. This program is supported on a continuing basis by funds from participating member states of the Association and it receives the full cooperation and support of the Federal Highway Administration, United States Department of Transportation.

The Transportation Research Board of the National Research Council was requested by the Association to administer the research program because of the Board's recognized objectivity and understanding of modern research practices. The Board is uniquely suited for this purpose as it maintains an extensive committee structure from which authorities on any highway transportation subject may be drawn; it possesses avenues of communication and cooperation with federal, state, and local governmental agencies, universities, and industry; its relationship to the National Research Council is an insurance of objectivity; it maintains a full-time research correlation staff of specialists in highway transportation matters to bring the findings of research directly to those who are in a position to use them.

The program is developed on the basis of research needs identified by chief administrators of the highway and transportation departments and by committees of AASHTO. Each year, specific areas of research needs to be included in the program are proposed to the National Research Council and the Board by the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials. Research projects to fulfill these needs are defined by the Board, and qualified research agencies are selected from those that have submitted proposals. Administration and surveillance of research contracts are the responsibilities of the National Research Council and the Transportation Research Board.

The needs for highway research are many, and the National Cooperative Highway Research Program can make significant contributions to the solution of highway transportation problems of mutual concern to many responsible groups. The program, however, is intended to complement rather than to substitute for or duplicate other highway research programs.

Project 20-5 FY 1998 (Topic 30-01)
ISSN 0547-5570
ISBN 0-309-06950-5
Library of Congress Control No. 2003100041

© 2003 Transportation Research Board

Price \$17.00

NOTICE

The project that is the subject of this report was a part of the National Cooperative Highway Research Program conducted by the Transportation Research Board with the approval of the Governing Board of the National Research Council. Such approval reflects the Governing Board's judgment that the program concerned is of national importance and appropriate with respect to both the purposes and resources of the National Research Council.

The members of the technical committee selected to monitor this project and to review this report were chosen for recognized scholarly competence and with due consideration for the balance of disciplines appropriate to the project. The opinions and conclusions expressed or implied are those of the research agency that performed the research, and, while they have been accepted as appropriate by the technical committee, they are not necessarily those of the Transportation Research Board, the National Research Council, the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, or the Federal Highway Administration of the U.S. Department of Transportation.

Each report is reviewed and accepted for publication by the technical committee according to procedures established and monitored by the Transportation Research Board Executive Committee and the Governing Board of the National Research Council.

The National Research Council was established by the National Academy of Sciences in 1916 to associate the broad community of science and technology with the Academy's purposes of furthering knowledge and of advising the Federal Government. The Council has become the principal operating agency of both the National Academy of Sciences and the National Academy of Engineering in the conduct of their services to the government, the public, and the scientific and engineering communities. It is administered jointly by both Academies and the Institute of Medicine. The National Academy of Engineering and the Institute of Medicine were established in 1964 and 1970, respectively, under the charter of the National Academy of Sciences.

The Transportation Research Board evolved in 1974 from the Highway Research Board, which was established in 1920. The TRB incorporates all former HRB activities and also performs additional functions under a broader scope involving all modes of transportation and the interactions of transportation with society.

Published reports of the

NATIONAL COOPERATIVE HIGHWAY RESEARCH PROGRAM

are available from:

Transportation Research Board
Business Office
500 Fifth Street
Washington, D.C. 20001

and can be ordered through the Internet at:

<http://www.national-academies.org/trb/bookstore>

Printed in the United States of America

NOTE: The Transportation Research Board, the National Research Council, the Federal Highway Administration, the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, and the individual states participating in the National Cooperative Highway Research Program do not endorse products or manufacturers. Trade or manufacturers' names appear herein solely because they are considered essential to the object of this report.

FOREWORD

*By Staff
Transportation
Research Board*

Highway administrators, engineers, and researchers often face problems for which information already exists, either in documented form or as undocumented experience and practice. This information may be fragmented, scattered, and unevaluated. As a consequence, full knowledge of what has been learned about a problem may not be brought to bear on its solution. Costly research findings may go unused, valuable experience may be overlooked, and due consideration may not be given to recommended practices for solving or alleviating the problem.

There is information on nearly every subject of concern to highway administrators and engineers. Much of it derives from research or from the work of practitioners faced with problems in their day-to-day work. To provide a systematic means for assembling and evaluating such useful information and to make it available to the entire highway community, the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials—through the mechanism of the National Cooperative Highway Research Program—authorized the Transportation Research Board to undertake a continuing study. This study, NCHRP Project 20-5, “Synthesis of Information Related to Highway Problems,” searches out and synthesizes useful knowledge from all available sources and prepares concise, documented reports on specific topics. Reports from this endeavor constitute an NCHRP report series, *Synthesis of Highway Practice*.

The synthesis series reports on current knowledge and practice, in a compact format, without the detailed directions usually found in handbooks or design manuals. Each report in the series provides a compendium of the best knowledge available on those measures found to be the most successful in resolving specific problems.

PREFACE

This report of the Transportation Research Board will be of interest to local, regional, state, and federal officials, as well as to other transportation professionals who work with them in the area of traffic engineering. This report presents a general discussion of the techniques employed in systems engineering, as well as techniques available to traffic systems engineers. The report identifies systems engineering methodologies used by practitioners for traffic signal systems, and gives an indication of the extent to which they are used.

Information was derived by means of a survey of state transportation agencies in combination with a literature review.

A panel of experts in the subject area guided the work of organizing and evaluating the collected data and reviewed the final synthesis report. A consultant was engaged to collect and synthesize the information and to write this report. Both the consultant and the members of the oversight panel are acknowledged on the title page. This synthesis is an immediately useful document that records the practices that were acceptable within the limitations of the knowledge available at the time of its preparation. As progress in research and practice continues, new knowledge will be added to that now at hand.

CONTENTS

1	SUMMARY	
3	CHAPTER ONE	INTRODUCTION
	Purpose, 3	
	Background, 3	
5	CHAPTER TWO	SYSTEMS ENGINEERING
	Purpose, 5	
	Definition, 5	
	Resources, 6	
	Processes, 6	
	Commonly Used Methodologies, 7	
	Relationship to Traffic Signal Systems, 8	
12	CHAPTER THREE	TRAFFIC SIGNAL SYSTEMS ENGINEERING
	Purpose, 12	
	Background, 12	
	General Methodologies, 13	
	Requirements for Upgrading Existing and New Systems, 17	
	Alternatives Evaluation Techniques, 39	
	Operations and Logistics Requirements, 44	
	Project Evaluation, 46	
51	CHAPTER FOUR	CURRENT STATE OF THE PRACTICE
	Purpose, 51	
	Background, 51	
	Processes, 52	
	Perceived Needs in Available Guidance, 54	
	Important Issues, 54	
56	CHAPTER FIVE	SUMMARIES OF EXISTING PRACTICES
	Purpose, 56	
	Summary of Existing Methodologies, 56	

60	CHAPTER SIX	CONCLUSIONS
62	REFERENCES	
66	ABBREVIATIONS	
67	APPENDIX A	SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRE
77	APPENDIX B	SURVEY RESPONDENTS
78	APPENDIX C	EXAMPLE OF STRUCTURED ANALYSIS PROCESS
80	APPENDIX D	QUALITY FUNCTIONAL DEPLOYMENT METHODOLOGY
82	APPENDIX E	INTERFACE ALTERNATIVES FOR COMMUNICATING WITH CONTROLLERS
84	APPENDIX F	METHODOLOGY FOR COMMUNICATION SYSTEM SELECTION



ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Robert L. Gordon, Dunn Engineering Associates, was responsible for collection of the data and preparation of the report.

Valuable assistance in the preparation of this synthesis was provided by the Topic Panel, consisting of Bruce Abernathy, P.E., Ph.D., Vice President, Communications and Transportation Systems, Bucher, Willis, & Ratliff Corp.; Richard A. Cunard, Engineer of Traffic and Operations, Transportation Research Board; Nathan H. Gartner, Professor of Civil and Transportation Engineering, University of Massachusetts, Lowell; Stephany Hanshaw, Smart Traffic Center Facility Manager, Virginia Department of Transportation; K. Larry Head, Ph.D., Siemens Intelligent Transportation Systems; Arif Kazmi, Manager/Engineer Traffic Design, Arizona Department of Transportation; Eva Lerner-Lam, President, Palisades Consulting Group, Inc.; Robert Rupert, Federal Highway Administration; and Thomas Urbanik II, Professor, University of Tennessee.

This study was managed by Donna Vlasak, Senior Program Officer, who worked with the consultant, the Topic Panel, and the Project 20-5 Committee in the development and review of the report. Assistance in project scope development was provided by Stephen F. Maher and Jon Williams, Managers, Synthesis Studies. Don Tippman was responsible for editing and production. Cheryl Keith assisted in meeting logistics and distribution of the questionnaire and draft reports.

Crawford F. Jencks, Manager, National Cooperative Highway Research Program, assisted the NCHRP 20-5 Committee and the Synthesis staff.

Information on current practice was provided by many highway and transportation agencies. Their cooperation and assistance are appreciated.

SYSTEMS ENGINEERING PROCESSES FOR DEVELOPING TRAFFIC SIGNAL SYSTEMS

SUMMARY

This synthesis presents a general discussion of the techniques employed in systems engineering. Systems engineering is generally considered to encompass the project life cycle, starting with problem definition and continuing through design, construction, testing, operation, and maintenance. It is an interdisciplinary approach and means to enable the realization of successful systems. Systems engineering techniques available to traffic signal systems engineers are discussed.

Key processes have been identified in the following traffic signal systems engineering areas:

- Goals and problem definition,
- Identification of constraints,
- Planning structure for identification of requirements,
- Traffic signal systems design engineering
 - Need for traffic signals,
 - Signal timing,
 - Requirements for signal coordination,
 - Selection of type of traffic signal systems control,
 - Communication systems,
 - Intersection field equipment,
 - Local intersection control strategies,
 - Preemption,
 - Transit priority,
 - Alternatives evaluation,
 - Systems procurement,
 - Operations and logistics, and
 - Project evaluation.

Systems engineering methodologies used by practitioners for traffic signal systems and an indication of the extent to which they are used are also identified. System methodologies related to the selection of objectives, alternatives evaluation, system procurement, operations, logistics, and project evaluation are described.

Summaries of existing practices are provided. These are comprised of responses to a survey questionnaire distributed to state transportation agencies to identify the system processes used by practitioners. Responses indicate that available methodologies are not used by practitioners for a significant number of functions because:

- The methodologies are not known by the practitioners or are not available in a user-friendly format.
- Organization practices and/or standard specifications limit the options available to designers. Organization practices are often designed to optimize operations for an agency. Most methodologies optimize for a particular project.
- Issues such as legacy requirements and resource constraints on operations and maintenance often limit the alternatives available to system designers.

The synthesis finishes with conclusions. A number of shortcomings in currently available traffic systems engineering methodologies are identified. Suggestions to remedy these shortcomings, as well as to better document a number of existing methodologies are provided.

INTRODUCTION

PURPOSE

This synthesis summarizes the systems engineering processes and methodologies, including those that have been developed and used by transportation agencies. It reports on the traffic engineering community's experience with various systems engineering approaches. The major aspects of the synthesis study are as follows:

- x Definition of systems engineering and identification of the general processes, steps, and methodologies commonly used in industry.
- x Relation of these processes to traffic signal systems.
- x Potential requirements for traffic signal systems processes.
- x Existing traffic signal systems processes and deficiencies.

- x Results of a survey of transportation agencies to identify the practices currently used, the extent to which they are used, and user satisfaction with the results.
- x Additional information that the survey respondents feel is required.
- x Relative importance of various issues in traffic signal systems engineering.
- x Recommendations for the development of additional methodologies and documentation.

BACKGROUND

Transportation agencies are developing, redesigning, or upgrading traffic signal systems using a number of different processes with varying degrees of success. Figure 1 highlights the city and county of Denver, Colorado's

FIGURE 1 The city and county of Denver (Colorado) Public Works Department maintains a website describing traffic signal upgrades using advanced technologies. (Courtesy: City and County of Denver Public Works Department.)

program for upgrading its signal system. Systems engineering processes have been successfully applied to the design of similar complex systems in other industries. Systems engineering logically identifies requirements and ensures that the resulting systems satisfy those requirements throughout their life cycle. Such processes can aid transportation agencies in the planning, design, operations, and maintenance of their systems in a manner that supports interoperability and growth.

Chapter two presents systems engineering approaches, definitions, and key resources. Chapter three provides an overview of various systems engineering approaches based on a review of the relevant literature and current methodologies used by state and local organizations. Chapter four reviews the current state of the practice considering the

extent to which operational agencies use systems engineering. Chapter five summarizes the results of current, formal engineering practices in planning and evaluating traffic signal systems by the surveyed organizations. Chapter six presents a summary of the findings. Suggestions are offered to resolve current shortcomings and to prepare a user-friendly document for practitioners. Abbreviations used in the report are provided after the references. The appendixes provide a copy of the survey questionnaire (Appendix A) and a list of survey respondents (Appendix B), as well as examples of the Structured Analysis Process (Appendix C) and the Quality Functional Deployment Methodology (Appendix D), Interface Alternatives for Communicating with Controllers (Appendix E), and Methodology for Communication System Selection (Appendix F).