SHRP 2 Reliability Project C03

Transportation Project Impact Case Studies (T-PICS)

Data Dictionary



TRANSPORTATION RESEARCH BOARD OF THE NATIONAL ACADEMIES SHRP 2 Reliability Project C03

Transportation Project Impact Case Studies (T-PICS)

Data Dictionary

Economic Development Research Group, Inc.

In association with

ICF International

TRANSPORTATION RESEARCH BOARD Washington, D.C. 2015 www.TRB.org

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was sponsored by the Federal Highway Administration in cooperation with the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials. It was conducted in the second Strategic Highway Research Program, which is administered by the Transportation Research Board of the National Academies.

COPYRIGHT INFORMATION

Authors herein are responsible for the authenticity of their materials and for obtaining written permissions from publishers or persons who own the copyright to any previously published or copyrighted material used herein.

The second Strategic Highway Research Program grants permission to reproduce material in this publication for classroom and not-for-profit purposes. Permission is given with the understanding that none of the material will be used to imply TRB, AASHTO, or FHWA endorsement of a particular product, method, or practice. It is expected that those reproducing material in this document for educational and not-for-profit purposes will give appropriate acknowledgment of the source of any reprinted or reproduced material. For other uses of the material, request permission from SHRP 2.

NOTICE

The project that is the subject of this document was a part of the second Strategic Highway Research Program, conducted by the Transportation Research Board with the approval of the Governing Board of the National Research Council.

The Transportation Research Board of the National Academies, the National Research Council, and the sponsors of the second Strategic Highway Research Program do not endorse products or manufacturers. Trade or manufacturers' names appear herein solely because they are considered essential to the object of the report.

DISCLAIMER

The opinions and conclusions expressed or implied in this document are those of the researchers who performed the research. They are not necessarily those of the second Strategic Highway Research Program, the Transportation Research Board, the National Research Council, or the program sponsors. The information contained in this document was taken directly from the submission of the authors. This material has not been edited by the Transportation Research Board.

SPECIAL NOTE: This document IS NOT an official publication of the second Strategic Highway Research Program, the Transportation Research Board, the National Research Council, or the National Academies.

THE NATIONAL ACADEMIES

Advisers to the Nation on Science, Engineering, and Medicine

The **National Academy of Sciences** is a private, nonprofit, self-perpetuating society of distinguished scholars engaged in scientific and engineering research, dedicated to the furtherance of science and technology and to their use for the general welfare. On the authority of the charter granted to it by Congress in 1863, the Academy has a mandate that requires it to advise the federal government on scientific and technical matters. Dr. Ralph J. Cicerone is president of the National Academy of Sciences.

The **National Academy of Engineering** was established in 1964, under the charter of the National Academy of Sciences, as a parallel organization of outstanding engineers. It is autonomous in its administration and in the selection of its members, sharing with the National Academy of Sciences the responsibility for advising the federal government. The National Academy of Engineering also sponsors engineering programs aimed at meeting national needs, encourages education and research, and recognizes the superior achievements of engineers. Dr. C. D. (Dan) Mote, Jr., is president of the National Academy of Engineering.

The **Institute of Medicine** was established in 1970 by the National Academy of Sciences to secure the services of eminent members of appropriate professions in the examination of policy matters pertaining to the health of the public. The Institute acts under the responsibility given to the National Academy of Sciences by its congressional charter to be an adviser to the federal government and, upon its own initiative, to identify issues of medical care, research, and education. Dr. Victor J. Dzau is president of the Institute of Medicine.

The **National Research Council** was organized by the National Academy of Sciences in 1916 to associate the broad community of science and technology with the Academy's purposes of furthering knowledge and advising the federal government. Functioning in accordance with general policies determined by the Academy, the Council has become the principal operating agency of both the National Academy of Sciences and the National Academy of Engineering in providing services to the government, the public, and the scientific and engineering communities. The Council is administered jointly by both Academies and the Institute of Medicine. Dr. Ralph J. Cicerone and Dr. C.D. (Dan) Mote, Jr., are chair and vice chair, respectively, of the National Research Council.

The **Transportation Research Board** is one of six major divisions of the National Research Council. The mission of the Transportation Research Board is to provide leadership in transportation innovation and progress through research and information exchange, conducted within a setting that is objective, interdisciplinary, and multimodal. The Board's varied activities annually engage about 7,000 engineers, scientists, and other transportation researchers and practitioners from the public and private sectors and academia, all of whom contribute their expertise in the public interest. The program is supported by state transportation departments, federal agencies including the component administrations of the U.S. Department of Transportation, and other organizations and individuals interested in the development of transportation. **www.TRB.org**

www.national-academies.org

Contents

| Chapter 1 Introduction | 1 |
|---|-------------|
| Project Background and Overview | 1 |
| Objective of the TPICS Web Tool | 2 |
| Guide to this Document | 3 |
| Chapter 2 Dataset Content and Properties | 4 |
| Dataset Overview | 4 |
| Data Field Characteristics | 4 |
| Chapter 3 Data Field Documentation | 9 |
| | |
| Data Field Elements | 9 |
| Data Field Elements Table of Data Fields and Definitions1 | |
| | 1 |
| Table of Data Fields and Definitions 1 | 1 0 |
| Table of Data Fields and Definitions1Chapter 4 Warning on Compatibility and Interpretation of Data3 | 1 0 0 |

Chapter 1 Introduction

Project Background and Overview

The second Strategic Highway Research Program (SHRP 2) Capacity Project C03, Interactions between Transportation Capacity, Economic Systems, and Land Use, produced a series of reports on methods, models, and case studies that examined the economic and development impacts of highway capacity investment projects. This report is one volume in that series.

Project Objective

The intent of this project and its research products and web tool is to further public and transportation agency understanding of the range of economic impacts that result from various types of highway projects. This information can aid both technical research and public discussion of the topic. It can also help define the broad range of impacts and factors affecting highway projects to assist transportation agencies in their planning processes. And it can help refine public debate about highway projects by establishing boundaries of the likely positive and negative impacts that typically result from such projects.

The products of this study were designed to aid the collaborative decision-making process for transportation planning by providing a background context on the range of observed results from past highway projects. Such information can potentially be of substantial use in early stages of the planning process when alternative project concepts are being suggested and screened.

One cannot assume that every proposed project will have the same results as the average observed from past projects of a similar type. The unreliability of such an assumption is precisely why local data are collected and models are developed in later stages of the planning process to identify expected changes in local traffic characteristics and subsequent economic development. Thus, this project should be viewed as a complement to, rather than a replacement for, specific local transportation and economic impact analysis that may be necessary in later phases of the planning process.

Case Study Database

The most notable accomplishment of this project was the development of 100 case studies of highway projects to (a) compare preproject and postproject changes in economic and land development conditions, (b) contrast them with corresponding conditions to provide a basis for comparison, and (c) include both quantitative impact measures and qualitative assessments based on local interviews.

This collection of case studies, completed in 2010, was compiled with the goal of including all known preproject and postproject highway impact studies in the United States, plus available English language studies from Canada and abroad. Members of the project team conducted additional quantitative and qualitative data collection and analysis to bring all of the

cases up to a similar standard of comparability. For further information on the case study development process, readers are referred to Chapter 3 in *SHRP 2 Report S2-C03-RR: Interactions Between Transportation Capacity, Economic Systems, and Land Use* (http://www.trb.org/Main/Blurbs/166934.aspx).

T-PICS Web Tool

The case studies were put into Transportation Project Impact Case Studies (T-PICS), a webbased viewing and analysis system that includes (a) a search function that allows for user-defined screening and selection of relevant cases; (b) a case study viewer that provides user access to impact measures, discussion text, maps, and related documents; and (c) an impact estimation calculator that shows the average and expected range of impacts associated with any userdefined project profile. For further information on this system, readers are referred to the *T-PICS Web Tool User's Guide*, which is available at http://transportationforcommunities.com/t-pics.

The T-PICS system was designed to assist transportation agencies in project planning and evaluation by providing agency staff and interested stakeholders with a means for establishing the range of job, income, and development impacts typically associated with various types of transportation projects in different settings.

Objective of T-PICS Web Tool

The T-PICS web tool was designed for the user to do the following:

- Review, select, and analyze case studies based on criteria selection;
- Understand the relationship between project characteristics and economic impacts;
- Compare and evaluate projects by specified criteria; and
- Develop a range of anticipated impacts for customized projects.

The tool's user interface is structured around two approaches to project analysis:

- "Case Search" accesses the database of case studies of highway projects, which allows users to
 - 1. Filter the cases they want to see based on a variety of factors (e.g., type, region, and cost);
 - 2. Select cases to view separately or compare based on their criteria;
 - 3. View preconditions, postconditions, project area settings, project characteristics, intermodal freight or passenger volume (if applicable), and economic impacts for each case;
 - 4. Read a short narrative on the case that provides background on how the project came to be built, its influence on the local area, and other nontransportation factors that enhanced or mitigated the economic impacts of the project; and
 - 5. View a Google map image of the project's location.

- "My Project Tools" provides an estimate of economic impacts for a hypothetical project based on
 - 1. The type, length, and setting of the project chosen by the user;
 - 2. The magnitude of average annual daily traffic (AADT), miles, and project cost, which are estimated based on the type, length, and setting of the project but can be changed by the user; and
 - 3. The extent to which there are supporting business climate, infrastructure, and land use policies to encourage economic development.

Guide to This Document

This technical documentation provides an overview of the data gathered for the SHRP 2 case studies presented on the T-PICS website. It outlines sources of data, ranges of values, hierarchical classifications, and overall definitions in order to assist the user to properly understand and use the data.

This document is composed of three further chapters and an appendix:

- Chapter 2 provides a summary of data set content and properties;
- Chapter 3 provides a more in-depth explanation of data fields including the field type, source, missing values, and definition;
- Chapter 4 provides guidance on using impact estimates and explains how economic impact estimates were derived and how to appropriately use this information; and
- The Appendix provides a data dictionary summary of data fields, measurement units, and sources.

Further discussion on interpreting and using economic impacts in decision making can be found in the *T-PICS Web Tool User Guide* and by accessing the Capacity Project C03 report at http://www.trb.org/Main/Blurbs/166934.aspx.

Chapter 2 Data Set Content and Properties

This chapter provides a summary of the data set content and properties. A more in-depth explanation of individual data fields is provided in Chapter 3.

Data Set Overview

Number of Records

There are 108 data categories for 100 case studies, totaling 10,800 records.

Content of Records

The data fields fall within five category groups that provide a specific type of description. The category groups are Characteristics, Settings, Preproject Conditions, Postproject Conditions, and Economic Impacts. Each data field is identified by a unique ID number, contains a column location identifier (an alpha field) in which the data are located in the exported Comma Delimited File, and is identified as being either qualitative or quantitative in nature.

Data Field Characteristics

Table 2.1 lists the 40 fields. Each field provides a general description of the project's location, motivation for construction, cost, time period, and other categories that define the nature, scope, and scale of the project.

| | | Column | |
|-----|---|----------|--------------|
| ID | Field Name | location | Type of Data |
| 1 | Case study name | А | Quantitative |
| | ID | В | Quantitative |
| 3 | State | С | Quantitative |
| 4 | City | D | Quantitative |
| 5 | Impact Area | Е | Quantitative |
| | Description | F | Qualitative |
| 7 | Classification/Type | G | Quantitative |
| 8 | Project Motivation - Air Access | Η | Qualitative |
| 9 | Project Motivation - Rail Access | Ι | Qualitative |
| 10 | Project Motivation - Int'l Border Access | J | Qualitative |
| 11 | Project Motivation - Marine Port Access | Κ | Qualitative |
| 12 | Project Motivation - Site Development | L | Qualitative |
| 13 | Project Motivation - Labor Market | М | Qualitative |
| 14 | Project Motivation - Delivery Market | Ν | Qualitative |
| 15 | Project Motivation - Tourism | 0 | Qualitative |
| 16 | Project Motivation - Congestion Mitigation | Р | Qualitative |
| 17 | Planned Cost (YOE\$'s) | Q | Quantitative |
| 18 | Actual Cost (YOE\$'s) | R | Quantitative |
| 19 | Actual Cost (2008\$) | S | Quantitative |
| 20 | Length (miles) | Т | Quantitative |
| 21 | Initial Study Date | U | Quantitative |
| 22 | Construction Start Date | V | Quantitative |
| 23 | Construction End Date | W | Quantitative |
| 24 | Post-Construction Study Date | Х | Quantitative |
| 25 | GIS lat Coordinates | Y | Quantitative |
| | GIS long Coordinates | Ζ | Quantitative |
| | AADT | AA | Quantitative |
| _ | BEA Region | AB | Quantitative |
| | General & Bulk Cargo Volume (Metric Tons) (IM only) | AC | Quantitative |
| | Container Volume (Metric Tons) (IM only) | AD | Quantitative |
| | Container Volume (TEUS) (IM only) | AE | Quantitative |
| | Passenger Ridership per year (TOD only) | AF | Quantitative |
| | Parking Spaces | AG | Quantitative |
| 102 | Intermodal Project Actual Cost (YOE\$'s) | AH | Quantitative |
| | Highway/road access improvement costs (YOE\$'s) | AI | Quantitative |
| | Intermodal Project Actual Cost (2008\$'s) | AJ | Quantitative |
| | Highway/road access improvement costs (2008\$'s) | AK | Quantitative |
| | Project Year of Expenditure (YOE \$'s) | AL | Quantitative |
| | Lanes | AM | Quantitative |
| 108 | Lane Miles | AN | Quantitative |

Table 2.1. Data Field Characteristics

Settings

The 11 fields classified as settings in Table 2.2 provide descriptive information regarding the nature of the geographic area in which the project is located. This includes information in socioeconomic (unemployment, population, income growth, and market size), topographical (terrain type), and transportation access (distance to airport, interstate, and major market) areas.

| | | Column | |
|----|---|----------|--------------|
| ID | Field Name | location | Type of Data |
| 28 | Class Level | СТ | Quantitative |
| 29 | Economically Distressed | CV | Quantitative |
| 31 | Population Density | CW | Quantitative |
| 32 | Population Growth Rates | CX | Quantitative |
| 33 | Employment Growth Rate | CY | Quantitative |
| 34 | Income Growth Rate | CZ | Quantitative |
| 35 | Market Size (population within 40 minutes, etc) | DA | Quantitative |
| 36 | Airport Travel Distance | DB | Quantitative |
| 37 | Interstate Travel Distance | DC | Quantitative |
| 38 | Major Market Travel Distance | DD | Quantitative |
| 39 | Extent of mountain terrain | DE | Quantitative |

Table 2.2. Settings Fields

Preyear Conditions

Eight fields describe the economic conditions at the local, county, or state levels. Data were collected for the year before the construction start year in order to prevent any influence construction might have had on the local, county, or state economy. These fields represent the preyear conditions and provide context to understand the economic conditions of the surrounding economy (Table 2.3).

| | | Column | |
|----|---|----------|--------------|
| ID | Field Name | location | Type of Data |
| 40 | Pre - Personal Income Per Capita - Local | BV | Quantitative |
| 41 | Pre - Personal Income Per Capita - County | BX | Quantitative |
| 42 | Pre - Personal Income Per Capita - State | BY | Quantitative |
| 43 | Pre- Economic Distress - Local | BZ | Quantitative |
| 44 | Pre - Economic Distress - County | CA | Quantitative |
| 45 | Pre - Economic Distress - State | CB | Quantitative |
| 46 | Pre - Number of Jobs - Local | CC | Quantitative |
| 47 | Pre - Number of Jobs - County | CD | Quantitative |
| 48 | Pre - Number of Jobs - State | CE | Quantitative |
| 49 | Pre - Business Sales - Local | CF | Quantitative |
| 50 | Pre - Business Sales - County | CG | Quantitative |
| 51 | Pre - Business Sales - State | СН | Quantitative |
| 52 | Pre- Tax Revenue- Local | CI | Quantitative |
| 53 | Pre- Tax Revenue-County | CJ | Quantitative |
| 54 | Pre- Tax Revenue-State | CK | Quantitative |
| 55 | Pre - Population- Local | CL | Quantitative |
| 56 | Pre - Population - County | СМ | Quantitative |
| 57 | Pre - Population - State | CN | Quantitative |
| 58 | Pre - Property Value - Local | CO | Quantitative |
| 59 | Pre - Property Value - County | СР | Quantitative |
| 60 | Pre - Property Value - State | CQ | Quantitative |
| 61 | Pre - Density - Local | CR | Quantitative |
| 62 | Pre - Density - County | CS | Quantitative |
| 63 | Pre - Density - State | СТ | Quantitative |

Table 2.3. Preyear Conditions

Postyear Conditions

The same eight fields describing the economic conditions at the local, county, or state levels are repeated for the postyear conditions (Table 2.4). The postyear date usually ranges from 2 to 5 years after the construction completion year. Postyears vary due to different construction timelines and the need to allow enough time for economic results to be manifested.

| | | Column | |
|----|--|----------|--------------|
| ID | Field Name | location | Type of Data |
| 64 | Post - Personal Income Per Capita - Local | AX | Quantitative |
| 65 | Post - Personal Income Per Capita - County | AZ | Quantitative |
| 66 | Post - Personal Income Per Capita - State | BA | Quantitative |
| 67 | Post - Economic Distress - Local | BB | Quantitative |
| 68 | Post - Economic Distress - County | BC | Quantitative |
| 69 | Post - Economic Distress - State | BD | Quantitative |
| 70 | Post - Number of Jobs- Local | BE | Quantitative |
| 71 | Post - Number of Jobs - County | BF | Quantitative |
| 72 | Post - Number of Jobs - State | BG | Quantitative |
| 73 | Post - Business Sales - Local | BH | Quantitative |
| 74 | Post - Business Sales - County | BI | Quantitative |
| 75 | Post - Business Sales - State | BJ | Quantitative |
| 76 | Post - Tax Revenue - Local | BK | Quantitative |
| 77 | Post - Tax Revenue - County | BL | Quantitative |
| 78 | Post - Tax Revenue - State | BM | Quantitative |
| 79 | Post - Population- Local | BN | Quantitative |
| 80 | Post - Population - County | BO | Quantitative |
| 81 | Post - Population - State | BP | Quantitative |
| 82 | Post - Property Value- Local | BQ | Quantitative |
| 83 | Post - Property Value - County | BR | Quantitative |
| 84 | Post - Property Value - State | BS | Quantitative |
| 85 | Post - Density - Local | BT | Quantitative |
| 86 | Post - Density - County | BU | Quantitative |
| 87 | Post - Density - State | BV | Quantitative |

Table 2.4. Postyear Conditions

Chapter 3 Data Field Documentation

Data Field Elements

This chapter provides a dictionary of the data fields in terms of their key elements: name, description, the type of data, unit of measurement, source, time period covered (minimum and maximum), and specified terms for declaring missing values. These elements are described as follows:

- Field Name: Name of data field category;
- **Description**: An expanded explanation of the data field content;
- **Field type:** The type of information contained in the data field (e.g., text, number, or currency);
- Units of measurement: Units included in the data, such as dollars, miles, years, latitude/longitude, daily trips, and percentages. Several data fields do not have a unit measurement but instead are descriptive in nature, such as state, city, impact area, or classification type.
- Source of data: Information was collected from federal, state, and local government organizations as well as private industry sources identified in this category. A significant amount of information describing the project characteristics and economic impacts of the project was gathered from interviews with staff at metropolitan planning organizations, regional planning commissions, state departments of transportation, economic development corporations, chambers of commerce, local developers, and planning commissions. In some cases, the case researcher or the developer may have used information gathered from these interviews to estimate certain values based on professional judgment and assimilation of data. All of the data fields that contain data determined by the case researcher are listed under the Interviews category.
- **Time period minimum:** For fields that span a range of years, time period minimum is the earliest preyear conditions date that data were collected.
- **Time period maximum:** For fields that span a range of years, time period maximum is the latest postyear conditions date that data were collected.
- **Missing values (when applicable):** Data are not always available for each field. For example, projects at a county or multicounty level by nature will not have local information. Other data fields may have missing values for a variety of reasons: for example, county-level unemployment information is only available after 1990, and per capita income is derived from the U.S. Census. For more details on the methodology on estimating missing data for the T-PICS web tool, readers should access the Capacity Project C03 report at http://www.trb.org/Main/Blurbs/166934.aspx.

• **Dollar adjustment:** In order to compare projects that span different time periods, all currency fields were converted into 2008 dollars using the consumer price index (CPI-U) from the Bureau of Labor Statistics. The following equation was used to convert year of expenditure dollars (YOE\$) into 2008 dollars (2008\$): YOE\$ × (CPI 2008)/(CPI in YOE) = 2008\$.

Table 3.1 shows documentation details for each specific data field.

| Field Name | Field Type | Units of Measurement | Source of Data | Description | Time Period – Min | Time Period – Max | Missing Values | Dollar Adjustment |
|------------------------------------|---------------|--|------------------|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|----------------------|
| Case study name | Text | Description | Interviews | Name of Case study | | | | |
| ID | Number | 1-100 | Interviews | Project ID# | | | | |
| State | Text | Description | Project Location | State where the project was located | | | | |
| City | Text | Description | Project Location | City where the project was located | | | | |
| Impact Area | Text | Description | Project Location | Relevant Counties | | | | |
| Description | Text | Description | Interviews | Text description of the project to give the reader a quick understanding of the project and results | | | | |
| Classification/Type | Text | Description | Interviews | Code for the type of transportation project | | | | |
| Project Motivation - Air Access | Number | 1 - Motivation, 0-Not a Motivation | Interviews | Purpose for project investment | | | | |

Table 3.1. Explanation of Data Fields

| Field Name | Field Type | Units of Measurement | Source of Data | Description | Time Period | Time Period | Missing Values | Dollar Adjustment |
|----------------------|---------------|-------------------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------|
| | | | | | – Min | – Max | | |
| Project Motivation - | Number | 1 - Motivation, | Interviews | Purpose for | | | | |
| Rail Access | | 0-Not a | | project | | | | |
| | | Motivation | | investment | | | | |
| Project Motivation - | Number | 1 - Motivation, | Interviews | Purpose for | | | | |
| Int'l Border Access | | 0-Not a | | project | | | | |
| | | Motivation | | investment | | | | |
| Project Motivation - | Number | 1 - Motivation, | Interviews | Purpose for | | | | |
| Marine Port Access | | 0-Not a | | project | | | | |
| | | Motivation | | investment | | | | |
| Project Motivation - | Number | 1 - Motivation, | Interviews | Purpose for | | | | |
| Site Development | | 0-Not a | | project | | | | |
| | | Motivation | | investment | | | | |
| Project Motivation - | Number | 1 - Motivation, | Interviews | Purpose for | | | | |
| Labor Market | | 0-Not a | | project | | | | |
| | | Motivation | | investment | | | | |
| Project Motivation - | Number | 1 - Motivation, | Interviews | Purpose for | | | | |
| Delivery Market | | 0-Not a | | project | | | | |
| | | Motivation | | investment | | | | |
| Project Motivation - | Number | 1 - Motivation, | Interviews | Purpose for | | | | |
| Tourism | | 0-Not a | | project | | | | |
| | | Motivation | | investment | | | | |

| Field Name | Field Type | Units of Measurement | Source of Data | Description | Time Period – Min | Time Period – Max | Missing Values | Dollar Adjustment |
|---------------------------------|---------------|--|----------------|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|---|----------------------|
| Planned Cost (YOE\$'s) | Number | 1 - Motivation, 0-Not a Motivation | Interviews | Initial planned cost of the project | | | Cost estimates not located | |
| Actual Cost (YOE\$'s) | Number | 1 - Motivation, 0-Not a Motivation | Interviews | Final actual cost of the project (YOE\$'s) | | | | YOE |
| Actual Cost (2008\$) | Currency | Dollars | Interviews | Final actual cost of the project (2008\$'s) | | | | 2008\$'s |
| Length (miles) | Number | Miles | Interviews | Length of the construction in miles | | | Length not included for Interchanges | |
| Initial Study Date | Number | Year | Interviews | Date of initial study on the proposed project | 1969 | 2005 | | |
| Construction Start Date | Number | Year | Interviews | Date construction began - Year | 1957 | 2006 | | |
| Construction End Date | Number | Year | Interviews | Date construction ended - Year | 1969 | 2007 | | |
| Post-Construction Study Date | Number | Year | Interviews | Date of post- project impact study | 1992 | 2008 | Some post construction study dates were not captured | |

| Field Name | Field Type | Units of Measurement | Source of Data | Description | Time Period – Min | Time Period – Max | Missing Values | Dollar Adjustment |
|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| GIS long | | Latitude | Google Earth | Set of GIS | | | | |
| Coordinates | | Coordinates | | coordinates | | | | |
| | | | | defining the | | | | |
| | | | | geospatial | | | | |
| | | | | center of the | | | | |
| AADT | Number | A | Interviews/ESRI | project | | | Some Freight | |
| AADI | Number | Average Annual Daily | Interviews/ESKI | Average Annual Daily | | | and Passenger | |
| | | Trips | | Traffic | | | Intermodal Rail | |
| | | 11105 | | Tunne | | | cases did not | |
| | | | | | | | have AADT | |
| Class Level | Text | Description | CBSA as defined | Code of the | | | | |
| | | | by OMB- | population size | | | | |
| | | | classification | surrounding the | | | | |
| | | | developed by | project | | | | |
| | | | Interviews (see | | | | | |
| | | | Chapters 3 and 5 | | | | | |
| | | | in SHRP 2 C03 | | | | | |
| | | | report for further | | | | | |
| Economically | Number | Ratio of local to | explanation) BLS | Local | 1992 | 2008 | Econ Distress | |
| Distressed | rumber | national | DLO | unemployment | 1772 | 2000 | not available for | |
| Distressed | | unemployment | | rate relative to | | | some | |
| | | rate | | national rate | | | international | |
| | | | | | | | cases | |

| Field Name | Field Type | Units of Measurement | Source of Data | Description | Time Period – Min | Time Period – Max | Missing Values | Dollar Adjustment |
|----------------------------|---------------|----------------------------|---|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|----------------------|
| Population Density | Number | Population per square mile | Census | Population per square mile | 1992 | 2008 | | |
| Population Growth Rates | Number | Percentage | U.S. Census | Population growth rate at the time of project operation | 2001 | 2006 | | |
| Employment Growth Rate | Number | Percentage | Economic Census | Income growth rate at the time of project operations (% change in employment relative to state or nat'l levels) | 2001 | 2006 | | |
| Income Growth Rate | Number | Percentage | IMPLAN assembled data from US Bureau of Economic Analysis Regional Economic Information Service and the US Dept of Labor. | Employment growth rate at the time of project operations (% change in employment relative to state or national levels) | 2001 | 2006 | | |

| Field Name | Field Type | Units of Measurement | Source of Data | Description | Time Period – Min | Time Period – Max | Missing Values | Dollar Adjustment |
|--|---------------|-------------------------|---|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|----------------------|
| Airport Travel Distance | Number | Miles | ESRI ARC-View Geographic Information System and Federal Aviation Administration information | Distance to major airports (time) | | | Airport travel distance not available for some international cases | |
| Interstate Travel Time Distance | Number | Miles | Interviews | Minutes to nearest interstate (not sure if this will be available) (time) | | | Several cases were either 1) an interstate or 2) connected to an interstate (values were 0) | |
| Major Market Travel Time Distance | Number | Miles | Interviews | Minutes to nearest major market (not sure if this will be available) (time) | | | Several cases were located within a major market and therefore values were 0 | |
| Pre - Personal Income Per Capita - Local | Currency | Dollars | <u>www.city-</u> <u>data.com</u> | Per Capita Income at the local level (pre- project) | 1969 | 2005 | Some data not available at the local level or for cases that are county or multi-county in scope | 2008\$'s |

| Field Name | Field Type | Units of Measurement | Source of Data | Description | Time Period – Min | Time Period – Max | Missing Values | Dollar Adjustment |
|---|---------------|---|--|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|---|----------------------|
| Pre - Personal Income Per Capita - County | Currency | Dollars | Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA) | Per Capita Income at the county level (pre-project) | 1969 | 2005 | Per Capita income not available for some international cases | 2008\$'s |
| Pre - Personal Income Per Capita - State | Currency | Dollars | Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA) | Per Capita Income at the state level (pre- project) | 1969 | 2005 | Per Capita income not available for some international cases | 2008\$'s |
| Pre- Economic Distress - Local | Number | Ratio of local to national unemployment rate | Bureau of Labor Statistics & U.S. Census | Local unemployment rate relative to national rate (pre-project) | 1969 | 2005 | Some data not available at the local level, county or multi- county in scope | |
| Pre - Economic Distress - State | Number | Ratio of local to national unemployment rate | Bureau of Labor Statistics | State unemployment rate relative to national rate (pre-project) | 1969 | 2005 | State level unemployment data not available prior to 1976 & international data not available | |

| Field Name | Field Type | Units of Measurement | Source of Data | Description | Time Period – Min | Time Period – Max | Missing Values | Dollar Adjustment |
|----------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------|--|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|----------------------|
| Pre - Number of Jobs - Local | Number | Jobs | County Business Patterns (CBP) & U.S. Economic Census | Total number of jobs at the local level (by place of employment: pre-project) | 1969 | 2005 | Some data not available at the local level or for cases that are county or multi- county in scope | |
| Pre - Number of Jobs - County | Number | Jobs | Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA) | Total number of jobs at the county level (by place of employment: pre-project) | 1969 | 2005 | Employment data not available for some int'l cases | |
| Pre - Number of Jobs - State | Number | Jobs | Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA) | Total number of jobs at the state level (by place of employment: pre-project) | 1969 | 2005 | Employment data not available for some international cases | |
| Pre - Business Sales - County | Currency | Dollars | County Business Patterns & U.S. Economic Census | Total revenue of businesses at the county level (pre-project) | 1969 | 2005 | Business sales data difficult to locate - only select cases have information | 2008\$'s |
| Pre - Business Sales - State | Currency | Dollars | County Business Patterns & U.S. Economic Census | Total revenue of businesses at the state level (pre-project) | 1969 | 2005 | Business sales data difficult to locate - only select cases have information | 2008\$'s |

| Field Name | Field Type | Units of Measurement | Source of Data | Description | Time Period – Min | Time Period – Max | Missing Values | Dollar Adjustment |
|------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------|--|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|---|----------------------|
| Pre- Tax Revenue- Local | Currency | Dollars | Auditors, tax reports, & department of revenues | Total annual local tax revenue (pre- project) | 1969 | 2005 | Some data not available at the local level or for cases that are county or multi-county in scope | 2008\$'s |
| Pre- Tax Revenue- County | Currency | Dollars | Tax Revenue Division | Total annual county tax revenue (pre- project) | 1969 | 2005 | Tax Revenue data difficult to locate - only select cases have information | 2008\$'s |
| Pre - Population- Local | Number | Population | U.S. Census & local data | Population of the local area (pre-project) | 1969 | 2005 | Some data not available at the local level (pre- 1990) or for cases that are county or multi- county in scope | |
| Pre - Population - County | Number | Population | U.S. Census | Population of the county area (pre-project) | 1969 | 2005 | | |
| Pre - Population - State | Number | Population | U.S. Census | Population of the state area (pre-project) | 1969 | 2005 | | |

| Field Name | Field Type | Units of Measurement | Source of Data | Description | Time Period – Min | Time Period – Max | Missing Values | Dollar Adjustment |
|----------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------|--|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|---|----------------------|
| Pre - Property Value - Local | Currency | Dollars | U.S. Census & County Appraiser | Median SF House Price at the local level (pre-project) | 1969 | 2005 | Some data not available at the local level (pre- 1990) or for cases that are county or multi- county in scope | 2008\$'s |
| Pre - Property Value - County | Currency | Dollars | U.S Census American Community Survey (ACS) and National Association of Retailers | Median SF House Price at the county level (pre-project) | 1969 | 2005 | Property Value only available for select years (e.g. Decennial Census and American Community Survey) | 2008\$'s |
| Pre - Property Value - State | Currency | Dollars | U.S Census American Community Survey (ACS) and National Association of Retailers | Median SF House Price at the state level (pre-project) | 1969 | 2005 | Property Value only available for select years (e.g. Decennial Census and American Community Survey) | 2008\$'s |
| Pre - Density - Local | Number | Population per square mile | Local data & U.S. Census | Density of the local area (pre- project) | 1969 | 2005 | Some data not available at the local level (pre- 1990) or for cases that are county or multi- county in scope | |

| Field Name | Field Type | Units of Measurement | Source of Data | Description | Time Period – Min | Time Period – Max | Missing Values | Dollar Adjustment |
|--|---------------|---|--|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|----------------------|
| Pre - Density - County | Number | Population per square mile | U.S Census | Density of the county area (pre-project) | 1969 | 2005 | Pre-Density not available for international cases | |
| Pre - Density - State | Number | Population per square mile | U.S Census | Density of the state area (pre- project) | 1969 | 2005 | Pre-Density not available for international cases | |
| Post - Personal Income Per Capita - Local | Currency | Dollars | www.city- data.com | Per Capita Income at the local level (post-project) | 1992 | 2008 | Some data not available at the local level or for cases that are county or multi- county in scope | 2008\$'s |
| Post - Personal Income Per Capita - County | Currency | Dollars | Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA) | Per Capita Income at the county level (post-project) | 1992 | 2008 | Per Capita income not available for some international cases | 2008\$'s |
| Post - Personal Income Per Capita - State | Currency | Dollars | Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA) | Per Capita Income at the state level (post-project) | 1992 | 2008 | Per Capita income not available for some international cases | 2008\$'s |
| Post - Economic Distress - Local | Number | Ratio of local to national unemployment rate | Bureau of Labor Statistics & U.S. Census | Local unemployment rate relative to national rate (post-project) | 1992 | 2008 | Some data not available at the local level or for cases that are county or multi- county in scope | |

| Field Name | Field Type | Units of Measurement | Source of Data | Description | Time Period – Min | Time Period – Max | Missing Values | Dollar Adjustment |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|---|--|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|----------------------|
| Post - Economic Distress - County | Number | Ratio of local to national unemployment rate | Bureau of Labor Statistics | County unemployment rate relative to national rate (post-project) | 1992 | 2008 | County level unemployment data not available prior to 1990 & international data not available | |
| Post - Economic Distress - State | Number | Ratio of local to national unemployment rate | Bureau of Labor Statistics | State unemployment rate relative to national rate (post-project) | 1992 | 2008 | State level unemployment data not available prior to 1976 & int'l data not available | |
| Post - Number of Jobs- Local | Number | Jobs | County Business Patterns (CBP) & U.S. Economic Census | Total number of jobs at the local level (by place of employment: post-project) | 1992 | 2008 | Some data not available at the local level or for cases that are county or multi- county in scope | |
| Post - Number of Jobs - County | Number | Jobs | Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA) | Total number of jobs at the county level (by place of employment: post-project) | 1992 | 2008 | Employment data not available for some international cases | |
| Post - Number of Jobs - State | Number | Jobs | Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA) | Total number of jobs at the state level (by place of employment: post-project) | 1992 | 2008 | Employment data not available for some international cases | |

| Field Name | Field Type | Units of Measurement | Source of Data | Description | Time Period – Min | Time Period – Max | Missing Values | Dollar Adjustment |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------|---|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|----------------------|
| Post - Business Sales - Local | Currency | Dollars | County Business Patterns, U.S. Economic Census, & local comptroller | Total revenue of businesses at the local level (post-project) | 1992 | 2008 | Some data not available at the local level or for cases that are county or multi- county in scope | 2008\$'s |
| Post - Business Sales - County | Currency | Dollars | County Business Patterns & U.S. Economic Census | Total revenue of businesses at the county level (post-project) | 1992 | 2008 | Business sales data difficult to locate - only select cases have information | 2008\$'s |
| Post - Business Sales - State | Currency | Dollars | County Business Patterns & U.S. Economic Census | Total revenue of businesses at the state level (post-project) | 1992 | 2008 | Business sales data difficult to locate - only select cases have information | 2008\$'s |
| Post - Tax Revenue - Local | Currency | Dollars | Auditors, tax reports, & department of revenues | Total annual local tax revenue (post- project) | 1992 | 2008 | Some data not available at the local level or for cases that are county or multi- county in scope | 2008\$'s |
| Post - Tax Revenue - County | Currency | Dollars | Tax Revenue Division | Total annual county tax revenue (post- project) | 1992 | 2008 | Tax Revenue data difficult to locate - only select cases have information | 2008\$'s |

| Field Name | Field Type | Units of Measurement | Source of Data | Description | Time Period – Min | Time Period – Max | Missing Values | Dollar Adjustment |
|--------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|---|----------------------|
| Post - Tax Revenue - State | Currency | Dollars | Tax Revenue Division | Total annual state tax revenue (post- project) | 1992 | 2008 | Tax Revenue data difficult to locate - only select cases have information | 2008\$'s |
| Post - Population- Local | Number | Population | U.S. Census & local data | Population of the local area (post-project) | 1992 | 2008 | Some data not available at the local level (pre- 1990) or for cases that are county or multi- county in scope | |
| Post - Population - County | Number | Population | U.S. Census | Population of the county area (post-project) | 1992 | 2008 | | |
| Post - Population - State | Number | Population | U.S. Census | Population of the state area (post-project) | 1992 | 2008 | | |
| Post -Property Value- Local | Currency | Dollars | U.S. Census & County Appraiser | Median SF House Price at the local level (post-project) | 1992 | 2008 | Some data not available at the local level (pre- 1990) or for cases that are county or multi- county in scope | 2008\$'s |

| Field Name | Field Type | Units of Measurement | Source of Data | Description | Time Period – Min | Time Period – Max | Missing Values | Dollar Adjustment |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------|--|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|---|----------------------|
| Post - Property Value - County | Currency | Dollars | U.S Census American Community Survey (ACS) and National Association of Retailers | Median SF House Price at the county level (post-project) | 1992 | 2008 | Property Value only available for select years (e.g. Decennial Census and American Community Survey) | 2008\$'s |
| Post - Property Value - State | Currency | Dollars | U.S Census American Community Survey (ACS) and National Association of Retailers | Median SF House Price at the state level (post-project) | 1992 | 2008 | Property Value only available for select years (e.g. Decennial Census and American Community Survey) | 2008\$'s |
| Post - Density - Local | Number | Population per square mile | Local data & U.S. Census | Density of the local area (post- project) | 1992 | 2008 | Some data not available at the local level (pre- 1990) or for cases that are county or multi- county in scope | |
| Post - Density - County | Number | Population per square mile | U.S Census | Density of the county area (post-project) | 1992 | 2008 | Pre-Density not available for international cases | |
| Post - Density - State | Number | Population per square mile | U.S Census | Density of the state area (post- project) | 1992 | 2008 | Pre-Density not available for international cases | |

| Field Name | Field Type | Units of Measurement | Source of Data | Description | Time Period – Min | Time Period – Max | Missing Values | Dollar Adjustment |
|-----------------|---------------|-------------------------|--|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|---|----------------------|
| Direct Jobs | Number | Jobs | Interviews | Number of Direct Jobs attributed to the project investment | 1992 | 2008 | Some cases were deemed to have no economic impact on the surround area | |
| Indirect Jobs | Number | Jobs | Interviews | Number of Indirect Jobs attributed to the project investment | 1992 | 2008 | Some cases were deemed to have no economic impact on the surround area | |
| Total Jobs | Number | Jobs | Interviews | Number of Total Jobs attributed to the project investment | 1992 | 2008 | Some cases were deemed to have no economic impact on the surround area | |
| Direct Income | Currency | Dollars | Interviews and IMPLAN job to income ratios | Amount of Direct Income attributed to the project investment | 1992 | 2008 | Some cases were deemed to have no economic impact on the surround area | 2008\$'s |
| Indirect Income | Currency | Dollars | Interviews and IMPLAN job to income ratios | Amount of Indirect Income attributed to the project investment | 1992 | 2008 | Some cases were deemed to have no economic impact on the surround area | 2008\$'s |

| Field Name | Field Type | Units of Measurement | Source of Data | Description | Time Period – Min | Time Period – Max | Missing Values | Dollar Adjustment |
|--|---------------|-------------------------|--|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|---|----------------------|
| Total Income | Currency | Dollars | Interviews and IMPLAN job to income ratios | Amount of Total Income attributed to the project investment | 1992 | 2008 | Some cases were deemed to have no economic impact on the surround area | 2008\$'s |
| Direct Output | Currency | Dollars | Interviews and IMPLAN job to output ratios | Amount of Direct Output attributed to the project investment | 1992 | 2008 | Some cases were deemed to have no economic impact on the surround area | 2008\$'s |
| Indirect Output | Currency | Dollars | Interviews and IMPLAN job to output ratios | Amount of Indirect Output attributed to the project investment | 1992 | 2008 | Some cases were deemed to have no economic impact on the surround area | 2008\$'s |
| Total Output | Currency | Dollars | Interviews and IMPLAN job to output ratios | Amount of Total Output attributed to the project investment | 1992 | 2008 | Some cases were deemed to have no economic impact on the surround area | 2008\$'s |
| General & Bulk Cargo Volume (Metric Tons) (IM only) | Number | Metric Tons | Interviews | Metric Tons of General and Bulk Cargo transported through Intermodal Rail location | | | For Freight Intermodal Rail cases only | |

| Field Name | Field Type | Units of Measurement | Source of Data | Description | Time Period – Min | Time Period Max | Missing Values | Dollar Adjustment |
|---|---------------|---|----------------|---|-------------------------|-----------------------|--|------------------------|
| Container Volume (Metric Tons) (IM only) | Number | Metric Tons | Interviews | Metric Tons of Container Cargo transported through Intermodal Rail location | | | For Freight Intermodal Rail cases only | |
| Container Volume (TEUS) (IM only) | Number | Twenty-foot equivalent unit (TEU) | Interviews | Twenty-foot equivalent units (TEUs) of Container Cargo | | | For Freight Intermodal Rail cases only | |
| Passenger Ridership per year (INTERMODAL PASS. only) | Number | Passengers | Interviews | Annual passenger ridership on passenger Intermodal Rail system | | | For Passenger Intermodal Rail cases only | |
| Parking Spaces | Number | Parking Spaces | Interviews | Parking Spaces at passenger Intermodal Rail station | | | For Passenger Intermodal Rail cases only | |
| Intermodal Rail Project Actual Cost (YOE\$'s) | Currency | Dollars | Interviews | Intermodal Rail Project Actual Cost (YOE\$'s) | 1963 | 2008 | | Year of Expenditure |

| Field Name | Field Type | Units of Measurement | Source of Data | Description | Time Period – Min | Time Period – Max | Missing Values | Dollar Adjustment |
|--|---------------|-------------------------|----------------|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|------------------------|
| Highway/road access improvement costs (YOE\$'s) | Currency | Dollars | Interviews | Highway/road access improvement costs (YOE\$'s) | 2008 | | | Year of Expenditure |
| Intermodal Rail Project Actual Cost (2008\$'s) | Currency | Dollars | Interviews | Intermodal Rail Project Actual Cost (2008\$'s) | 2008 | | | 2008\$'s |
| Highway/road access improvement costs (2008\$'s) | Currency | Dollars | Interviews | Highway/road access improvement costs (2008\$'s) | 1963 | 2008 | | 2008\$'s |
| Project Year of Expenditure (YOE \$'s) | Currency | Dollars | Interviews | Project Year of Expenditure (YOE \$'s) | | | | Year of Expenditure |
| Lanes | Number | Lanes | Interviews | Number of lanes in project | | | Lanes not included for interchanges | |
| Lane Miles | Number | Lane Miles | Interviews | Number of lane miles in project | | | Lane miles not included for interchanges | |

Chapter 4 Warning on Compatibility and Interpretation of Data

Data Compatibility for Analysis

Case studies, by their very nature, span a range of different time periods and geographic areas. Data availability also varies with time and geography. The specific database developed for this project and used in the T-PICS web tool incorporates a set of controls intended to help users allow or adjust for such differences. These controls include indicators of time and geographic differences, as well as adjustment of dollar fields from their original reported values into constant dollars. Users, however, must be aware of these indicators and adjustments and use them accordingly. Key elements are noted below.

- 1. Year of expenditure dollars versus constant dollars. Because some of the data expressed in dollars can vary across time periods, all currency data were adjusted to 2008 dollars using the consumer price index (CPI-U) published by the Bureau of Labor Statistics.
- 2. Local-, county-, or multicounty-level geographies. Cases vary in their geographic scope. In order to make an accurate assessment when comparing cases, it is important to consider the relative geographic scale of each case. For example, two projects equal in cost and other characteristics can vary in scale of economic impacts if one is confined to a local municipal area and the other covers multiple counties.
- 3. **Sources of information**. State, county, metropolitan, municipal, and tract or zip code data were gathered from a variety of published sources and reports. The variety of data sources creates potential noise, as not all of the data sources adopt identical definitions of the same concept, and they do not all use exactly the same data collection methods. In addition, some information on observed impacts is derived from local interviews and locally available data sources, which may also vary in their data collection methods and inclusiveness. More detailed information on published data sources is found in the Appendix. More information on interview data collection is found by accessing the Capacity Project C03 report at http://www.trb.org/Main/Blurbs/166934.aspx.
- 4. **Postyear conditions**. Users should note that each case has a different construction period and postanalysis year. More information on preconstruction and postconstruction conditions can be found by accessing http://www.trb.org/Main/Blurbs/166934.aspx.

Calculation and Interpretation of Economic Impact Data Measures

One of the key objectives of the case study database and T-PICS web tool is to provide information enabling improved estimates of the job economic impact of highway investment projects. To use that information properly, it is important for the user to understand the source and derivation of the impact data fields, and thus appreciate their uses and limitations.

For each case study project, preproject and postproject information was collected for a variety of available economic indicators. The actual impact estimates, however, also drew considerable input from local interviews. Staff at local public and private sector organizations were contacted and interviewed to gather perspectives and insight regarding the degree to which each project attracted development and new businesses that resulted in new jobs to the area. Efforts were made to exclude any external economic trends or conditions that were unrelated to the project in order to isolate project-related job creation impacts. An estimate of net job creation impacts was derived by combining and synthesizing locally collected data, trends, interview insights, and economic development patterns.

Some impact data fields were calculated from other data fields. The output and wage impacts were based on employment impact numbers by using average output–job and wage–job ratios for a composite of manufacturing and business service industries in each county. The data used to calculate these ratios were provided by IMPLAN and were based on Bureau of Economic Analysis data. To calculate total job impacts (direct job impacts plus estimated indirect and induced effects), multipliers were applied to the direct impact numbers obtained from the case study data collection process. The ratios and multipliers represent the time period from 2004 to 2008.

It is also important to note that the economic impact estimates provided in the database were developed for specific project characteristics and settings. Each case study is unique in regard to its impacts and should only be used as a reference for the type of impacts than can be expected. A good rule of thumb is to combine several cases of the same project type to gain a spectrum of values, characteristics, settings, and economic impacts to help users understand the range of potential results for particular projects in order to align economic development goals and outcomes. Nonetheless, these cases should only be used as a preliminary guide and not as a substitute for the in-depth economic impact analysis that is usually required for project funding.

A more in-depth discussion of the scope, range, and limitations of using these impact results can be found by accessing the Capacity Project C03 report at http://www.trb.org/Main/Blurbs/166934.aspx.

Appendix A List of Case Study Records

| Project Name | Project | City or County | County(ies) | Location | BEA Region |
|---|----------------|----------------|--|----------|------------------------------|
| Hammondsport | TypeAccessRoad | Hammondsport | Steuben | NY | New England/ Mid-Atlantic |
| Clermont County Industrial Park in Miami | Access Road | Milford | Clermont | ОН | Great Lakes/ Plains |
| Cattaraugus Economic Development Zone Infrastructure | Access Road | Allegany | Cattaraugus | NY | New England/ Mid-Atlantic |
| Carolina Factory Shops Infrastructure | Access Road | Gaffney | Cherokee | SC | Southeast |
| Columbus - Lowndes County Riverside | Access Road | Columbus | Lowndes | MS | Southeast |
| New Phalen Boulevard Corridor | Access Road | St. Paul | Ramsey | MN | Great Lakes/ Plains |
| State Route 126, Fenton Lake Bridge | Access Road | Jemez Springs | Sandoval | NM | Southwest |
| Richmond, Virginia, I-295 Bypass | Beltway | Richmond | Henrico, Hanover, Chesterfield, Prince George | VA | Southeast |
| Appleton, Wisconsin, Route 441 Bypass | Beltway | Appleton | Winnebago, Outagamie, Calumet | WI | Great Lakes/ Plains |
| Fort Wayne, Indiana, I-469 Bypass | Beltway | Fort Wayne | Allen | IN | Great Lakes/ Plains |
| Danville, Virginia, I-785 Bypass | Beltway | Danville | Danville | VA | Southeast |
| Beltway 8 Houston segments | Beltway | Houston | Harris | TX | Southwest |
| E470 Denver | Beltway | Denver | Boulder, Adams, Denver, Douglas, Arapahoe | СО | Rocky Mtn./ Far West |
| Arizona Route 101 | Beltway | Phoenix | Maricopa | AZ | Southwest |

Table A.1. List of Case Records by Project Name, Type, and Location

| Project Name | Project Type | City or County | County(ies) | Location | BEA Region |
|---|-----------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|
| I-476 Blue Route | Beltway | Philadelphia | Delware | PA | New England/ Mid-Atlantic |
| World Trade Bridge | Bridge | Laredo | Webb | TX | Southwest |
| Oresund Bridge | Bridge | Copenhagen, Denmark, Malmö, Sweden | | Denmark, Sweden | International |
| The Gene Hartzell Memorial Bridge, | Bridge | Bethlehem | Northampton | PA | New England/ Mid-Atlantic |
| Third Bridge (Route 3) | Bridge | Augusta | Kennebec | ME | New England/ Mid-Atlantic |
| Mo. Route 370 Bridge | Bridge | St. Charles | St. Charles and St. Louis | МО | Great Lakes/ Plains |
| Isle of Palms Connector (SC 517) | Bridge | Mt Pleasant, Isle of Palms | Charleston | SC | Southeast |
| The Neuse River Bridge, | Bridge | New Bern | Craven | NC | Southeast |
| Lexington Bridge between I-5 and SR 411 | Bridge | Kelso-Lakeview | Cowlitz | WA | Rocky Mtn./ Far West |
| Potato Hill Bridge | Bridge | Moses Lake | Grant | WA | Rocky Mtn./ Far West |
| Lake Natoma Crossing Bridge | Bridge | City of Folsom | Sacramento | CA | Rocky Mtn./ Far West |
| Yass Bypass | Bypass | Yass | Yass Shire | Australia | International |
| Karuah Bypass | Bypass | Karuah | | Australia | International |
| Eastern Washington - SR 195 Bypass | Bypass | Rosalia | Whitman | WA | Rocky Mtn./ Far West |
| Fort Atkinson Bypass | Bypass | Fort Atkinson | Washburn | WI | Great Lakes/ Plains |
| Verona Bypass | Bypass | Verona | Dane | WI | Great Lakes/ Plains |
| Stonewall Bypass | Bypass | Stonewall | Pontotoc | OK | Southwest |
| Wichita Northeast Bypass | Bypass | Wichita | Grady & Kiowa | KS | Great Lakes/ Plains |
| Hollister SR156 | Bypass | Hollister | San Benito | CA | Rocky Mtn./ Far West |

| Project Name | Project Type | City or County | County(ies) | Location | BEA Region |
|--|-----------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|----------|------------------------------|
| Sonora & East Sonora SR49 & SR108 | Bypass | Sonora | Tuolumne | CA | Rocky Mtn./ Far West |
| US-400 Parsons Bypass | Bypass | Parsons | Labette | KS | Great Lakes/ Plains |
| Georgetown Bypass | Bypass | Georgetown | Scott | KY | Southeast |
| Mercer Co. KY, US-127 Bypass | Bypass | Harrodsburg | Mercer | KY | Southeast |
| Bennington Bypass, VT 279 | Bypass | Bennington | Bennington | VT | New England/ Mid-Atlantic |
| US Highway 281, San Antonio (Extension) | Connector | San Antonio | Bexar | TX | Southwest |
| I-705 Connector in Washington | Connector | Tacoma | Pierce | TX | Rocky Mtn./ Far West |
| Branson W (Ozark Mt. Highroad) | Connector | Branson | Stone, Teney | Branson | Great Lakes/ Plains |
| Southern Connector | Connector | Greenville | Greenville | SC | Southeast |
| Ted Williams Freeway | Connector | San Diego | San Diego | CA | Rocky Mtn./ Far West |
| Topsham Bypass/Connector | Connector | Topsham | Sagadahoc & Cumberland | ME | New England/ Mid-Atlantic |
| US 460 | Connector | Blacksburg and Christiansburg | Montgomery | VA | Southeast |
| US 25 Kentucky | Connector | Dry Ridge | Grant | KY | Southeast |
| I-70 and 110th Street Interchange | Interchange | Kansas City | Wyandotte | KS | Great Lakes/ Plains |
| Blue Route and Schuylkill interchange | Interchange | Conshohocken | Montgomery | PA | New England/ Mid-Atlantic |
| Commerce Parkway Interchange | Interchange | Hays, KS | Ellis | CA | Great Lakes/ Plains |
| I-95 and Route 128 Peabody | Interchange | Peabody | Essex | MA | New England/ Mid-Atlantic |
| Interchanges in Major Urban Areas - Bloomington, MN | Interchange | Bloomington, MN | Hennepin | MN | Great Lakes/ Plains |

| Project Name | Project Type | City or County | County(ies) | Location | BEA Region |
|--|--------------------|----------------|------------------|----------|------------------------------|
| Big I Albuquerque | Interchange | Albuquerque | Bernalillo | NM | Southwest |
| Dallas High Five Interchange | Interchange | Dallas, TX | Dallas | TX | Southwest |
| I-435 & Nall/Roe Ave. Interchange | Interchange | Overland Park | Johnson | KS | Great Lakes/ Plains |
| Central Freeway, San Francisco | Interchange | San Francisco | San Francisco | CA | Rocky Mtn./ Far West |
| I-20 Interchange | Interchange | Jackson | Hinds | MS | Southeast |
| I-35 and US 290, Texas | Interchange | Austin | Travis | TX | Southwest |
| Veteran's Parkway Georgia | Interchange | Savannah | Chatham | GA | Southeast |
| Auburn Intermodal Rail Center | Intermodal Rail | Auburn | Androscoggin | ME | New England/ Mid-Atlantic |
| Devens Intermodal Rail Rail Terminal | Intermodal Rail | Ayer | Middlesex | MA | New England/ Mid-Atlantic |
| Global III Intermodal Rail Terminal - Rochelle, IL | Intermodal Rail | Rochelle | Ogle & Lee | IL | Great Lakes/ Plains |
| Fairburn CSX Industry Yard, Fairburn, GA | Intermodal Rail | Fairburn | Fulton | GA | Southeast |
| Huntsville Alabama | Intermodal Rail | Huntsville | Madison | AL | Southeast |
| Tchoupitoulas Corridor | Intermodal Rail | New Orleans | Orleans parish | LA | Southeast |
| Logistics Park – Alliance TX | Intermodal Rail | Fort Worth | Denton, Tarrant | TX | Southwest |
| Bayport TX | Intermodal Rail | Seabrook | Harris | TX | Southwest |
| WorldPort at DIA | Intermodal Rail | Denver | Denver | СО | Rocky Mtn./ Far West |
| Elwood, IL – CenterPoint Intermodal Rail Center & BNSF Logistics Park | Intermodal Rail | Elwood | Will | IL | Great Lakes/ Plains |
| Interstate 68 | Major Hwy | | Garret, Allegany | MD | New England/ Mid-Atlantic |

| Project Name | Project Type | City or County | County(ies) | Location | BEA Region |
|--|---------------------|--|----------------|----------|------------------------------|
| Interstate 29 | Major Hwy | | See footnote 1 | IA | Great Lakes/ Plains |
| Interstate 43 | Major Hwy | From Milwaukee to Green Bay | See footnote 2 | WI | Great Lakes/ Plains |
| SR 29 | Major Hwy | Chippewa Falls to Green Bay | See footnote 3 | WI | Great Lakes/ Plains |
| Interstate 81 (PA) | Major Hwy | Connects Harrisburg to Wilkes- Barre/Scranton | See footnote 4 | PA | New England/ Mid-Atlantic |
| Interstate 81 (VA) | Major Hwy | Bristol, Roanoke, Harrisonburg, and Winchester. | See footnote 5 | VA | Southeast |
| Interstate 16 | Major Hwy | Savannah to Macon | See footnote 6 | GA | Southeast |
| Interstate 26 | Major Hwy | Connects Spartanburg to Charleston | See footnote 7 | SC | Southeast |
| Interstate 27 | Major Hwy | Amarillo to Lubbock | See footnote 8 | TX | Southwest |
| Corridor B | Major Hwy | | See footnote 9 | TN | Southeast |
| I-515 Henderson | Major Hwy | Henderson | Burleson | NV | Southwest |
| Central Artery Tunnel | Major Hwy | Boston | Suffolk | MA | New England/ Mid-Atlantic |
| Casey Highway in Pennsylvania (US Route 6) | Major Hwy | Scranton | Lackawanna | PA | New England/ Mid-Atlantic |
| Interstate 105/Interstate 110 Interchange | Major Hwy | Los Angeles | Los Angeles | CA | Rocky Mtn./ Far West |
| Anderson Regional Transportation Center, Woburn, MA | Intermodal Pass. | Woburn | Middlesex | MA | New England/ Mid-Atlantic |
| Sunset Transit Center, Portland, OR | Intermodal Pass. | Beaverton | Washington | OR | Rocky Mtn./ Far West |
| Bellevue Transit Center, Bellevue, WA | Intermodal Pass. | Bellevue | King | WA | Rocky Mtn./ Far West |
| Tri-Rail Boca Raton Intermodal Rail Transit Center | Intermodal Pass. | Boca Raton | Palm Beach | FL | Southeast |

| Project Name | Project Type | City or County | County(ies) | Location | BEA Region |
|--|---------------------|--|-----------------|----------|------------------------------|
| Lindberg Station, MARTA (Atlanta) | Intermodal Pass. | Lindberg/Morosgo | Fulton | GA | Southeast |
| DART | Intermodal Pass. | Dallas | Dallas | TX | Southwest |
| BART | Intermodal Pass. | Daly City and Colma | San Mateo | CA | Rocky Mtn./ Far West |
| Arlington Heights METRA | Intermodal Pass. | Village of Arlington Heights | Cook & Lake | IL | Great Lakes/ Plains |
| Emerson Park MetroLink | Intermodal Pass. | East St. Louis | St. Clair | IL | Great Lakes/ Plains |
| Corridor D | Widening | | See footnote 10 | WV | Southeast |
| I-86 NY Southern Tier | Widening | Allegany, Cattaguarus, Chautauqua and Steuben | See footnote 11 | NY | New England/ Mid-Atlantic |
| I-15 Reconstruction - Salt Lake City | Widening | SLC | Salt Lake | UT | Rocky Mtn./ Far West |
| I-70 Glenwood Canyon | Widening | Glenwood Springs | Garfield | СО | Rocky Mtn./ Far West |
| Santan Freeway: part of Maricopa RTP, AZ | Widening | Meas, Gilbert, and Chandler | Maricopa | AZ | Southwest |
| Corridor J, Appalachia | Widening | | See footnote 12 | KY | Southeast |
| Corridor Q, Appalachia | Widening | | See footnote 13 | VA | Southeast |
| US 75 North Central Expressway, Dallas | Widening | Dallas | Dallas | TX | Southwest |
| I-394 Minnesota | Widening | Golden Valley | Hennepin | MN | Great Lakes/ Plains |

Footnotes (county details):

- 1: Fremont, Mills, Pottawattamie, Harrison, Monona, Woodbury
- 2: Brown, Manitowoc, Sheboygan, Ozaukee and Milwaukee
- 3: Chippewa, Clark, Marathon, Shawano, Brown
- 4: Franklin, Cumberland, Dauphin, Lebanon, Schuylkill, Luzerne, Lackawanna, and Susquehanna

5: Bristol CITY, Washington, Smyth, Wythe, Pulaski, Montgomery, Botetourt, Roanoke, Rockbridge, Augusta, Staunton, Rockingham, Shenandoah, Warren, Frederick

- 6: Chatham Effingham, Bryan, Twiggs, Bibb, Bulloch, Bleckley, Candler, Laurens, Treutlen, Wilkinson, Emanuel
- 7: Spartanburg, Laurens, Newberry, Richland, Lexington, Calhoun, Orangeburg, Dorchester, Berkeley
- 8: Lubbock, Swisher, Randall, Potter
- 9: Buncombe, NC; Madison, NC; Unicoi, TN; Washington, TN; Sullivan, TN
- 10: Doddridge, Harrison, Wood, Ritchie

Chautauqua, Cattaraugus, Allegany and Steuben, Chemung
 Laurel, Pulaski, Wayne, Clinton, Cumberland
 Montgomery, Giles, Tazewell, Buchanan, Mercer, WV