

SHRP 2 Reliability Project L07

# Design Guide for Addressing Nonrecurrent Congestion

*PREPUBLICATION DRAFT • NOT EDITED*

© 2013 National Academy of Sciences. All rights reserved.

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

This work was sponsored by the Federal Highway Administration in cooperation with the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials. It was conducted in the second Strategic Highway Research Program, which is administered by the Transportation Research Board of the National Academies.

## **NOTICE**

The project that is the subject of this document was a part of the second Strategic Highway Research Program, conducted by the Transportation Research Board with the approval of the Governing Board of the National Research Council.

The members of the technical committee selected to monitor this project and to review this document were chosen for their special competencies and with regard for appropriate balance. The document was reviewed by the technical committee and accepted for publication according to procedures established and overseen by the Transportation Research Board and approved by the Governing Board of the National Research Council.

The opinions and conclusions expressed or implied in this document are those of the researchers who performed the research. They are not necessarily those of the second Strategic Highway Research Program, the Transportation Research Board, the National Research Council, or the program sponsors.

The information contained in this document was taken directly from the submission of the authors. This document has not been edited by the Transportation Research Board.

Authors herein are responsible for the authenticity of their materials and for obtaining written permissions from publishers or persons who own the copyright to any previously published or copyrighted material used herein.

The Transportation Research Board of the National Academies, the National Research Council, and the sponsors of the second Strategic Highway Research Program do not endorse products or manufacturers. Trade or manufacturers' names appear herein solely because they are considered essential to the object of the report.

# THE NATIONAL ACADEMIES

*Advisers to the Nation on Science, Engineering, and Medicine*

The **National Academy of Sciences** is a private, nonprofit, self-perpetuating society of distinguished scholars engaged in scientific and engineering research, dedicated to the furtherance of science and technology and to their use for the general welfare. On the authority of the charter granted to it by Congress in 1863, the Academy has a mandate that requires it to advise the federal government on scientific and technical matters. Dr. Ralph J. Cicerone is president of the National Academy of Sciences.

The **National Academy of Engineering** was established in 1964, under the charter of the National Academy of Sciences, as a parallel organization of outstanding engineers. It is autonomous in its administration and in the selection of its members, sharing with the National Academy of Sciences the responsibility for advising the federal government. The National Academy of Engineering also sponsors engineering programs aimed at meeting national needs, encourages education and research, and recognizes the superior achievements of engineers. Dr. Charles M. Vest is president of the National Academy of Engineering.

The **Institute of Medicine** was established in 1970 by the National Academy of Sciences to secure the services of eminent members of appropriate professions in the examination of policy matters pertaining to the health of the public. The Institute acts under the responsibility given to the National Academy of Sciences by its congressional charter to be an adviser to the federal government and, upon its own initiative, to identify issues of medical care, research, and education. Dr. Harvey V. Fineberg is president of the Institute of Medicine.

The **National Research Council** was organized by the National Academy of Sciences in 1916 to associate the broad community of science and technology with the Academy's purposes of furthering knowledge and advising the federal government. Functioning in accordance with general policies determined by the Academy, the Council has become the principal operating agency of both the National Academy of Sciences and the National Academy of Engineering in providing services to the government, the public, and the scientific and engineering communities. The Council is administered jointly by both Academies and the Institute of Medicine. Dr. Ralph J. Cicerone and Dr. Charles M. Vest are chair and vice chair, respectively, of the National Research Council.

The **Transportation Research Board** is one of six major divisions of the National Research Council. The mission of the Transportation Research Board is to provide leadership in transportation innovation and progress through research and information exchange, conducted within a setting that is objective, interdisciplinary, and multimodal. The Board's varied activities annually engage about 7,000 engineers, scientists, and other transportation researchers and practitioners from the public and private sectors and academia, all of whom contribute their expertise in the public interest. The program is supported by state transportation departments, federal agencies including the component administrations of the U.S. Department of Transportation, and other organizations and individuals interested in the development of transportation. **www.TRB.org**

[www.national-academies.org](http://www.national-academies.org)

# **Draft Design Guide for Addressing Nonrecurrent Congestion**

**SHRP 2 Project L07**

**For  
Strategic Highway Research Program (SHRP 2)  
Transportation Research Board  
National Research Council**

**MRIGlobal Project No. 110622**

**April 2013**



# ***Design Guide for Reducing Nonrecurrent Congestion***

**SHRP 2 Project L07  
MRIGlobal Project 110793**

**DRAFT REPORT**

**April 2013**

**Ingrid B. Potts  
Douglas W. Harwood  
Chris A. Fees  
Jessica M. Hutton  
MRIGlobal  
Kansas City, Missouri 64110**

**Christopher Kenzel  
HDR, Inc.  
Kansas City, MO**



# Contents

---

Figures .....	v
Tables .....	vii
Introduction.....	1
Chapter 1. Introduction to Nonrecurrent Congestion and Travel Time Reliability .....	3
Nonrecurrent Congestion.....	3
Travel Time Reliability.....	6
The Costs of Delay and Reliability.....	8
Reducing Nonrecurrent Congestion and Improving Reliability.....	8
Chapter 2. Selecting Design Treatments to Address Nonrecurrent Congestion.....	11
Choosing Treatments for Consideration.....	13
Evaluating and Comparing Treatments .....	13
Chapter 3. Catalog of Design Treatments.....	17
Medians.....	17
Gated Median Barrier .....	25
Moveable Cable Median Barrier .....	28
Extra-Height Median Barrier.....	31
Mountable/Traversable Medians .....	37
Shoulders .....	40
Drivable Shoulder.....	43
Crash Investigation Sites .....	62
Right-of-Way Edge.....	66
Arterials and Ramps .....	69
Detours.....	83
Truck Incident Design Considerations .....	88
Construction.....	94
Animal-Vehicle Collision Design Considerations.....	101
Weather.....	107
Lane Types and Uses.....	119
Traffic Signals and Traffic Control .....	145
Technology .....	173
Emergency Response Notification .....	179
Weather.....	186
Chapter 4. Examples of Design Treatment Installations .....	196
Emergency Crossovers .....	196
Extra-Height Median Barrier.....	198
Drivable Shoulder.....	198
Alternating Shoulder.....	199
Emergency Pull-Offs .....	200
Crash Investigation Sites .....	200
Ramp Turn Restrictions.....	200



Improvements to Detour Routes .....	201
Reduced Construction Duration .....	205
Improved Work Site Access/Circulation .....	206
Animal-Vehicle Collision Design Considerations.....	207
Contra-Flow Lanes—Evacuation .....	207
HOV Lanes/HOT Lanes .....	208
Work Zone Express Lanes.....	209
Ramp Metering .....	209
Fog Detection.....	210
Flood Warning System .....	211
Wind Warning System.....	212

# Figures

---

Figure 1.	Decision Tree for Nonrecurrent Congestion Design Treatments .....	14
Figure 2.	Decision Tree for Secondary Treatments .....	15
Figure 3.	Emergency Crossover on I-40 Near Asheville, North Carolina .....	18
Figure 4.	Emergency Crossover in Minnesota .....	18
Figure 5.	Moveable Traffic Barrier .....	22
Figure 6.	MTB Systems Used in New York City.....	22
Figure 7.	TTI Access Gate .....	26
Figure 8.	Gated Median Barrier in Atlanta, GA.....	26
Figure 9.	Moveable Cable Median Barrier in Normal State (left) and Collapsed State (right) .....	29
Figure 10.	Standard Three-Strand Cable Median Barrier .....	29
Figure 11.	EHMB in Atlanta, Georgia .....	32
Figure 12.	Example of EHMB Created by Mounting Glare Screens on a Standard Concrete Barrier.....	33
Figure 13.	EHMB Created Using Oleander Shrubs (this has been done in California since the 1950s).....	34
Figure 14.	Two-Way Left-turn Lane .....	37
Figure 15.	Mountable Median for Emergency Vehicles .....	38
Figure 16.	An Accessible Shoulder in Use During a Crash Investigation .....	40
Figure 17.	A Vehicle Fire on the Left Shoulder of a Freeway .....	41
Figure 18.	Drivable Shoulder in Germany .....	44
Figure 19.	Drivable Shoulder Along I-66 in Virginia.....	44
Figure 20.	Bus-Only Drivable Shoulder on I-35 in Minneapolis.....	45
Figure 21.	Buses Allowed on Shoulder in Atlanta, Georgia.....	45
Figure 22.	Alternating Shoulder Examples (median shift, lane shift).....	48
Figure 23.	(a) Before and (b) After Conversion of Roadway to Two-Way Operation With Alternating Shoulders .....	49
Figure 24.	Bus Turnout .....	58
Figure 25.	Mid Block Turnout Design .....	59
Figure 26.	Farside Turnout Design.....	60
Figure 27.	Aerial View of a Crash Investigation Site Within a Freeway Interchange in Chicago .....	63
Figure 28.	Roadside te in Minnesota.....	63
Figure 29.	Crash Investigation Site in Atlanta, Georgia .....	64
Figure 30.	Emergency Access Between Interchanges.....	67
Figure 31.	A Two-Lane Off-Ramp in Minnesota.....	70
Figure 32.	An Automated Gate Used to Close Access in the Event of Severe Weather Conditions.....	74
Figure 33.	Left-Side Swing Gate on I-35 in Iowa.....	74
Figure 34.	Ramp Terminal Intersection .....	78
Figure 35.	Aerial Image of the Maryland Roundabout (Left), and Photo Showing the Signalized Arterial Leg of the Roundabout (Right).....	78
Figure 36.	Detour Signs.....	84
Figure 37.	Congested Freeway With a Parallel Roadway That Could Carry Traffic .....	85

Figure 38.	Runaway Truck Ramp .....	89
Figure 39.	Example of a Gravity Runaway Truck Ramp.....	91
Figure 40.	Construction Project Causing Freeway Congestion .....	95
Figure 41.	The Use of Precast Materials During Bridge Construction in Texas.....	95
Figure 42.	Example of Work Zone Where Access of Slow-Moving Vehicles Will Likely Impede Traffic .....	99
Figure 43.	A Bull Elk on the Roadway .....	102
Figure 44.	Wildlife Fencing Installed to Keep Wildlife From Crossing Highways.....	102
Figure 45.	Boulders in the Right-of-Way (Alternative to Wildlife Fencing).....	103
Figure 46.	Long Tunnels and Long Bridges Physically Separate Animals From Vehicular Traffic .....	103
Figure 47.	Wyoming Snow Fence.....	108
Figure 48.	High-Density Polyethylene Snow Fences.....	108
Figure 49.	Example of Blowing Sand Reducing Visibility.....	112
Figure 50.	Example of Blowing Sand Interfering with Highway Operations.....	113
Figure 51.	Bridge Anti-icing System in Minnesota .....	117
Figure 52.	Contra-Flow Operations Used In South Carolina After a Hurricane.....	120
Figure 53.	Traffic Diverted Across Median .....	124
Figure 54.	Median Crossover at a Ramp for Use in Contra-Flow Lane for a Work Zone.....	125
Figure 55.	New Pavement Construction of a Divided Highway, Contra-Flow Operations on Other Side of Median .....	126
Figure 56.	Typical Median Crossover on a Divided Highway.....	127
Figure 57.	HOV Lane.....	131
Figure 58.	HOV Lane in Atlanta.....	131
Figure 59.	Signage at Entrance Ramps, New Jersey Turnpike .....	135
Figure 60.	Dual Facilities Along the New Jersey Turnpike .....	135
Figure 61.	Interchange Bypass Lanes in Los Angeles .....	136
Figure 62.	Reversible Lane Used for Clearing Stadium Traffic in Baltimore .....	140
Figure 63.	Reversible Express Lane in Seattle, Washington .....	141
Figure 64.	Reversible Lane on I-15 in San Diego, California.....	141
Figure 65.	Work Zone Express Lane Along I-10 in Tucson, Arizona .....	144
Figure 66.	Express Lanes on State Route 91 in Orange County, California.....	144
Figure 67.	Preemption Signal (Artist Rendering) .....	146
Figure 68.	Sound Detection Priority System.....	147
Figure 69.	Example Queue Jump Lane With Stop Bar Located Several Yards Back From the Intersection .....	149
Figure 70.	Example of Bus Bypass Lane .....	150
Figure 71.	Queue Jump lane Example in Ottawa, Canada.....	150
Figure 72.	Queue Jump Lane on Metered Ramp in Minnesota.....	151
Figure 73.	Queue Jump Lane With Advance Stop Bar .....	151
Figure 74.	Example Traffic Signal in Iowa.....	156
Figure 75.	Lane-Aligned Signal Heads .....	157
Figure 76.	A Series of Traffic Signals.....	160
Figure 77.	Traffic Signal .....	161
Figure 78.	Ramp Metering Installation (right-lane signal).....	163
Figure 79.	Ramp Meter .....	163

Figure 80.	Ramp Meter in Use on a Congested Freeway (3) .....	164
Figure 81.	WisDOT Roadway Standards of Temporary Bridge Signal on a Two-Lane Bridge .....	167
Figure 82.	Variable Speed Limits on I-5 in Seattle, WA .....	169
Figure 83.	Variable Speed Limits on SR-520 in Bellevue, Washington .....	170
Figure 84.	Variable Speed Limit Sign.....	170
Figure 85.	Variable Speed Limits on M42 in Manchester, United Kingdom .....	170
Figure 86.	Temporary VSL System .....	171
Figure 87.	Gantry on a Variable-tolled Facility in Singapore .....	174
Figure 88.	Photo of An Over-Height Detector .....	177
Figure 89.	Over-Height Detection System in Minnesota .....	177
Figure 90.	Highway Reference Markers .....	180
Figure 91.	Examples of Highway Reference Markers .....	180
Figure 92.	Call Box Mounted on Sound Barrier .....	183
Figure 93.	Roadside Call Box .....	183
Figure 94.	Foggy Conditions Along a Roadway in California.....	186
Figure 95.	Road Weather Information System at the Turner-Fairbank Highway Research Center .....	189
Figure 96.	Integrated Weather Information System.....	189
Figure 97.	Flooded Roadway .....	192
Figure 98.	Closed Flooded Roadway .....	192
Figure 99.	Example Photos of Wind Warning Systems.....	194
Figure 100.	Detour Routes in Minneapolis, Minnesota, During I-35W Bridge Reconstruction .....	203
Figure 101.	Individual Project Locations.....	204

## Tables

---

Table 1.	Design Treatments .....	12
Table 2.	Summary of Technical Considerations of Various Traffic Signal Preemption Options.....	146
Table 3.	Traffic Signal Comparison.....	166
Table 4.	Costs Associated With Implementing Bus-Only Shoulders .....	199



# Introduction

---

The purpose of this guide is to provide traffic engineers, highway designers, transportation planners, and decision-makers with a catalog of highway design treatments that can be used to reduce nonrecurrent congestion and improve the reliability of urban and rural freeways. Nonrecurrent congestion is congestion outside of what is normally expected during daily traffic peaks. It can be caused by crashes, non-crash incidents, special events, weather, work zones, demand surges, or traffic control devices. Roadways that experience several of these events often have low reliability, because the drivers who use the facility have difficulty accurately estimating the amount of time their trip will take. The concepts of nonrecurrent congestion and reliability are discussed in Chapter 1 of this guide.

While many agencies are familiar with the potential benefits of deploying real-time traveler information systems and roadside assist programs to reduce the impacts of incidents, special events, and inclement weather, little consideration has been given to how the design of the roadway and roadside can also play an important role in mitigating the congestion caused by these events. This guide considers the impact of both standard features, such as the provision of full-depth shoulders, and innovative features, such as queue jump lanes, and explores the benefits they may be able to provide during nonrecurrent congestion. Some treatments are used primarily to address nonrecurrent congestion, while others may be implemented for another reason, such as increased capacity during periods of nonrecurring congestion, but may have additional benefits during nonrecurrent congestion events. The full range of treatments included in this guide is presented in Chapter 2.

Chapter 2 also provides a process for narrowing the full list of treatments to those that may provide the most benefit in various situations. A decision tree is presented that walks the reader through the process of considering the main cause of nonrecurrent congestion and the means by which that nonrecurrent congestion might be mitigated. Ideally, the reader will leave Chapter 2 with a handful of treatments that will be investigated further as candidates for possible implementation.

Chapter 3 of this guide serves as a catalog of the treatments and provides a range of information on each one, including:

- Description and primary objective of the treatment
- Typical applications of the treatment
- Design criteria or guidelines if available in design manuals or from research
- How the treatment can be used to address nonrecurrent congestion
- Factors that affect the treatment's effectiveness
- Cost considerations
- Resources for additional information

The reader may choose to browse through this catalog to learn more about several treatments, or may specifically go to the descriptions of the treatments identified in Chapter 3 as potential candidates for implementation.

While Chapter 3 provides information on how each treatment can be used to reduce nonrecurrent congestion and the factors that may make it more or less successful in doing so, it does not provide guidance on quantifying the benefit of the treatment in terms of nonrecurrent congestion reduced or reliability improved. However, these benefits are real, and can be monetized to allow for a benefit-cost calculation to be performed. To assist the reader in carrying the treatments identified in this guide through the next step of consideration for implementation, an analysis tool has been developed as a sister product to this guide. The tool allows the user to compare the benefit-cost ratio of several treatments, providing valuable information to design decision-makers. In addition to the analysis tool, the final report documenting the research project that developed these products provides detailed information on the principles and calculations that drive the analysis tool. The products are available on SHRP 2's website.

Finally, Chapter 4 of this guide provides information about existing installations of several of the treatments included here, allowing the reader to identify agencies that have experience with various treatments and that may be able to provide insight and guidance to other agencies considering the treatment.

This guide can be used as a resource during all stages of the design process, but will perhaps be the most beneficial prior to or during early project planning phases. While some of the treatments presented in this guide can be easily retrofitted into existing facilities, others will be most cost-effective when incorporated into a planned construction or maintenance project. In addition, some treatments are most effective at reducing nonrecurrent congestion when incorporated with specific geometric or operational elements, and coordination of all aspects of the project in the early phases can help maximize benefits. Once potential treatments are identified, and their benefits to nonrecurrent congestion understood, the analysis tool can be used to quantify those benefits. A benefit-cost analysis that captures delay reduction and reliability benefits can help justify the implementation of treatments that may otherwise have not been considered for a project.

# Chapter 1.

## Introduction to Nonrecurrent Congestion and Travel Time Reliability

---

This chapter addresses the causes of nonrecurrent congestion, illustrates how nonrecurrent congestion is related to delay and reliability, and describes the costs associated with both delay and reliability.

### Nonrecurrent Congestion

Traffic operational delay to motorists results from both recurrent and nonrecurrent congestion. The primary difference between recurrent and nonrecurrent congestion is one of predictability.

*Recurrent congestion* is predictable and typically occurs during the morning and evening rush hours. It is primarily caused by inadequate base capacity of the roadway during these time periods where demand is at its highest. Drivers who embark on trips during rush hour expect slower travel times and plan their departure and arrival times accordingly.

*Nonrecurrent congestion*, on the other hand, is the type of congestion that cannot be planned for, since it is unpredictable. It results from random or unplanned events, varies from day to day and from one incident to the next, and creates unreliable travel times. Nonrecurrent congestion often causes the most frustration for drivers because the longer-than-expected trip time can lead to late deliveries, missed flights, delayed meeting times, and other undesirable consequences.

Recurrent and nonrecurrent congestion each contribute to delay and play a unique role in travel-time reliability. However, the focus of this design guide will be on treatments that address nonrecurrent congestion.

There are six main causes of nonrecurrent congestion:

- Traffic incidents
- Severe weather
- Special events
- Work zones
- Demand fluctuations
- Traffic control devices

Each of these causes has specific characteristics that should be considered when attempting to quantify the nonrecurrent congestion they cause, and the extent to which the design treatments presented in this guide may reduce it. Some of the important considerations associated with each of the six causes of nonrecurrent congestion are presented below.



## **Traffic Incidents**

When most people hear the term “traffic incidents,” they think about crashes. Crashes are indeed traffic incidents, but they make up only a portion of all incidents. Incidents also include such events as stalled vehicles in a travel lane or on the shoulder, debris in the roadway, and “rubbernecking” that occurs when drivers slow down to observe a crash or anything else that may catch their eye.

Incidents cause congestion in two primary ways. First, they tend to block lanes, reducing the capacity of the roadway for everyone trying to drive by. Even incidents confined to the shoulder slightly reduce the capacity of the highway, since drivers tend to slow down when passing a vehicle on the shoulder. Second, incidents tend to cause other drivers to slow down and look, which decreases the operating speed of the roadway and also reduces capacity.

The number of lanes blocked, amount of time the lanes are blocked, and rubbernecking effect tend to increase when emergency responders arrive on the scene, especially for more severe incidents. The design treatments most suited to reduce delay caused by incidents are those designed to reduce the frequency of incidents, minimize the number of lanes they block, reduce the time the lanes are blocked, and shield the incident from the view of other drivers.

## **Severe Weather**

Driver behavior changes when weather conditions change. Rain and snow can cause slick pavement that may increase the frequency of crashes and slow traffic. Heavy rain or snow, blowing snow or sand, and fog can reduce visibility, which also increases the frequency of crashes and slows traffic. Heavy snow may cover the roadway, hiding lane delineations and significantly reducing the capacity of the roadway. While no design treatment is available to reduce the frequency of these weather incidents, some of the design treatments presented in this guide can reduce the impact of severe weather. Some treatments help prevent snow or sand from blowing across the roadway, improving visibility and keeping lanes clear. Other treatments treat the roadway surface before a storm to limit accumulation of snow and ice. Some design treatments increase the speed and efficiency of lane-clearing practices to get roadways operating at full capacity as soon as possible during and after a weather event.

## **Special Events**

Special events that cause nonrecurrent congestion often happen near arenas, stadiums, conference centers, and other large gathering spaces that host sporting events, concerts, and large meetings. Special events tend to create large demand surges during short periods of time—usually just before the event begins and just after it ends. Treatments that can help alleviate this congestion include those that temporarily increase capacity in the major direction of travel, those that divert demand to alternate routes, and those that reduce congestion related to weaving (frequent lane changes near merge and diverge areas) by limiting freeway entrance and exit options.

## Work Zones

Work zones are sections of the roadway, or roadside, on which construction, maintenance, or utility work activities are taking place. The degree to which work zones impact the reliability of the roadway is influenced by the length of time the work zone is in place, the number of lanes closed by the work zone, the specific hours of the day during which work is being performed, and the degree to which drivers are aware of the work zone and can plan for associated delays or choose an alternate route.

Short-term work zones can have a major impact on nonrecurrent congestion because they are often unexpected and drivers are not able to plan their trips to account for the delay they cause. The first few days of a longer-term work zone, especially an emergency work zone or a work zone that was not well advertised to the public, may have a similar impact on traffic as a short-term work zone. However, the longer the work zone is present, the more drivers become aware of it and become familiar with shifted traffic patterns, alternate routes, and typical delays. As some drivers choose alternate routes, demand through the work zone is reduced as is the delay caused by the work zone. In a long-term work zone, traffic patterns eventually reach a new equilibrium of lower demand and longer travel times than the pre-work zone condition, and once this occurs, drivers can again accurately estimate trip length. While delay is still incurred, it becomes more like the delay from recurrent congestion, which drivers expect and plan for. Identifying the point at which congestion from work zones shifts from behaving like nonrecurrent congestion and having a negative impact on reliability to behaving more like recurrent congestion and regaining some of the lost reliability is not an exact science. It will depend greatly upon the availability of alternate routes, driver familiarity with alternate routes, the extent of any public awareness efforts that were made prior to the work zone, and other factors.

The impact of other causes of nonrecurrent congestion can be amplified in a work zone. For example, work zones often limit access to shoulders or pull-off areas, which can increase the lane-blocking time of crashes and other incidents that occur within the work zones. So even in long-term work zones in which a new equilibrium of demand and travel time has been reached, delay and reliability may be impacted by incidents, special events, and weather more than they would have been prior to the presence of the work zone.

Design treatments aimed at reducing the impact of work zones typically accomplish one of three things:

- *Minimize the capacity lost due to the work zone*—this can be done by providing shoulder areas or pull-off locations, “borrowing” capacity from the other direction of travel during work zone hours, and employing practices that allow work to be accomplished on one lane at a time.
- *Reduce the time duration of work zone lane closures*—depending on the work zone, this could be done by limiting lane closures to off-peak *periods*, or by accelerating work schedules to finish the work as quickly as possible.
- *Reduce incidents in the work zone*—safe practices for managing entrances and exits of work equipment from the work zone may limit work-zone related crashes. In addition,

providing locations for vehicles to move in the case of a crash or breakdown may reduce secondary crashes and rubbernecking in the work zone.

Many of the treatments used for minimizing the impact of work zones on congestion are operational in nature but may require geometric design considerations to implement. For example, the acceleration of a work zone schedule may require that alternate routes are improved, or that separate express lanes are built to handle traffic while the existing facility is completely closed.

## **Demand Fluctuations**

Demand fluctuation refers to the day-to-day variability in traffic demand that leads to higher traffic volumes on some days than on others. It also includes the seasonal and/or weekend spikes in demand that are experienced near vacation destinations. Another type of demand fluctuation that could be considered is that experienced during major evacuations. Treatments that can help address fluctuating demand include those that can temporarily increase capacity in the direction of the demand surge and those that divert demand to alternate routes.

## **Traffic Control Devices**

Traffic control devices include traffic signals, ramp meters, speed limits, pavement markings, lane use signs and others. An example of nonrecurrent congestion due to a traffic control device that many drivers have experienced is when a traffic signal malfunctions and goes to flashing operation or falls “out of plan” during a high-demand period. Traffic may back up several blocks around a signal that is not functioning as it should. However, this guide focuses on treatments that address congestion on freeways where traffic signals are not used. The instances where traffic control devices can cause nonrecurrent congestion on freeways include times when traffic management devices such as ramp meters, lane use signs, or variable speed limit signs fail or malfunction. These treatments typically fall within the category of ITS, and are therefore not covered in this guide.

## **Travel Time Reliability**

The more often nonrecurrent congestion occurs, the less reliable the roadway is, and the more often drivers find that the time they have allocated for their trip is incorrect. For roadways that experience frequent nonrecurrent congestion, drivers often have to allocate more time to their trip than is normally required to ensure that they arrive at their destinations on time most of the time. Each driver may have a different tolerance limit for the number of times and amount of time it is acceptable to be late, but for all drivers making this calculation, the result is that they arrive at their destinations early for all of the times when nonrecurrent congestion does not occur. This “buffer time” that is built into the driver’s estimate of how long it will take him to reach his destination is wasted time in the sense that it cannot be allocated to the activities the driver would prefer to be doing. On the other hand, if drivers do not build in this buffer, they will

sometimes arrive at their destination late, which can have consequences ranging from annoyance to significant financial loss, perhaps due to a missed flight or the disruption of a manufacturing process when a freight delivery is late.

The reliability of a roadway is related to the variance of the travel times experienced by drivers who travel along it. Reliable roadways have travel times with low variability—that is, most travel times are very similar to one another. For example, a roadway would be considered highly reliable if travel times ranged from 30 to 33 minutes 95 percent of the time, while a roadway with travel times ranging from 30 to 45 minutes 90 percent of the time, with even greater travel times 10 percent of the time, would not be considered as reliable. Delay from nonrecurrent congestion contributes to a decline in reliability since it increases the variability of trip time, while delay from recurrent congestion does not impact reliability as much since the delay is fairly constant and predictable. If a 5-mile trip on a freeway with a speed limit of 60 mph usually takes about 10 minutes during the evening peak, drivers are incurring delay due to recurrent congestion. However, if that travel time rarely varies from its 10-minute average, the roadway is still reliable, since that trip time can be accurately planned for. If incidents are fairly common along the roadway that increase the delay on random days, causing the trip to take 15 or 20 minutes once or twice a week, the roadway is less reliable.

Reliability is an important component of roadway performance and, perhaps more importantly, of motorist perception of roadway performance. Reliability has only recently been gaining recognition as an important performance measure for roadways, but increasingly agencies are using reliability measures to assess their own performance and to communicate it to the public. Researchers have noted several reasons why measuring and managing reliability is important. These include (2):

- **Motorists have less tolerance for unexpected delay than for expected delay.** Motorists are frustrated by not knowing and being able to plan for unexpected delay. Motorists want to know what to expect, and knowing the extent and duration of congestion not only gives the motorist better options, it removes a significant stress point—the unknown.
- **There are costs associated with planning for unreliable travel.** Travelers who use particular highways regularly (e.g., commuters, delivery services) develop an intuitive understanding of how events cause unexpected delay. As a result, they will “pad” their budgeted travel time to account for the possibility of unexpected delay so that they will arrive at the destinations on-time (even if this means arriving early on some days). This padding or buffering has not been included in economic analyses of transportation, yet it is clear that it carries a cost. For example, the additional time budgeted for travel (i.e., “buffer” time) is time that could have been spent doing more desirable activities. Also, the cost of being late (due to extreme events that cause the actual time to exceed the buffer), is probably valued highly by travelers.
- **Reliability is a valued service in other utilities and industries.** Ensuring that services delivered to customers are dependable and consistent is a hallmark of many non-transportation industries. Transportation services provided by public agencies should be no different.

- **Reliability can be improved by implementing strategies to address nonrecurrent congestion.** By addressing the causes of delay, reliability can be improved. Transportation operations strategies—such as incident, weather, and work zone management—have proven to be cost-effective strategies for addressing congestion. Therefore, reliability measurement provides a glimpse into how well transportation operations strategies are working.

## The Costs of Delay and Reliability

Many drivers will choose a path with reliable congestion over a path with highly unreliable travel times, even if the unreliable path will occasionally get them to their destination faster than the reliable one. This indicates that reliability has a value to drivers separate from the value of time, and that reliability should be a factor in benefit-cost analyses of projects that may affect it.

It is well established that drivers incur a cost when they experience delay. The time lost due to delay on the highway can be assigned a monetary value, which is often measured in terms of lost productivity, represented by average hourly wages. These values can be calculated for delay caused by both recurrent and nonrecurrent congestion. The idea that the reliability of a roadway can also be assigned a cost is a newer concept, but one that is gaining acceptance among transportation planners. At present, there is not a commonly accepted way to value reliability monetarily, but methods continue to be suggested, tested, and applied in current research. The most frequently used approach is to define a reliability ratio, which relates the value of reliability to the value of time. Reliability ratios that have been used in recent literature range from approximately 0.7 (meaning that reliability costs 70 percent as much as time) to 1.3 (meaning reliability costs 1.3 times the value of time). Different reliability ratios may be used for different trip types (such as commute, non-commute, and freight).

The benefits provided by the treatments included in this guide will likely vary widely from one application to another. For some, design options or constraints may affect the benefits available from the treatment, while for others, local policies and practices may impact the frequency of use and effectiveness of the treatment. As an example, crash investigation sites are affected in both ways. The design, location, and prevalence of the sites, which can range from extra-wide shoulders to paved and lit locations in the median of the freeway, affect how likely motorists are to use the sites. In addition, the policy of the emergency responders as well as public awareness campaigns and signing also impact how frequently the sites are used, and therefore, their effectiveness and benefits. The factors that are likely to impact the effectiveness of the treatments are included in the discussion for each treatment later in this guide. Roadway planners and designers should take these factors into consideration when estimating the benefits they will achieve by implementing any given treatment.

## Reducing Nonrecurrent Congestion and Improving Reliability

When nonrecurrent congestion is minimized, travel times become more predictable and reliability is improved. The remainder of this guide is dedicated to presenting several highway

design treatments that use various mechanisms to mitigate congestion and delay due to incidents, weather events, special events, work zones, demand fluctuations, and traffic control devices. The following chapters will provide detailed information about how to choose appropriate treatments and how to maximize their effectiveness.



## Chapter 2.

# Selecting Design Treatments to Address Nonrecurrent Congestion

---

This chapter introduces a variety of treatments that may be applicable to reducing nonrecurrent congestion on freeways. The treatments presented in this guide are primarily geared toward freeway facilities. Some are more appropriate for urban areas, while others tend to address nonrecurrent congestion issues more commonly found in rural areas. The breadth of treatments presented in this guide should ensure that it can serve as a resource to managers of any freeway system.

Table 1 presents the specific design treatments that are addressed in this guide. The treatments are categorized into two groups:

- **Nonrecurrent congestion design treatments.** This category includes treatments that are implemented through physical changes in the highway or roadside and are used primarily to reduce nonrecurrent congestion.
- **Secondary treatments.** This category includes two kinds of treatments: those that are operational in nature, but may require geometric infrastructure to implement; and those that are design treatments, but are primarily used for recurrent congestion (that also have potential application to nonrecurrent congestion situations).

For any of the general causes of nonrecurrent congestion discussed in this guide, there are several design treatments that may offer benefits. In addition, many of the treatments discussed in this guide can offer benefits in more than one nonrecurrent congestion scenario. The design treatments that should be considered for alleviating nonrecurrent congestion depend on a combination of the cause of congestion, the specific benefit that is desired, and the existing characteristics of the freeway segment. Figures 1 and 2 present decision trees based on the causes of nonrecurrent congestion and the specific means by which a treatment could reduce nonrecurrent congestion to help identify the most appropriate treatments to consider in a comparison of benefits and costs.

The decision trees are provided to give the user a starting point for using the SHRP 2 Project L07 treatment evaluation tool. Figure 1 includes treatments categorized as “nonrecurrent congestion design treatments,” while Figure 2 includes treatments categorized as “secondary treatments.” Following the decision tree to the final branch that most accurately describes the cause of nonrecurrent congestion and the desired method of reducing that nonrecurrent congestion on the freeway segment of interest will provide a short list of the treatments that are typically well-suited for that scenario. However, other treatments not listed may also provide benefits and can be included in the comparison if the user chooses to consider them. In addition, some of the treatments listed may not be appropriate for certain freeway segments. For example, alternating shoulders would not be a candidate treatment on a segment that already has full left and right shoulders available.



**Table 1. Design Treatments**

Nonrecurrent congestion design treatments	Secondary treatments
<p><b>Medians</b>            Emergency crossovers            Moveable traffic barriers            Gated Median Barrier            Movable cable median barrier            Extra-height median barrier            Mountable/traversable medians</p> <p><b>Shoulders</b>            Accessible shoulder            Drivable shoulder            Alternating shoulder            Portable incident screens            Vehicle turnouts            Bus turnouts</p> <p><b>Crash Investigation Sites</b>            Crash investigation sites</p> <p><b>Right-of-way Edge</b>            Emergency access between interchanges</p> <p><b>Arterials and Ramps</b>            Ramp widening            Ramp closure            Ramp terminal traffic control            Ramp turn restrictions</p> <p><b>Detours</b>            Improvements to detour routes</p> <p><b>Truck Incident Design Considerations</b>            Runaway truck ramp</p> <p><b>Construction</b>            Reduced construction duration            Improved work site access/circulation</p> <p><b>Animal-Vehicle Collision Design Considerations</b>            Wildlife fencing, overpasses, and underpasses, etc.</p> <p><b>Weather</b>            Snow fences            Blowing sand mitigation            Anti-icing systems</p>	<p><b>Lane Types and Uses</b>            Contra-flow lanes for emergency evacuation            Contra-flow lanes for work zones            HOV lanes/HOT lanes            Dual facilities            Reversible lanes            Work zone express lanes</p> <p><b>Traffic Signals and Traffic Control</b>            Traffic signal preemption            Queue jump and bypass lanes            Traffic signal improvements            Signal timing systems            Ramp metering/flow signals            Temporary traffic signals            Variable speed limits/speed limit reduction</p> <p><b>Technology</b>            Electronic toll collection            Over-height vehicle detection and warning systems</p> <p><b>Emergency Response Notification</b>            Reference location signs            Roadside call boxes</p> <p><b>Weather</b>            Fog detection            Road Weather Information System (RWIS)            Flood warning system            Wind warning system</p>

There were several possible ways to organize the treatments, since there are various frameworks for categorizing the causes of nonrecurrent congestion and the types of benefits provided by the treatments. The framework used here was chosen because it allows users to answer the most basic question—the major cause of nonrecurrent congestion—first, and then guides them through considering the elements that contribute to that cause of congestion so that areas where improvement is desired can be identified.

In some cases, users may have certain treatments identified for consideration already, in which case, this treatment selection process is not needed. Users can certainly use the SHRP 2 Project L07 treatment analysis tool without completing this process if they have already identified a treatment or treatments they would like to evaluate.

## Choosing Treatments for Consideration

To use the decision tree shown in Figure 1 for identifying treatments to be compared, the user should first start by identifying the primary cause of nonrecurrent congestion on the freeway segment of interest. While six causes of nonrecurrent congestion are discussed in this guide, this tree begins with the four most common causes relevant to freeway segments. They are:

- Incidents
- Weather
- Work zones
- Special events

Most freeway segments experience nonrecurrent congestion from more than one of these causes, but often agencies have identified one specific area on which to focus improvement efforts. In any case, the user can follow more than one decision path in the tree and choose to compare treatments from different lists.

Once the primary cause of congestion is identified, the decision tree then divides based on the primary mechanism by which the cause of congestion could be minimized. For some mechanisms, the categories are further broken down into more specific benefits. Once the decision tree has been followed to the final branch, there may be several appropriate treatments listed, which can be further narrowed based on the specific characteristics of the freeway segment of interest. For other branches, only one or two treatments may be listed. In this case, the user may choose to follow additional branches that could be provided by other treatments.

## Evaluating and Comparing Treatments

Ideally, users will identify two to five treatments from the decision tree shown in Figure 1 to evaluate and compare in the SHRP 2 Project L07 treatment analysis tool. The tool is applied to a specific segment of roadway on which nonrecurrent congestion is occurring, and compares the benefits and costs of the selected treatments as applied to that particular segment of roadway. The tool asks the user specific questions about the characteristics of the freeway segment on which the treatments are being considered for application, as well as questions about the intended implementation of the treatment, which may include information about geometrics, policies, or other factors that could influence the effectiveness of the treatment. These inputs allow the tool to estimate a change in the travel time reliability of that particular roadway segment that would be realized as a result of the various treatments' installation. With user-provided input on the cost of implementation, the tool will provide a benefit-cost ratio for each treatment being considered and allow comparison of this ratio among several treatments.

It is recognized that often treatments have costs and benefits beyond those that can be accounted for in an analysis tool, often related to political will, community support, environmental considerations, and others. Users are encouraged to use the benefit-cost ratio provided by the Analysis Tool as one variable in the decision-making process that must be balanced with the more subjective costs and benefits associated with a given treatment.

Nonrecurrent  
Congestion Design  
Treatments





Figure 1. Decision Tree for Nonrecurrent Congestion Design Treatments

Secondary  
Treatments



**Figure 2. Decision Tree for Secondary Treatments**



# Chapter 3.

## Catalog of Design Treatments

---

This section presents a catalog of design treatments that can be considered for use in addressing nonrecurrent congestion. A detailed summary of each of the design treatments is provided that includes the following information:

- *Description and objective*
- *Typical applications*
- *Design criteria*
- *How treatment reduces nonrecurrent congestion*
- *Factors affecting treatment effectiveness*
- *Cost*
- *Key references*
- *Figure references*

The design treatments are classified based on similarities with respect to function or location on the roadway. The nonrecurrent congestion design treatments are presented first, followed by the secondary treatments.

## Medians

### Emergency Crossovers

#### Description and Objective

An emergency crossover is a median opening on a divided highway segment for crossing by emergency, law enforcement, maintenance, and traffic service vehicles. Other types of median crossovers that are addressed elsewhere in this chapter include:

- Crossovers for contraflow (see discussion under “Contraflow Lanes for Emergency Evacuation”)
- Temporary construction crossovers (see discussion under “Contraflow Lanes for Work Zones”)
- Median openings controlled by manual or automated gates (see discussion under “Gated Median Barriers”)

The objective of an emergency crossover is to provide better access for law enforcement and emergency vehicles responding to an incident, thus reducing the time period between when an incident occurs and when emergency responders arrive on the scene. The sooner emergency responders can arrive on the scene of an incident, the sooner the incident can be cleared and normal freeway operations can resume. Figures 3 and 4 illustrate examples of emergency crossovers.



**Figure 3. Emergency Crossover on I-40 Near Asheville, North Carolina (1)**



**Figure 4. Emergency Crossover in Minnesota (2)**

### Typical Applications

Emergency crossovers are typically provided on rural sections of freeways where interchanges are spaced further apart and a well-developed street network, providing options for alternate routes, is not available. At such locations, emergency responders are faced with excessive travel distances to reach an incident.

Emergency crossovers may also be considered for rerouting traffic, via a U-turn maneuver, in response to a major lane-blocking incident. In cases where a major incident blocks traffic for a significant amount of time, traffic may back up behind the incident and cause significant delay to motorists. If an emergency crossover is used to allow traffic to be rerouted to the opposite direction of travel, the queue in the direction of the incident can dissipate. These rerouted vehicles can return to the next closest interchange and proceed along an alternate route to their destination.

While emergency crossovers are typically provided on freeways, median openings on arterial roadways can also be used to provide better access for emergency responders and to allow for traffic to be rerouted from a major incident.

## Design Criteria

Important design considerations for installation of emergency crossovers on rural freeways include interchange spacing, median width, and stopping sight distance. Specifically, the *AASHTO Green Book* provides the following design guidance for emergency crossovers:

- Emergency crossovers may be provided where interchange spacing exceeds 5 mi.
- Between interchanges, emergency crossovers may be spaced at 3- to 4-mi intervals or as needed.
- Emergency crossovers generally should not be located closer than 1,500 ft to the end of a speed-change taper of a ramp or to any structure.
- Crossovers should be located only where above-minimum stopping sight distance is provided and preferably should not be located on superelevated curves.
- The width of the crossover should be sufficient for turning movements and should have a surface capable of supporting emergency vehicles and maintenance equipment.
- Emergency crossovers should be depressed below shoulder level to be inconspicuous to traffic.

The *Green Book* provides additional guidance for such issues as sideslope and median barrier treatment.

Emergency crossovers on controlled-access facilities are designed for authorized vehicle use only, and public use of these crossovers is prohibited unless directed by law enforcement (e.g., during major lane-blocking incidents).



## How Treatment Reduces Nonrecurrent Congestion

### *Reduces Lane-Blocking Time of Incident*

This treatment reduces the period of time during which disabled, crash-involved, or police vehicles remain in the roadway or on the roadway shoulder by decreasing the response time of emergency personnel. By reducing the lane-blocking time of an incident, the nonrecurrent congestion associated with that incident is reduced. This reduction in nonrecurrent congestion results in increased reliability for the roadway segment.

### *Reduces Demand Volume During an Incident*

When used to allow vehicles to perform U-turn maneuvers to alleviate congestion caused by a major lane-blocking incident, this treatment essentially reduces demand on the segment with temporarily reduced capacity by rerouting traffic to alternate facilities.

## Factors Influencing Treatment Effectiveness

Factors that may influence the effectiveness of emergency crossovers in reducing nonrecurrent congestion include:

- Average reduction in response time for those situations in which the emergency crossover is used
- Percentage of incidents (and incident type) for which the emergency crossover will be used to improve response time
- Frequency of lane-blocking incidents for which queued traffic may be rerouted

## Cost

Factors affecting the cost of installing an emergency crossover include:

- Width and topography (cross-slope) of existing median
- Existing median control type (e.g. curb, cable median barrier, semi-rigid barrier), if any
- Material to be used for crossover surface (e.g. concrete, asphalt, gravel)
- New signing required

In general, emergency crossovers can be constructed for a relatively low cost. However, where significant cut, fill, or grading work is required to build a crossover, costs may be considerably higher.

## Key References

American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, *A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets*, 2011.

Parham, A. H., M. D. Woodridge, D. W. Fenno, K. Fitzpatrick, D. Jasek, and S. Ranft, *Facilitating Incident Management Strategies on Freeways*, Report No. FHWA/TX-00/1848-1, Texas Transportation Institute, 1999.

## Figure References

1. NCDOT, Safety Evaluation Group Traffic Safety Systems Management Section, Presentation, Median Barriers in North Carolina, Long Term Evaluation, 2012, Available at: <<http://www.transportation.org/sites/aashtotig/docs/North%20Carolina%20Mediam%20Barrier%20Evaluation.pdf>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).
2. Morena, D., Wainwright, W., and F. Ranck, *Older Drivers at a Crossroads*, Public Roads, Vol. 70, No. 4, FHWA-HRT-2007-002, 2007, Available at: <<http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/publications/publicroads/07jan/02.cfm>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

## Moveable Traffic Barriers

### Description and Objective

A moveable traffic barrier (MTB) is a concrete, crash-worthy barrier (similar to a jersey barrier) that can be shifted from one side of a lane to another, as illustrated in Figure 5, to allow flexibility in the designated purpose or direction of travel flow for that lane. The traffic barrier is moved using a specially designed vehicle that shifts each section laterally as the vehicle moves forward. The vehicle does not interfere with traffic in adjacent lanes. One mile of MTB can be shifted one lane in less than 15 minutes, according to manufacturers.



**Figure 5. Moveable Traffic Barrier (1)**

### Typical Applications

Crash-worthy barriers are used to enhance safety by reducing the potential for head-on collisions. A moveable traffic barrier provides similar safety benefits, but also has the flexibility to change lane designations when needed, as illustrated in Figure 6. MTBs are used to help align capacity with demand to minimize the impacts of recurrent and nonrecurrent congestion.



**Figure 6. MTB Systems Used in New York City (2)**

MTBs can also be used to separate work zones from travel lanes, as illustrated in Figure 6. These devices can provide more space in the work zone during off peak hours, while protecting both the traveling motorists and the construction workers. Shifting the barrier borrows a lane from operation as a travel lane and adds it to the space within the work zone, potentially allowing work to be conducted more efficiently during off-peak hours without adversely affecting travelers during peak hours.

While the most common applications of MTBs are to alleviate recurrent congestion due to unbalanced flow during peak periods and to safeguard workers during long-term construction

projects, the potential benefits to nonrecurrent congestion are considered here. Nonrecurrent congestion situations such as work zones and major incidents are candidates for application of the MTB. In these instances, the barrier can be shifted to add a lane in the direction of traffic where the incident is taking place, helping to balance capacity and alleviate congestion. Applications of a moveable traffic barrier system to address nonrecurrent congestion include:

- Providing flexibility in closing and opening lanes adjacent to work zones to accommodate traffic demand and work zone space needs during different times of day, potentially reducing the duration of the work zone
- Using an MTB already installed for a recurrent congestion application to treat nonrecurrent congestion due to incidents or work zones

## Design Criteria

Some state agencies have authored special provisions for the MTBs wherever they are furnished, installed, operated, or maintained within the state. Specific design standards related to the MTB may address barrier reflector markers, the barrier transfer machine, end protection, and obstacle markers.

Barriers can be designed to facilitate the ability to open a section of the barrier wall in minutes using hand tools. If desired, a gate may be installed for easier access where emergency turnarounds are needed. The length of the gate may be variable to meet specific needs. (**NOTE:** Gated median barriers are considered as a separate treatment and are described in a subsequent section of this report.)

## How Treatment Reduces Nonrecurrent Congestion

### *Reduce Impact of a Work Zone by Borrowing Capacity from the Opposite Direction*

A MTB may be used during a work zone to provide an additional lane for the direction of travel with higher demand. For example, if a work zone is planned that will block two lanes, the MTB may “borrow” one lane from the opposing direction for the duration of the work zone, thereby offsetting the negative impact of the work zone. The demand and capacity of both directions of travel need to be considered, though, to ensure that traffic operations in both directions are balanced.

### *Reduce Impact of a Major Incident by Borrowing Capacity From the Opposite Direction*

During a major incident, MTBs may be used to offset the reduction of available lanes in the direction with higher demand. For example, if a major crash is blocking multiple lanes in the direction of heavy demand, the MTB could be shifted to provide additional capacity for the duration of the incident. After the crash is cleared and traffic operations have normalized, the lane could be shifted back to normal operation.

The use of MTBs in response to incidents is a function of the anticipated or observed severity of the incident-related congestion to the time required to move the barrier. In other words, if an incident can be cleared in less time than it would take to move the barrier, then it would not be useful to move it. However, the congestion resulting from crashes involving multiple vehicles and impacting multiple lanes could potentially be significantly alleviated through the use of MTBs.

It is expected that MTBs would only be employed to address the impacts of these incidents when already in place for other reasons. That is, it would not be expected that an agency would deploy a MTB for the sole purpose of responding to major lane-blocking crashes and incidents. Like the third scenario described above, the existing system is deployed to help reallocate capacity during major incidents (serious lane-blocking crashes, debris on the roadway, hazardous material spills, etc.) that occur on the roadway segment. It is assumed that during these incidents, the reallocation of lane space becomes a priority over maintaining the HOV, HOT, or express lanes in order to minimize congestion due to the incident.

## Factors Influencing Treatment Effectiveness

Since a MTB may negatively affect the opposing direction of traffic by temporarily borrowing one of the lanes, factors that may influence the effectiveness of a MTB in reducing nonrecurrent congestion include:

- How many lanes will be shifted
- Traffic volume for each direction
- Roadway capacity in each direction

In the case of a MTB being used during typical minor work zones (e.g., restriping, pavement repair), factors that may influence its effectiveness include:

- Number of lanes blocked during a typical minor work zone
- Expected number days per year where a minor work zone will be in effect

In the case of MTB being used for major incidents, the factors that may influence its effectiveness include:

- Average expected duration of a major incident for which MTB would be used
- Expected number of such events per year
- Time that it takes to shift the barrier over

Generally, using a MTB system to reduce nonrecurrent congestion will be most beneficial in cases where (1) demand to capacity ratios are high and minor road work is often needed; or (2) demand to capacity ratios are high and major incidents occur frequently.

## Cost

The higher cost that may be associated with MTBs suggest that they are unlikely to be constructed for the sole purpose of reducing nonrecurrent congestion. Rather, a MTB system might be installed to reduce recurrent congestion, and its potential application to nonrecurrent congestion would be an important ancillary benefit.

Factors that impact the cost of installing and operating a MTB system include:

- Length of roadway where MTB will be used
- Type of barrier-moving machine used
- Number of barrier-moving machines needed
- Frequency of use of the system
- Moveable barrier installation costs
- Changes to pavement markings
- Additional signing required
- Ongoing operating costs
  - Machine operator wages (or additional wages, in the case that a MTB system is already in place but currently used for recurrent congestion only)
  - Fuel, maintenance, and repairs for barrier-moving machine

## Key References

AASHTO, *Roadside Design Guide*, American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, Washington, DC, 2006.

FHWA, *Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices*, Federal Highway Administration, 2003.

## Figure References

1. Available at: <<http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/hfl/innovations/moveablebarrier.cfm>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).
2. Work ZoneSafety.Org, 2011, Available at: <https://www.workzonesafety.org> (Accessed March 15, 2013)

## Gated Median Barrier

### Description and Objective

A gated median barrier consists of adding a gated section within a continuous median barrier. Typically, these gates, which may be manually or automatically operated, provide access

to maintenance personnel, emergency responders, and other authorized users. An example of a gated median barrier is shown in Figure 7 and 8.

The objective of a gated median barrier is to provide quicker access for emergency responders arriving on the scene of an incident. The quicker emergency responders arrive on the scene, the sooner the incident can be cleared and normal operations can resume. Gated median barriers offer this benefit, while preventing unauthorized access.



**Figure 7. TTI Access Gate (1)**



**Figure 8. Gated Median Barrier in Atlanta, GA (2)**

## Typical Applications

Gated median barriers are typically provided on urban sections of freeway, but where interchanges are spaced further apart. Where interchange spacing is relatively long, emergency

responders may be faced with excessive travel distances to reach an incident. Gated median barriers allow emergency responders to access the incident site more directly and quickly.

Gated median barriers can also be used to reroute traffic, via a U-turn maneuver, in response to a major lane-blocking incident. In cases where a major incident blocks traffic for a significant amount of time, traffic may back up behind the incident and cause significant delay to motorists. If a gated median barrier is opened to allow traffic to be rerouted to the opposite direction of travel, the queue in the incident direction can dissipate. These rerouted vehicles can return to the next closest interchange and proceed along an alternate route to their destination.

## Design Criteria

The FHWA Report, *Facilitating Incident Management Strategies on Freeways*, provides design guidance for gates median barriers:

- **Weight.** The weight of the gate should not exceed 40,000 lb.
- **Crashworthiness.** The gate should meet the crashworthy recommendations as presented in NCHRP Report 350 for longitudinal barriers.

## How Treatment Reduces Nonrecurrent Congestion

### *Reduce Incident Duration*

This treatment reduces the period of time during which disabled, crash-involved, or police vehicles remain in the roadway or on the roadway shoulder by decreasing the response time of emergency personnel. By reducing the lane-blocking time an incident, the nonrecurrent congestion associated with that incident is reduced. This reduction in nonrecurrent congestion results in an increases reliability for the roadway segment.

## Factors Influencing Treatment Effectiveness

Factors that may influence the effectiveness of gated median barriers at reducing nonrecurrent congestion include:

- Average response time reduction for the instances when the treatment is used
- Percentage of each incident type that will utilize treatment to improve response time

## Cost

Factors that affect the cost of installing a gated median barrier include:

- Type of gated median barrier (e.g., manually operated, automated)



- Operational and ongoing maintenance costs
- Cost of removing existing barrier
- Installation of new signing to discourage unauthorized use of turnaround

## Key References

Ojah, M., and G. Goodin, *HOV Lane Safety Considerations Handbook*, Report No. FHWA-HOP-06-101, Federal Highway Administration, 2006.

Parham, A. H., M. D. Woodridge, D. W. Fenno, K. Fitzpatrick, D. Jasek, and S. Ranft, *Facilitating Incident Management Strategies on Freeways*, Report No. FHWA/TX-00/1848-1, Texas Transportation Institute, 1999.

Ross, Jr., H. E., D. L. Sicking, R. A. Zimmer, and J. D. Michie, *Recommended Procedures for the Safety Performance Evaluation of Highway Features*, NCHRP Report 350, Transportation Research Board, 1993.

## Figure References

1. Parham, A. H., M. D. Woodridge, D. W. Fenno, K. Fitzpatrick, D. Jasek, and S. Ranft, *Facilitating Incident Management Strategies on Freeways*, Report No. FHWA/TX-00/1848-1, Texas Transportation Institute, 1999.
2. Taken by SHRP Project L07 research team.

## Movable Cable Median Barrier

### Description and Objective

A movable cable median barrier involves construction of a specially designed wire cable barrier system that can be removed to allow median crossovers. The system is constructed such that the cables can be detached from the posts individually and the posts can be removed from their base. This deconstruction can be done over a short segment of the length of the cable to provide a temporary median opening for emergency vehicles in the event of a crash or other major incident. This machine- and tool-free process is designed such that the cable median barrier can be reassembled with minimal effort to restore the barrier system to its permanent state.

The objective of movable cable median barriers is to provide a temporary access point for emergency vehicles. In the event of a major incident that results in significant queuing, a temporary median opening in the cable median barrier can also be used to reroute traffic, via a U-turn maneuver, to dissipate the queue. These rerouted vehicles can return to the next closest interchange and proceed along an alternate route to their destination.

Figure 9 and 10 illustrate movable cable median barriers.



**Figure 9. Moveable Cable Median Barrier in Normal State (left) and Collapsed State (right) (1)**



**Figure 10. Standard Three-Strand Cable Median Barrier (2)**

## Typical Applications

Movable cable barriers are typically used along roadway segments with a crash history of frequent head-on collisions. Where movable cable barriers are used in medians, the capability of creating access points is most effective where the median is easily traversable.

Movable cable median barrier may be considered on roadways where cable barrier exists or is needed, and where flexibility is desired in providing access points along the median for emergency vehicles or for rerouting traffic around an incident or work zone.

## Design Criteria

Design criteria for the movable feature of the ropes and posts are vendor-specific; several companies have developed movable cable median barrier. The key components of the movable cable median barrier are (1) wires that can be easily detached from the posts and (2) posts that can be easily removed from their foundation.

## How Treatment Reduces Nonrecurrent Congestion

### *Reduce Incident Duration*

Movable cable median barrier reduces the period of time during which disabled, crash-involved, or police vehicles remain in the roadway or on the roadway shoulder by decreasing the response time of emergency personnel. By reducing the lane-blocking time an incident, the nonrecurrent congestion associated with that incident is reduced. This reduction in nonrecurrent congestion results in an increases reliability for the roadway segment.

## Factors Influencing Treatment Effectiveness

Factors that may influence the effectiveness of movable cable median barrier at reducing nonrecurrent congestion include:

- Average reduction in response time when the treatment is used
- Percentage of each incident type that will utilize treatment to improve response time

## Cost

Factors that affect the cost of installing a moveable cable median barrier along a roadway section include:

- Type of installation (new construction vs. retrofit)
- Length of proposed barrier section
- Existing barrier type (modular concrete, semi-rigid, non-movable cable)
- Removal costs for the existing median barrier

- Installation costs for the moveable cable median barrier
- Expected frequency of use for emergency access or queue dissipation

## Key References

Safence, 2012, Available at: <[www.safence.com](http://www.safence.com)> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

## Figure References

1. Safence, 2012, Available at: <[www.safence.com](http://www.safence.com)> (Accessed April 9, 2012).
2. FHWA Resource Center, *Priority, Market-Ready Technologies and Innovations*, Available at: <[http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/resourcecenter/teams/safety/safe\\_mrt\\_cable.cfm](http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/resourcecenter/teams/safety/safe_mrt_cable.cfm)> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

## Extra-Height Median Barrier

### Description and Objective

An extra-height median barrier is a median barrier that obscures motorists' view of the opposite direction of travel. This is often achieved through design of a barrier taller than driver eye height, but can also be achieved by the addition of material on top of an existing barrier. EHMBs can be used to reduce nonrecurrent congestion in the following ways:

- Minimize rubbernecking by blocking the view of opposing lanes of traffic during an incident (“gawk screens”).
- Prevent crashes caused by headlight glare from opposing traffic at night (often referred to as “glare screens” and commonly used in work zones).
- Prevent cross-median collisions involving taller vehicles that may not be stopped by a traditional height barrier (EHMB must be crashworthy).

Depending on the material used to provide the extra height, a particular installation of an EHMB may serve more than one of these purposes.

Rubbernecking causes delay and degrades reliability in two major ways:


- Rubbernecking during an incident causes traffic to slow, thereby reducing capacity and increasing congestion on the roadway.
- Gawking can lead to secondary collisions when a driver's attention is focused on an incident and not on the traffic in front of or around him.

While reducing headlight glare can be beneficial for drivers, there is no clear research indicating what effect it might have on reducing nonrecurrent congestion or improving travel-time reliability. Reducing cross-median collisions involving trucks is an important safety benefit of tall concrete EHMBs.

Various methods of providing extra height to median barriers have been implemented. These include installing concrete barriers that are designed to be taller, adding planters and shrubs on top of the barrier, installing metal or plastic paddles or screens on top of the barrier, or using tall shrubs instead of a concrete barrier in the median. Example installations of EHMBs are illustrated in Figures 11 through 13.



**Figure 11. EHMB in Atlanta, Georgia (1)**



**Vertical Blades**

**Figure 12. Example of EHMB Created by Mounting Glare Screens on a Standard Concrete Barrier (2)**



**Figure 13. EHMB Created Using Oleander Shrubs (this has been done in California since the 1950s) (3)**

## Typical Applications

EHMBs may be considered at high-crash locations where vehicles in one direction of travel are visible to drivers in the other direction of travel, and where rubbernecking at incidents in the opposite direction of travel is problematic. Application of an EHMB must consider the horizontal and vertical geometry of the roadway (see Design Criteria below).

## Design Criteria

For purposes of calculating vertical design criteria (such as sight distance), the AASHTO *Green Book* uses a driver eye height of 42 inches. Therefore, a barrier must certainly be no lower than this height to visually obstruct the opposing traffic lanes—although larger heights are most likely needed to effectively perform this function (especially for taller vehicles). The area over which the median barrier blocks the view of opposing lanes is referred to as the influence area.

In addition to ensuring that the median barrier is sufficiently tall to reduce visual distraction caused by incidents on opposing lanes of the divided highway, several other factors that will impact treatment effectiveness should be considered. These include:

- **Roadway geometry.** Vertical and horizontal alignment will impact the driver’s sight lines and the influence area of the extra height median barrier.
- **Opacity of barrier design.** Widely spaced “paddles” may reduce headlight glare for approaching vehicles a significant distance away, but may not eliminate incident-induced gawking, especially as the driver approaches the incident and can look between the paddles. The influence area of the barrier in this case may be significantly reduced.

- **Crashworthiness.** Only some materials used to add height to barriers are intended to reduce cross-median crashes. If reducing nonrecurrent congestion due to severe cross-median crashes is a goal, a design that reduces these types of crashes will be required.

## How Treatment Reduces Nonrecurrent Congestion

### *Reduction in the Number of Gawking Events*

EHMBs reduce rubbernecking by obscuring drivers' view of incidents on the other side of the barrier (the opposite direction of travel). Without an EHMB in place, drivers tend to gawk at incidents that occur in the opposite direction of travel. This gawking usually involves a reduction in speeds as drivers slow down to get a better view of the incident on the opposite side of the roadway. An EHMB can improve reliability by blocking the view of drivers in this situation, such that they are unaware of opposite direction incidents. By reducing the number of gawking events, EHMBs can reduce the delay associated with the lower speeds during these events and improve reliability.

### *Incident Frequency Reduction*

When drivers gawk at incidents in the opposite direction, their attention is diverted from the task of operating their vehicle. As a result, crashes can occur in the primary direction that are a direct result of gawking at an opposite direction incident. These crashes are termed "secondary crashes."

To the extent that gawking at opposite-direction incidents causes secondary crashes, an EHMB will eliminate these secondary crashes. By eliminating these secondary crashes, delay in the primary direction is reduced and reliability is improved.

### *Incident Severity Reduction*

EHMBs may reduce cross-median crashes involving tall vehicles (such as tractor trailers), which are often very severe in nature. While the barrier will not prevent a crash from occurring, it works to reduce the severity of the crash by absorbing impact and redirecting the vehicle onto the shoulder. Assumptions based on local experience will help determine whether this treatment benefit will reduce nonrecurrent congestion on the roadway.

## Factors Influencing Treatment Effectiveness

Factors that may influence the effectiveness of EHMBs at reducing nonrecurrent congestion include:

- **Number of gawk-inducing incidents.** Roadways with frequent crashes, disablements, work zones, or other gawk-inducing incidents will receive more significant improvement



to operations from EHMB than roadways with few gawk-inducing incidents. Roadway demand—rubbernecking may not have a significant effect on congestion if traffic flow is relatively light at the time of the incident. Low traffic volumes also reduce the probability of secondary incident occurring.

- **Influence area of median barrier.** Barriers that only block a driver’s view over a short distance along the road will be less effective than those that block the driver’s view over a longer distance. Influence area is a factor of median design and roadway geometry.
- **Truck volume.** Areas with high truck volumes are more likely to see a reduction in crash severity by reducing the frequency of cross-median crashes involving heavy vehicles.

## Cost

Factors that affect the cost of implementing EHMBs along a roadway section include:

- Construction type (new roadway vs. retrofit of existing roadway)
- EHMB material (reinforced concrete, planted shrubs)
- Length of roadway over which treatment will be installed
- Maintenance required (e.g., caring for vegetation, repair/replacement required when vehicle collides with barrier)

## Key References

Caltrans, California Highway Barrier Aesthetics, Caltrans Report Edition 1A, June 2002. Available at: <[http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/LandArch/barrier\\_aesthetics/barrier-aesth1final.pdf](http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/LandArch/barrier_aesthetics/barrier-aesth1final.pdf)> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

## Figure References

1. Taken by SHRP Project L07 research team.
2. WADOT, Design Manual, Chapter 1600, Available at: <http://www.wsdot.wa.gov/publications/manuals/fulltext/M22-01/1600.pdf>> (Accessed March 15, 2013)
3. Caltrans, California Highway Barrier Aesthetics, Caltrans Report Edition 1A, June 2002. Available at: <[http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/LandArch/barrier\\_aesthetics/barrier-aesth1final.pdf](http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/LandArch/barrier_aesthetics/barrier-aesth1final.pdf)> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

## Mountable/Traversable Medians

### Description and Objective

Mountable/traversable medians are medians that do not physically prevent vehicles from crossing from one direction of travel to the other. Examples of this treatment include:

- Flush or painted medians
- Two-way left-turn lanes (TWLTL) (illustrated in Figure 14)
- Raised (but traversable) medians

The objective of mountable/traversable medians is to provide better access for law enforcement and emergency vehicles responding to an incident, thus reducing the time period between when an incident occurs and when emergency responders arrive on the scene. The sooner emergency responders can arrive on the scene of an incident, the sooner the incident can be cleared and normal freeway operations can resume.



**Figure 14. Two-Way Left-turn Lane (I)**

### Typical Applications


Mountable/traversable medians are primarily used to provide emergency access, as described above, while still providing a visual separation between opposing directions of travel. Various traversable and nontraversable median types are found in every metropolitan area around the nation.

### Design Criteria

Following are descriptions of three specific types of traversable medians.

- **Flush Medians.** Typical widths for a flush median on an urban street can range from 4 to 13 ft. To accommodate a separate left-turn lane, a flush median should be 13 ft wide.
- **Flush TWLTL.** AASHTO recommends a TWLTL width of between 10 and 16 ft for left-turning vehicles. The usual design widths for flush TWLTLs are 11, 12, or 13 ft. There is some evidence that wide TWLTLs encourage drivers to place their vehicles in an angular rather than parallel turning position and thereby cause encroachments on adjacent through lanes.
- **Traversable TWLTL.** Where a mountable 2-in high curb is used to delineate the edges of a median, this median is designated as a traversable median and traffic is allowed to turn left across the median. The normal traversable TWLTL median width for new construction is 16 ft. As discussed for the flush TWLTL, wide traversable TWLTL medians also may encourage drivers to store in an angular position. Therefore, wider widths should not be provided.

Figure 15 illustrates a design drawing for a traversable median.



**Figure 15. Mountable Median for Emergency Vehicles (2)**

## How Treatment Reduces Nonrecurrent Congestion

### *Reduce Incident Duration*

Mountable/traversable medians reduce the period of time during which disabled, crash-involved, or police vehicles remain in the roadway or on the roadway shoulder by decreasing the response time of emergency personnel. By reducing the lane-blocking time an incident, the

nonrecurrent congestion associated with that incident is reduced. This reduction in nonrecurrent congestion results in an increases reliability for the roadway segment.

## Factors Influencing Treatment Effectiveness

Factors that may influence the effectiveness of mountable/traversable medians at reducing nonrecurrent congestion include:

- Average response time reduction for the instances when the treatment is used
- Percentage of each incident type that will utilize treatment to improve response time

## Cost

Factors that affect the cost of installing a mountable or traversable median along a roadway section include:

- Existing median type (raised-curb, TWLTL, none)
- Proposed median type (TWLTL, mountable curb, painted median)
- Length of section to be improved

## Key References

Bonneson, J. A., and P. T. McCoy, *Capacity and Operational Effects of Midblock Left-Turn Lanes*, NCHRP Report 395, Transportation Research Board, 1997.

Federal Highway Administration, *FHWA/USDOT Flexibility in Highway Design*, Federal Highway Administration, 1997.

Gluck, J., H. S. Levinson, and V. Stover, *Impacts of Access Management Techniques*, NCHRP Report 420, Transportation Research Board, 1999.

Institute of Transportation Engineers, *Context Sensitive Solutions in Designing Major Urban Thoroughfares for Walkable Communities*, Institute of Transportation Engineers, 2006.

Transportation Research Board, *Access Management Manual*, Transportation Research Board, 2003.

## Figure References

1. Morena, D., W. Wainwright, and F. Ranck, *Older Drivers at a Crossroads*, Public Roads, Volume 70, No. 4, FHWA-HRT-2007-002 Available at: <<http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/publications/publicroads/07jan/02.cfm>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).
2. City of Charlotte & Mecklenburg County, *Land Development Standards Manual*, Available at: <<http://charmack.org/city/charlotte/epm/Services/LandDevelopment/StandardsManual/Documents/5000/pdf/5012.pdf>> (Accessed March 15, 2013).

# Shoulders

## Accessible Shoulder

### Description and Objective

An accessible shoulder consists of a wider shoulder or an improvement to the surface of an existing shoulder (e.g., replacing a gravel shoulder with a paved shoulder) such that the shoulder can serve in one or both of the following functions:

- As a pull-off for disabled or incident-involved vehicles (as illustrated in Figure 16 and 17)
- As a “bypass lane,” allowing emergency responders to go around queued mainline traffic, thereby reaching the incident scene more quickly

Improving a shoulder to allow mainline traffic to use the shoulder as a travel lane is addressed elsewhere in this chapter (see discussion under “Drivable Shoulder”).



**Figure 16. An Accessible Shoulder in Use During a Crash Investigation (1)**



**Figure 17. A Vehicle Fire on the Left Shoulder of a Freeway (1)**

## Typical Applications

Accessible shoulders may be considered for roadway segments with shoulders that cannot accommodate disabled, incident-involved, or emergency vehicles due to insufficient width or surface type.

## Design Criteria

The AASHTO *Green Book* states that “desirably, a vehicle on the shoulder should clear the edge of the traveled way by at least 0.3 m [1 ft], and preferably by 0.6 m [2 ft]. These dimensions have led to the adoption of 3.0 m [10 ft] as the normal shoulder width that is preferred along higher speed, higher volume facilities. Heavily traveled, high-speed highways and highways carrying large numbers of trucks should have usable shoulders at least 3.0 m [10 ft] wide and preferably 3.6 m [12 ft] wide; however, widths greater than 3.0 m [10 ft] may encourage unauthorized use of the shoulder as a travel lane.”

The *Green Book* goes on to say that “although it is desirable that a shoulder be wide enough for a vehicle to be driven completely off the traveled way, narrower shoulders are better than none at all. For example, when a vehicle making an emergency stop can pull over onto a narrow shoulder such that it occupies only 0.3 to 1.2 m [1 to 4 ft] of the traveled way, the remaining traveled way width can be used by passing vehicles.”

## How Treatment Reduces Nonrecurrent Congestion

### *Reduces Lanes Blocked by Incident*

With the installation of an accessible shoulder, crash-involved vehicles and non-crash incidents (such as vehicle breakdowns) that block travel lanes can be relocated to the shoulder, resulting in reduced lane-blocking time. This decrease in average lane-blocking time reduces nonrecurrent congestion associated with the incident, thereby reducing delay and improving reliability.

### *Reduces Incident Response Time*

An accessible shoulder can be used for emergency vehicle access. In this case, emergency responders use the shoulder to bypass traffic congestion on the roadway and reach the crash site earlier. This decrease in response time reduces the total incident duration, so lanes are blocked for a shorter period of time, delay is reduced, and reliability is improved.

### *Reduces Frequency of Incidents*

The provision of a shoulder has been shown to be associated with a reduction in incident frequency. The AASHTO *Highway Safety Manual* provided crash modification factors for provision of a shoulder.

## Factors Influencing Treatment Effectiveness

Factors that may influence the effectiveness of accessible shoulders at reducing nonrecurrent congestion include:

- Existing and planned usable shoulder width—An improvement that widens a 2-ft shoulder to a 12-ft shoulder will experience more benefit than an improvement that widens an 8-ft shoulder to a 12-ft shoulder.
- Existing and planned shoulder surface type (paved/unpaved)—Only paved shoulders will allow emergency vehicles the opportunity to bypass congestion to reach the scene of an incident faster. In addition, reductions in incidents due to shoulder widening are for paved shoulders only.
- Frequency of incidents requiring emergency vehicle response in congested conditions—The shoulder provides benefit to crashes or other emergencies that require an emergency response vehicle who would have a difficult time reaching the incident scene in a timely manner without the use of the shoulder.
- Typical emergency response time, and expected reduction in emergency response time when shoulder is available for use.
- Frequency of lane-blocking incidents, and proportion of those that could be moved to a shoulder.

## Cost

Factors that affect the cost of implementing an accessible shoulder along a roadway section include:

- Shoulder material (concrete, asphalt, gravel, or earth)
- Width of shoulder (before and after)
- Availability and purchase cost of right-of-way for shoulder widening
- Topography of roadside (especially in mountainous conditions where considerable cut or fill may be required to provide a shoulder)
- Necessary clearing and grubbing of roadside to increase shoulder width
- Temporary traffic control costs during construction
- Removal or addition of striping
- Addition or re-installation of rumble strips

## Key References

American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, *A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets*, Washington, DC, 2004.

American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, *Highway Safety Manual*, Washington, DC, 2011.

Zegeer, C. V., D. W. Reinfurt, J. Hummer, et. al, *Safety Effects of Cross-Section Design for Two-Lane Roads*, Transportation Research Record 1195, p. 20–32, Transportation Research Board, 1988.

## Figure References

1. *Traffic Incident Management System*, U.S. Fire Administration, April 2008, Available at: [http://www.usfa.fema.gov/downloads/pdf/publications/tims\\_0408.pdf](http://www.usfa.fema.gov/downloads/pdf/publications/tims_0408.pdf) (Accessed April 9, 2012).

## Drivable Shoulder

### Description and Objective

A drivable shoulder is a shoulder that can be temporarily used by mainline traffic as a travel lane. Drivable shoulders can be used to restore lost capacity by routing traffic around a lane-blocking incident, such as a work zone or a crash. Several examples of drivable shoulders are illustrated in Figures 18 through 21.





**Figure 18. Drivable Shoulder in Germany (1)**



**Figure 19. Drivable Shoulder Along I-66 in Virginia (2)**



**Figure 20. Bus-Only Drivable Shoulder on I-35 in Minneapolis (3)**



**Figure 21. Buses Allowed on Shoulder in Atlanta, Georgia (4)**

## Typical Applications

Though the practice of temporarily routing traffic around work zones or incidents is not widespread in the U.S., Germany has implemented drivable shoulders (termed “shoulder-use lanes” or “hard shoulder running”) to address nonrecurring congestion. In Germany, a hard shoulder is required for temporarily routing traffic around scenes of accidents or 1-day work zones. England also uses shoulder-use lanes as a temporary measure for work zones.

## Design Criteria

The AASHTO *Green Book* does not provide design guidance for drivable shoulders in the context of converting a shoulder to a travel lane, rerouting traffic around an incident or work zone, or providing emergency vehicle access to an incident.

NCHRP Report 254, *Shoulder Geometrics and Use Guidelines*, provides application and design guidance for converting a shoulder to a travel lane. According to this report, when a shoulder is used to route traffic around a work zone, 9 ft of shoulder width is considered acceptable and 12 ft of shoulder width is considered optimal.

It should be noted that most agencies will not route traffic around an incident unless the incident is in the lane adjacent to the shoulder, due to the difficulty of communicating all the necessary lane shifts for other incident locations.

## How Treatment Reduces Nonrecurrent Congestion

### *Increases Roadway Capacity During an Incident*

Incidents cause nonrecurrent congestion and delay by blocking one or more lanes for the duration of the incident. If local policy allows, some of the incidents which block the rightmost lane of the roadway may be treated using driveable shoulders by diverting traffic around the incident on the right shoulder.

## Factors Influencing Treatment Effectiveness

Factors that may influence the effectiveness of drivable shoulders at reducing nonrecurrent congestion include:

- Number of days that the shoulder will be used as a lane during each work zone
- Percent of incidents (by magnitude) occurring in outside lane during analysis period
- Percent of outside-lane incidents (by magnitude) during which treatment is expected to be used
- Effective capacity of shoulder
- Protocols for opening a shoulder lane during incident (severity, location, etc.)
- Hours of the day and days per year shoulder is used as general purpose lane

## Cost

Factors that may affect the cost of providing a drivable shoulder along a roadway section include:

- Length of roadway section
- Width of pavement addition
- Type of pavement (asphalt, concrete)
- Temporary traffic control required during installation (or will shoulder widening be done along with a construction project that is already planned)
- Frequency of incidents during which traffic will be re-routed onto shoulder
- Pavement construction costs
- Sign installation costs
- Ongoing costs to personnel directing traffic around incidents (e.g., law enforcement)

## Key References

Bauer, K. M., D. W. Harwood, W. E. Hughes, and K. R. Richard, “Safety Effects of Narrow Lanes and Shoulder-Use Lanes to Increase Capacity of Urban Freeways,” *Transportation Research Record 1897*, Transportation Research Board, 2004.

Downs, Jr., H. G., and D. W. Wallace, *Shoulder Geometrics and Use Guidelines*, NCHRP Report 254, Transportation Research Board, 1982.

Rohloff, M., “Re-Use of Hard-Shoulders at Federal Motorways,” 2nd International Symposium on Highway Geometric Design, 2000.

*Tackling Congestion by Making Better Use of England’s Motorways and Trunk Roads*, National Audit Office, England, 2004.

## Figure References

1. FHWA, *21st Century Operations Using 21st Century Technology, Efficient Use of Highway Capacity Summary Report to Congress*, Office of Operations, Available at: <<http://ops.fhwa.dot.gov/publications/fhwahop10023/chap2.htm#fn13>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).
2. FHWA, *Managed Lane Chapter for the Freeway Management and Operations Handbook*, January 2011. Available at: <[http://ops.fhwa.dot.gov/freewaymgmt/publications/frwy\\_mgmt\\_handbook/revision/jan2011/mgdlaneschp8/fmoh\\_mgdlaneschp8.pdf](http://ops.fhwa.dot.gov/freewaymgmt/publications/frwy_mgmt_handbook/revision/jan2011/mgdlaneschp8/fmoh_mgdlaneschp8.pdf)> (Accessed April 9, 2012).
3. FHWA, *High-Performance Highways*, Public Roads Volume 70, No. 6. May/June 2007. FHWA-HRT-07-004. Available at: <<http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/publications/publicroads/07may/01.cfm>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).
4. Taken by SHRP 2 Project L07 research team.


## Alternating Shoulder

### Description and Objective

Alternating shoulders are used on roadways without sufficient width to provide full shoulders on both sides of the roadway. Instead of providing narrow shoulders on both sides of the roadway, a full width shoulder is provided for one direction of travel for a specified length of roadway, and then provided for the other direction of travel for the next segment of roadway.

Alternating shoulders are often used in work zones where limited roadway width is available. In particular, this treatment is commonly used when one side of a divided roadway is shut down for a work zone and traffic is moved over to the other side of the divided roadway, resulting in two-way traffic operations on that side. Rather than provide a full shoulder for one direction of travel and little or no shoulder for the opposing direction of travel, a full shoulder is provided for one direction for some distance and then the full shoulder is shifted to the opposite direction for some distance.

Alternating shoulders may also be implemented as a permanent treatment in situations where one shoulder has been converted to a travel lane to meet increased demand, or where paved shoulders on both sides of an undivided roadway are narrow. Graphical illustrations of two types of permanent alternating shoulder installations are shown in Figure 22.




**Figure 22. Alternating Shoulder Examples (median shift, lane shift)**

### Typical Applications

Alternating shoulders may be considered when one side of a divided roadway is shut down for a work zone, resulting in two-way traffic operations on the other side. A typical example would involve converting the cross section on that side of the roadway as follows:

<b>From:</b>	Same-direction travel lanes 4-ft inside shoulder 10-ft outside shoulder	<b>To:</b>	Opposite-direction travel lanes Barrier between travel lanes 10-ft alternating shoulder
--------------	---	------------	---

Figure 23 illustrates a typical cross section on one side of a divided roadway before (a) and after (b) converting it to a cross section with alternating shoulders.



**Figure 23. (a) Before and (b) After Conversion of Roadway to Two-Way Operation With Alternating Shoulders**

### Design Criteria

The AASHTO *Green Book* provides general guidance for shoulder design, and states that 10 ft is a typical shoulder width along freeways. It also states that a width of 12 ft may be desirable on high-speed facilities with heavy truck volumes. In some work zones, the use of 6- to 8-ft shoulders may be warranted, especially if these widths allow the provision of shoulders for both directions of travel. The *Green Book* also states that “although continuous shoulders are preferable, narrow shoulders and intermittent shoulders are superior to no shoulders.” This

guidance seems to imply that if shoulders of at least 6 to 8 ft cannot be provided along a roadway segment, intermittent shoulders of at least that width would be an acceptable alternative.

The *Green Book* does not provide guidance on the recommended length of each intermittent shoulder. However, lengths of a quarter mile to a half mile have been reported by highway agencies. Intermittent shoulder spacing should not be so long that a disabled vehicle in a section without shoulder would not be able to be relocated to the next available shoulder section.

When the alternating shoulder is designed in such a way that the lanes are shifted back and forth, the length of the transition between providing shoulder on one side of the road and the other will be somewhat dependent on travel speed. The faster traffic is moving, the longer the transition will need to be. The taper along a shoulder section that is transitioning into a section with no shoulder should be long enough to accommodate a vehicle trying to re-enter the roadway. This is especially true for alternating shoulders in the median, where the re-entering vehicle will be merging into the fastest lane of traffic.

## How Treatment Reduces Nonrecurrent Congestion

### *Reduces Frequency of Crashes*

The provision of a shoulder tends to reduce incident frequency. Based on a study by Zegeer et al., a reduced crash rate can be calculated based on shoulder width and shoulder type (paved or unpaved). To account for the fact that the wider shoulder is provided on only a portion of the freeway segment, a weighted average is calculated. For example, if 40 percent of the study segment has a shoulder, the weighted average crash rate is 0.4 times the lower crash rate plus 0.6 times the higher crash rate.

### *Reduces Lanes Blocking by Incidents*

With the installation of a shoulder, crash-involved vehicles that block lanes can be relocated to the shoulder. This results in a decreased lane-blocking time for these crashes. This reduction in average lane-blocking duration reduces nonrecurrent congestion associated with crashes, thereby reducing delay and improving reliability.

## Factors Influencing Treatment Effectiveness

Factors that may influence the effectiveness of providing alternating shoulders include:

- Existing and planned usable shoulder width—an improvement that widens a 2-ft shoulder to a 12-ft shoulder will experience more benefit than an improvement that widens an 8-ft shoulder to a 12-ft shoulder.
- Existing and planned shoulder type (paved/unpaved)

- Frequency of lane-blocking incidents, and proportion of those that could be moved to a shoulder.
- For a given direction of travel, the cumulative length (or percent of segment) for which a full-width shoulder is available.

## Cost

Factors that may affect the cost of implementing an alternating shoulder include:

- Whether the alternating shoulder will be installed in the median of a divided road or on the outside shoulder
- Whether the alternating shoulder is designed as a permanent treatment or a temporary treatment during a construction project
- The interval spacing that will be used (or how many times will the shoulder switch sides over the length of the treatment implementation)
- Need for additional pavement construction
- Barrier and impact attenuator costs (moving existing, adding new, or replacing)
- Costs associated with removing and adding pavement marking
- Temporary traffic control (discussed in more detail below)
- Permanent signing

In work zone situations where previously divided two-way traffic is shifted to one direction of the divided roadway, a modular concrete barrier may be required. Impact attenuators may also be necessary at the end points of the barrier. If modular concrete barriers are not necessary (perhaps on lower speed and/or lower volume roadways), reflective delineators, cones or barrels may be used to separate traffic and reduce the likelihood that vehicles will travel in lanes designated for the opposite direction of flow.

Similarly, permanent designs that involve a median shift may require that existing median barrier be relocated, or new barrier added along the new median shoulder alignment. Both temporary and permanent alternating shoulders will require additional guidance signs to inform drivers of the new alignment, and additional signs, such as speed limit reduction signs, may also be needed. Finally, additional law enforcement presence may be beneficial to ensure motorists are using the alternating shoulders properly and obeying all traffic control.

Some costs may be incurred regardless of the treatment installation, such as restriping costs on a project that also includes resurfacing. Careful project planning and staging may help minimize costs associated with this treatment.



## Key References

AASHTO, *A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets*, American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, Washington, DC, 2004.

Chatterjee, A., T. L. Miller, J. W. Philpot, T. F. Wholley Jr., R. Guensler, D. Hartgen, R. A. Margiotta, and P. R. Stopher, “Effect of Highway Standards on Safety,” *NCHRP Report 394*, Transportation Research Board, 1997.

*Highway Capacity Manual*, Transportation Research Board, 2004.

Zegeer, C. V., D. W. Reinfurt, J. Hummer, et. al, *Safety Effects of Cross-Section Design for Two-Lane Roads*, Transportation Research Record 1195, pp. 20–32, Transportation Research Board, 1988

## Portable Incident Screens

### Description and Objective

This treatment involves placing a portable screening device around an incident (typically along the roadside) to obscure motorists’ view of the incident and reduce congestion caused by rubbernecking (or “gawking”).

The primary objective of the treatment is to reduce rubbernecking caused by the presence of an incident. By reducing rubbernecking, this treatment can reduce nonrecurrent congestion and secondary incidents.

### Typical Applications

Portable incident screens are used to block motorists’ view of an incident, and incident-response activities, which can be distracting to motorists passing by. Screens may improve safety and traffic flow where traffic volumes approach roadway capacity because they discourage gawking (and slowing) by other motorists.

Application guidance regarding appropriate traffic, site, and emergency management conditions include:

### *Traffic Conditions*

- The incident is likely to take more than three hours to clear.
- The incident is likely to cause secondary congestion due to “rubbernecking”...and it is considered that deployment of the incident screen is likely to reduce this.
- Traffic volumes on the opposite roadway from the incident are expected to be relatively high or expected to become high during the anticipated duration of the incident.

### *Site Conditions*

- It is possible to deploy the incident screen while maintaining at least 3.9 ft (1.2 m) between the incident screen and traffic lanes.
- It is possible to maintain an incident side safety zone of at least 3.9 ft (1.2 m) from the screen at all times while the screen is being setup, is in place, and is being dismantled. (Vehicles and personnel should not enter the safety zone except in an emergency or to maintain the screen. If the safety zone cannot be provided, the screen should not be deployed.)
- Weather conditions are appropriate for the use of the screen. In particular, the screen should not be deployed in conditions of snow, ice, poor visibility, or high winds exceeding the maximum wind speed permitted for the stability classification of the screen.

### *Emergency Management Considerations*

- The police must confirm that they do not consider that use of the screen would disrupt their operations.
- The ambulance, fire and rescue services must agree to the use of the screen in the situation in question.
- The emergency services must confirm that it is not expected that a helicopter will land in the vicinity of the incident.
- The presence of the incident screen must present no hazard to or interfere with the work of the emergency services (including any operations of the air ambulance service), all other incident-related activity, or any ongoing work activities.

### Design Criteria

The MUTCD provides the following guidance (updated language approved by NTUCD Council, June 22, 2007): “Screens should not be mounted where they could adversely restrict road user visibility and sight distance, and adversely affect the operation of vehicles.”

One of the most important operational factors in deployment of incident screens is their ability to remain stable in ambient wind conditions. UK guidelines identify stability criteria:

- A free-standing incident screen must comprise:
  - A rigid, flexible, or semi-flexible sheet material that is restrained at the top, bottom and both sides by attachment to a rigid (i.e., nonfolding) supporting structure to form a panel.

- A series of portable bases to support one or more panels, the stability of which may be augmented by means of sandbags.
- The size and weight of the screen bases must be such as to ensure the stability of the freestanding incident screen system.
- Any sheet material used for either barrier mount or free standing incident screen panels is permitted to have a provision for reducing wind loading. This may be through either:
  - Being porous over the entire area of the sheet material (wind porous material).
  - Having vents in the sheet material that are effective from both sides.

## How Treatment Reduces Nonrecurrent Congestion

### *Reduction in the Number Gawking Events*

A portable incident screen reduces rubbernecking within its influence area by obscuring drivers' view of incidents. Without a screen in place, drivers will tend to gawk at incidents in their direction of travel. This gawking usually involves a reduction in speeds as drivers slow down to get a better view of the incident. A portable incident screen can improve reliability by blocking the view of the drivers, such that they are unaware of the incident. By reducing the number of gawking events in the primary direction, portable incident screens can reduce the delay associated with the lower speeds during these events and improve reliability.

### *Incident Frequency Reduction*

When drivers gawk at incidents, their attention is diverted from the task of operating their vehicle. As a result, crashes can occur that are a direct result of gawking at an incident. These crashes are termed “secondary crashes.”

To the extent that gawking at incidents causes secondary crashes, a portable incident screen will eliminate these secondary crashes. By eliminating these secondary crashes, delay is reduced and reliability is improved.

## Factors Influencing Treatment Effectiveness

Factors that may influence the effectiveness of portable incident screens at reducing nonrecurrent congestion include:

- Expected number of incidents on the roadway
- Proportion of incidents that are “gawk-inducing” (i.e., that cause rubbernecking)
- Percentage of “gawk-inducing” incidents that utilize screens
- Response time of screen crew to incident scene
- Treatment deployment time

Roadways with high numbers of incidents and with high a proportion of gawk-inducing incidents will receive the most benefit from portable incident screens. Faster response and setup times of screen crews will also result in a larger benefit for this treatment.

## Cost

Factors that may affect the cost of portable incident screens include:

- Level of wind resistance needed
- Type of screen (e.g., fabric screen with metal tube support structure, chain link fence with opaque covering, etc.)
- Screen purchase cost
- Total length of screen needed
- Screen maintenance and storage costs
- Cost for multi-panel connections
- Cost for stabilizing hardware (e.g., sandbags)
- Cost for vehicle and/or trailer for transporting to site
- Other transportation-related costs (maintenance, fuel, etc.)
- Personnel required for deployment

## Key References

*Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices*, Federal Highway Administration, 2003.

National Archives U.K., 2011. Available at: <<http://www.highways.gov.uk/business/19778.htm>> (Accessed 9 April 9, 2012). <http://www.workzones.ucdavis.edu/index.php?title=TMN050817>.

Washington State Department of Transportation, *Gray Book*, June 2006.

## Vehicle Turnouts

### Description and Objective

Vehicle turnouts are short sections of shoulder provided on routes that have either no shoulder or very narrow shoulders. Vehicle turnouts allow a disabled or crash-involved vehicle to pull completely out of the travel way. Vehicle turnouts are typically at least 8-ft wide and are most often found on rural, two-lane highways with less than an 8-ft paved shoulder.

## Typical Applications

Typical applications of vehicle turnouts include:

- Rural two-lane roads with little or no passing opportunity
- Scenic routes where travelers may want to slow or stop their vehicle to enjoy the scenery
- Locations without safe locations for law enforcement officers to monitor and enforce traffic violations
- Urban or suburban areas without paved shoulders wide enough to accommodate emergency stops
- Along freeways or on freeway ramps where space is needed for maintenance vehicles

## Design Criteria

Design elements for turnouts include turnout width, turnout length, taper length, pavement marking, and signing. These characteristics depend on traffic characteristics and roadway characteristics. Roadways with higher design speeds require longer taper lengths to allow a vehicle to safely and smoothly leave the traveled way and merge back onto it.

Turnouts are often provided in rural locations when queues of traffic typically form behind slow-moving vehicles, causing impeded vehicles to make risky passing maneuvers which may result in crashes. In urban and suburban areas, turnouts are often provided in locations that have experienced a high number of incidents resulting from vehicles blocking all or part of a travel lane. They may also be located along freeways and ramps to accommodate maintenance vehicles during roadway maintenance activities. Turnouts may also be provided as a low-cost alternative to providing or widening a shoulder.

## How Treatment Reduces Nonrecurrent Congestion

### *Reduces Lanes Blocked by an Incident*

With the installation of a vehicle turnout, crash-involved vehicles that block lanes can be relocated to the turnout. This results in a decreased lane-blocking time for these crashes. This reduction in average lane-blocking duration reduces the amount of nonrecurrent congestion associated with crashes, thereby reducing delay and improving reliability.

### *Factors Influencing Treatment Effectiveness*

Factors that may influence the effectiveness of vehicles turnouts in reducing nonrecurrent congestion include:

- Existing and planned usable turnout width—an improvement that widens a 2-ft shoulder to a 12-ft shoulder will experience more benefit than an improvement that widens an 8-ft shoulder to a 12-ft shoulder
- Existing and planned shoulder type (paved/unpaved)
- Frequency of lane-blocking incidents
- Proportion of lane-blocking incidents that could be moved to a turnout
- Cumulative length of turnout [or shoulder] (e.g., if there are two turnouts of 300 ft each, this value would be 600 ft)
- Shoulder material and depth (i.e., whether shoulder is stable enough to be used as a travel lane during a work zone)

## Cost

Factors that may affect the cost of installing vehicle turnouts along a roadway section include:

- Width of the shoulder to be added
- Shoulder material (asphalt, concrete, gravel, or earth)
- Depth of shoulder material
- Availability of right-of-way
- Topography of roadside (especially in mountainous conditions where considerable cut or fill may be required)
- Percentage of roadway segment that will have shoulder (e.g., 100 percent would be a continuous shoulder)

## Key References

AASHTO, A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets, Washington, DC, 2004.

## Bus Turnouts

### Description and Objective

A bus turnout (or bus pullout) is a designated paved area to the side of the roadway for buses to stop to pick up and drop off passengers. The turnout allows vehicles on the roadway to continue without obstruction of a stopped or idling bus. Bus turnouts are often found on suburban arterial roads and include tapers or acceleration/deceleration lanes, a paved bus bay area, and a passenger waiting area.

A bus turnout (illustrated in Figure 24) reduces disruptions to traffic flow due to bus stops along major roadways. It improves passenger safety during boarding and de-boarding and can reduce the potential for rear-end crashes. Bus turnouts also provide a safe place for passengers to wait that is offset from the main travel lanes.




**Figure 24. Bus Turnout (1)**

## Typical Applications

Bus turnouts are typically constructed along high-volume, high-speed suburban corridors in growing areas where sufficient right-of-way is available. There are a number of transit agencies with considerable experience with bus turnouts in states such as Florida, Washington, and California. However, bus turnouts exist in various forms all over the United States.

## Design Criteria

Figures 25 and 26 show typical design criteria for bus turnouts.




BY  
**Darnell**  
 & ASSOCIATES, INC.  
 050801DD.dwg 8-25-06  
 SN

FIGURE 5-5  
 MID BLOCK TURNOUT DESIGN (TYPE 1B)

**Figure 25. Mid Block Turnout Design (2)**





**Figure 26. Farside Turnout Design (3)**

## How Treatment Reduces Nonrecurrent Congestion

### *Reduce Frequency of Lane-Blocking Stops*

If no bus turnout is provided, buses will stop in the travel lane while passengers embark and disembark the bus. Traffic flow is interrupted during these lane-blocking events, and congestion occurs. Bus turnouts can reduce the frequency of these events and the associated congestion, thereby improving reliability.

### *Reduce Frequency of Incidents*

Bus turnouts help reduce the potential conflicts between busses and general traffic. Reducing these conflicts can reduce the frequency of incidents and crashes (particularly rear-end crashes). By reducing the frequency of incidents and crashes, this treatment reduces the congestion associated with these incidents and improves reliability.

## Factors Influencing Treatment Effectiveness

Bus turnouts are effective in maintaining uninterrupted traffic flow along the main thoroughfare. They also potentially improve safety by removing stopped vehicles from the roadway. However, they sometimes create delay for the bus riders due to the need for buses to merge back into the flow of traffic. Some states have enacted yield-to-bus laws and developed various placards and signs, but driver adherence to these laws is sometimes limited. The merge can also create potential safety issues.

TCRP Report 19, “Guidelines for the Location and Design of Bus Stops,” reports that bus drivers will not use a bus bay when traffic volumes exceed 1,000 vehicles per hour per lane. Drivers explain that the heavy volumes make it extremely difficult to maneuver a bus out of a midblock or near-side bay, and that the bus must wait an unacceptable period of time to re-enter the travel lane. Consideration should be given to these concerns when contemplating the design of a bay on a high-volume road. Using acceleration lanes, signal priority, or far-side (versus near-side or midblock) placements are potential solutions.

## Cost

Factors that may affect the cost of installing a bus turnout along a roadway section include:

- New pavement
- Signs
- Pavement markings
- Lighting
- Additional right-of-way
- New curb and gutter

## Key References

Darnell & Associates, *OMNITRANS Bus Stop Design Guidelines*, 2006. Available at: <[http://www.omnitrans.org/about/BusStopGuidelines\\_10-04-06.pdf](http://www.omnitrans.org/about/BusStopGuidelines_10-04-06.pdf)> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

Mohammed, Taqhi, *Pace Development Guidelines*, Pace Suburban Bus, Arlington Heights, Illinois, 1999. Available at: <[http://www.pacebus.com/sub/guidelines/development\\_guidelines/turnouts.htm](http://www.pacebus.com/sub/guidelines/development_guidelines/turnouts.htm)> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

New Jersey Department of Transportation, *Roadway Design Manual*, 2009. Available at: <<http://www.nj.gov/transportation/eng/documents/RDME/sect6E2001.shtm>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

Oregon Department of Transportation, *Highway Design Manual*, 2003. Available at: <[ftp://ftp.odot.state.or.us/techserv/roadway/web\\_drawings/HDM/Rev\\_E\\_2003Chp12.pdf](ftp://ftp.odot.state.or.us/techserv/roadway/web_drawings/HDM/Rev_E_2003Chp12.pdf)> (Accessed 9 April 9, 2012).

Texas Transportation Institute, “Guidelines for the Location and Design of Bus Stops,” *TCRP Report 19*, Transit Cooperative Research Program, Washington, D.C., 1996.

Zhou, H., and S. Bromfield, *Moving the Bus Back into Traffic Safely—Signage and Lighting Configuration Phase I*, National Center for Transit Research, University of South Florida, 2007. Available at: <<http://www.nctr.usf.edu/pdf/77610.pdf>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

## Figure References

1. Darnell & Associates, *OMNITRANS Bus Stop Design Guidelines*, 2006. Available at: <[http://www.omnitrans.org/about/BusStopGuidelines\\_10-04-06.pdf](http://www.omnitrans.org/about/BusStopGuidelines_10-04-06.pdf)> (Accessed April 9, 2012).
2. Darnell & Associates, *OMNITRANS Bus Stop Design Guidelines*, 2006. Available at: <[http://www.omnitrans.org/about/BusStopGuidelines\\_10-04-06.pdf](http://www.omnitrans.org/about/BusStopGuidelines_10-04-06.pdf)> (Accessed April 9, 2012).
3. Kimley-Horn and Associates, *Bus Stop Safety and Design Guidelines*, March 2004. Available at: <[http://www.octa.net/uploadedfiles/publication\\_bus\\_guidelines.pdf](http://www.octa.net/uploadedfiles/publication_bus_guidelines.pdf)> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

## Crash Investigation Sites

### Crash Investigation Sites

#### Description and Objective

A crash investigation site (CIS) is a paved area provided near highways to allow the relocation of crash-involved vehicles from the crash site to a safer area out of the way of traffic where crash investigations can be conducted. In addition to providing a location for crash investigations, these sites can be used to make cell-phone calls, change a tire, or even as a rest area. Each of these uses can make mainline travel safer by reducing the number of emergency stops made in or near the roadway.

CISs reduce nonrecurrent congestion by minimizing the time period during which vehicles remain in the roadway or on the roadway shoulder following an incident. Some typical installations are shown in Figure 27 through Figure 29. The first figure shows a CIS within a freeway interchange in Chicago, the second figure shows an off-system CIS in Minnesota, and the third figure shows a roadside CIS in Atlanta.



**Figure 27. Aerial View of a Crash Investigation Site Within a Freeway Interchange in Chicago (1)**



**Figure 28. Roadside te in Minnesota (2)**



**Figure 29. Crash Investigation Site in Atlanta, Georgia (3)**

### Typical Applications

CISs are typically used on high-volume urban freeways, where crash investigations on the roadway shoulder have the greatest potential to create congestion.

### Design Criteria

A typical crash investigation site has dimensions of  $30 \times 85$  ft or  $2,250$  ft<sup>2</sup> (Pittman, 1973). It is very important that CISs be clearly identified through signing and other markings. The more accessible that a CIS is, the more likely it is that motorists will make use of the site. Therefore, locating CISs near the freeway and making them easily accessible is recommended. Providing sufficient lighting and a paved area greater than  $1,000$  ft<sup>2</sup> are also recommended to encourage the use of CISs. CISs are typically used in one of the following location types:

- A gravel or paved area separated from the roadway and accessible from the mainline (e.g., Figure 27)
- A widened shoulder area or purpose-built parking area on a freeway off-ramp
- A widened shoulder area on the arterial crossroad near a freeway off-ramp terminal
- A widened shoulder area on a side street off the arterial crossroad in the vicinity of the freeway off-ramp terminal

Police officers generally prefer CISs that are directly accessible from the mainline. When possible, it is preferable that police be consulted during the design and placement of CISs in order to identify the most ideal locations where both officers and motorists will be encouraged to use the sites.

## How Treatment Reduces Nonrecurrent Congestion

### *Reduces Lane Blocking Time of Incidents*

By providing a pre-established relocation spot, this treatment can reduce the time period during which disabled, crash-involved, or police vehicles remain in the roadway or on the roadway shoulder. This reduction in lane-blocking time reduces the congestion associated with these incidents and increases the reliability of the roadway.

## Factors Influencing Treatment Effectiveness

Factors that may influence the effectiveness of a CIS at reducing nonrecurrent congestion include:

- Expected number of crashes per year (by severity)
- Proportion of crash-involved vehicles that are physically movable (by type)
- Proportion of physically movable vehicles that are drivable
- Presence/absence of local agency policy to move crash-involved vehicles to a nearby CIS, when appropriate
- Number of CISs on the analysis segment
- Location/accessibility (mainline, ramp, crossroad)
- Presence of ancillary signing to identify CIS and encourage use

## Cost

Factors that may affect the cost of constructing a crash investigation site along a roadway section include:

- Number of crash investigation sites to be installed along the roadway section
- The total square footage of each crash investigation site
- The material used for construction of the CIS (e.g., concrete, asphalt, gravel)
- Right-of-way cost and availability
- Need to install signs identifying CIS and directing motorists into site
- Pavement marking

## Key References

Dudek, C. L., W. R. McCasland, and E. N. Burns, *Promotional Issues Related to Off-Site Accident Investigation*, Report No. FHWA/RD-87/036, Federal Highway Administration, January 1987.

Dudek, C. L., W. R. McCasland, and E. N. Burns, "Promotional Issues Related to Accident Investigation Sites in Urban Freeway Corridors," *Transportation Research Record 1173*, Transportation Research Board, 1988.

Dudek, C. L., W. R. McCasland, and E. N. Burns, "Location, Design, and Operation of Accident Investigation Sites in Urban Freeway Corridors," *Transportation Research Record 1173*, Transportation Research Board, 1988.

Mn/DOT Traffic Management Center, "Accident Investigation Sites: Summary of State Patrol Survey," Minnesota Department of Transportation, May 2002.

## Figure References

1. Google Earth Pro
2. Taken by SHRP Project L07 research team
3. Taken by SHRP Project L07 research team

## Right-of-Way Edge

### Emergency Access Between Interchanges

#### Description and Objective

Gated emergency access points can be provided between standard interchanges to connect a freeway facility with the local street system for the purpose of providing quicker access for fire, medical, and other emergency vehicles to remote locations not directly served by an interchange. These types of access points can also be used for maintenance access to remote utility facilities, and, as part of right-of-way considerations, to provide land access to remote and otherwise inaccessible properties. As this treatment is not intended to provide freeway access to the public, locked gates are typically installed at the freeway right-of-way line to restrict access. The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) requires special approval to provide locked gate access to or from roadways in the interstate highway system, and many state agencies have followed suit in requiring permission to provide locked gate access to or from freeway facilities. Some agencies, such as Caltrans, have developed policies prohibiting these types of access points.

The primary objective of locked gate access, as illustrated in Figure 30, is to allow emergency and utility vehicles the ability to access the local street network or a specific site from the freeway in remote locations where ordinary access is not provided via freeway ramp interchanges. In the reverse direction, these access points could also prove beneficial if an

emergency services agency or utility company would have an unusually circuitous route to access the freeway.



**Figure 30. Emergency Access Between Interchanges (I)**

### Typical Applications

Where interchange spacing is relatively long, emergency responders may have to travel a long distance out of the way of an incident site in order to reach an interchange, exit, and make their way to the incident site. Gated emergency access points allow emergency responders to access the incident site more directly and quickly, and the greater the interchange spacing, the greater the amount of time saved.

Gated emergency access points can be used to reroute traffic via a U-turn maneuver in response to a major lane blocking incident. In cases where a major incident blocks traffic for a significant amount of time, traffic may back up behind the incident and cause significant delay to motorists. If an emergency access gate is opened to traffic, motorists can exit the freeway system and make their way to their destinations via alternate routes, thus dissipating the freeway queue and reducing delay.



## Design Criteria

FHWA provides specific guidance for obtaining permission to use locked-gate emergency access:

- Locked gate access points on the Interstate system are used primarily to provide access for fire, medical and other emergency vehicles to reduce travel time, for maintenance activities at remote utility facilities and as part of the right-of-way consideration, to provide land access in remote locations.
- Any request for locked gate access should be reviewed to ensure that vehicles can enter the Interstate safely, appropriate sight distance is available to and from the access, and the access is located such that the intended function is served (distance to nearest interchange and/or median crossover). Each new locked gate access approval needs to incorporate the following conditions:
  - The gate shall be locked at all times except when opened for passage of the authorized vehicles. The distribution of keys for the lock should be limited.
  - The access roadway will be constructed of an inconspicuous natural material to discourage unauthorized use.
  - The purpose of the access should be specified.

Limited information about locked-gate access points, outside of federal and state regulations and policies, is available in the literature.

## How Treatment Reduces Nonrecurrent Congestion

### *Reduce Incident Duration*

This treatment reduces the period of time during which disabled, crash-involved, or police vehicles remain in the roadway or on the roadway shoulder by decreasing the response time of emergency personnel. By reducing the lane-blocking time an incident, the nonrecurrent congestion associated with that incident is reduced. This reduction in nonrecurrent congestion results in an increases reliability for the roadway segment.

## Factors Influencing Treatment Effectiveness

Factors that may influence the effectiveness of emergency access between interchanges on nonrecurrent congestion include:

- Average response time reduction for the instances when the treatment is used
- Percentage of each incident type that will utilize treatment to improve response time

## Cost

Factors that may affect the cost of installing emergency access between interchanges include:

- Type of control to be used (manually operated gate, automated gate, temporary barricades)
- Pavement surface to be used (gravel, asphalt, concrete)
- Length of pavement required to connect to local road network
- Width of pavement connection
- Signs needed to identify and discourage unauthorized use of gated emergency access points

## Key References

Caltrans, *Caltrans Highway Design Manual*, Chapter 17. Available at: [http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/oppd/pdpm/chap\\_pdf/chapt17.pdf](http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/oppd/pdpm/chap_pdf/chapt17.pdf) (Accessed April 9, 2012).

*Federal Aid Policy Guide*, June 1998. Available at: <http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/legisregs/directives/fapg/0630csup.htm> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

*FHWA Project Development Procedures Manual*

## Figure References

1. Google Earth Pro

## Arterials and Ramps

### Ramp Widening

#### Description and Objective

This treatment consists of widening a freeway ramp by the construction of additional pavement. The benefits of this treatment discussed in this section relate specifically to the increased ramp capacity associated with ramp widening.

The objective of ramp widening is to increase the capacity of a ramp by providing additional lanes or additional maneuvering space within the existing lanes, as illustrated in Figure 31. This capacity increase is intended to eliminate (or preemptively avoid) the situation where a ramp queue backs up onto the freeway mainline. Such queues can be very detrimental to mainline operations, and can result in significant delays and reduced reliability.

Additionally, this treatment can reduce nonrecurrent congestion by eliminating some of the crashes that occur on or near the ramp. Additional ramp width can provide additional maneuvering space which, in some cases, can be used by drivers to avoid a collision. By eliminating crashes, this treatment can eliminate the congestion associated with these crashes.



**Figure 31. A Two-Lane Off-Ramp in Minnesota (1)**

## Typical Applications

Ramp widening may be considered at any ramp/arterial intersection where long queues form. FHWA's *Handbook on Ramp Management and Control* provides the following reasons for widening a ramp:

### ***Entrance Ramps***

- Need for additional storage capacity. For instance, metered ramps where traffic frequently backs up into the adjacent arterial may be a candidate for widening.
- Need for enforcement zones, where personnel can be stationed safely and where ramp meter operations are clearly visible.
- Need for adequate room to perform maintenance activities, such as removing debris, trimming nearby vegetation, or repairing infrastructure.
- Need for designated lanes for special classes of vehicles, such as HOVs. The additional capacity in these situations will promote use of transit and carpooling/vanpooling by providing benefits in terms of reduced delay for these vehicles.

## ***Exit Ramps***

- Need for more storage at the ramp terminal traffic signal to keep queues from backing onto the freeway.
- Need to separate traffic movements at the traffic signal to provide efficient or safe signal operations.
- Need for additional turn lanes to efficiently handle high traffic volumes.

## **Design Criteria**

The MUTCD and the AASHTO *Green Book* offer information on various design considerations for any ramp or arterial intersection. Widening entrance or exit ramps is often implemented in conjunction with adjustments to traffic signal timing to prevent queues from forming on the arterial or freeway. On exit ramps, ramp widening is often implemented with pavement markings to separate different traffic movements.

Design considerations for ramp widening include:

- Storage capacity of the existing ramp and storage capacity needed to eliminate queuing on the freeway or arterial
- Required lane width for buses or other special vehicles, if added lane is to be HOV or restricted to certain vehicles
- Available right-of-way
- Potential use of existing shoulder
- Space for right-of-way maintenance to occur outside of lanes
- Space for law-enforcement outside of lanes
- Ramp meters, if in use or if planned for future use
- Auxiliary lanes on the arterial or freeway to accommodate additional lanes on the ramp

Whenever construction is needed to widen a ramp, practitioners may find it beneficial to complete additional work, if needed, while the ramp is being widened. Such work may include fixing geometric deficiencies, repairing the roadway surface, and posting additional signs. In these situations, it may be more cost effective to complete additional work if the ramp is already closed and/or if the resources are readily available. This reduces the level of effort required to close the ramp and to set up work zone related equipment (signs, barriers, cones, etc.). In cases where the ramp must be closed, completing additional work may also reduce the number of times the ramp must be closed, which consequently reduces the impact on motorists.

Ramp widening can also have a positive effect on roadway safety, by providing more maneuvering space which can allow some crashes to be avoided. The safety effectiveness of the ramp widening project will depend on several factors, including:

- Safety concerns prior to the widening project (e.g., crash frequency near the ramp, queues around the ramp)
- Allocation of space after the widening (e.g., additional lanes, HOV lane, enforcement zone, wider shoulders)
- Traffic control changes in conjunction with the widening (e.g., signal timing changes at interchange, addition of meters)

## How Treatment Reduces Nonrecurrent Congestion

### *Reduce the Frequency of Queue Spillback Events*

Ramp widening can reduce the occurrence of off-ramp queues backing up onto the mainline of the freeway by increasing the capacity of the ramp. Such events can have a significant effect on freeway reliability, because the queue effectively blocks a freeway lane until it dissipates. By reducing the frequency of these lane-blocking events, this treatment can reduce the delay associated with these events and improve the reliability of the freeway segment.

## Factors Influencing Treatment Effectiveness

Factors that may influence the effectiveness of ramp widening at reducing nonrecurrent congestion include:

- Frequency of off-ramp queues backing up onto the freeway mainline
- Frequency of treatment use
- Percentage capacity increase to off-ramp movements associated with ramp widening
- Frequency of ramp-related crashes that could have been avoided if more maneuvering space were available

Off-ramps with high demand-to-capacity ratios will tend to benefit most from this treatment, particularly where the available storage space on the ramp is limited, because this creates a situation where queues may frequently back up onto the mainline. The mainline demand volume is important as well, because the effect of a queue blocking a freeway lane will be significantly stronger where mainline demand-to-capacity ratios are high.

## Cost

Factors that may affect the cost of ramp widening include:

- Available right-of-way
- Amount of proposed widening
- Ramp grade

- Length of proposed widening
- Associated improvements or additions of auxiliary lanes
- Associated changes to traffic control
- Temporary traffic control required during construction
- Type of pavement to be constructed (e.g., concrete, asphalt)
- Changes to pavement markings
- New signing required
- Installation of additional signal heads
- Adjustments to signal timing

## Key References

AASHTO, *A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets*, American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, Washington, DC, 2004.

FHWA, *Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices*, Federal Highway Administration, 2003.

FHWA/USDOT, *Ramp Management and Control Handbook—Questions and Answers*, Report No. FHWA-HOP-06-083, Federal Highway Administration, 2006.

Jacobson, L., J. Stribiak, L. Nelson, and D. Sallman, *Handbook on Ramp Management and Control*, Report No. FHWA-HOP-06-001, Federal Highway Administration, 2006.

Neudorff, L. G., J. E. Randall, R. Reiss, and R. Gordon, *Freeway Management and Operations Handbook*, Report No. FHWA-OP-04-003, 2003 (updated June 2006).

## Figure References

1. Taken by SHRP Project L07 research team

## Ramp Closure

### Description and Objective

A ramp closure is a method of managing traffic patterns on and surrounding freeway ramps. Closures may be implemented with the use of automatic gates, barriers, or gates that need to be moved manually, or physically removing a ramp altogether. There are three general types or classifications of ramp closures: (1) Permanent, (2) Time-of-day or scheduled, and (3) Temporary. Permanent and time-of day (or scheduled) ramp closures are applicable to recurrent congestion, while temporary ramp closures are applicable to nonrecurrent congestion. Therefore, for the purposes of this report, only temporary ramp closures are considered.

Temporary ramp closures may be done in response to one of the following sources of nonrecurrent congestion: (1) major incidents, (2) work zones, (3) special events, or (4) inclement weather conditions. Temporarily closing a ramp will decrease the mainline demand, leading to a

reduction in mainline congestion and improved reliability. It should be noted that this decrease in mainline demand necessarily results in an increased demand on alternate routes, which can cause increased congestion and reduced reliability on these routes. In order to mitigate this problem, improvements to the alternate routes should be considered (see “Improvements to Detour Routes”). Figure 32 and 33 illustrate two examples of gates used to close a ramp.



**Figure 32. An Automated Gate Used to Close Access in the Event of Severe Weather Conditions (1)**



**Figure 33. Left-Side Swing Gate on I-35 in Iowa (2)**

## Typical Applications

Temporary ramp closures may be done in response to one of the following sources of nonrecurrent congestion: (1) major incidents, (2) work zones, (3) special events, or (4) inclement weather conditions.

## Design Criteria

Design considerations for temporary ramp closures include: ramp layout, traffic control equipment, traffic control layout, and signal timing. These elements of the design are determined by traffic conditions, ramp geometry, length of closure, and reason for closure. Some of these design considerations are briefly discussed below.

The ramp closure layout depends on a variety of factors, including the length of the closure and the reason for closure. Guidance can be found in the MUTCD.

Barricades are often used for temporary closures because they are a low-cost option where closures are not frequent. However, since setting up and removing barricades can be a labor-intensive, they are less desirable where closures are expected to be implemented more frequently. Semi-permanent barriers such as flexible pylons or water-filled barrels may be used for planned full ramp closures. In some locations where ramp closure is expected to occur periodically, (such as for snow and ice, fog, planned special events, or peak-period ramp closures) automatic ramp gates may be used. Gates may be controlled manually by staff in the field, or remotely from a traffic management center, and they may swing vertically or horizontally. Ramp design may have to accommodate the path of horizontally-swinging gates. The various types of equipment used to control the gates have various degrees of flexibility, and various levels of required maintenance.

Drivers should be provided advanced warning that a ramp is closed. Signs, flags, and pavement markings can all be used to indicate to the driver that the ramp is not accessible. Changeable or dynamic message boards should be used in advance of the ramp where available. Static signing may also be used. At ramp approaches with dedicated lanes, those lanes should be closed with cones, signing, or pavement marking in advance of the closed ramp.

Even for ramp closures that are short in duration, the disruption to traffic flow may be significant, and the consequences to those that use the ramp may be severe. Outreach is especially important when ramps will be closed during peak times. For activities that are planned, such as for special events and construction activities, travelers should be made aware of the closure well in advance and should be provided with information about alternate routes. This can be accomplished through electronic and print media, such as newsletters, website postings, email distribution lists, and 511 systems.



## How Treatment Reduces Nonrecurrent Congestion

### *Reduce Mainline Demand*

This treatment improves traffic operations on the mainline by shutting off access to the mainline at one or more ramp locations. This, in effect, reduces mainline demand, which decreases mainline congestion and improves reliability.

### *Reduce the Frequency of Queue Spillback Events*

This treatment reduces the occurrence of off-ramp queues backing up onto the mainline of the freeway by closing the off ramp during special events. Such events can have a significant effect on freeway reliability, because the queue effectively blocks a freeway lane until it dissipates. By reducing the frequency of these lane-blocking events, this treatment can reduce the delay associated with these events and improve the reliability of the freeway segment.

## Factors Influencing Treatment Effectiveness

Factors that may influence the effectiveness of ramp closure at reducing nonrecurrent congestion include:

- Duration of the mainline event: this treatment will have a more prominent effect when a mainline work zone or other incident is expected to reduce the roadway capacity for a significant period of time.
- Frequency of treatment use: ramp closures that are used multiple times per year (e.g., for recurring special events like baseball games) will tend to see a greater benefit from this treatment than ramp closures that are infrequently used.
- Capacity of alternate routes: in cases where alternate routes are very congested already, this treatment will be less effective (from a global system perspective) because the congestion will only be shifted from the mainline to other routes. Conversely, if alternate routes are operating well below capacity, ramp closures will be much more beneficial because traffic can divert to these other routes without significant delay increase to the roadway system in general.

## Cost

Factors that may affect the cost of closing a ramp include:

- Frequency of closure
- Barrier or gate type (e.g., temporary barricades, permanent “railroad crossing” type gates, permanent gates that require manual operation, etc.)
- Personnel and time required to deploy closure

## Key References

FHWA, *Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices*, Federal Highway Administration, 2003.

Jacobson, L., J. Stribiak, L. Nelson, and D. Sallman, *Handbook on Ramp Management and Control*, Report No. FHWA-HOP-06-001, Federal Highway Administration, 2006.

## Figure References

1. Wilbur Smith Associates on behalf of the Wisconsin Department of Transportation, WisDOT Ramp Metering and Control Plan, July 2006. Available at: <[http://www.topslab.wisc.edu/its/rampmetering/WisDOT\\_ramp\\_control\\_plan.pdf](http://www.topslab.wisc.edu/its/rampmetering/WisDOT_ramp_control_plan.pdf)> (Accessed March 18, 2013).
2. Maze, T., M. Crum, and G. Burchett, *An Investigation of User Costs and Benefits of Winter Road Closures Final Report*, June 2005. Available at: <[http://www.ctre.iastate.edu/mtc/reports/road\\_closure.htm](http://www.ctre.iastate.edu/mtc/reports/road_closure.htm)> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

## Ramp Terminal Traffic Control

### Description and Objective

Ramp terminal traffic control involves increasing the traffic capacity at the termination of a freeway off-ramp. These capacity improvements may involve:

- Installation of signals
- Improved signal timings
- Installation of a roundabout
- Installation of turn lanes
- Changes to signing, striping or signals used at the ramp terminal to control the movement of traffic
- Manual traffic control by law enforcement (most commonly used during a special event)

The objective of ramp terminal treatments is to increase the capacity at the terminal of a ramp to eliminate (or preemptively avoid) the situation where a ramp queue backs up onto the freeway mainline. Such queues can be detrimental to mainline operations, and can result in significant delays and reduced reliability. Figure 34 illustrates a ramp terminal intersection. Figure 35 illustrates a signalized roundabout at a ramp terminal; the signal is triggered when a detector on the ramp detects a queue on the ramp.



**Figure 34. Ramp Terminal Intersection (1)**



**Figure 35. Aerial Image of the Maryland Roundabout (Left), and Photo Showing the Signalized Arterial Leg of the Roundabout (Right) (2)**

### Typical Applications

Coordinating ramp terminal signal timing with other signals along the arterial corridor can reduce delay and increase traffic flow, resulting in fewer conflicts and crashes near the ramp terminal. Phasing adjustments can help clear queues on ramps during peak periods to eliminate spillback onto the freeway or arterial, which can also lead to crashes.

Properly assigned turning lanes on exit ramps and extra storage can help prevent queues from spilling back onto the freeway. Advanced signing for lane assignments and directional information can assist drivers in making lane placement decisions early and can prevent weaving and unexpected movements that lead to crashes near the ramp terminals. Clear pavement markings can assist in this as well.

Traffic control provided by law enforcement is a common treatment at interchanges near arenas, stadiums, and concert halls when high volumes of traffic are arriving or leaving at the same time. While police presence is not directly design related, certain design elements may

provide assistance to the police officers or help make their directions more clear. Some examples may include permanent variable message signs, changeable lane control signs, clear striping, median refuges, appropriate placement of signal boxes, and others. Police presence may also be used at a ramp terminal to direct traffic in cases of incidents that occur on or near a ramp.

One example of an innovative ramp terminal treatment is found on Maryland Highway MD-100 near Baltimore, Maryland. At this location, a roundabout was used at the ramp terminal. During the peak hour, traffic flowing from the arterial toward the freeway entrance ramp was quite heavy. This steady stream of traffic prevented vehicles on the exit ramp from entering the roundabout, and therefore caused those vehicles to queue back onto the freeway. To address this problem, the Maryland State Highway Administration installed a signal above the arterial leg of the roundabout, which was tied to an advanced detection loop on the ramp. When vehicle queues reached a determined interval on the ramp, the signal showed a red indication to the arterial traffic. This provided a gap for ramp traffic to enter the roundabout. After the queue dissipates, the signal indication changes to allow the arterial traffic to continue onto the roundabout.

## Design Criteria

Ramp terminal improvements may be implemented wherever issues are occurring at or near a ramp. Specific criteria for implemented treatments have not been defined in the literature; typically the decision to implement one or more of the treatments outlined below is based on engineering judgment in response to observed problems such as excessive delay, spillback queuing onto the freeway or arterial, increase in crashes at or near ramps, or inadequate vehicle storage. The MUTCD provides guidance and standards for various applications of traffic signals, signing, and striping.

Improved signal timing and clear signing and striping have documented safety effects; however, these treatments are not typically evaluated for their specific impact at ramp terminals. It is expected that these treatments, particularly when they address ramp queue spillback onto the freeway, reduce conflicts that can lead to crashes.

## How Treatment Reduces Nonrecurrent Congestion

### *Reduction in the Number Queue Spillback Events*

This treatment reduces the occurrence of off-ramp queues backing up onto the mainline of the freeway. Such events can have a significant effect on freeway reliability, because the queue effectively blocks a freeway lane until it dissipates. By reducing the frequency of these lane-blocking events, this treatment can reduce the delay associated with these events and improve the reliability of the freeway segment.

## Factors Influencing Treatment Effectiveness

Factors that may influence the effectiveness of ramp terminal traffic control at reducing nonrecurrent congestion include:

- Frequency of off-ramp queues backing up onto the freeway mainline
- Frequency of treatment use (applicable specifically to treatments which require ongoing activity such as manual control by law enforcement)
- Capacity increase expected from a particular ramp treatment (e.g., the addition of a turn lane will increase capacity significantly more than a small adjustment to signal timings)

Off-ramps with high demand-to-capacity ratios will tend to benefit most from this treatment, particularly where the available storage space on the ramp is limited, because this creates a situation where queues may frequently back up onto the mainline. The mainline demand volume is important as well, because the effect of a queue blocking a freeway lane will be more significant where mainline demand-to-capacity ratios are high.

## Cost

Factors that may affect the cost of implementing improved ramp terminal traffic control include:

- Type of proposed change (signal installation, signal retiming, turn lane construction, roundabout installation, etc.)
- Personnel needed (e.g., police officer to direct traffic)
- Construction Costs:
  - Pavement construction
  - Signal installation
  - Signal retiming
  - Changes to pavement markings
  - Roundabout installation
- Ongoing Costs:
  - Personnel deployment to direct traffic
  - Resources to monitor ramp and determine when treatment should be deployed (e.g., use of a Traffic Management Center)

## Key References

FHWA, *Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices*, Federal Highway Administration, 2003.

Jacobson, L., J. Stribiak, L. Nelson, and D. Sallman, *Handbook on Ramp Management and Control*, Report No. FHWA-HOP-06-001, Federal Highway Administration, 2006.

## Figure References

1. FHWA/USDOT, *Alternative Intersections/Interchanges: Informational Report (AIIR)*, FHWA-HRT-09-060, April 2010. Available at: <<http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/publications/research/safety/09060/004.cfm>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).
2. Photo on left provided by Maryland DOT; photo on right taken by SHRP 2 Project L07 research team.

## Ramp Turn Restrictions

### Description and Objective

Ramp turn restrictions can be implemented at the ramp/arterial intersection to better manage traffic operations. Ramp turn restrictions to limit access to a ramp may be implemented to intentionally divert traffic away from a ramp either because (1) the ramp does not have enough storage capacity for turning vehicles, or (2) queues that form on the arterial exceed the storage limits of the turn lane and, because of this, traffic flow on the mainline is impeded.

Ramp turn restrictions limit access to an arterial in order to increase capacity at the ramp terminal. This capacity increase is intended to eliminate (or preemptively avoid) the situation where a ramp queue backs up onto the freeway mainline. Such queues can be very detrimental to mainline operations, and can result in significant delays and reduced reliability.

### Typical Applications

Ramp turn restrictions may be considered at any ramp/arterial intersection where long queues form. Turn restrictions may be implemented for specific time frames (e.g., weekdays from 7:00 to 9:00 a.m.), during specific events where demand is particularly high (such as sporting events or concerts), or permanently.

### Design Criteria

Ramp turn restrictions are implemented along the arterial street network at the ramp location or on the ramps near the intersection with the arterial. Ramp turn restrictions are often deployed using temporary signing and barriers and enforced on site by enforcement personnel. However, at locations where turn restrictions may be used on a more regular basis (e.g., near stadiums or arenas), consideration may be given to attaching dynamic message signs to traffic control devices at the ramp/arterial intersection. For example, at signalized intersections near special event locations, pre-fitted dynamic message signs can be installed to implement ramp turn restrictions on demand. Cameras may also be installed along sections of roadway or at intersections to allow personnel to better manage operations, particularly surrounding special events.

A key consideration in the planning and design of ramp turn restrictions is to maintain good flow on the arterial and manage the queues that may form either on the ramp or on the arterial turn lane. Consideration should be given to where traffic will reroute in response to these restrictions and whether the roadways in these areas can accommodate increased traffic demands.

The MUTCD and the AASHTO *Green Book* offer information on various design considerations for any ramp or arterial intersection. Each highway agency may also have its own guidelines and policies regarding temporary traffic control for nonrecurrent congestion situations.

The primary purpose of implementing a ramp turn restriction is to improve the operational effectiveness of the ramp/arterial intersection. However, ramp turn restrictions may have safety benefits as well, particularly if ramp queues have been extending onto the highway. Safety-related issues that should be given consideration include:

- Are drivers given sufficient warning about the ramp turn restriction?
- Is secondary congestion being created as a result of the ramp turn restriction, and does this create a safety issue?
- Does the ramp turn restriction affect pedestrian and bicycle maneuvers at the intersection?

## How Treatment Reduces Nonrecurrent Congestion

### *Reduce the Frequency of Queue Spillback Events*

This treatment reduces the occurrence of off-ramp queues backing up onto the mainline of the freeway. Such events can have a significant effect on freeway reliability, because the queue effectively blocks a freeway lane until it dissipates. By reducing the frequency of these lane-blocking events, this treatment can reduce the delay associated with these events and improve the reliability of the freeway segment.

## Factors Influencing Treatment Effectiveness

Factors that may influence the effectiveness of ramp turn restrictions at reducing nonrecurrent congestion include:

- Frequency of off-ramp queues backing up onto the freeway mainline
- Frequency of treatment use
- Percentage capacity increase to off-ramp movements associated with turn restriction

Off-ramps with high demand-to-capacity ratios will tend to benefit most from this treatment, particularly where the available storage space on the ramp is limited, because this creates a situation where queues may frequently back up onto the mainline. The mainline demand volume

is important as well, because the effect of a queue blocking a freeway lane will be significantly stronger where mainline demand-to-capacity ratios are high.

## Cost

Factors that may affect the cost of ramp turn restrictions include:

- Duration of restriction (e.g., all hours or select hours only)
- Permanent signing required
- Use of dynamic signing on ramp
- Use of dynamic signing on signal mast arm
- Need to change pavement markings
- Frequency of use of manual ramp restrictions
- Personnel required for manual restrictions
- Materials required for manual restrictions (such as barriers and traffic barrels)
- Construction costs such as:
  - Permanent signing
  - Dynamic signs
  - Changes to pavement markings
- Ongoing costs:
  - Personnel needed to manually restrict turns at ramp
  - Equipment needed for manual directing of traffic (traffic cones, vehicles, etc.)

## Key References

AASHTO, *A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets*, American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, Washington, DC, 2004.

FHWA, *Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices*, Federal Highway Administration, 2003.

Jacobson, L., J. Stribiak, L. Nelson, and D. Sallman, *Handbook on Ramp Management and Control*, Report No. FHWA-HOP-06-001, Federal Highway Administration, 2006.

## Detours

### Improvements to Detour Routes

#### Description and Objective



Detour routes are designated roadways set up to carry traffic along a secondary route to bypass a congested location on the primary route. Detour routes typically connect to the primary route at locations in close proximity to the point of congestion both upstream and downstream. The roadways that serve as detours (typically arterials and minor streets) must serve not only the displaced traffic, but also their normal traffic volumes. Thus, improvements to the corridor are often advantageous, if not necessary, to provide adequate service to motorists using the route while the detour is in effect.

The objective of this treatment is to provide acceptable levels of service to mainline traffic that will be negatively impacted by a work zone, incident, or other major event. By increasing the capacity of a detour route, the traffic demand on the mainline can be reduced, thereby easing congestion and improving reliability.

Figure 36 illustrates two detour signs, and Figure 37 illustrates a congested freeway with a frontage road that could potentially serve as a detour route.



**Figure 36. Detour Signs (1)**



**Figure 37. Congested Freeway With a Parallel Roadway That Could Carry Traffic (2)**

## Typical Applications

Most applications of detour route improvements on streets and arterials center on reallocating available roadway space to meet the new traffic demands. This includes restriping or temporarily designating lanes to allow vehicles to utilize shoulders or on-street parking space to increase the route's capacity. For freeways and limited access highways designated as detour routes, additional improvements involve eliminating normal lane restrictions that might have been present.

As an example, following the I-35W Mississippi Bridge collapse in the Minneapolis/St. Paul metropolitan area, Trunk Highway 280 was designated as the detour route to service the predominant traffic flows heading to and from the downtown area. In order to accommodate the new demand, additional lanes were created by utilizing shoulders and narrowing existing lanes. The other major improvement was the closing of all at-grade intersections along the detour stretch to create, in effect, a limited access freeway environment.

## Design Criteria

FHWA's *Alternate Route Handbook* is an available resource for assessing and implementing effective detour routes. Any improvements to the route that involve changes to the geometry or traffic control of the roadway should be done in consultation with such manuals as the AASHTO *Green Book*, the MUTCD, and agency standards for work zone design and implementation.

A variety of treatments may be used to improve a detour route, but the primary objective is to improve the detour route so that it can provide an acceptable level of service to the additional traffic it will be required to handle. Improvements may include:

- Providing additional lanes using existing pavement surface for traffic through the use of shoulders or on-street parking areas.
- Providing additional surface area with new pavement to increase the number of usable lanes.
- Restriping or resigning routes to accommodate additional volumes.
- Minor widening at intersections.
- Retiming signalized intersections to give additional green time to directions of travel with increased volumes.
- Accommodating trucks and other large vehicles that may not have used the detour route previously (increasing weight limits of bridges, increasing turning radii, etc.).
- Improving the road surface, limiting access, improving sight distance, and other improvements that may allow a higher speed limit.
- Reconstructing isolated bottlenecks.
- The use of high quality pavement markings/stripes for unfamiliar drivers to follow.
- The use of upgraded size and retroreflectivity of warning signs due to increased traffic load.
- Existing intersection controls may not be adequate for increased traffic loads, it may be necessary to add STOP and YIELD signs or even traffic signals.
- Temporary lighting may be added to reassure drivers that they are following correct detour route.
- If detour is located on a poor pavement surface, prior to detour implementation, an asphalt overlay may be necessary to accommodate increased traffic load.
- The use of a partial detour diversion such as keeping semi-truck traffic (left lane) on highway while passenger vehicles (right lane) exit highway and enter detour, where the detour would lead those exiting users back onto highway at a later mile marker.
- Implementing a truck diversion (opposite of above bullet), may allow highway to carry rest of traffic load. Although night time detour of truck traffic may be objectionable to residential neighborhoods.
- Advance notice, especially during night time detours allows commuters and other drivers whom frequent the route to plan an alternate route in advance.

Detour routes that are expected to be used frequently or that may not be capable of handling Interstate traffic volumes may be improved proactively, before an event requiring the use of the route as a detour is ever needed. Computer simulation can be a useful planning tool in determining the effects that certain improvement may have on the detour route.

During unplanned events or emergencies, traffic must be rerouted immediately, leaving little time for analysis, planning, or improving the detour routes. Proactive detour planning may help an agency identify routes that are appropriate for use as detours before an incident occurs, and improvements can be made to these routes if it is determined that they are likely to be used

frequently (near high-crash locations) or potentially for long periods of time (near locations susceptible to severe damage—such as bridges near fault lines). When unplanned events requiring a detour occur where a detour has not been previously planned, and the detour is expected to be long-term, it may be appropriate to improve the detour route after it is in use. Examples of major events that fall into this category would be the closure of the Santa Monica Freeway following the Northridge earthquake of 1994, and more recently the closure of I-35W in the Twin Cities following the bridge collapse across the Mississippi River in 2007.

## How Treatment Reduces Nonrecurrent Congestion

### *Reduce Mainline Demand*

This treatment improves traffic operations by increasing the capacity of detour routes. When a detour route has higher a higher capacity, some of the mainline traffic demand can be diverted to the detour route. This results in a decreased demand on the mainline, which decreases mainline congestion and improves reliability.

## Factors Influencing Treatment Effectiveness

Factors that may influence the effectiveness of improvements to detour routes at reducing nonrecurrent congestion include:

- Duration of the mainline event: this treatment will have a more prominent effect when a mainline work zone or other incident is expected to reduce the roadway capacity for a significant period of time.
- Directness of the detour: detour routes that divert traffic farther out of the way will tend to be utilized less.
- Capacity effectiveness of the improvement: adding additional lanes will have a greater effect on improving mainline reliability than simply improving the pavement surface of the detour route, for example.

## Cost

Factors that may affect the cost of improvements to a detour route include:

- Length of roadway to be improved
- Type of improvement (construction of new pavement, restriping, traffic signal adjustments)
- Material to be used for improvement (concrete, asphalt)

## Key References

AASHTO, *A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets*, American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, Washington, DC, 2004.

Bryden, J. E., and D. Mace, “Guidelines for Design and Operation of Nighttime Traffic Control for Highway Maintenance and Construction,” NCHRP Report 476, Transportation Research Board, Washington, D.C., 2002.

Dunn Engineering Associates, P.E., Consulting Services, *Alternate Route Handbook*, Federal Highway Administration, FHWA-HOP-06-092, Washington DC, May 2006.

FHWA, *Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices*, Federal Highway Administration, 2003.

## Figure References

1. *MoDOT Engineering Policy Guide*. Available at: <<http://epg.modot.mo.gov/index.php?title=Image:616.13.jpg>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).
2. Harper-Brown, L., *In STEP With Irving, Public Roads*, Vol. 69 No. 2, September/Oct 2005. FHWA-HRT-05-007. Available at: <<http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/publications/publicroads/05sep/06.cfm>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

# Truck Incident Design Considerations

## Runaway Truck Ramp

### Description and Objective

A runaway truck ramp (or truck escape ramp) is typically a long sand- or gravel-filled lane (or can be a paved lane) adjacent to a roadway that is designed to slow or stop heavy vehicles that have lost control or are unable to stop due to brake failure on a downgrade.

The objective of a runaway truck ramp, illustrated in Figure 38, is to reduce crashes involving heavy vehicles on or near downgrades by providing a path for out-of-control trucks to come to a safe stop away from other traffic.

Crashes involving heavy vehicles can cause significant nonrecurrent congestion because they are often quite severe, involving several vehicles and blocking multiple lanes of travel. Runaway truck ramps reduce nonrecurrent congestion by preventing such heavy vehicle crashes.



**Figure 38. Runaway Truck Ramp (Source: Washington DOT, 2009)**

### Typical Applications

Runaway truck ramps have been in use for over 40 years in the United States, as well as internationally. They are typically found near the bottom of steep downgrades or along extended downgrades, especially where a heavy vehicle might be required to slow or stop, such as prior to a horizontal alignment change (curve) or an intersection. Most often, runaway ramps are found along rural interstates in mountainous regions, where prolonged braking can cause truck brakes to overheat and fail. However, escape ramps can also be found in suburbs and some urban areas where a runaway truck would have a higher likelihood of crashing with other vehicles and causing injuries or fatalities.

The AASHTO *Green Book* provides guidance on where runaway truck ramps should be located. It suggests that on existing facilities, a truck ramp should be provided as soon as need is established. This need could be determined by operational problems on downgrades reported by truck drivers, law enforcement, or the general public. It could also be determined by characteristics of the roadway, such as alignment, gradient, length of grade, and vehicle speed, which all influence the potential for out-of-control vehicles. In addition, evidence of highway segments that may benefit from a truck ramp, such as damaged guard rail and gouged pavement, may be found in a field study. These considerations should be combined with engineering judgment to assess the need for truck ramps. In some cases, the potential damage that could be caused by a runaway truck might be enough to justify building a ramp even if no previous problems have been documented.

Design of runaway truck ramps should take into account various site characteristics in order to most effectively reduce out-of-control truck crashes. Site characteristics that should be considered include:

- **Topography.** Roadways in mountainous regions tend to have greater need for runaway truck ramps than rolling or level topography.
- **Grade.** Both the length of grade and percent grade are important considerations.
- **Speed.** The design speed, operating speeds, and posted speed limit can be important factors in estimating the potential speed of an out-of-control truck.
- **Crash rates.** Roadway segments with a high number of recorded crashes involving out-of-control trucks can be good candidate locations for a runaway truck ramp.

The Grade Severity Rating System is a technique developed in the early 1980s by the Federal Highway Administration for analyzing operations on a grade. This rating system can be used to determine expected brake temperatures along a downgrade. Ramp locations can be determined by identifying the points along the grade where expected brake temperatures exceed the temperatures at which brakes may fail.

Ramps should be built in advance of road alignments that may not be able to be safely negotiated by an out-of-control truck and in advance of populated areas. Escape ramps should be clearly signed in advance of the ramp and ramp exits should be on the right side of the travel way. Ramps should be tangent to the roadway to minimize the control required by the driver to navigate the truck from the lanes onto the ramp.

## Design Criteria

The *Green Book* classifies ramp types in three general categories: gravity ramps, which slow trucks by relying primarily on gravitational forces; sandpile ramps, which slow and stop vehicles by significantly increasing rolling resistance; and arrester beds, which are long gravel ramps that gradually slow trucks by increasing rolling resistance. Arrester beds can be descending, horizontal, or ascending in grade; in either case, grade affects the ramp length required to slow and stop the truck.

Gravity ramps are typically paved or composed of densely compacted aggregate and the steep ascending grade prevents forward motion of the truck but cannot prevent it from rolling backwards and jackknifing. Figure 39 illustrates an example of a gravity runaway truck ramp. These ramps are the least desirable.



**Figure 39. Example of a Gravity Runaway Truck Ramp (2)**

Sandpile ramps consist of loose, dry sand which quickly decelerates trucks entering the ramp. Weather can be a factor in this type of runaway truck ramp, because it can affect the rolling resistance provided by the sand. The severe deceleration provided by sandpile ramps makes them a less desirable option than arrester beds, but also allows for a shorter ramp since the truck will be slowed to a stop more quickly. Typically, sandpile escape ramps are less than 400-ft long and can be used in locations where there is inadequate space for other types of ramps.

Arrester-bed escape ramps use loose aggregate to increase rolling resistance and slow the truck. A descending-grade arrester-bed ramp will be longer than the other types of ramps since gravity will work against slowing the vehicle. These ramps should have a clear path back to the highway so that trucks that are not completely stopped on the ramp can reenter traffic at a much slower speed. Ascending-grade arrester beds are the most common, using both gravity and rolling resistance to slow vehicles.

The *Green Book* provides design considerations for truck escape ramps, based on the assumption that an out-of-control truck will rarely, if ever, exceed a speed of 80 to 90 mph. Some of the design considerations listed include:

- The ramp must be sufficient in length to dissipate the kinetic energy of the out-of-control vehicle.
- The ramp should be wide enough to accommodate more than one vehicle, preferably 30- to 40-ft wide.
- The aggregate depth in the arrester bed should be at least 3-ft deep, which is tapered from a 3-in depth at the start of the bed to the full depth within 100 to 200 ft of the bed length.



- Arrester beds should include a means of drainage to minimize freezing and contamination.
- The departure angle of the ramp from the roadway should be equal to or less than 5 degrees; adequate site distance should be provided in advance of the ramp; and the driver should be able to see the entire length of the ramp.
- The ramp should be delineated and visible at night, and illumination is desirable.
- A service road should be provided along the side of the escape ramp to allow service and maintenance vehicles to access the ramp without becoming trapped in it.
- Anchors should be located adjacent to the arrester bed at 150- to 300-ft intervals to assist wreckers in returning a captured vehicle to the roadway.

In cases where the only practical ramp location may not provide enough distance for a vehicle to stop, or in cases where the consequences of a vehicle overrunning at the end of the ramp are severe, including an impact attenuator at the end of the ramp may be desirable. Impact attenuators are protective systems that dissipate energy of out-of-control vehicles in order to decelerate the vehicle more smoothly. Examples of impact attenuators include crash cushions (where steel or wood members of the cushion sheer at impact to dissipate energy) and inertial barriers (where plastic containers are filled with sand or water, exploding on impact to dissipate energy). In order to be considered as a ramp-end treatment for a runaway truck ramp, the advantages of the impact attenuators should outweigh the disadvantages.

## How Treatment Reduces Nonrecurrent Congestion

### *Reduce Frequency of Incidents*

The FHWA *Desktop Reference for Crash Reduction Factors* indicates that the installation of truck escape ramps has a crash reduction factor of 18 percent for all crashes, 75 percent for run-off-road crashes, and 33 percent for rear-end crashes. In an evaluation of several safety projects, Caltrans found that 75 percent of runaway truck crashes were eliminated with the use of truck escape ramps.

Runaway truck ramps can reduce the annual number of runaway truck crashes. This reduces the number of hours during the year that lanes are blocked due to truck crashes, which reduces congestion and improves reliability.

## Factors Influencing Treatment Effectiveness

Factors that influence the effectiveness of a runaway truck ramp include the following:

- Frequency of out-of-control or brake failure truck crashes along the roadway—The more crashes experienced and expected, the bigger the treatment's impact will be.

- The location and number of truck ramps used along the roadway—Truck ramps are not helpful to a truck that experiences brake failure beyond the ramp, so placing ramps where brake failure is most likely to occur, or periodically along the roadway, will maximize the chances of an out-of-control truck having a near-by, downstream ramp to use.
- Traffic volumes—Truck crashes are more likely to involve multiple vehicles when traffic is heavy. Higher volumes also mean that a truck incident will lead to more congestion. Eliminating truck crashes in high volume areas will provide more congestion and reliability benefit than in areas with low volumes.

## Cost

Factors that may affect the cost of installing a runaway truck ramp for a roadway section include:

- Dimensions of the escape ramp (length, width, depth)
- Material used for the escape ramp
- Availability of right-of-way for ramp location (especially in urban or suburban areas)
- Topography of ramp location (especially in mountainous conditions where considerable cut or fill may be required)

### *Itemized Cost List*

In order to construct this treatment, the following items may be needed:

- Construction of gravel arrester-bed ramp
- Construction of gravel gravity ramp
- Construction of sand arrester-bed ramp
- Clearing and grubbing
- Grading
- Impact attenuators
- Installation of new signs

## Key References

AASHTO, *A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets*, American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, Washington, DC, 2004.

Bahar, G., M. Masliah, R. Wolff, and P. Park, *Desktop Reference For Crash Reduction Factors*, Report No. FHWA-SA-07-015, Federal Highway Administration, September 2007.

Homburger, W. S., J. W. Hall, W. R. Reilly, and E. C. Sullivan, *Fundamentals of Traffic Engineering—15th Edition*, University of California, Berkley (UCB-ITS-CN-01-1), January 2001.

Neudorff, L. G., J. E. Randall, R. Reiss, and R. Gordon, *Freeway Management and Operations Handbook*, Report No. FHWA-OP-04-003, 2003 (updated June 2006).

U.S. Roads, *Truck Escape Ramps: Determining the Need and the Location*, U.S. Roads: Road Management and Engineering Journal, August 10, 1997. Available at: <<http://www.usroads.com/journals/rej/9708/re970801.htm>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

## Figure References

1. Online: <<http://www.publicdomainpictures.net/view-image.php?image=6876&picture=runaway-truck-ramp>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).
2. Kinder, F., Sabinash, S., I-70 West Integration Project \*FY01 Earmark) Local Evaluation Report, June 29, 2006. Online: <[http://ntl.bts.gov/lib/jpodocs/repts\\_te/14385.htm](http://ntl.bts.gov/lib/jpodocs/repts_te/14385.htm)> (Accessed March 18, 2013).

## Construction

### Reduced Construction Duration

#### Description and Objective

Reduced construction duration is a treatment category that encompasses innovative techniques that can be implemented to reduce the duration of a work zone or other construction project. Such techniques may include total road closures, night work, and the use of innovative construction materials. Figure 40 presents an example of a construction project causing extensive freeway congestion. Figure 41 presents an example of precast materials used in bridge construction.

#### Typical Applications

Innovative techniques to reduce construction duration include implemented management techniques, contracting types, and material usage. The techniques are often used in combination.

Caltrans used a software package for the development of innovative work zone analysis software, Construction Analysis for Pavement Rehabilitation Strategies (CA4PRS). CA4PRS is a schedule and traffic analysis tool that helps planners and designers select effective, economical rehabilitation strategies.



**Figure 40. Construction Project Causing Freeway Congestion (1)**



**Figure 41. The Use of Precast Materials During Bridge Construction in Texas (2)**

Simple strategies such as notifying the public in advance of project work can allow motorists to find alternative routes and alleviate congestion resulting from the work zone. Project websites can be used as a communication tool in urban areas, notifying users of lane closures, access restrictions, and alternative routes to reach local businesses. In designing detours, highway agencies should consider safety, geometrics, truck-turning needs, shoulder widths, and pavement condition. The alternative route should be able to withstand high-volume that results from the detours. Using the installation of temporary concrete barriers to provide local access, to separate the work zone from the traffic zone can help minimize the inconvenience to local stakeholders.

## Design Criteria

Among the innovative techniques discussed above to reduce construction duration, only full-road closure is truly a directly design-related treatment to reduce nonrecurrent congestion. Design guidance is provided in the FHWA report, *Reducing Congestion and Crashes Through Full Road Closure for Maintenance and Construction*.

The other techniques discussed above involve better construction management and improved construction materials. These techniques also have the potential to reduce nonrecurrent congestion, but do not accomplish this through physical changes to the roadway.

## How Treatment Reduces Nonrecurrent Congestion

### *Reduce Duration of a Work Zone: Non-Peak Construction*

Reducing the duration of a work zone will decrease the lane-blocking time (and therefore the duration of the diminished capacity) associated with that work zone. Additionally, if the timing of the work zone is planned such that lane blocking time during high demand periods is decreased, operations will improve.

### *Reduce Duration of a Work Zone: Full Closure Construction*

An FHWA study found that full road closure is a successful tool that reduces the impact of work zones. Of six studies projects, construction time was reduced by an average of 76 percent when compared to normal traditional part-width construction.

### *Reduce Duration of a Work Zone: Fast Track Construction*

In a research document titled, “U.S. Project Delivery Systems,” construction speed for design-build projects was on average, at least 12 percent faster than design-bid-build and 7 percent faster than construction management at risk. Data used reviewed 351 building projects from the United States. Findings also found that construction management at risk projects were at least 5.8 percent faster than design-bid-build. Other findings include; unit costs were at least 6.1 percent less, overall project delivery speed was at least 33.5 percent faster, cost growth was at least 5.2 percent less, schedule growth was at least 11.4 percent less and the quality of work was equal or better.

## Factors Influencing Treatment Effectiveness

Factors that may influence the effectiveness of reduced construction duration at reducing nonrecurrent congestion include:

- Duration of each work zone.

- Construction solutions in regards to timing, sequencing, and management of project.
- Percent decrease of roadway capacity for each hour of the day while the work zone is in effect.

## Cost

Factors that may affect the cost of implementing a reduced construction duration plan include:

- Type of change to the construction plan (using more expensive materials that can be placed faster, providing bonuses to contractors for finishing early, working at night only, etc.)
- Traffic Management Plan
- Design time and fees associated with creating a new construction plan
- Contractor bonus for each hour completed ahead of schedule
- Fast forming materials
- Additional materials or equipment required

## Key References

AASHTO Subcommittee on Construction, *Primer on Contracting for the Twenty-first Century*, 5th Ed., American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, Washington DC, 2006. Available at: <[www.transportation.org/sites/construction/docs/Primer%20on%20Contracting%202006.pdf](http://www.transportation.org/sites/construction/docs/Primer%20on%20Contracting%202006.pdf)> (Accessed April 5, 2012).

Accelerated Construction Technology Transfer (ACTT), (November 2004) Publication No. FHWA-IF-04-000.

Accelerated Construction Technology Transfer Workshop, I-35W & HWY 62 Crosstown Commons Reconstruction, June 14–16, 2004.

Ahn, J., and R. Minchin, *Identifying Causes for Delay in Highway Construction Projects*, Transportation Research Board, CD-ROM, 2007.

Anderson, S., and I. Damnjanovich, NCHRP Synthesis 379, *Selection and Evaluation of Alternative Contracting Methods to Accelerate Project Completion*, Transportation Research Board, 2008.

CALTRANS, *Construction Analysis for Pavement Rehabilitation Strategies*, 2012. Available at: <<http://www.dot.ca.gov/newtech/roadway/ca4prs/index.htm>> (Accessed April 5, 2012).

CALTRANS, *CA4PRS Implementation Project for Rapid Rehabilitation*, 2012, <<http://www.dot.ca.gov/newtech/roadway/llprs/index.htm>> (Accessed April 5, 2012).

Elkin, J., P. Zimmer, Information Can Smooth Traffic Troubles, Public Works, (October 2003), pp. 54–56.

FHWA, “Reducing Congestion and Crashes Through Full Road Closure for Maintenance and Construction,” August 2003. Available at: <<http://ops.fhwa.dot.gov/wz/resources/publications/FullClosure/CrossCutting/its.htm>> (Accessed April 17, 2009).

FHWA, Full Road Closure for Work Zone Operations: A Case Study, December 2004. Available at: <[http://www.ops.fhwa.dot.gov/wz/docs/Portland\\_v3/full\\_closure\\_portlan.pdf](http://www.ops.fhwa.dot.gov/wz/docs/Portland_v3/full_closure_portlan.pdf)> (Accessed April 5, 2012).

FHWA, *Road Closure and Lane Closure*, Work Zone Mobility and Safety Program, 2012. Available at: <[http://www.ops.fhwa.dot.gov/wz/construction/full\\_rd\\_closures.htm](http://www.ops.fhwa.dot.gov/wz/construction/full_rd_closures.htm)> (Accessed April 5, 2012).

FHWA, *Highways for Life*, 2012. Available at: <<http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/hfl/innovations/pdfs/precast.pdf>> (Accessed April 5, 2012).

FHWA, *Manual of Use of Self-Propelled Modular Transporters to Move Bridges*, 2007, FHWA-HIF-07-022, Available at: <<http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/bridge/pubs/07022/hif07022.pdf>> (Accessed April 5, 2012).

FHWA, *Work Zone Road User Costs Concepts and Applications*, FHWA-HOP-12-005, December 2011. Available at: <<http://ops.fhwa.dot.gov/wz/resources/publications/fhwahop12005/fhwahop12005.pdf>> (Accessed April 5, 2012).

Konchar, M., *A Comparison of United States Project Delivery Systems*, Department of Architecture Engineering, The Pennsylvania State University, Technical Report No. 38, December 1997. Available at: <[http://www.engr.psu.edu/ae/cic/publications/TechReports/TR\\_038\\_Konchar\\_Comparison\\_of\\_US\\_Proj\\_Del\\_Systems.pdf](http://www.engr.psu.edu/ae/cic/publications/TechReports/TR_038_Konchar_Comparison_of_US_Proj_Del_Systems.pdf)> (Accessed April 5, 2012).

Lee, E., K. Choi, and L. Seungwook, *Streamlined Strategies for Faster, Less Traffic-Disruptive Highway Rehabilitation in Urban Networks*, *Transportation Research Record 2081*, Washington, DC, 2008, pp. 38–45.

MoDOT, *The New I-64*, 2010. Available at: <[www.thenewi64.org](http://www.thenewi64.org)> (Accessed April 5, 2012).

NJDOT, Road User Cost Manual, Last Updated March 2009. Available at: <<http://www.state.nj.us/transportation/eng/documents/RUCM/#Introduction>> (Accessed April 5, 2012).

NJDOT, Download Appendix A-Example Problems, 2012. Available at: <<http://www.state.nj.us/transportation/eng/documents/RUCM/zip/AppendixA.zip>> (Accessed April 5, 2012).

Walewski, J., G. Gibson, and J. Jasper, Project Delivery Methods and Contracting Approaches Available for Implementation by the Texas Department of Transportation, FHWA/TX-0-2129-1, October 2001. Available at: <[http://www.utexas.edu/research/ctr/pdf\\_reports/2129\\_1.pdf](http://www.utexas.edu/research/ctr/pdf_reports/2129_1.pdf)> (Accessed April 5, 2012).

## Figure References

1. FHWA, *Construction Noise Handbook*, Office of Planning, Environment, & Realty (HEP), Last Modified: July 2011. Available at: <[http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/noise/construction\\_noise/handbook/handbook05.cfm](http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/noise/construction_noise/handbook/handbook05.cfm)> (Accessed April 5, 2012).
2. FHWA-Every Day Counts, *Prefabricated Bridge Elements and Systems*, Last Modified: August 2011. Available at: <<http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/bridge/prefab/if09010/01.cfm>> (Accessed April 5, 2012).

## Improved Work Site Access/Circulation

### Description and Objective

In many construction or maintenance operations, vehicles carrying materials must access the work site from the traveled way of a roadway. Poorly marked or controlled access points can result in substantial delays when work vehicles enter and leave the work site. Improved access treatments allow work vehicles to enter and leave the work site more quickly and safely.

The objective of providing improved work site access and circulation is to minimize the traffic congestion associated with operations. The negative effect of a work zone on traffic operations is mitigated by minimizing the impact of vehicles entering and leaving the work site. Figure 42 presents an example of a work zone where work vehicles entering and exiting the work site would likely impede traffic.



**Figure 42. Example of Work Zone Where Access of Slow-Moving Vehicles Will Likely Impede Traffic (1)**



## Typical Applications

Improved work site access and circulation would have application in any work zone where work vehicles are required to enter the work site directly from the traveled way of a higher-volume roadway or must leave the work site directly onto a traveled way. Common examples of the need for such work-site access are paving operations (where trucks bring paving materials to the job site), or trenching sites (where trucks haul excavated materials from the job site).

## Design Criteria

Adequate acceleration and deceleration areas are needed for trucks to safely enter and leave work spaces. These access points should also be clearly signed and/or delineated to discourage motorists from inadvertently following trucks into the work area.

Design of temporary traffic control zones should include consideration of work vehicle access to the work site. Graham and Burch (2006) describe the steps in assuring improved access for trucks as follows: “Truck drivers should be briefed on how to access the project site, the path to follow to deliver materials, where to stop for staging, and how the spotter will instruct them once they are near the work operations.”

The MUTCD specifies that the path of work vehicles should be delineated and that, where possible, the paths of work vehicles should be separated from workers on foot.

## How Treatment Reduces Nonrecurrent Congestion

### *Mitigates the Capacity Reduction Caused by a Work Zone*

In most cases, a work zone will reduce the total capacity of the roadway for the duration of the work zone activity. One of the ways that a work zone diminishes capacity is by large or slow-moving accessing the work zone from the mainline. When one of these vehicles slows down to safely navigate into the site, the traffic behind the slow-moving vehicle must slow down as well. This decrease in speed can cause a dramatic reduction in capacity during the event, and if vehicle demand is heavy enough, the system can reach a demand to capacity ratio greater than one. This may result in the formation of a queue, and traffic operations may remain poor for a long period of time.

Improved work site access or circulation can mitigate this problem by separating the slow-moving vehicles from the main traffic stream. The slow-moving vehicles are provided an area to safely navigate into the work site without blocking traffic or causing significant slow-downs to the traffic behind them. The resulting congestion is thus reduced or eliminated, and the reliability of the roadway improves.

## Factors Affecting Treatment Effectiveness

This treatment will be most effective on roadways with high traffic demands, particularly when demand-to-capacity ratios are high. This treatment will also tend to be most effective when mainline speeds are high, because the speed reductions required for a large vehicle to access the work site will be more dramatic in relation to the average travel speeds of mainline traffic.

## Cost

Factors that may affect the cost of improving work zone access or circulation include:

- Materials required for improvement (gravel, traffic cones, traffic barrels)
- Additional construction required for improvement (new pavement, restriping)

## Key References

FHWA, *Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices*, Federal Highway Administration, 2003.

Graham, J. L. and R. Burch, "Internal Traffic Control Plans and Worker Safety Planning Tool," *Transportation Research Record 1948*, Transportation Research Board, 2006.

Graham, J. L., J. Migletz, and J. Fish, "Development and Implementation of Traffic Control Plans for Highway Work Zones" Synthesis of Highway Practice Report No. 208, National Cooperative Highway Research Program, July 1994.

Krammes, R. A. and G. O. Lopez, "Updated Capacity Values for Short-Term Freeway Work Zone Lane Closures," *Transportation Research Record 1442*, Transportation Research Board (1994).

## Figure References

1. Scriba, T., Symoun, J., & Beasley, K. Public Roads, Vol. 74, No. 2, Sept./Oct. 2010. FHWA-HRT-10-006. Available at: <<http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/publications/publicroads/10septoct/02.cfm>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

## Animal-Vehicle Collision Design Considerations

### Animal-Vehicle Collision Design Considerations

#### Description and Objective

Animal-vehicle collision design considerations include a wide range of design-related features intended to prevent or reduce animal-vehicle collisions. Animal-vehicle collisions are

also referred to as wildlife-vehicle collisions (WVCs) since the animals involved in the crashes are usually wildlife with habitats close to the roadway areas. While there are a large number of treatments found in the literature, the focus of this write-up is on design-related treatments as they pertain to nonrecurrent congestion.

The most common objective for the implementation of these design treatments is to reduce the potential for WVCs by preventing animal crossings at undesirable locations and providing means of crossing safely at selected desirable locations.

Figures 43 through 46 illustrate wildlife on the roadway and a variety of design treatments to address animal-vehicle collisions – wildlife fencing, boulders, and animal crossings.



**Figure 43. A Bull Elk on the Roadway (1)**



**Figure 44. Wildlife Fencing Installed to Keep Wildlife From Crossing Highways (2)**



**Figure 45. Boulders in the Right-of-Way (Alternative to Wildlife Fencing) (3)**



**Figure 46. Long Tunnels and Long Bridges Physically Separate Animals From Vehicular Traffic (4)**

### Typical Applications

Animal-vehicle collision design may be considered at locations where there is greatest potential for WVCs. A number of data sources can be used to identify these locations including the roadkill data from the local police department, and crash data from the insurance industry.

Common examples of specific WVC mitigation measures include:

- Wildlife fencing
- Boulders in the right-of-way
- Long tunnels and bridges over landscape
- Wildlife underpasses and overpasses

Wildlife fencing is one of the most commonly applied measures to separate wildlife from motorists (Romin et al, 1996). Wildlife fences in North America typically consist of 2.0- to 2.4-m (6.5- to 8-ft) high wire mesh fence material. Several types of fence material are used, but

page wire or cyclone fence material is most common. Wooden or metal fence posts are typically used, and the latter are particularly important when fencing over rock substrates.

Large boulders can be placed in the right-of-way to deter animals from crossing highways at undesirable locations. Large boulders can be difficult for animals, especially ungulates, to traverse, which makes them a desirable alternative to wildlife fencing. The boulders should be placed outside of the clear zone.

Long tunnels and long bridges over the landscape can be used to separate animals from traveling vehicles. For this discussion, long tunnels (or landscape bridges) are defined as tunnels that are at least several hundreds of meters long, sometimes many kilometers. Long tunnels and bridges are primarily constructed because of the nature of the terrain (e.g., through a mountain, across a floodplain), but in some cases they are constructed to avoid areas that are ecologically very sensitive and where no alternatives are available. If the nature of the terrain permits, animals can move freely over long tunnels or under long bridges, and because the animals are physically separated from traffic, WVCs are eliminated. While long tunnels and long bridges may be among the most effective mitigation measures to reduce animal-vehicle collisions, they are rarely specifically designed to reduce WVCs.

Wildlife underpasses and overpasses are used to provide habitat linkages for various species and to cross roads safely by separating animals from vehicular traffic. Wildlife fencing is sometimes used to funnel animals to these overpasses or underpasses.

## Design Criteria

Wildlife fencing is one the most widely used design treatment to prevent or reduce WVCs. One of the most undesirable effects of wildlife fencing is that it creates a barrier between natural habitats of different species and can potentially have harmful ecological consequences. It is therefore necessary to provide gaps in the fencing with animal detection and warning signs, or provide for overpasses and underpasses. Wildlife fencing (and other “absolute barriers”) should always be accompanied with escape opportunities for animals that may end up in between the fences. These escape opportunities can be provided using one-way gates or jump-outs. Jump-outs use a sloping mound of soil placed against a backing material approximately 1.5 m (5 ft) in height and constructed on the right-of-way side of the fence to form an “escape ramp” for the animal. The highway fence (2.4 m (8 ft)) is lowered at the ramp site and forms an integral part of the jump-out that allows deer or other species to jump to the safe side of the fence. The vertical drop-off on the back side of escape ramps is designed to be of sufficient height to preclude deer from gaining access to the right of way from the non-highway side of the fence.

## How Treatment Reduces Nonrecurrent Congestion

### *Reduce Frequency of Incidents*

A WVC treatment can reduce the number of WVC crashes that occur. By eliminating crashes, this treatment reduces the lane-blocking time associated with these crashes. This results in a decrease in nonrecurrent congestion for the roadway and improved reliability.

## Factors Influencing Treatment Effectiveness

Factors that may influence the effectiveness of animal-vehicle collision design considerations include:

- Type of WVC treatment
- Annual number of WVC crashes
- Average duration of a WVC incident
- The species involved in the WVCs, including migration patterns, mating seasons, and population

Several methods can be employed for reducing WVCs, including the following:

- Building a barrier to prevent wildlife from entering roadway
- Building an alternative route for wildlife to avoid roadway such as overpass or underpass
- Controlling wildlife population to minimize vehicle exposure to wildlife
- Making drivers aware of approaching wildlife through warning systems
- Making drivers aware of likelihood of wildlife presence through static or dynamic signing

Each method will provide a different level of WVC reduction. Treatments that keep most wildlife off the roadway will result in a very large reduction in WVCs, while treatments that attempt to make drivers aware of the potential for WVCs may have a smaller impact. However, the former treatment types tend to be significantly more expensive than the latter types.

Treatments will have the greatest safety and operational benefits in areas where WVC rates are high, and where the crashes that do occur are severe and result in significant lane blocking time. While the safety benefits of WVC treatments will be realized at all locations with these types of crashes, the congestion-reducing benefits will be seen on roadways with higher volumes or where passing an incident may be difficult (e.g., mountainous two-lane roads). These conditions are likely to result in nonrecurrent congestion when a WVC occurs.

## Cost

In order to estimate the installation cost of a WVC reduction measure, the treatment type to be installed must be determined. Each treatment type will have its own set of cost considerations. Several common treatment types with their respective cost considerations are listed below:

- Animal overpasses and underpasses
  - Bridge and/or culvert construction
  - Right-of-way acquisition
  - Traffic control associated with construction of the project
  - Landscaping to rebuild wildlife habitat near the project site
  - Maintenance of the habitat
- Fencing systems
  - Fence material (e.g., barbed wire, woven wire, orange plastic)
  - Grading
  - Right-of-way acquisition

Animal detection systems will have their own costs, depending on the type. Low cost treatments include vegetation removal to make animals near the roadway more visible to motorists and signs to warn motorists of the likelihood of animal presence.

## Key References

Biota Research and Consulting, Inc., *Final Report Jackson Hole Roadway and Wildlife Crossing Study, Teton County, Wyoming*, September 15, 2003. Available at: [http://www.jhwildlife.org/pdf/full\\_jh\\_roadway\\_wildlife\\_study.pdf](http://www.jhwildlife.org/pdf/full_jh_roadway_wildlife_study.pdf) (Accessed April 9, 2012).

Huijser, M. P., P. McGowen, J. Fuller, A. Hardy, A. Kociolek, A. P. Clevenger, D. Smith, and R. Ament, "Wildlife-Vehicle Collision Reduction Study: Report to Congress," FHWA-HRT-08-034, August 2008. Available at: <http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/publications/research/safety/08034/index.cfm> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

Romin, L. A., and J. A. Bissonette. 1996, *Deer vehicle collisions: status of state monitoring activities and mitigation efforts*, Wildlife Society Bulletin Volume 24, No. 2 (Summer 1996) pp. 276–283.

TransSafety, Inc., "Study Shows Problem of Vehicle-Wildlife Collisions Increasing," Road Management & Engineering Journal, July 20, 1997. Available at: <http://www.usroads.com/journals/rmj/9707/rm970701.htm> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

## Figure References

1. Public Roads Magazine, *Advances in Wildlife Crossing Technology*, Volume 73, No. 2 September/October 2009. FHWA-HRT-09-006. Available at: <<http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/publications/publicroads/09septoct/03.cfm>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).
2. Fencing Guidelines for Wildlife, Habitat Extension Bulletin No. 53, Wyoming Game and Fish Department. Available at: <<http://gf.state.wy.us/downloads/pdf/habitat/Bulletin%20No.%2053.pdf>> (Accessed April 9, 2012].
3. Huijser, M. P., P. McGowen, J. Fuller, A. Hardy, A. Kociolek, A. P. Clevenger, D. Smith, and R. Ament, “Wildlife-Vehicle Collision Reduction Study: Report to Congress,” FHWA-HRT-08-034, August 2008. Available at: <<http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/publications/research/safety/08034/08034.pdf>> (Accessed March 18, 2013).
4. Huijser, M. P., P. McGowen, J. Fuller, A. Hardy, A. Kociolek, A. P. Clevenger, D. Smith, and R. Ament, “Wildlife-Vehicle Collision Reduction Study: Report to Congress,” FHWA-HRT-08-034, August 2008. Available at: <<http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/publications/research/safety/08034/08034.pdf>> (Accessed March 18, 2013).

## Weather

### Snow Fences

#### Description and Objective

A snow fence is a structure or vegetative planting used to trap and control the blowing and drifting of snow before it reaches the roadway. There are two types of snow fences: snow fences that trap snow upwind of the area to be protected (referred to as collector-type snow fences) and snow fences that deflect snow around the protected area (referred to as deflector-type snow fences).

Winter weather can impair motorists’ visibility, cause loss of vehicle control, reduce sight distance at curves and intersections, obscure signs, reduce effective roadway width, and render safety barriers ineffective. Snow fences can mitigate the negative impacts of winter weather by improving visibility and providing drier pavement surface conditions on the travel way. This allows this treatment to reduce the number of snow-related crashes and the congestion associated with these crashes.

Figures 47 and 48 illustrate a wooden snow fence and a high-density polyethylene snow fence, respectively.





**Figure 47. Wyoming Snow Fence (I)**



**Figure 48. High-Density Polyethylene Snow Fences (I)**

## Typical Applications

Snow fences may be designed in various ways and constructed with different materials. The traditional wood slatted fence with horizontal rails works reasonably well, as do lightweight plastic fences with wooden frames. In either case, fences with openings work best. Studies indicate that snow fences with about 50-percent porosity are most effective in trapping snow.

Living snow fences refer to vegetative plantings used to control blowing snow. Plant materials may include trees, shrubs, grass, or agricultural crops such as corn or sunflowers left standing throughout the winter. For example, shrubs planted at the top of a cut can be used in place of taller barriers placed further upwind.

## Design Criteria

Blowing snow problems are best identified either through discussions with maintenance crews or through analyses of historical crash data. The following information should be considered in the analysis of a problem related to blowing snow:

- Type of problem (snowdrift, poor visibility, slush, or ice)
- Effect (crashes, excessive snow removal costs, pavement repair costs)
- Source of blowing snow (within right-of-way, adjacent open field, frozen lake)
- Cause of problem (cross-section geometry, horizontal or vertical alignment, delineation, safety barrier, roadside vegetation or structure, snow removal practices, traffic)

The following questions should be answered to help justify and prioritize the problem, and help to identify appropriate mitigation measures:

- Is the problem drift encroachment, poor visibility, road icing, or a combination?
- If the problem is snow deposition, what is the safety hazard? Is it restricted site distance, poor visibility caused by snow blowing off the drift at windshield level, loss of vehicle control, etc.?
- What is the crash history at the site?
- Does a drift block roadside drainage or otherwise contribute to water infiltration? Is there any evident pavement damage?
- What impact does blowing snow have on crew requirements, duty cycle, and overtime?
- What is the year-to-year variability in problem occurrence and severity?
- What benefits would be derived by solving the problem? Would it improve safety for the traveling public or maintenance crews? Reduce overtime? Free equipment for use at other locations?

The Minnesota Department of Transportation (Mn/DOT) and the University of Minnesota have developed an interactive internet site to help design a snow fence for a problem location ([http://climate.umn.edu/snow\\_fence/Components/Design/locationb.asp](http://climate.umn.edu/snow_fence/Components/Design/locationb.asp)). Tools on this site allow the user to determine the required height, setback, and overlap of snow fence systems for any location in Minnesota, and can be used to design snow fences for places outside the state by finding a Minnesota location with similar snowfall and snow relocation coefficient. The website is an excellent tutorial of the guidelines for designing snow fences.

## How Treatment Reduces Nonrecurrent Congestion

### *Reduce Frequency of Incidents*

This treatment can reduce the number of snow-related crashes that occur. By eliminating crashes, this treatment reduces the lane-blocking time associated with these crashes. This results in a decrease in nonrecurrent congestion for the roadway and improved reliability.

### Factors Influencing Treatment Effectiveness

Factors that may influence the effectiveness of snow fences at reducing nonrecurrent congestion include:

- Annual number of snow-related crashes
- Average duration of a snow-related crash
- Effectiveness of snow fence at eliminating snow-related crashes

Snow fences will be most effective on roadways that have a significant number of snow-related crashes each year and long average lane-blocking durations.

A 34-year study of I-80 in Wyoming found that snow fences reduced crashes in blowing snow conditions by approximately 75 percent (Tabler and Meena, 2006). The study evaluated the effectiveness of snow fences in reducing crashes and road closures on a 50-mi section of I-80 in southeastern Wyoming. When the highway was first opened to traffic in 1970, no snow fences were in place. However, due to substantial snow drifting problems, nearly 73 percent of the roadway was protected by snow fences between 1971 and 1990. In addition to the resulting benefit of crash reduction, reduced traffic delay is another benefit of the snow fence protection—the present snow fence system reduces road closure time by an average of 8.3 days each year.

### Cost

Factors that may affect the cost of installing snow fences along a roadway section include:

- Type of fence (wood slat, polyethylene, polymer, vegetation, etc.)
- Fence height
- Length of fence
- Leasing agreements or land purchase for fences placed on private property

It should be noted that snow fences can significantly reduce the cost of snow removal. Blowing and drifting snow can add substantially to the cost of snow and ice removal for maintenance crews. Snow fences can also increase the pavement life of the roadway surface by reducing potential drainage issues and reducing exposure of the road surface to winter maintenance equipment. Snow drifts directly contribute to pavement damage by blocking ditches, drains, and culverts and serving as a source of water infiltration under the pavement. Winter maintenance equipment can also damage the roadway surface.

## Key References

Laramie County Conservation District, Cheyenne, Wyoming, 2012. Available at: <[http://www.lccdnet.org/?page\\_id=59](http://www.lccdnet.org/?page_id=59)> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

Tabler, R. D., *Controlling Blowing and Drifting Snow with Snow Fences and Road Design*, Prepared for National Cooperative Highway Research Program, Tabler and Associates, August 2003. Available at: <<http://www.transportation.org/sites/sicop/docs/Tabler.pdf>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

Tabler, R. D., *Controlling Blowing Snow with Snow Fences*. Government Engineering, July–August 2005. Available at: <<http://www.govengr.com/ArticlesJul05/snow.pdf>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

Tabler, R. D., *Design Guidelines for the Control of Blowing and Drifting Snow*, Report No. SHRP-H-381, Strategic Highway Research Program, National Research Council, 1994. Available at: <<http://onlinepubs.trb.org/Onlinepubs/shrp/SHRP-H-381.pdf>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

University of Minnesota, Online Content, 2012, Available at: <[http://climate.umn.edu/snow\\_fence/Components/Design/locationb.asp](http://climate.umn.edu/snow_fence/Components/Design/locationb.asp)> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

## Figure References

1. Tabler, R. D., *Controlling Blowing and Drifting Snow with Snow Fences and Road Design*, Prepared for National Cooperative Highway Research Program, Tabler and Associates, August 2003. Available at: <<http://www.transportation.org/sites/sicop/docs/Tabler.pdf>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

## Blowing Sand Mitigation

### Description and Objective

Blowing sand can have significant negative effects on highway operations. Sand can pile up on the roadway and create dangerous conditions for drivers by causing vehicles to turn unexpectedly when crossing uneven mounds of sand. Additionally, drivers can be adversely affected by the reduced visibility caused by blowing sand. These unsafe conditions can lead to vehicle crashes.

The objective of this treatment is to reduce the amount of blowing sand on or around a roadway, thereby increasing visibility and maneuverability on the highway. This results in a reduction of the frequency of sand-related crashes. Major treatment types include:

- Structures that trap sand and dirt

- Berms
- Fences
- Treatments that help hold soil in place during high winds
  - Plantings
  - Irrigation systems
- Blowing sand warning systems

The first two treatment types on the above list reduce the amount of sand blowing across or coming to rest on the roadway. The third type does not reduce the amount of blowing sand, but rather is intended to make motorists aware of adverse conditions encouraging drivers to slow down, be more cautious, or choose an alternate route. All three treatment types can be effective in reducing the frequency of blowing-sand-related incidents.

Blowing sand can also interfere with the ability of emergency responders to reach a crash site and provide services. Television station KESQ reported on June 16, 2011, a five-vehicle collision occurred on Highway 86 and Avenue 52 in Coachella, California. Emergency personnel reported difficulty in responding to this incident due to the poor visibility from the blowing sand. The highway was closed to traffic in both directions the night following the incident. Types of treatments that reduce the amount of blowing sand on the roadway could be effective in improving emergency personnel response time.

Figures 49 and 50 illustrate how blowing sand can substantially reduce visibility.



**Figure 49. Example of Blowing Sand Reducing Visibility (1)**



## **Figure 50. Example of Blowing Sand Interfering with Highway Operations (2)**

### Typical Applications

Many areas in the southwest U.S. are subject to blowing sand and dust. Some of the major causes of blowing sand include: droughts, high winds, soil erosion, and any other process that results in minimal soil cover. It should be noted that, in many cases, undisturbed desert areas are not a source of blowing sand and dust. Rather, it is the disturbing of the natural conditions that leads to problems with blowing sand and dust. Therefore, in areas that experience blowing sand problems, care should be taken with any construction or roadwork activity that will disturb the natural soil conditions. By planning to stabilize the soil over the course of an entire construction project, blowing sand can be eliminated directly at the source.

Some typical methods for stabilizing disturbed soil include:

- Covering the disturbed soil with wind resistant material
- Planting small vegetation and grasses (avoid planting when winds are high [typically spring])
- Building wind breaks
- Building wind barriers

Wind barriers are structures that provide total wind blockage. Examples of wind barriers include:

- Berms made from wood chips or soil
- Solid fences
- Stacks of hay bales
- Walls of concrete blocks or other structural materials

Wind breaks provide partial wind blockage, as they are less solid and continuous than wind barriers. Examples of wind breaks include:

- Porous fences (such as standard orange construction fence)

- Rows of large vegetation (trees and shrubs)

## Design Criteria

Some of the most common practices for protecting a facility from blowing sand can be summarized in the following categories:

- Direct shielding
  - Wind barriers
  - Wind breaks
- Stabilization of nearby planted areas
  - Soil stabilizers (salts, surfactants, wood bi-products, etc.)
  - Straw crimping
  - Straw blankets
- Irrigation to provide dust control and to optimize plant growth

In a report titled, “Large Area Land Managers Guide to Controlling Windblown Sand and Dust,” the following multi-step strategy for controlling dust is recommended:

1. Protect existing vegetation and structures that serve as wind barriers as long as possible before the necessary clearing or demolition.
2. Construct wood chip and earthen berms at critical points along property boundaries. These will stop encroaching sand and provide protected areas for possible development of new vegetative wind breaks.
3. Plant and irrigate permanent tree and shrub wind breaks in the protected downwind area of berms as part of the overall site landscaping plan.
4. Place a thin wood chip layer on loose blow sand areas within the property.
5. Stabilize large areas of disturbed soil with temporary vegetation or furrows, especially where land development activities will not begin immediately on previously cleared land. Temporary vegetation such as mustard, barley, or cereal grains will require irrigation for quick and effective germination during cool weather conditions.
6. Construct wood chip and earthen berms at critical points within the property to stop the blowing sand and to provide protected areas for possible development of new vegetative wind breaks.
7. Reduce the length of unobstructed terrain over which the wind flows, including straight stretches of unpaved roads that run parallel to the direction of prevailing high winds.
8. Develop a plan and work schedule that minimize the time during which each parcel of a new development remains in a disturbed condition.

Blowing sand problems are best identified either through discussions with local maintenance crews or through analyses of historical crash data. The following information should be considered in the analysis of a problem related to blowing sand or dirt:

- Type of problem (visibility reduction or sand drifting onto the roadway that either reduces friction or causes an irregular surface texture which can lead to loss of control of the vehicle)
- Effect of problem (crashes, excessive sand removal costs, pavement repair costs)
- Source of blowing sand or dirt
- Cause of problem (nearby construction projects, abandoned farmland, recent drought)

## How Treatment Reduces Nonrecurrent Congestion

### *Reduces Frequency of Incidents*

This treatment can reduce the number of blowing sand-related crashes that occur. By eliminating crashes, this treatment reduces the lane-blocking time associated with these crashes. This results in a decrease in nonrecurrent congestion for the roadway and improved reliability.

### *Reduces Incident Response Time*

Berms or fences can reduce the amount of blowing sand on the roadway. By reducing the amount of blowing sand, emergency responders may be able to identify and reach an incident site more quickly. This results in quicker response and clearance times, reducing congestion, and improving reliability.

## Factors Influencing Treatment Effectiveness

Factors that may influence the effectiveness of this treatment at reducing nonrecurrent congestion include:

- Annual number of blowing sand-related crashes (caused by either diminished visibility or sand drifts on the roadway)
- Average duration of a blowing sand-related crash
- Effectiveness of treatment at eliminating sand-related crashes (different treatments will have different expected levels of effectiveness at preventing and trapping blowing sand)

This treatment will be most effective on roadways that have a significant number of blowing sand-related crashes each year and long average lane-blocking durations. The vehicle demand volume is also an important consideration, as the delay associated with a lane-blocking event on



a roadway with a high demand-to-capacity ratio will be greater than that of a roadway with lower demand volume.

## Cost

The costs for installing this treatment will vary depending on which specific mitigation measure is selected and the length of roadway to be protected. For example, installing a construction fence would generally be very inexpensive. However, the installation of an irrigation system to encourage vegetation growth and increase soil moisture content could be quite expensive or complicated, especially if the treatment is needed throughout areas not within the roadway right-of-way. Building berms or barriers might have moderate expenses, even if the barrier material is inexpensive, after construction costs have been accounted for.

Each treatment will also have associated maintenance costs. Barriers will collect sand and dirt on the upwind side of the structure that will have to be occasionally removed for the barrier to maintain effectiveness. Vegetation may have to be fertilized, watered, or periodically replanted. In addition, new plantings may not be effective at retaining the soil or blocking blowing sand and dirt until a few years of growth have been achieved. Each treatment will also have a different life expectancy, and will require the consideration of replacement costs.

Specific cost information for various implementations of blowing sand and dirt treatments is provided in a report produced in 2001 by the Pacific Northwest National Laboratory. While this report does not address applications of the treatments near roadways specifically, but instead focuses on a large area encompassing several buildings on the Department of Energy's 200 West Area, many of the applications of the treatment would be the same for any location.

## Key References

Dustbusters Research Group, *Large Area Land Managers Guide to Controlling Windblown Sand and Dust* June 2011. Available at: <[http://www.nmenv.state.nm.us/aqb/documents/Large\\_Area\\_Guide.pdf](http://www.nmenv.state.nm.us/aqb/documents/Large_Area_Guide.pdf)> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

EPA, Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response, *Improving Highway Safety Through Erosion Control*. GreenScapes Environmentally Beneficial Landscaping Success Story August 2005. Available at: <<http://www.epa.gov/osw/conservation/rrr/greenscapes/pubs/gca.pdf>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

KPSP, 2011. No longer available at: <<http://coachella.kpsplocal2.com/news/news/six-injured-highway-86-big-rig-crash/77365>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

Sackschewsky, M., and J. Becker, *200 West Area Dust Mitigation Strategies*, PNNL-13883. Pacific Northwest National Laboratory April 2001. Available at: <[http://www.pnl.gov/main/publications/external/technical\\_reports/PNNL-13883.pdf](http://www.pnl.gov/main/publications/external/technical_reports/PNNL-13883.pdf)> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

WLOX ABC 13, April 2011. Available at: <<http://www.wlox.com/story/14527823/m-dot-crews-battle-blowing-drifting-sand>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

## Figure References


1. FHWA, *Best Practices for Road Weather Management*, Available at: [http://ops.fhwa.dot.gov/publications/fhwahop12046/rwm20\\_newmexico1.htm](http://ops.fhwa.dot.gov/publications/fhwahop12046/rwm20_newmexico1.htm) (Accessed March 18, 2013).
2. United States Geological Survey (USGS), Available at: <http://www.usgs.gov/blogs/features/files/2011/10/driving-into-dust-storm.jpg> (Accessed March 18, 2013).

## Anti-Icing Systems

### Description and Objective

Anti-icing involves the proactive application of chemicals to a roadway surface before a winter storm. Anti-icing helps prevent snow and ice from bonding to the pavement (in contrast to deicing, which involves the reactive application of chemicals to a roadway surface during or after a storm, when snow and ice may have already formed). The objective of anti-icing systems is to reduce the number of snow-and-ice-related crashes that occur on a roadway by reducing or eliminating time during which the pavement surface is covered in ice.

Figure 51 presents a schematic of a bridge anti-icing system in Minnesota.



**Figure 51. Bridge Anti-icing System in Minnesota (I)**

### Typical Applications

Anti-icing systems may be fully automated, semi-automated manually activated. Fully automated systems rely on sensors to determine the need for application of anti-icing chemicals

and automatically activate anti-icing measures. Semi-automated systems can be activated by someone from a remote location in response to a sensor indication. Manually activated systems do not include roadway sensors and must be activated directly at the site.

Automated anti-icing systems are comprised of roadway sensors and electrical and mechanical systems that disperse anti-icing chemicals onto the roadway surface to help prevent the formation of ice. The most common automated anti-icing systems use a series of spray-nozzles connected to a chemical storage tank. Using a pump system, the chemicals are dispersed along a roadway segment through nozzles which can be embedded into the pavement or placed along the edges of the roadway.

Anti-icing systems are commonly applied at bridge decks, which tend to freeze more quickly than other parts of the roadway, and horizontal curves, where traction is critical. Application criteria for where to apply anti-icing systems are generally not available, and the decision to use anti-icing is left to the discretion of the highway agency. Factors to consider include past ice-related accident history, benefit-cost analysis, and the potential harmful effects of the chemicals on the roadway structures and environment.

## Design Criteria

Anti-icing technology systems have been installed in California, Kentucky, and Minnesota. Several systems combine the RWIS technology with the automated anti-icing technology. Figure 51 illustrates such a system installed along a bridge deck in Minnesota. These systems have not been implemented widespread due to initial and operating costs.

## How Treatment Reduces Nonrecurrent Congestion

### *Reduce Frequency of Incidents*

Anti-icing systems can reduce the number of ice-related crashes that occur. By eliminating crashes, this treatment reduces the lane-blocking time associated with these crashes. This results in a decrease in nonrecurrent congestion for the roadway and improved reliability.

## Factors Influencing Treatment Effectiveness

Factors that may influence the effectiveness of anti-icing systems at reducing nonrecurrent congestion include:

- Annual number of ice-related crashes
- Number of hours per year when ice covers the roadway (if no anti-icing system were used)
- Number of hours per year during which the melting capacity of the system is exceeded, making the system ineffective

Several studies have shown reductions in the frequency of wintertime accidents from 25 percent to 100 percent due to the installation of anti-icing technologies.

## Cost

Factors that may affect the cost of installing an anti-icing system along a roadway section include:

- Type of system to be installed (e.g., automated, semi-automated, manually activated)
- Area of roadway to be covered by treatment (considering both length of segment or bridge, and pavement width)
- Ongoing material costs (based on expected frequency of use of the system) including:
  - De-icer fluid
  - Electricity
  - Personnel to operate system
- Maintenance of the system

## Key References

Goodwin, L. *Best Practices for Road Weather Management*. Report No. FHWA-OP-03-081, Federal Highway Administration, May 2003.

Kary, B., Email Correspondence, December 2011.

Torbic, D. J., D. W. Harwood, D. K. Gilmore, R. Pfefer, T. R. Neuman, K. L. Slack, and K. K. Hardy, *Guidance for Implementation of the AASHTO Strategic Highway Safety Plan, Volume 7: A Guide for Reducing Collisions on Horizontal Curves*, NCHRP Report 500, Volume 7, Transportation Research Board, 2004.

Ward, B., “Evaluation of a Fixed Anti-Icing Spray Technology (FAST) System,” New York City DOT, Division of Bridges, presented at the Transportation Research Board (TRB), Annual Meeting, January 2002.

## Figure References

1. Goodwin, L., *Best Practices for Road Weather Management*. Report No. FHWA-OP-03-081, Federal Highway Administration, May 2003.

## Lane Types and Uses

## Contraflow Lanes for Emergency Evacuations

### Description and Objective

A contraflow lane is a lane that has been “borrowed” from one direction of travel to add capacity to the other direction of travel. This can be accomplished using overhead lane designations, static and dynamic signing, police traffic control, interchange gates or barriers or other means. Contraflow lanes can be used to accommodate emergency evacuations, most commonly in anticipation of hurricanes (as illustrated in Figure 52), although sometimes in cases of other natural or manmade disasters. During evacuations, nearly all motorists using the facility want to travel in one direction—away from the threat of danger. In such situations, nearly all opposing (i.e., minor-flow) lanes are converted to contraflow lanes, which essentially doubles the number of lanes available for evacuation traffic. Sometimes, one of the inbound lanes remains open for inbound travel by emergency and/or military vehicles.



**Figure 52. Contra-Flow Op**

**After a Hurricane (I)**

### Typical Applications

Contraflow lanes are most often used in situations where either an increase in demand or decrease in capacity necessitates finding additional capacity to serve vehicles in that direction. Common applications are during peak hours when demand is highly directional, during emergency evacuations, and during work zones. The first application is used in circumstances of recurrent congestion due to daily demand fluctuations and is outside the scope of this research. Contraflow lanes as a nonrecurrent congestion treatment during work zones are discussed separately. Contraflow lanes in emergency evacuations are considered here.

Applications of emergency contraflow lanes are typically found near coastal regions that experience hurricane (and therefore evacuation) risk. In addition, emergency contraflow may be used to evacuate residents from the path of a wildfire or flood, or away from a terrorist attack.

## Design Criteria

Many states within the U.S. have developed emergency evacuation plans for a variety of disasters, hurricanes being a common example. Several of these evacuation plans include contraflow lane systems to increase outbound roadway capacity.

Emergency contraflow design plans include designating the contraflow routes, and developing and installing appropriate emergency traffic control plans that include signing, signal control, and expected levels of police (or other emergency worker) traffic control. In addition, ramps may be retrofitted with gates and devices to implement reversed traffic flow so that interchanges can effectively operate “backwards.” The most critical part of the plan is designing how the contraflow will terminate.

Safety concerns in emergency evacuations are likely to be found at the terminal points (i.e., where the contraflow begins and ends) and at interchange ramps (where traffic in the wrong direction intersects with the arterial roadway network).

Generally, a significant number of police officers or other officials are needed to manually direct traffic during lane reversal, especially at interchanges.

It is important to remember that driver behavior will be different in emergency evacuations than under normal travel conditions, and therefore, the effective capacity of highway lanes may be reduced. In addition, the highway cross-section may be used differently in an attempt to increase capacity, for instance, by allowing travel on the shoulder or ignoring lane lines to facilitate an additional, narrower lane on the roadway segment. Finally, consideration should be given to the likelihood of additional traffic demand from nearby jurisdictions that are evacuating through the area being considered.

## How Treatment Reduces Nonrecurrent Congestion

### *Increases Roadway Capacity During an Incident*

Using contraflow lanes during an emergency event increases the roadway capacity in the primary direction of travel. It is expected that in emergency evacuations, demand on out-bound freeway segments will more than double and that even with full contraflow plans in effect, evacuation routes will be operating with significant congestion. However, the increase in roadway capacity will reduce the overall evacuation time, ensuring that more people can evacuate areas of risk before harm comes.

It is important to note that while contraflow lanes will reduce congestion during an emergency evacuation, this reduction in congestion cannot be easily translated into an improvement in reliability.

## Factors Influencing Treatment Effectiveness

Factors that may influence the effectiveness of contraflow lanes at reducing nonrecurrent congestion due to evacuations include:

- Evacuation frequency
- Treatment deployment frequency
- Capacity available for contraflow during evacuation (may include shoulder use, if full width, full depth shoulders are available, and may exclude lanes reserved for emergency vehicles)
- Expected demand during evacuation on the segment of interested (based on the availability of alternate routes and the desirability of the destinations served by the segment)

Contra-flow lanes for emergency evacuations will be the most effective in cases where demand volumes are high relative to the available roadway capacity.

## Cost

Factors that may affect the cost of installing a contraflow lane for emergency evacuations along a roadway section include:

- Construction Costs
  - Gate purchase and installation costs
  - Cost for tying into existing ITS infrastructure
  - Purchase of temporary/portable signs to be used during evacuation
  - Purchase of temporary barricades to be used during evacuation
  - Construction of permanent dynamic signing to be used during evacuation
  - Installation of permanent signs for use during evacuation
  - Installation of permanent “fold out” type signs
- Ongoing Costs
  - Police officers to direct traffic
  - Implementation of temporary message boards to be used during evacuation
  - Implementation of gates/barricades
  - Implementation of temporary/portable signing
  - Service and Maintenance to Gates, ITS, Devices

## Key References

LaDOT, Southwest Louisiana Contraflow Plan. Available at: <[http://www.dotd.state.la.us/maps/ContraFlow\\_SWLA\\_map.pdf](http://www.dotd.state.la.us/maps/ContraFlow_SWLA_map.pdf)> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

MDOT (Mississippi), *Contraflow Plan for Interstate Hurricane Evacuation Traffic Control*, June 2009. Available at: <<http://www.gomdot.com/home/EmergencyPreparedness/pdf/ContraflowPlan.pdf>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

PBS&J, *Analysis of Florida's One-Way Operations for Hurricane Evacuation*. Available at: <[http://www.rsip.lsu.edu/anb10-3/Resources/Analysis\\_of\\_Florida\\_s\\_One-Way\\_Operations\\_for\\_Hurricane\\_Evacuation.pdf](http://www.rsip.lsu.edu/anb10-3/Resources/Analysis_of_Florida_s_One-Way_Operations_for_Hurricane_Evacuation.pdf)> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

TxDOT, *Corpus Christi Hurricane Evacuation Routes*, 2007. Available at: <[http://www.cctexas.com/files/g8/Hurricane\\_Evac\\_routes\\_CC2007.pdf](http://www.cctexas.com/files/g8/Hurricane_Evac_routes_CC2007.pdf)> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

Ullman, B., N. Trout, and A. Ballard, *Guidelines for Hurricane Evacuation Signing and Markings*, FHWA/TX-08/0-4962-P1. Available at: <<http://tti.tamu.edu/documents/0-4962-P1.pdf>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

## Figure References

1. R&T Transporter, Newsletter, August/September 2002. Available at: <<http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/publications/transporter/02aug/index.cfm>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

## Contraflow Lanes for Work Zones

### Description and Objective

A contraflow lane is a lane that has been “borrowed” from one direction of travel to add capacity to the other direction of travel. Contraflow lanes are most often used in situations where either an increase in demand or decrease in capacity necessitates finding additional capacity to serve vehicles in that direction. Common applications are during peak hours when demand is highly directional, during emergency evacuations, and during work zones. The first application is used in circumstances of recurrent congestion due to daily demand fluctuations and is outside the scope of this research. Contraflow lanes in emergency evacuations are discussed in a separate treatment write-up. Contraflow lanes as a nonrecurrent congestion treatment during work zones are considered here.

Contraflow lanes can improve roadway operations during work zone and maintenance activities, as illustrated in Figures 53 through 55, by providing additional capacity for the direction of travel with reduced capacity due to a closed shoulder or lane. Consideration must be given to capacity and demand in the opposing direction of traffic before “borrowing” a lane, since reducing capacity in the opposite direction may have significant negative effects if demand levels are sufficiently high.





**Figure 53. Traffic Diverted Across Median (1)**

### Typical Applications

Contraflow lanes may be used for routine temporary work zones, or non-routine semi-permanent work zones. See the work zone discussion in *Work Zones* to learn more about the analysis methods for each type of application.

Contraflow lanes are frequently used on both arterials and freeways. On freeways, vehicles in one direction of travel are often routed through a median or barrier to a lane on the other side. This lane is separated from the adjacent lanes, which are still accommodating traffic flowing in the opposite direction, by some combination of double yellow striping, delineators, or barrier. On arterials, contraflow lanes may be set up to be temporary in nature, perhaps to be used only during certain hours of the day, and are frequently delineated with only cones and signing.

On freeways, contraflow lanes are often used in extended, long-term work zones on divided facilities, when one direction of travel is closed for construction or maintenance (see Figure 56). In these applications, traffic is rerouted from the original travel lanes across temporary median crossovers to lanes in the opposite direction of travel. Depending on the number of lanes, lane width, facility speed, and duration of the work zone, traffic in the contraflow lane may be separated from adjacent opposing traffic with striping changes, concrete barriers, or flexible delineators. Additional pavement may be required to route traffic through the median to the contraflow lane. Figure 56 illustrates a typical median crossover.

On arterials without a median or barrier, signing and cones are often used to temporarily shift traffic in one direction around the work zone and over one lane. For longer-term work zones, the treatment may include changes in striping.

Figure 6H-40. Median Crossover for an Entrance Ramp (TA-40)




Figure 54. Median Crossover at a Ramp for Use in Contra-Flow Lane for a Work Zone (2)



**Figure 55. New Pavement Construction of a Divided Highway, Contra-Flow Operations on Other Side of Median (3)**


## Design Criteria

Criteria for closing one side of a divided roadway and operating two-way traffic on the opposite side are:

- On a four-lane divided highway, traffic volumes in both directions must each be accommodated in one lane. On freeways with six lanes or more, the number of lanes available for each direction of traffic after the contraflow lane is implemented must adequately accommodate the demand. This would imply that hourly volumes should be below 1,600 vph per available lane.
- No more than one or two interchanges should be included in the work area.
- Work operations are difficult to accomplish in a manner that allows some traffic to continue using the direction of freeway where the work zone will be located. That is, consideration should first be given to staging the work zone in a way that keeps one or more lanes open in the primary direction.

The primary advantages of using contraflow lanes include the following:

- Work zone duration may be shortened by allowing full lane closures and routing traffic to lanes typically used for the other direction of travel.
- Traffic can be shifted away from work zones that are very near or encroach on travel lanes so that drivers are not exposed to drop-offs or other hazards created by the construction operations.
- Traffic can be shifted away from work zones to provide highway workers with more clearance from traffic.



**Figure 56. Typical Median Crossover on a Divided Highway (4)**

The basic disadvantages of this strategy are:

- There is an increased risk of serious head-on collisions when traffic normally separated by a barrier or median is now flowing in adjacent lanes. Positive separation of the two directions must be considered, especially on high-speed roadways.
- On freeway applications with unpaved medians, crossovers must be constructed at the start and end of the contraflow lane and additional treatments may be needed to handle traffic at interchanges.
- Shoulders may need to be improved to accommodate crossover traffic, especially if shoulder width is used as part of the contraflow lane. Also, temporary attenuators may be needed where there are bridge rail or guardrail ends exposed to traffic.

## How Treatment Reduces Nonrecurrent Congestion

### *Reduce Impact of a Work Zone by Borrowing Capacity From the Opposite Direction*

A contraflow lane may be used during a work zone to offset the reduction of available lanes in the direction affected by the work zone. For example, if a work zone is planned that will block two lanes, the contraflow lane may “borrow” one lane from the opposing direction for the duration of the work zone, thereby offsetting the negative impact of the work zone by 50 percent. Attention must be paid to the demands and capacities of both directions of travel, to ensure that operations in the direction from which lanes are borrowed are not extensively degraded.

By providing additional capacity during a work zone event, contra-flow lanes can improve roadway operations by reducing delay and improving reliability.

## Factors Influencing Treatment Effectiveness

Factors that may influence the effectiveness of contraflow lanes at reducing nonrecurrent congestion due to work zones include:

- How many lanes will be shifted
- Traffic volume in the direction of the proposed contraflow lane
- Roadway capacity in the direction of the proposed contraflow lane
- Traffic volume in the opposing direction of the proposed contraflow lane
- Roadway capacity in the opposing direction of the proposed contraflow lane

Contra-flow lanes for short-term work zones will be the most cost-effective in cases where volume-to-capacity ratios are high in the direction of the proposed contra-flow lane and low in the opposing direction. For long-term work zones, the direction of peak flow is expected to change throughout the day, and this consideration may not be relevant.

## Cost

Factors that may affect the cost of a contra-flow lane for use in a work zone include:

- Length of proposed contra-flow lane
- Need for modular concrete barrier to separate opposing directions of travel
- Availability of temporary concrete barrier (i.e., will barrier be required for the construction project anyway, and therefore on site regardless of whether contra-flow lanes are used?)
- Need for temporary pavement (e.g., to allow vehicles to move from one side of a divided highway to the other for contra-flow operation)
- Contraflow signing
- Temporary barricades
- Temporary pavement construction
- Temporary pavement marking (on existing and temporary pavement)

## Key References

American Concrete Pavement Association, *Traffic Management-Handbook for Concrete Pavement Reconstruction and Rehabilitation*, Engineering Bulletin EB213P, Skokie, Illinois, 2000.

Burns, Dudek, and Pendleton, *Construction Costs and Safety Impacts of Work Zone Traffic Control Strategies*, Report No. FHWA-RD-89-209, December 1989.

FHWA, *Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices*, Federal Highway Administration, Washington, DC, 2003.

IDOT Design Manual, Chapter 81. Available at: <<http://www.in.gov/dot/div/contracts/standards/dm-Archived/10English/Part8/ECh81/DECh81.pdf>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

MDDOT WorkZone Analysis Guide, September 2008. Available at: <[http://www.marylandroads.com/OOTS/WorkZoneAnalysisGuide\\_Sept08.pdf](http://www.marylandroads.com/OOTS/WorkZoneAnalysisGuide_Sept08.pdf)> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

ODOT District 6, Contraflow Brochure, Online PDF. Available at: <<http://www.dot.state.oh.us/districts/D06/projects/Documents/Contra%20Flow%20Brochure.pdf>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

## Figure References

1. FOCUS Accelerating Infrastructure Innovations, FHWA Publication FHWA-HRT-11-011, March 2011. Available at: <<http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/publications/focus/11mar/11mar03.cfm>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

2. MUTCD, 2009 Edition, Figure 6H-40. Available at: <[http://mutcd.fhwa.dot.gov/html/2009/part6/fig6h\\_40\\_longdesc.htm](http://mutcd.fhwa.dot.gov/html/2009/part6/fig6h_40_longdesc.htm)> (Accessed April 9, 2012).
3. MnDOT DO, Interstate 35- Duluth Mega Project. Available at: <<http://www.dot.state.mn.us/duluthmegaproject>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).
4. MUTCD, 2009 Edition, Figure 6H-39. Available at <<http://mutcd.fhwa.dot.gov/pdfs/2009/part6.pdf>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

## **HOV Lanes/HOT Lanes**

### **Description and Objective**

A High-Occupancy-Vehicle lane (HOV lane), often referred to as a carpool lane, is a lane dedicated to those traveling with at least one passenger in addition to the driver in the vehicle. HOV lanes are also often used by busses, and are designated with signing and pavement marking, or a physical barrier in some cases. They may be operated as HOVs permanently, or only during peak hours. Typically, HOV lanes are patrolled by law enforcement, and violators are ticketed.

High Occupancy Toll lanes (HOT lanes) are similar to HOV lanes, but instead of being exclusively for vehicles with passengers, they are also open to motorists without passengers but who are willing to pay a toll to use them. Hours of tolling operation may be based on the level of congestion or prevailing speed in the HOT lane, or in the adjacent single-vehicle lanes, or on time-of-day.

The objective of this treatment is to reduce nonrecurrent congestion by temporarily opening an HOV (or HOT) lane to all traffic. During an incident that causes one or more lanes to be blocked, the HOV lane is opened to all traffic, increasing the roadway capacity and allowing the queue to dissipate. Once the incident is cleared and traffic flow returns to normal, the HOV lane can resume normal operation, as illustrated in Figures 57 and 58.



**Figure 57. HOV Lane (1)**



**Figure 58. HOV Lane in Atlanta (2)**

## Typical Applications

HOV/HOT lanes are widely used around the country to encourage carpooling and transit ridership along heavy commuter routes. They are often retrofitted on existing roadway cross-sections by using some or all of the shoulder and striping narrower general purpose lanes. In circumstances of strong directional traffic, a contraflow lane may be used as an HOV or bus lane. This lane may be separated from opposing traffic by a moveable barrier or flexible delineators that are removed during those times of day when the contraflow lane is not in use. Sometimes, additional lanes are built in the median of a divided freeway as dedicated HOV or HOT lanes. These lanes may be reversible, operating in the peak direction by time of day, or they may serve both directions in two-way operation. HOV/HOT lanes may be separated from general purpose lanes with concrete barriers, double-line striping, single-line solid striping, a buffer area or shoulder, or other special delineation. HOV lanes may have restricted ingress and egress or may



allow vehicles to enter and exit at any point along the lane. Most HOT lanes have restricted access so that single-user vehicles that are required to pay the toll for using the lane can be accounted for at tolling locations. In some applications, HOV/HOT lanes have dedicated ramps and fly-overs to connect the HOV/HOT lane on one route to the HOV/HOT lane on another route, eliminating the need for HOV/HOT lane users to merge with general traffic to exit and enter.

FHWA's 21st Century Operations using 21st Century Technologies reports that there are over 1,000 mi of freeway HOV facilities in over 27 metropolitan areas around the United States. <http://ops.fhwa.dot.gov/freewaymgmt/faq.htm#faq7>.

## Design Criteria

Four distinct facility types can be used in the design of HOV lanes. These include:

- Concurrent flow HOV lanes
- Contra-flow HOV lanes
- Two-way barrier separated HOV facilities
- HOV lanes in separate rights-of-way

A concurrent flow HOV lane may be separated from adjacent traffic by a narrow buffer area. The buffer area is delineated by a solid white edgeline on the inside and a solid double yellow line on the outside. Both pavement markings and static signs are used to inform motorists of the intent of the inside lane.

A contra-flow HOV lane uses the leftmost lane in the low-volume direction to accommodate HOV traffic in the opposing direction. The lane can be separated from the rest of the facility by removable flexible delineators. Limiting a contraflow lane to buses and vanpools ensures that the drivers are trained to safely navigate while traveling in the opposing direction of adjacent traffic. Removable delineators allow the lane to be switched from normal operation to contraflow and back by time-of-day.

In some cases, a separate HOV facility is constructed between the opposing lanes of freeway traffic. The HOV lanes operate in one direction during a.m. peak periods and the opposite direction during p.m. periods. The HOV facility is separated from general traffic by permanent concrete barriers or by shoulders and grass medians.

The fourth type of HOV facility, where the lanes are in separate rights-of-way from the general traffic, is often for dedicated bus routes or other rapid transit lines. Because these facilities do not interact with the freeway in the same way as the other three types of facilities (i.e., they are not designed to facilitate vehicles moving back and forth between the general purpose lanes and the HOV lanes), they probably have less potential to reduce nonrecurrent congestion.

Each highway agency may have its own design standards for each of the four types of HOV facilities, but AASHTO has published a Guide for High-Occupancy Vehicle Facilities, which

provides desired and minimum cross-section designs, as well as design guidance for ramps, flyovers, tapers, enforcement areas, transitions, and bus stops.

When choosing an HOV facility design, thought should be given to the ease with which reversible lanes can be flushed and redirected, the frequency of ingress and egress points along barrier-separated facilities, the ease with which barriers or delineators can be moved, the width of shoulders and how easily they could be used as lanes, and other factors that may allow HOV lanes to be converted to general purpose lanes in the event of severe nonrecurrent congestion.

## How Treatment Reduces Nonrecurrent Congestion

### *Increases Capacity of Segment During an Incident*

In many cases, there is not sufficient demand of HOV vehicles for an HOV lane to operate at capacity. Even when traffic operations break down and speeds decrease in the normal lanes, the HOV lanes may continue to operate well below capacity.

When a major incident takes place and leads to significant queuing on the freeway, the HOV lane may be opened to all traffic. Vehicles will then spread over all lanes equally, resulting in an increase in capacity of the roadway segment. After the incident is cleared and the queue dissipates, the HOV lane may again be closed to non-HOV traffic.

It should be noted that opening a HOV lane to all traffic does have a negative impact on the HOV traffic specifically, because the advantage of carpooling or riding the bus is eliminated during the period when the lane is open to all traffic. For this reason, such action is recommended in response to major incidents only.

## Factors Influencing Treatment Effectiveness

Factors that may influence the effectiveness of HOV/HOT lanes at reducing nonrecurrent congestion include:

- Demand to capacity ratio of HOV lane
- Type of separation between HOV lane and normal lanes (pavement marking only vs. barrier)
- Infrastructure for notifying drivers of lane opening (e.g., dynamic message boards)

## Cost

Factors that may affect the cost of opening an HOV lane to general traffic include:

- Improvements to infrastructure for notifying drivers of lane opening

- Loss of toll revenue during period when HOT lane is open to all traffic for free
- Dynamic message board construction and operation costs
- Costs of additional staff to monitor roadway conditions and initiate opening of HOV lane to all traffic

In some cases, this treatment may be implemented for a negligible cost, particularly along freeways with existing Traffic Management Centers. If infrastructure improvements are needed, costs may range from thousands to tens of thousands of dollars.

## Key References

AASHTO, Guide for High-Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) Facilities, 3rd Edition, 2004.

FHWA Freeway Management Program, *21st Century Operations Using 21st Century Technologies FAQ's*. Available at: <<http://ops.fhwa.dot.gov/freewaymgmt/faq.htm#faq7>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

## Figure References

1. Managed Lane Chapter for the Freeway Management and Operations Handbook, January 2011. Available at: <[http://ops.fhwa.dot.gov/freewaymgmt/publications/frwy\\_mgmt\\_handbook/revision/jan2011/mgdlaneschp8/fmoh\\_mgdlaneschp8.pdf](http://ops.fhwa.dot.gov/freewaymgmt/publications/frwy_mgmt_handbook/revision/jan2011/mgdlaneschp8/fmoh_mgdlaneschp8.pdf)> (Accessed April 9, 2012).
2. FHWA, Office of Planning, Environment, & Realty (HEP), *Transportation Conformity Brochure*, FHWA-HEP-1-030. Online: <[http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/air\\_quality/conformity/con\\_broc.cfm](http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/air_quality/conformity/con_broc.cfm)>

## Dual Facilities

### Description and Objective

Dual facilities provide additional freeway-managed lanes, not simply set aside by striping or barriers, but a second set of mainline facilities that are separate, parallel, and adjacent to the primary freeway. A “dual-dual” configuration may allow trucks and passenger cars to utilize separate facilities within the same freeway envelope. The inner roadway may be dedicated to passenger cars and light vehicles whereas the outer roadway is available to all vehicles, including heavier trucks and buses. Each separate roadway has its own entrance and exit ramps.

The purpose of a dual facility is to separate the traffic streams between disparate types of vehicles that would normally be combined in mixed-flow lanes. Objectives for this separation include enhanced traffic safety, opportunities for alternative treatments of different user types, and reduced congestion.

## Typical Applications

The New Jersey Turnpike is the primary example of a dual facility freeway. It has over 30 mi of inner lanes (for passenger cars) and outer lanes (for truck/bus/car) within the same right-of-way. For most of this length (23 mi), the inner and outer roadways have three lanes in each direction. On one 10-mi section, the outer roadway has two lanes per direction and the inner roadway has three lanes per direction. Each roadway has 12-ft lanes and shoulders, and the inner and outer roadways are barrier-separated. Figure 59 and 60 present photos of the dual facility freeway in New Jersey. Figure 61 presents a photo of interchange bypass lanes in Los Angeles.



**Figure 59. Signage at Entrance Ramps, New Jersey Turnpike (1)**



**Figure 60. Dual Facilities Along the New Jersey Turnpike (2)**



**Figure 61. Interchange Bypass Lanes in Los Angeles (3)**

Using gates and/or signage, operators can limit access to a particular roadway as needed, to manage typical demand peaks as well as to respond to incidents and other nonrecurring events. The result is a roadway that operates efficiently because turbulence in the traffic flow is minimized.

When the New Jersey Turnpike experiences an incident that closes the outer roadway and traffic is diverted into the inner roadway, lane restrictions are employed on the inner roadway. Regulatory signs reading “NO TRUCKS OR BUSES IN LEFT LANE” are displayed. Passenger cars are also restricted by the following regulatory sign message: “CARS USE LEFT LANE FOR PASSING ONLY.”

## Design Criteria

When evaluating a route to determine whether a dual facility will be good fit, the following items should be considered:

- Merging zones
- Exit/entrance ramps
- Interchange ramps
- Access to local interchanges
- Perform heavy truck flow survey
- Perform toll analysis
- Perform demand analysis
- Overcoming problematic use of current ramps (may not be suitable for heavy trucks)

Dual facilities offer a unique opportunity for handling nonrecurrent congestion. The major benefit to motorists is having a supplemental adjacent freeway available, on which to divert. On a typical freeway, when nonrecurrent congestion occurs as the result of an incident that blocks a

lane, the impact to delay can be significant, with some of the affected traffic diverting onto the surface street network. However, when this type of incident occurs on a dual facility freeway, the first alternative route available is the adjacent, parallel, controlled-access roadway. For many motorists, this is a more favorable alternative than the surface street network. This is particularly true during hours when the freeway is not operating at capacity. In the case of a full road closure, where all lanes in one direction on either the inner or outer roadways are closed, the value of a dual facility as a diversion route is significant.

## How Treatment Reduces Nonrecurrent Congestion

### *Reduce Frequency of Incidents*

The Texas Transportation Institute (TTI) reported a three-year comparison of the crash rates for the dual facilities portion of the New Jersey Turnpike with those of its non-dual portion. Although the data are mixed, the inner roadway, which carries no trucks, tended to have a lower crash rate than either the outer roadway (largely truck traffic) or the mixed-flow non-dual segment of the Turnpike. TTI also noted that crashes between passenger cars could be expected to be less severe than crashes involving trucks.

Fischer et al., from a 2003 TRB article, wrote that safety benefits of separating auto and truck traffic may be one of the most important benefits of truck lanes, which are not properly accounted for in many studies.

By reducing the frequency of crashes, this treatment also reduces the nonrecurrent congestion associated with these crashes. Reducing the number of times that a lane is blocked by a crash-involved vehicle reduces congestion and improves reliability.

### *Increases Base Capacity of a Segment*

The operational benefits of dual facilities are expected to result from separating small vehicles from large vehicles. These different vehicle fleets have different operating characteristics such as acceleration/deceleration rates, visibility, conspicuity, etc. Separating these vehicle classes into separate facilities enhances the uniformity of operations within each roadway, and thereby improves traffic operations.

This increase in base capacity causes a reduction in the demand to capacity (d/c) ratio, a key factor in nonrecurrent congestion. The lower the d/c ratio, the less negative impact a lane-blocking incident has on roadway operations, and the greater the reliability of the roadway segment.

## Factors Influencing Treatment Effectiveness

Factors that may influence the effectiveness of dual facilities in reducing nonrecurrent congestion include:

- Demand volumes (all traffic)
- Proportion of all traffic made up of heavy vehicles
- Crash frequency
- Capability of warning motorists of congested conditions in advance, in order to allow them to divert onto the non-congested facility

Dual facilities will be most effective at reducing nonrecurrent congestion along freeways with high demand volumes, a high proportion of heavy vehicle traffic, and high crash rates.

## Cost

Construction of dual facilities is generally very expensive, potentially including the purchase of right-of-way, extensive design work, as well as roadway and bridge construction costs.

## Key References

FHWA Managed Lanes: A Cross Cutting Survey. February 2006. Available at: <[http://ops.fhwa.dot.gov/freewaymgmt/publications/managed\\_lanes/crosscuttingstudy/chapter4.htm](http://ops.fhwa.dot.gov/freewaymgmt/publications/managed_lanes/crosscuttingstudy/chapter4.htm)> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

Fischer, M. J., D. N. Ahanoutu, and J. M. Waliszewski, Planning Truck-Only Lanes: Emerging Lessons from the Southern California Experience. Available at: <[http://www.ltrc.lsu.edu/TRB\\_82/TRB2003-002048.pdf](http://www.ltrc.lsu.edu/TRB_82/TRB2003-002048.pdf)> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

Keller, J. M., and J. L. Williams, Presentation New Jersey Turnpike Authority, December 2008. Available at: <<http://www.njturnpikewidening.com/documents/Program%20Widening%20Presentation.pdf>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

Middleton, D., “Truck Accommodation Design Guidance: Policy Maker Workshop,” Texas Transportation Institute, 2003. Available at: <<http://tti.tamu.edu/documents/4364-3.pdf>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

Washburn, M., Florida’s Turnpike Enterprise Incident Management, Florida’s Turnpike Extension Presentation. Available at: <<http://www.itstranspo.org/TranspoPP%20TurnpikeIM-%20Washburn.pdf>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

## Figure References

1. FHWA, Freeway Management and Operations Handbook, Chapter 8-Managed Lanes, November 2006. Available at: <[http://ops.fhwa.dot.gov/freewaymgmt/publications/frwy\\_mgmt\\_handbook/chapter8\\_01.htm](http://ops.fhwa.dot.gov/freewaymgmt/publications/frwy_mgmt_handbook/chapter8_01.htm)> (Accessed April 9, 2012).
2. Keller, J. M., and J. L. Williams, Presentation New Jersey Turnpike Authority, December 2008. Available at: <<http://www.njturnpikewidening.com/documents/Program%20Widening%20Presentation.pdf>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

- Middleton, D., “Truck Accommodation Design Guidance: Policy Maker Workshop,” Texas Transportation Institute, 2003. Available at: <<http://tti.tamu.edu/documents/4364-3.pdf>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

## Reversible Lanes

### Description and Objective

Reversible lanes are those on which traffic flows in one direction during certain times of day and in the opposite direction during other times of day. Reversible lanes can be used to add capacity in the direction of dominant flow for general purpose traffic or for limited traffic such as buses or high-occupancy vehicles (HOVs).

The objective of reversible lanes is to address unbalanced flow, which typically occurs during the morning and evening peak travel times on routes heavily traveled by commuters in metropolitan areas. The purpose is to match directional lane capacity to the proportion of directional traffic flow. Rather than adding capacity to both directions of travel, which do not require the additional capacity at the same time, fewer lanes can be constructed and shared between the two directions, accommodating each direction as needed. Because reversible lanes may be opened to a given direction of travel in response to nonrecurrent events (e.g., lane-blocking crash, work zone), they are a useful treatment for reducing nonrecurrent congestion.

### Typical Applications

Reversible lanes are used on both freeways and arterials. On freeways, the most common application is one or more lanes located in the median. These lanes may be separated from the general purpose lanes by a barrier or median. They have limited ingress and egress points which can be directionally switched by time of day, so that the lanes are open to one direction of traffic during the morning peak and another in the evening peak.

On arterials, these lanes are often not separated from the adjacent lanes but are indicated by special striping and signs. Changeable lane-use signs may be placed overhead at certain locations along the reversible lanes to indicate the direction in which the lanes are operating at any given time. Arterial reversible lanes are sometimes used to handle daily recurrent congestion, but are also often used to accommodate traffic for special events near sports stadiums (see Figure 62), arenas, and other venues.





**Figure 62. Reversible Lane Used for Clearing Stadium Traffic in Baltimore (1)**

## Design Criteria

The AASHTO *Green Book* provides design guidance for HOV reversible configurations. These elements include transition areas (between the general purpose lanes and the reversible lanes), entry and exit points at ramps and mid-segment, and cross-section width.

NCHRP Synthesis 340, *Convertible Roadways and Lanes: Synthesis of Highway Practice*, suggests that the design criteria for many characteristics of reversible roadways are identical to the standards set forth in the MUTCD and AASHTO *Green Book*. This may be because many reversible lanes in use were originally designed for conventional use and have since been retrofitted as reversible lanes. However, the report notes that on newer facilities that are being constructed as reversible lanes, and on freeways where physical lane separation is necessary, special design treatments may be necessary.

Figures 63 and 64 illustrate reversible lanes in Seattle and San Diego, respectively.



**Figure 63. Reversible Express Lane in Seattle, Washington (2)**



**Figure 64. Reversible Lane on I-15 in San Diego, California (3)**

## How Treatment Reduces Nonrecurrent Congestion

### *Increases the Capacity of Segment During an Incident*

Reversible lanes can be opened during non-peak periods in response to lane-blocking events. Nonrecurrent lane-blocking events include things like a major crash or a temporary work zone. In these cases, the decreased capacity caused by the nonrecurrent event is mitigated by that additional capacity provided by the reversible lane. By increasing capacity at these times, this treatment can reduce nonrecurrent congestion and improve reliability.

## Factors Influencing Treatment Effectiveness

Very few evaluations have been performed to measure the operational or safety effectiveness of reversible lane facilities. Those studies that have addressed operational effectiveness typically measured operational effectiveness either in terms of traffic volume, travel time, and/or travel speed increases following the implementation of the reversible lanes. For example, an evaluation of a reversible lane installed in Dearborn, Michigan reported increases in total traffic volumes between 3 percent and 7 percent. On the same facility, travel time was reduced by approximately 16 percent and travel speeds increased approximately 21 percent.

The effectiveness of reversible lanes at reducing nonrecurrent congestion will be based on:

- Number of times that a reversible lane will be deployed in response to a congestion-causing incident
- Traffic demand levels during non-peak times (because, assumedly, the reversible lane will already be open in the given direction during peak times, and cannot be deployed in response to a congestion-causing event)
- Percent capacity added to the roadway by the opening of the reversible lane
- Duration of time that passes between a congestion-causing event occurring and the opening of the reversible lane

## Cost

Implementation of reversible lanes is widely considered one of the most cost-effective methods of increasing peak-period capacity along existing streets. Several direct costs associated with the maintenance/operations of reversible lanes include:

- Pavement construction (if applicable)
- Median reconstruction
- Law enforcement to prevent violations of lane-use restrictions
- Personnel to setup and remove traffic control devices
- Staff to operate and strategically manage the system

## Key References

AASHTO, *A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets*, American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, Washington, DC, 2004.

Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning, Online Content. Online: <<http://www.goto2040.org/ideazone/forum.aspx?id=758>>.

McGraw Hill, Reversible Lanes, Online Content. Online: <<http://books.mcgraw-hill.com/EST10/site/supparticles/Reversible-express-lanes.pdf>>.

Stone, M., “Reversible Elevated Express Lanes, A Solution for Urban Congestion”, Tampa-Hillsborough Expressway Authority, Online: <<http://www.ibtta.org/files/PDFs/Reversible%20Elevated%20Express%20Lanes%20THEA.pdf>>.

*Traffic Engineering Handbook*, 5th Edition, Institute of Traffic Engineers, 1999.

Wolshon, B., and L. Lambert, *Convertible Roadways and Lanes: Synthesis of Highway Practice*. NCHRP Synthesis 340, Transportation Research Board, Washington, DC, 2004.

Wolshon, B., and L. Lambert, “Planning and Operational Practices for Reversible Roadways,” *ITE Journal*, Institute of Transportation Engineers, August 2006.

## Figure References

1. Taken by SHRP 2 Project L07 research team.
2. FHWA, *Managed Lane Chapter for the Freeway Management and Operations Handbook*, January 2011. Online: <[http://ops.fhwa.dot.gov/freewaymgmt/publications/frwy\\_mgmt\\_handbook/revision/jan2011/mgdlaneschp8/fmoh\\_mgdlaneschp8.pdf](http://ops.fhwa.dot.gov/freewaymgmt/publications/frwy_mgmt_handbook/revision/jan2011/mgdlaneschp8/fmoh_mgdlaneschp8.pdf)>.
3. FHWA/USDOT, 21st Century Operations Using 21st Century Technologies, Updated Chapter January 2011 Managed Lane Chapter for the Freeway Management Operations Handbook, January 2011. Online: <[http://ops.fhwa.dot.gov/freewaymgmt/publications/frwy\\_mgmt\\_handbook/revision/jan2011/mgdlaneschp8/sec8.htm](http://ops.fhwa.dot.gov/freewaymgmt/publications/frwy_mgmt_handbook/revision/jan2011/mgdlaneschp8/sec8.htm)>.

## Work Zone Express Lanes

### Description and Objective

This treatment involves the construction of express lanes that bypass a freeway work zone without any additional entry or exit points. The targeted application of this treatment is in freeway environments when entire directions of travel must be closed in order to allow for new roadway construction and improvements. Because of the limited access of this treatment, it is naturally complemented with exterior frontage roads to handle traffic that has entry or exit needs within the work zone area.

The objective of the work zone express lanes is to allow traffic to move through a work zone area without being inhibited by an arterial street environment. When extensive construction exists along a freeway, traffic must either be diverted to local streets or directed along specially designated express lanes. For vehicles that have no local demand within the work zone area, an express lane provides a safe and efficient route through what might otherwise become a congested area. This congestion can be due, in part, to turbulence resulting from excessive weaving and turning maneuvers under high volumes. Figure 65 shows a work zone express lane used in a construction project in Tucson, Arizona. Figure 66 illustrates an express lanes in Orange County, California.



**Figure 65. Work Zone Express Lane Along I-10 in Tucson, Arizona (1)**



*Cofiroute (formerly California Private Transportation Company)*

**Figure 66. Express Lanes on State Route 91 in Orange County, California (2)**

## How Treatment Reduces Nonrecurrent Congestion

### *Increases the Capacity of a Segment During a Work Zone*

Work zone express lanes increase the capacity of a segment by eliminating the weaving and turbulence created by entering and exiting vehicles. The work zone will likely cause reduced capacity for the duration of the construction project, and work zone express lanes can be an effective tool in mitigating the capacity decrease caused by the work zone. By increasing capacity during the period of the work zone, this treatment can reduce the amount of nonrecurrent congestion caused by the work zone, thereby improving reliability.

## Factors Influencing Treatment Effectiveness

Factors that may influence the effectiveness of work zone express lanes at reducing nonrecurrent congestion include:

- Demand volumes
- Duration of work zone
- Capacity decrease expected due to the work zone (e.g., one lane of a three-lane cross-section is closed)
- Capacity of the express lanes

## Cost

The cost of installing a work zone express lane will vary based on the length of roadway to be constructed, the availability of right of way, and the extent of traffic control needed.

## Key References

*Interstate 10: Tucson District*, Arizona Department of Transportation, Available at: <http://www.i10tucsondistrict.com> (Accessed April 3, 2012).

## Figure References

1. *Interstate 10: Tucson District*, Arizona Department of Transportation, Available at: <http://www.i10tucsondistrict.com> (Accessed April 3, 2012).
2. Dornan, D., and J. March, *Direct User Charges*, Public Roads Volume 69 Â No. 1, FHWA-HRT-05-006, July/August 2005. Online: <http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/publications/publicroads/05jul/01.cfm>.

## Traffic Signals and Traffic Control

### Traffic Signal Preemption

#### Description and Objective

Traffic signal preemption is a system that allows the normal operation of traffic signals to be interrupted. Typically, traffic signal preemption systems give priority to emergency vehicles by changing traffic signals in the path of the approaching emergency vehicles to green (or in some cases, flashing green) and stopping cross traffic. The objective of traffic signal preemption


systems is to reduce the response time of emergency vehicles. Preemption systems also reduce the likelihood of a secondary incident involving an emergency vehicle en route to an incident.

There are many traffic signal preemption technologies employed today including light-based, infrared-based, sound-based, and radio-based emitter/detector systems. Stakeholders need to gather information and consider key operational features and interoperability requirements as they plan deployments of such systems. The FHWA Timing Manual outlines several types of technologies are available to detect vehicle requesting preemption, and include the use of light (strobe), sound (siren), pavement loops, radio transmission, and push buttons. Table 2 summarizes several technical considerations for the various preemption technologies:


**Table 2. Summary of Technical Considerations of Various Traffic Signal Preemption Options** (<[http://ntl.bts.gov/lib/jpodocs/repts\\_te/14097\\_files/14097.pdf](http://ntl.bts.gov/lib/jpodocs/repts_te/14097_files/14097.pdf)>)

Technology consideration	Strobe activated	Siren activated	Radio activated
Dedicated vehicle emitter required	Yes	No	Yes
Susceptible to electronic noise interference	No	No	Yes
Clear line of sight required	Yes	No	No
Affected by weather	Yes	No	No
Possible preemption of other approaches	No	Yes	Yes

Figure 67 shows an example of an emergency vehicle preemption using optical detection. Figure 68 illustrates a sound detection priority system.



**Figure 67. Preemption Signal (Artist Rendering) (1)**



**Figure 68. Sound Detection Priority System (2)**

A recent FHWA report, *Traffic Signal Preemption for Emergency Vehicle: A Cross-Cutting Study*, identifies issues associated with emergency vehicle preemption. Based on three jurisdictions that operate traffic signal preemption systems, the report identifies the following benefits of the systems:

- Reduction in response times.
- Reduction in the number of emergency vehicle crashes.
- Ability to achieve the same response times with fewer fire/rescue and EMS stations than would normally be required as a result of the traffic signal preemption system. This benefit provides significant cost savings.

## How Treatment Reduces Nonrecurrent Congestion

### *Reduces Emergency Response Time*

This treatment allows emergency personnel to navigate through an intersection more quickly, thereby reducing their response time to an incident scene. This reduces the period of time during which disabled vehicles remain in the roadway or on the roadway shoulder. By reducing the lane-blocking time an incident, the nonrecurrent congestion associated with that incident is reduced, and reliability is improved.

## Factors Influencing Treatment Effectiveness

Factors that may influence the effectiveness of signal preemption systems at reducing nonrecurrent congestion include:

- Frequency of system use
- Average travel time savings for emergency personnel using system
- Frequency of crashes involving emergency personnel en route to another incident scene



## Cost

Factors that may affect the cost of constructing and operating a traffic preemption system include:

- Technology type (light-based, sound-based, etc.)
- Number of units purchased
- Intersection cost variables
  - Availability of power on the mast arm
  - The need to run new power and/or communication cables through existing conduit
  - Availability of suitable detector placement locations
- Existing emergency vehicle provisions for housing the power supply and emitter

## Key References

Brandolph, A., Pittsburgh wary of remote override of traffic signals because of street layout, Pittsburgh Tribune Review, August 14, 2011. Available at: <[http://www.pittsburghlive.com/x/pittsburghtrib/news/pittsburgh/s\\_751511.html](http://www.pittsburghlive.com/x/pittsburghtrib/news/pittsburgh/s_751511.html)> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

Klein, L., Mills, M., & D. Gibson., *Traffic Detector Handbook: Third Edition-Volume I*, FHWA-HRT-06-108, October 2006. Available at: <<http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/publications/research/operations/its/06108/03.cfm>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

Koonce, P., L. Rodegerdts, K. Lee, S. Quayle, S. Beaird, C. Braud, J. Bonneson, P. Tarnoff, and T. Urbanik., Traffic Signal Timing Manual, FHWA, June 2008. Available at: <[http://ops.fhwa.dot.gov/publications/fhwahop08024/fhwa\\_hop\\_08\\_024.pdf](http://ops.fhwa.dot.gov/publications/fhwahop08024/fhwa_hop_08_024.pdf)> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

FHWA, *Traffic Signal Preemption for Emergency Vehicles: A Cross-Cutting Study*, Report No. FHWA-JPO-05-010, Federal Highway Administration, January 2006. Available at: <[http://ntl.bts.gov/lib/jpodocs/repts\\_te/14097\\_files/14097.pdf](http://ntl.bts.gov/lib/jpodocs/repts_te/14097_files/14097.pdf)> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

RITA, U.S. Department of Transportation Research and Innovative Technology Administration, 2012. Available at: <<http://www.itscosts.its.dot.gov>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

## Figure References


1. Koonce, P., L. Rodegerdts, K. Lee, S. Quayle, S. Beaird, C. Braud, J. Bonneson, P. Tarnoff, and T. Urbanik., Traffic Signal Timing Manual, FHWA, June 2008. Available at: <[http://ops.fhwa.dot.gov/publications/fhwahop08024/fhwa\\_hop\\_08\\_024.pdf](http://ops.fhwa.dot.gov/publications/fhwahop08024/fhwa_hop_08_024.pdf)> (Accessed April 9, 2012).
2. Klein, L., M. Mills, and D. Gibson, *Traffic Detector Handbook: Third Edition-Volume I*, FHWA-HRT-06-108, October 2006. Available at: <<http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/publications/research/operations/its/06108/03.cfm>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

## Queue Jump and Bypass Lanes

### Description and Objective

Queue jump lanes are built at congested intersections or ramps for the purpose of allowing transit vehicles, HOVs, or toll-paying vehicles to bypass the queue at the signal or ramp meter. One example of a queue jump lane is a short lane provided at the signal approach and continued for a short distance beyond the signal. Typically, this lane is dedicated to transit or HOVs, and the lane is controlled by a dedicated signal that allows this lane to clear before releasing adjacent traffic.

Figure 69 shows a queue jump lane which uses only existing lanes and allows transit vehicles to jump to the front of the queue by moving the stop bar for adjacent traffic back from the signal several yards. This application can be used when one lane approaching the signal is dedicated for buses or other HOVs. In this scenario, the vehicles jumping the queue do not require special signal timing because they are already positioned in front of the queue when the signal turns green.




**Figure 69. Example Queue Jump Lane With Stop Bar Located Several Yards Back From the Intersection (1)**

The working mechanisms of queue jump lanes are Traffic Signal Priority (TSP) devices. Traffic signal priority devices are communication means that connects the traffic signal with the bus presence.

Bus bypass lanes (BBL) are built on roadways where considerable bus traffic and considerable mixed-traffic exists. BBL are used to bypass the congestion of mixed traffic and minimize the mixed traffic and bus interaction.

The primary objective of queue jump lanes and bypass lanes is to allow higher-capacity vehicles to advance to the front of the queue at a signal, reducing the delay caused by the signal

and improving the operational efficiency of the transit system. However, this objective relates exclusively to recurrent congestion. The objectives of a queue jump or bypass lane at reducing nonrecurrent congestion include: (1) reducing emergency response time, and (2) providing a path for traffic around a lane-blocking incident. Figure 70 presents an example of a bus bypass lane. Figures 71 through 73 present examples of queue jump lanes.




**Figure 70. Example of Bus Bypass Lane (2)**



**Figure 71. Queue Jump lane Example in Ottawa, Canada (3)**



**Figure 72. Queue Jump Lane on Metered Ramp in Minnesota (4)**



**Notes:**

- 1.) Right-turn movements are prohibited in this scenario.
- 2.) This type of queue jump lane may also be employed with a curbside bus-only lane.
- 3.) Effectiveness will be improved if the queue jump lane is integrated with transit signal priority.

**Figure 73. Queue Jump Lane With Advance Stop Bar (5)**

## Typical Applications

Queue jump lanes are installed at intersections. The queue jump lane can be a right-turn only lane, permitting straight through movements for buses only. They can also be installed between right-turn and through lanes. In Minneapolis/St. Paul, queue jump lanes are provided at several metered ramps to allow carpools, buses, and motorcycles to bypass any queues formed at the ramp meter.

Bus bypass lanes are roadway lanes that are dedicated to bus/metro use only. Bus bypass lanes could be installed on the right-most lane or shoulder of high-volume arterials and freeways. In Kansas City, Missouri, bus bypass lanes are installed along the curbside edge, which eliminates roadside parking, but allows passenger embarking/disembarking to continue without affecting roadway traffic.

## Design Criteria

Installations of queue jump lanes typically involve modifying signal timing plans at the intersections. Design considerations include signing, lane and shoulder widths, merging area (where queued vehicles and bypassing vehicles have to merge together), sight distance, etc. There are three types of systems used for Traffic Signal Priority (TSP): Optical, Wayside Reader, and “Smart Loop.”

TCRP Report 19 indicates that queue jumper bus bays may be considered on arterial street intersections where the following factors are present:

- High-frequency bus routes have an average headway of 15 minutes or less.
- Traffic volumes exceed 250 vehicles per hour in the curb lane during the peak hour.
- The intersection operates at a level of Service “D” or worse.
- Lane acquisitions are feasible and costs are affordable.
- An exclusive bus lane, in addition to the right-turn lane, should be considered when right-turn volumes exceed 400 vehicles per hour during the peak hour.

There are multiple variations of the use of bus bypass lanes that are found on mainline freeways and ramps. One variation is the use of a shoulder or added constructed lane on the right side of the road that is only utilized by buses. This lane ends with a lane drop where the bus bypass lane merges with the right most lane of roadway. The lane is divided into 3 sections, the initial section is the *entrance merge* where buses can enter the bus bypass lane, the second section is a *normal queue length*, a length of roadway where the bus lane is a uniform width running in alignment with the roadway. The third section of the bus bypass lane is the *drop section*, this is where the roadway merges left into the most right lane over a distance. Ample markings and signage should occur just prior and within this section of roadway, notifying both mainline traffic and bus traffic of the lane merge.

The second type of bus bypass lane is a convergence ramp. The convergence ramp is where buses can bypass potential congested traffic that enter freeway with the use of an additional travel lane on entrance ramp. The convergence ramp is composed into three sections, the initial section is the *entrance merge* where buses can enter the bus bypass lane, the second section is a *normal queue length*, a length of roadway where the bus lane is a uniform width running in alignment with the roadway. The third section of the convergence ramp is the *convergence section*, this is where the roadway expands into an additional lane with the ramp lane and bus lane. Ample markings and signage should occur just prior and within this section of roadway, notifying both mainline traffic and bus traffic of the lane merge.

## How Treatment Reduces Nonrecurrent Congestion

### *Reduces Emergency Response Time*

Queue jump or bypass lanes can be used by emergency personnel to bypass queued vehicles while en route to an incident scene. Reducing emergency response time reduces the amount of time that an incident-involved vehicle remains on the roadway blocking a lane. Reducing this lane-blocking time reduces the congestion associated with these incidents, leading to improved reliability.

### *Increases Capacity of Segment During an Incident*

In the event that an incident occurs on an intersection approach and does not block access to the queue jump (or bypass) lane, the lane may provide traffic access around the incident until the incident can be cleared. By increasing capacity during a lane-blocking incident, this treatment can reduce nonrecurrent congestion and improve reliability.

## Factors Influencing Treatment Effectiveness

Factors that may influence the effectiveness of queue jump and bypass lanes at reducing nonrecurrent congestion include:

- Length of queue jump or bypass lane (longer lanes will be easier for emergency response personnel to access when queues exist)
- Traffic demand levels
- Incident frequency
- Local policies encouraging or discouraging general traffic to bypass an incident using the queue jump lane

Roadways with high frequencies of lane-blocking incidents, high demand levels, and local policies encouraging general traffic to bypass an incident using the queue jump lane will be most positively affected by this treatment. Queue jump and bypass lane treatments are also more

effective where the bypass lane is sufficiently long to bypass the general traffic queue and the right-turn volume in the bypass lane is relatively low.

## Cost

Factors that may affect the cost of constructing queue jump or bypass lanes include:

- Need for additional right-of-way
- New pavement construction costs
- Traffic signal hardware/materials needed
- Modification of signal timing
- New signing
- Pavement markings
- Adjustments to roadway lighting or other utilities

## Key References

City of Ottawa, *Queue Jump with Advance Jump Bar*, 2012. Available at: <[http://ottawa.ca/en/roads\\_trans/driving/traffic/transit\\_priority/queue\\_jump/](http://ottawa.ca/en/roads_trans/driving/traffic/transit_priority/queue_jump/)> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

FHWA, *Ramp and Control Handbook Chapter 10*, 2012. Available at: <[http://ops.fhwa.dot.gov/publications/ramp\\_mgmt\\_handbook/manual/manual/10\\_1.htm#tooltip14](http://ops.fhwa.dot.gov/publications/ramp_mgmt_handbook/manual/manual/10_1.htm#tooltip14)> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

IBI Group (for City of Chula Vista), *Otay Ranch Transit Planning Study, Task 6 Capital Cost Estimates*, January 2008. Available at: <[http://www.ci.chula-vista.ca.us/City\\_Services/Development\\_Services/Planning\\_Building/About/documents/OR-Task6\\_CapCost-Final.pdf](http://www.ci.chula-vista.ca.us/City_Services/Development_Services/Planning_Building/About/documents/OR-Task6_CapCost-Final.pdf)> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

KCITE, *Transit Priority Queue Jump Lanes*, January 2009. Available at: <[http://www.kcite.org/files/KCITE\\_Presentation\\_2009-01-08.pdf](http://www.kcite.org/files/KCITE_Presentation_2009-01-08.pdf)> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

Kittleston & Associates, Inc., Herbert S. Levinson Transportation Consultants, & DMJM+Harris, *The Bus Rapid Transit Practitioner's Guide*, TCRP Report 118, 2007. Available at: <[http://www.community-wealth.org/\\_pdfs/tools/tod/tool-tcrp-bus-rapid-transit.pdf](http://www.community-wealth.org/_pdfs/tools/tod/tool-tcrp-bus-rapid-transit.pdf)> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

Levinson, H., S. Zimmerman, J. Clinger, J. Gast, S. Rutherford, and E. Bruhn, *Bus Rapid Transit Volume 2: Implementation Guidelines*, Federal Transit Administration, 2003. Available at: <[http://onlinepubs.trb.org/onlinepubs/tcrp/tcrp\\_rpt\\_90v2.pdf](http://onlinepubs.trb.org/onlinepubs/tcrp/tcrp_rpt_90v2.pdf)> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

Toronto Transit Commission, *Transit City Bus Plan*, August 2009. Available at: <[http://www3.ttc.ca/PDF/About\\_the\\_TTC/Transit\\_City\\_Bus\\_Plan.pdf](http://www3.ttc.ca/PDF/About_the_TTC/Transit_City_Bus_Plan.pdf)> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority, *Bus Rapid Transit Service Design Guidelines*, 2007. Available at: <[http://www.vta.org/projects/tsp/pdf/6\\_brt\\_service\\_design\\_guidelines.pdf](http://www.vta.org/projects/tsp/pdf/6_brt_service_design_guidelines.pdf)> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

Smith, H., B. Hemily, M. Ivanoic, and Gannett Fleming, Inc., *Transit Signal Priority (TSP): A Planning and Implementation Handbook*, May 2005. Available at: <<http://www.fta.dot.gov/documents/TSPHandbook10-20-05.pdf>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

Texas Transportation Institute, *Guidelines for the Location and Design of Bus Stops*, Transit Cooperative Research Program Report 19, TRB Washington DC, 1996.

## Figure References

1. City of Ottawa, *Queue Jump with Advance Jump Bar*, 2012. Available at: <[http://ottawa.ca/en/roads\\_trans/driving/traffic/transit\\_priority/queue\\_jump/](http://ottawa.ca/en/roads_trans/driving/traffic/transit_priority/queue_jump/)> (Accessed April 9, 2012).
2. KCITE, *Transit Priority Queue Jump Lanes*, January 2009. Available at: <[http://www.kcite.org/files/KCITE\\_Presentation\\_2009-01-08.pdf](http://www.kcite.org/files/KCITE_Presentation_2009-01-08.pdf)> (Accessed April 9, 2012).
3. Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority, *Bus Rapid Transit Service Design Guidelines*, 2007. Available at: <[http://www.vta.org/projects/tsp/pdf/6\\_brt\\_service\\_design\\_guidelines.pdf](http://www.vta.org/projects/tsp/pdf/6_brt_service_design_guidelines.pdf)> (Accessed April 9, 2012).
4. Taken by SHRP 2 Project L07 research team.
5. Levinson, H., S. Zimmerman, J. Clinger, J. Gast, S. Rutherford, and E. Bruhn, *Bus Rapid Transit Volume 2: Implementation Guidelines*, Federal Transit Administration, 2003. Available at: <[http://onlinepubs.trb.org/onlinepubs/tcrp/tcrp\\_rpt\\_90v2.pdf](http://onlinepubs.trb.org/onlinepubs/tcrp/tcrp_rpt_90v2.pdf)> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

## Traffic Signal Improvements

### Description and Objective

Traffic signal improvements encompass a wide range of specific actions or treatments at signalized intersections. These include improvements to the physical signal system itself, such as:

- Installing new signals
- Upgrading span-wire signals to permanent signals on poles and mast arms
- Adding supplementary signal heads to increase signal head visibility
- Increasing signal head size from 8 to 12 in
- Changing a three-head signal to a four- or five-head signal to allow for protected left turns



- Moving signal poles further from the roadway to minimize the likelihood of vehicles knocking them down
- Upgrading to signal controllers that can handle closed-loop, responsive, or adaptive signal timing plans
- Installing or upgrading vehicle detection at intersections (inductor loops or cameras)

Treatments may also include improvements to the signal timing plan, such as:

- Improving clearance intervals to maximize efficiency while taking safety concerns into consideration
- Changing phasing to add or remove protected left turns
- Implementing or improving coordination plans along a corridor
- Implementing responsive or adaptive signal timing systems
- Adjusting timing elements such as min green, max green, gap time, overlaps, etc.
- Providing pedestrian phases with pedestrian push buttons and signal heads

In addition, automated enforcement for red-light running or speed violations are signal-related improvements that may be implemented to reduce incidents caused or related to these violations.

The primary objective of any signal timing plan is to minimize conflicts at an intersection. Signal timing improvements and improvements to the signal hardware and infrastructure are often aimed at either improving the efficiency of the intersection (or corridor) or improving safety for vehicles, bicyclists, and pedestrians at the intersection. Figure 74 and 75 present examples of signal improvements.



**Figure 74. Example Traffic Signal in Iowa (I)**



**Figure 75. Lane-Aligned Signal Heads (2)**

## How Treatment Reduces Nonrecurrent Congestion

### *Reduces Crash Frequency*

Improvements to traffic signals may lead to a reduction in total crashes. By eliminating crashes, this treatment eliminates the congestion associated with these crashes, thereby improving reliability.

Federal Highway Administration and ITE published a document in September 2007 related to traffic signal timings and safety. The authors of this document found that the following improvements may decrease crash frequency:

- Signal retiming, phasing, and cycle improvements.
- Review and assurance of adequacy of yellow change interval/all-red clearance interval for safer travel through the intersection.
- Use of longer visors, louvers, backplates, and reflective borders.
- Installation of 12 inch signal lenses.
- Installation of additional signal heads for increased visibility.
- Provision of advance detection on the approaches so that vehicles are not in the dilemma zone when the signal turns yellow.
- Repositioning of signals to overhead (mast arm) instead of pedestal-mounted.
- Use of double red signal displays.
- Removal of signals from late night/early morning programmed flash.

## Factors Influencing Treatment Effectiveness

Factors that may influence the effectiveness of traffic signalization improvements at reducing nonrecurrent congestion include:

- Signal-related intersection delay prior to improvements
- Signal spacing through the corridor
- Vehicle detection prior to improvements
- Number of pedestrians using the intersection
- Number of special users near the intersection (e.g., children, bicyclists, senior citizens)
- Traffic demand volumes
- Intersection geometry and lane configuration
- Crash pattern and frequency prior to improvement
- Existing clearance intervals
- Visibility of signal heads

Traffic signalization improvements will provide the most benefit in locations with high crash rates and where demand levels are often near capacity.

## Cost

Signal timing improvements often have high benefit/cost ratios because the cost may be little more than a few hours of staff time to develop and implement an improved plan, while the benefit could be the reduction of several severe crashes. Improvements to vehicle detection (cameras or induction loops), signal controller upgrades, and replacement or addition of signal heads, backplates, and other infrastructure can cost from hundreds of dollars to tens of thousands of dollars per intersection.

## Key References

Bahar, G., M. Masliah, R. Wolff, and P. Park, *Desktop Reference For Crash Reduction Factors*, Report No. FHWA-SA-07-015, Federal Highway Administration, September 2007.

FHWA, *Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices*, Federal Highway Administration, 2003.

ITE Monthly Issues Brief (online content), Chapter 7 Signals, September 2007. Available at: <http://www.ite.org/safety/issuebriefs/Traffic%20Signals%20Issue%20Brief.pdf> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

Retting, R. A., J. F. Chapline, and A. F. Williams, *Changes in crash risk following re-timing of traffic signal change intervals*, Accident Analysis Prevention, March 2002.

## Figure References

1. Amparano, G., & D. Morena, Seniro Mobility Series: Article 4—Marking the Way To Greater Safety, FHWA-HRT-2006-005. (Photo Courtesy of Iowa DOT) Available at: <<http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/publications/publicroads/06jul/08.cfm>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).
2. Rodegerdts, Nevers, B., B. Robinson, J. Ringert, P. Koonce, J. Bansen, T. Nguyen, J. McGill, D. Stewart, J. Suggett, T. Neuman, N. Antonucci, K. Hardy, and K. Courage, *Signalized Intersections: Informational Guide*, FHWA-HRT-04-091, August 2004. Available at: <<http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/publications/research/safety/04091/11.cfm>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

## Signal Timing Systems

### Description and Objective

Certain signal timing systems are capable of changing timing plans at an intersection or along a corridor as traffic conditions change. These systems require top bar detection, and in many cases, upstream and midblock detection as well. Induction loops in the pavement, cameras, or radar may be used to detect vehicle presence, density, speed, and/or travel time, and this information can be conveyed to the signal controller, which determines the most appropriate signal timing.

Responsive signal timing systems such as closed loop systems use information from the detectors along a signalized corridor to choose the most appropriate signal timing plan from a library of plans. A traffic engineer develops a library of plans before the system is implemented, and each plan in the library is assigned certain threshold limits in the traffic characteristics. These thresholds are determined by observing the traffic flow under various conditions (off peak, evening peak, at the release of a sporting event, etc.) and by the engineer's judgment. For example, the engineer may observe that during the Christmas shopping season, the ramps at the interchange near the shopping mall back up onto the highway because the signal timing at the ramp does not permit enough vehicles to move off of the ramp during each cycle. A loop detector near the end of the ramp can be used to detect the presence of vehicles being stored there, and when the presence reaches a certain threshold (perhaps cars are detected for 50 percent of the time or more), the controller will chose a plan that allows more green time to the phases that serve the ramp. This new timing plan will run until the detectors indicate that vehicle presence has fallen below the assigned threshold.

In most closed loop systems, the information gathered from the detectors is fed to a master controller which chooses the timing plan that will be run. The master then tells all the other controllers in the corridor which plan to implement locally and provides the proper offset to each controller so that the corridor will continue to operate in coordination no matter which plan is chosen.

Adaptive signal timing systems use vehicle detection at the intersection approach to assess real-time demand at each leg of the intersection. They then use an algorithm to assign priority to each signal phase based on the number of cars that are queued. The order of signal phases and the green time allocated to each phase is based on the priority assignment given by the algorithm. In an adaptive signal timing system, real-time data at the intersection and along the corridor is used to determine the most efficient signal timing plan, and this plan changes with changing conditions. Adaptive systems do not necessarily have defined signal timing plans with a set cycle length or offsets. They do not have to move sequentially through a set of phases, because the controller can skip phases, or move back to a previous phase, to best minimize delay.

The objective of responsive and adaptive signal systems is to make each intersection, and the corridor as a whole, more efficient by using real-time information about vehicle demand and traffic flow. As green time is allocated more efficiently, vehicle delay and congestion are reduced. Figures 76 and 77 illustrate two examples of signal timing systems.



**Figure 76. A Series of Traffic Signals (1)**



**Figure 77. Traffic Signal (2)**

## How Treatment Reduces Nonrecurrent Congestion

### *Increases Capacity During an Incident*

Responsive and adapted signal timings have the capability to adjust green time allocation in response to an incident. For example, if a westbound through lane is blocked by a crash-involved vehicle, the westbound through movement capacity will be greatly diminished. Responsive or adaptive signal timing can react to this event by providing a longer green time, effectively increasing capacity for this movement. This capacity increase results in reduced congestion and delay along this segment. It should be noted that by providing additional green time to one phase, that time is necessarily taken from another phase, leading to increased delay in the latter direction. Overall, the system should see a net benefit despite the increased congestion in the non-incident direction.

## Factors Influencing Treatment Effectiveness

The following factors are important in determining the effectiveness of signal timing systems for reducing nonrecurrent congestion:

- Type of signal control (responsive or adaptive)
- Demand volumes for all movements
- Quality of vehicle detection system
- Number of crashes

Signal timing improvements will provide the most benefit in locations with high crash rates and where demand levels are often near capacity.

## Cost

Cost of implementation varies considerably, and is most dependent upon the type of controller and detection already present at the intersection. When these are already sufficient, closed loop responsive systems may be implemented for only the cost of the staff time required to develop timing plans and threshold criteria. On the other hand, when controllers and cabinets must be replaced or upgraded, and detection must be installed or upgraded, the cost is substantially higher.

## Key References

Federal Highway Administration Arterial Management Program Website, 2012. Available at: <[http://ops.fhwa.dot.gov/acs\\_lite/index.htm](http://ops.fhwa.dot.gov/acs_lite/index.htm)> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

Rodegerdts, L., K. Lee, S. Quayle, S. Beaird, C. Braud, J. Bonneson, P. Tarnoff, and T. Urbanik, *Signal Timing Manual*, Report No. FHWA-HOP-08-024, Federal Highway Administration, June 2008. Available at: <[http://www.signaltiming.com/The\\_Signal\\_Timing\\_Manual\\_08082008.pdf](http://www.signaltiming.com/The_Signal_Timing_Manual_08082008.pdf)> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

## Figure References

1. FHWA/USDOT, *Every Day Counts, Accelerating Technology, Adaptive Signal Control*, June 2011. Available at: <<http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/everydaycounts/technology/adsc/>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).
2. Rodegerdts, Nevers, B., B. Robinson, J. Ringert, P. Koonce, J. Bansen, T. Nguyen, J. McGill, D. Stewart, J. Suggett, T. Neuman, N. Antonucci, K. Hardy, and K. Courage, *Signalized Intersections: Informational Guide*, FHWA-HRT-04-091, August 2004. Available at: <<http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/publications/research/safety/04091/11.cfm>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

## Ramp Metering/Flow Signals

### Description and Objective

Ramp metering uses traffic control devices to control or meter the flow of vehicles from a freeway ramp onto a freeway mainline. Ramp meters help reduce the potentially disruptive impact of the ramp traffic on the heavier mainline freeway traffic. Vehicles are released from the on-ramp at a regular interval, one or two at a time.

Ramp meters typically consist of one or more traffic signal heads as well as associated signs and pavement markings. Geometric considerations on the ramp and approach roadways include ramp width, queue storage space, and turn lanes. Signal timing at a ramp metering location can be either pre-timed or traffic responsive. Timing plans can also be based on localized or system-wide factors. When traffic responsive operations are employed, there is the need for vehicle

detection and communication equipment. For system-wide traffic responsive installations, the detection and communication equipment can be extensive, collecting traffic flow rate and speed data throughout the system. This information can be input into a ramp metering algorithm to adjust the flow of vehicles entering the mainline from each on-ramp—with the goal of providing smooth flow from the ramp without vehicle platooning. As the mainline volumes increase, the ramp flows may be decreased to maintain higher system speeds and capacities. If necessary, the ramp flows can also be adjusted to prevent back-ups onto nearby arterials. Ramp metering is used in several major metropolitan areas in the United States. It is also used in Europe, Japan, South Africa, New Zealand, and Australia.

The objective of ramp metering is to increase the capacity of a freeway segment at an on-ramp junction by introducing on-ramp traffic into the mainline in a steady pattern. Typically, this is done during peak periods when additional capacity is most needed, though ramp meters could also be activated in response to a crash or special event. Example photos of ramp meters are shown in Figure 78 through Figure 80.



**Figure 78. Ramp Metering Installation (right-lane signal) (1)**



**Figure 79. Ramp Meter (2)**





**Figure 80. Ramp Meter in Use on a Congested Freeway (3)**

## How Treatment Reduces Nonrecurrent Congestion

### *Increases Capacity During an Incident*

Ramp meters usually operate during defined peak periods in order to reduce recurrent congestion. However, they can also be used to reduce nonrecurrent congestion if they are activated during a nonrecurrent congestion event. For example, a serious crash may block multiple lanes during a non-peak period when the ramp meters do not generally run. If the ramp meters are activated, the roadway capacity will be increased, helping to offset the negative effects of the lane block. Once the crash is cleared and traffic normalizes, the ramp meter can be turned back off and normal operations resumed.

### *Reduces Frequency of Incidents*

Several sources indicate that ramp-metering systems can reduce the number of crashes on a freeway segment (Mn/DOT, 2000). This reduction is accomplished by smoothing operations at the on-ramp/mainline junction and eliminating platoons of vehicles entering the freeway in rapid succession. By reducing the number of crashes, lane-blocking time is reduced, nonrecurrent congestion is reduced, and reliability is improved.

## Factors Influencing Treatment Effectiveness

The following factors are important in determining the effectiveness of ramp meters at reducing nonrecurrent congestion:

- Percent capacity increase provided by ramp metering system. This is affected by:
  - Weaving segment length

- Platooning of onramp traffic when ramp meter is off
- Driver behavior
- Capability of ITS system to recognize a lane-blocking event and activate the ramp meter

## Cost

Factors that may influence the cost of installing a ramp metering system include:

- Required roadway ramp improvements
- Type of system deployed (fixed, local, or system)
- Required improvements to facilitate communication between system parts
- Construction costs such as:
  - Controller
  - Signal heads
  - Detectors
  - Signing
  - Pavement markings
  - Communication infrastructure
  - Power source

## Key References

CALTRANS, *Ramp Meter Design Manual*, California Department of Transportation, January 2000.

Cambridge Systematics, Inc., *Twin Cities Ramp Meter Evaluation*, February 2001. Available at: <<http://www.dot.state.mn.us/rampmeter/pdf/finalreport.pdf>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

Jacobson, L., J. Stribiak, L. Nelson, and D. Sallman, *Handbook on Ramp Management and Control*, Report No. FHWA-HOP-06-001, Federal Highway Administration, 2006.

RITA/USDOT, Online Content, Available at: <<http://www.itscosts.its.dot.gov/>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

## Figure References

1. Taken by SHRP Project L07 research team.
2. FHWA, Research & Technology Transporter, July 2004. Available at: <<http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/publications/transporter/04jul/index.cfm>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).
3. FHWA, Online Content. Available at: <<http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/international/scan/2012/images/01.jpg>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

## Temporary Traffic Signals

### Description and Objective

Temporary traffic signals are provided at intersections for a limited period of time. Often, temporary span-wire signals are installed and used for the duration of a construction project. Alternately, portable traffic signals can be set up on site to be used during a construction project or during an emergency such as a power outage or a damaged controller cabinet.

The objective of temporary traffic signals is to increase the intersection capacity during a work zone or an emergency. If this treatment is not used, the intersection would operate as stop-controlled, with greatly reduced capacity.

Portable traffic signals can be used in a variety of situations. As with fixed temporary signals, portable temporary signals can be used in construction zones. For example, if a section of road is reduced to one lane, with two-way traffic alternating use of that lane, a portable traffic signal could be used (rather than a flagger) to direct oncoming traffic either to stop or to continue through the roadway section. Photos of various types of portable temporary traffic signals are shown in Table 3.

The design of a properly installed temporary traffic control signal system is based on many factors. The Minnesota Department of Transportation's (Mn/DOT) *Guidelines for the Selection of Temporary Lane Control Systems in Work Zones* provides key considerations for the design of a temporary traffic signal.

- **Type of signal system**—Selecting wood-pole/span-wire, trailer-mounted with overhead signal heads, or portable pedestal ground mounted systems as appropriate.
- **Location of signal**—Considering the visibility of the signal heads and queues that might result from the installation of the signal.
- **Signal timing parameters**—Providing signal timing parameters that minimize delay through work zones, while maintaining safe clearance intervals.
- **Monitoring and maintenance**—Performing routine quality checks to monitor operations of temporary traffic signals including timing parameters, signal head alignment, power supply, etc.


The North Carolina Department of Transportation provides a comparison of portable and conventional temporary traffic signals as summarized in the table below:

**Table 3. Traffic Signal Comparison of Portable and Temporary Traffic Signals**

	Portable signals	Conventional temporary signals
<b>Wood Poles</b>	None	Sometimes difficult or impossible to set
<b>Power</b>	Batteries charged by solar power or generators	Power supplied directly to cabinet
<b>Span-Wire Conflict</b>	None	May cause issues with cranes, etc.
<b>Distance Restrictions</b>	500 ft max between units	No restrictions

Source: [http://www.ncdot.org/doh/PRECONSTRUCT/traffic/conference/2006/reports/Day2\\_SST6.pdf](http://www.ncdot.org/doh/PRECONSTRUCT/traffic/conference/2006/reports/Day2_SST6.pdf).

Temporary signals for bridge construction are necessary for one lane operations. The use of temporary signals on bridges allows road construction teams to remove flaggers from the field and dedicate in-sync signal timing between signal units. Additionally, temporary signals give extra notice to drivers during nighttime operations. Figure 81 illustrates operational setup below.



**Figure 81. WisDOT Roadway Standards of Temporary Bridge Signal on a Two-Lane Bridge (I)**

## How Treatment Reduces Nonrecurrent Congestion

### *Increases Capacity During an Incident*

Temporary traffic signals increase the capacity of an intersection during a work zone or emergency event. This capacity increase results in reduced congestion and delay along this segment. The negative impact of the work zone or emergency is mitigated by the use of the temporary signal, which results in reduced nonrecurrent congestion and improved reliability.

## Factors Influencing Treatment Effectiveness

The following factors are important in determining the effectiveness of temporary signals for reducing nonrecurrent congestion:

- Type of use (during work zone or to respond to emergency situations)
- Duration of work zone (if applicable)
- Number of expected signal-disabling incidents (if applicable)
- Demand volumes

Temporary signals will be most beneficial at intersections with high demand volumes during extended work zones or in locations with high numbers of signal-disabling incidents.

## Cost

The cost of conventional temporary traffic signals with wood poles and span wires varies greatly depending on the length of the work zone, intersection geometry, and other work zone characteristics.

## Key References

FHWA, *Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices*, Federal Highway Administration, 2003.

Hill, R.W., *Statistical Analysis of Fatal Traffic Accident Data*, Master's Thesis, Texas Tech University, 2002.

Ishak, D., *Portable Traffic Signals*, Presentation, NCDOT, 2006. Available at: <[http://www.ncdot.org/doh/PRECONSTRUCT/traffic/conference/2006/reports/Day2\\_SST6.pdf](http://www.ncdot.org/doh/PRECONSTRUCT/traffic/conference/2006/reports/Day2_SST6.pdf)> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

Li, Y., and Y. Bai, "Determining the Effectiveness of Temporary Traffic Control Measures in Highway Work Zones," *Proceedings of the 2007 Mid-Continent Transportation Research Symposium*, Ames, Iowa, August 2007.

MNDOT, *Guidelines for the Selection of Temporary Lane Control Systems in Work Zones*, December 2006. Available at: <<http://www.dot.state.mn.us/trafficeng/workzone/doc/Guidelines-LaneControlSelection-Draft.pdf>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

TxDOT, *2003 Texas MUTCD: Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices*, TxDOT, 2003. Available at: <<http://www.dot.state.tx.us/trf/mutcd/introduction.pdf>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

WISDOT, *Facilities Development Manual (FDM)*, Last Modified March 2012. Available at: <<http://roadwaystandards.dot.wi.gov/standards/fdm/SDD/09g02.pdf>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

## Figure References

1. WISDOT, *Facilities Development Manual (FDM)*, March 2012. Available at: <<http://roadwaystandards.dot.wi.gov/standards/fdm/SDD/09g02.pdf>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

## Variable Speed Limits/Speed Limit Reduction

### Description and Objective

Variable Speed Limit (VSL) systems, also known as variable speed reduction systems, use sensors to monitor prevailing traffic and/or weather conditions and post appropriate, enforceable speed limits on dynamic message signs. VSL deployments can be constructed as permanent installations, or they can be constructed as temporary work zone installations. They are generally classified as either a lane management or a speed management system. They can be applied to freeways and arterials in both rural and urban contexts.

The primary objectives of a VSL system are to manage current and future traffic flows, minimize congestion, and improve highway safety. Temporary work zone VSLs are used to adjust vehicular speeds so that uniform traffic flow and safe travel speeds can be achieved through the work zone area. Permanent deployments are often designed to address safety and traffic congestion issues in specific corridors or locations. They tend to be used to address weather issues, grade and roadway condition issues, or heavy traffic congestion (both recurrent and nonrecurrent).

In congested conditions, VSLs help smooth traffic flows, allowing motorists to pass through the area more quickly and in a uniform manner. This smoothing results from a greater number of drivers traveling in a tighter speed range and a slowing or metering of vehicles entering the congested area. For example, with VSL deployed, drivers both approaching and traveling through a congested area posted at 35 mph may all travel at speeds between 30 and 40 mph, where previously, “stop-and-go” conditions existed with a posted speed of 55 mph. In some situations, VSL also reduce speed differentials and moderate travel speeds, which can prevent incidents that might cause nonrecurrent congestion. Figures 82 through 85 illustrate several examples of variable speed limit implementations.



**Figure 82. Variable Speed Limits on I-5 in Seattle, WA (1)**



**Figure 83. Variable Speed Limits on SR-520 in Bellevue, Washington (1)**




**Figure 84. Variable Speed Limit Sign (2)**



**Figure 85. Variable Speed Limits on M42 in Manchester, United Kingdom (3)**

The temporary deployment of VSL in a work zone often consists of trailers with dynamic message signs. The trailers typically contain various communication equipment components, as

well as vehicle detection and power generation equipment. A temporary VSL system is shown in Figure 86.



**Figure 86. Temporary VSL System (4)**

## How Treatment Reduces Nonrecurrent Congestion

### *Increases the Capacity of a Segment During an Incident*

VSLs can be activated during non-peak periods in response to lane-blocking events. Lane-blocking events include disablements, crashes, and temporary work zones. In these cases, the decreased capacity caused by the lane-blocking event is mitigated by that additional capacity provided by the VSL. By increasing capacity at these times, this treatment can reduce nonrecurrent congestion and improve reliability.

### *Reduces Crash Frequency*

VSLs help smooth traffic speeds, decreasing the speed differential between fast-moving and slow-moving vehicles. This increase in speed uniformity may lead to a decrease in crash frequency. By eliminating crashes, this treatment eliminates the congestion associated with these crashes, thereby improving reliability.



## Factors Influencing Treatment Effectiveness

Factors that may influence the effectiveness of VSL systems at reducing nonrecurrent congestion include:

- Traffic demand volumes
- Crash frequency prior to VSL implementation
- Type of VSL system (permanent or temporary)
- Sophistication of VSL system (automated with internal algorithms for determining speed limit, or requiring manual speed limit management)
- Traffic Management Center capability (to activate VSL in response to incidents)

VSL systems will provide the most benefit along roadways with high demand volumes during off-peak time periods where crashes are relatively frequent.

## Cost

A temporary VSL deployment generally costs approximately \$400,000 through a lease agreement, which includes development, deployment, operation, and maintenance through one construction season (6 months).

Permanent VSL deployments range from \$18,000 per mi to over \$100,000 per mi. As VSL systems are utilized more in the future, the cost of design, implementation, deployment, and operation is likely to stabilize. (Reference: <<http://www.itscosts.its.dot.gov/>>)

## Key References

FHWA, *Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices*, Federal Highway Administration, 2003.

Florida Department of Transportation, Trans4mation website, Variable Speed Limit Pages, 2011. Available at: <<http://www.trans4mation.org/?id=284>> (Accessed April 1, 2012).

Michigan Department of Transportation and Michigan State University, “Field Test of Variable Speed Limits in Work Zones (In Michigan),” Final Report, 2003. Available at: <<http://tig.transportation.org/sites/aashtotig/docs/VSL%20Entire%20Final%20Report.pdf>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

Missouri Department of Transportation, St. Louis Area District Website, 2012. Available at: <<http://www.modot.mo.gov/stlouis/links/VariableSpeedLimits.htm>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

Northwestern University Transportation Center, *Assessment of Variable Speed Limit Implementation Issues*, 2009. Available at: <<http://transportation.northwestern.edu/research/abstracts/AssessmentofVariableSpeedLimit.html>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

RITA. Available at: <<http://www.itscosts.its.dot.gov/>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

RITA, *Michigan—VSLs in Work Zones*, 2003. Available at: <<http://www.itsbenefits.its.dot.gov/its/benecost.nsf/0/F3678455A0AF32778525726000648619>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

Robinson, M., “Examples of Variable Speed Limit Applications,” Presented at TRB Speed Management Issues Workshop, 2000. Available at: <<http://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/tools/docs/vslexamples.ppt>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

Washington State Department of Transportation, US 2-Stevens Pass—Variable Speed Limit System Website, 2012. Available at: <<http://www.wsdot.wa.gov/Regions/NorthCentral/projects/US2/StevensPassVariableSpeed/Default.htm>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

## Figure References

1. Charlebois, J., Colyuar, J., & J. Yung, *Fighting Congestion with Smarter Highways*, Public Roads Vol. 75 No. 2, FHWA-HRT-11-006, 2011. Available at: <<http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/publications/publicroads/11septoct/03.cfm>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).
2. Missouri Department of Transportation, St. Louis Area District Website, Available at: <[www.modot.gov/stlouis/links/VariableSpeedLimits.htm](http://www.modot.gov/stlouis/links/VariableSpeedLimits.htm)> (Accessed April 9, 2012).
3. FHWA, *Managed Lane Chapter for the Freeway Management and Operations Handbook*, January 2011, Available at: <[http://ops.fhwa.dot.gov/freewaymgmt/publications/frwy\\_mgmt\\_handbook/revision/jan2011/mgdlaneschp8/fmoh\\_mgdlaneschp8.pdf](http://ops.fhwa.dot.gov/freewaymgmt/publications/frwy_mgmt_handbook/revision/jan2011/mgdlaneschp8/fmoh_mgdlaneschp8.pdf)> (Accessed April 9, 2012).
4. Michigan Department of Transportation & Michigan State University, *Field Test of Variable Speed Limits in Work Zones (In Michigan) Final Report*, 2003. Available at: <[http://www.michigan.gov/documents/mdot/MDOT\\_Research\\_Report\\_RC1467\\_200924\\_7.pdf](http://www.michigan.gov/documents/mdot/MDOT_Research_Report_RC1467_200924_7.pdf)> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

## Technology

### Electronic Toll Collection

#### Description and Objective

Originally developed as a solution to systematic recurrent congestion on tolled highways, electronic toll collection has also proved useful in reducing nonrecurrent congestion in multiple ways. This technology involves the use of communications equipment installed in vehicles and along a roadway segment to collect tolls instantaneously and automatically, thereby removing the need for toll booth facilities and operators and allowing tolls to be collected while vehicles are operating at highway speeds. Electronic toll collection has been implemented in many cities across the United States and worldwide as a convenient means of collecting tolls without disrupting the flow of traffic.

The main objective of electronic toll collection is to allow roadway user fees to be collected instantaneously from the road user at high speeds. Electronic toll collection allows more than double the vehicle throughput of manually operated toll booths and reduces vehicle delay at toll plazas. The increased per-lane capacity of electronic toll collection requires fewer lanes. The operating expenses incurred from electronic toll collection equipment are typically offset by the reduction in staff required to operate the facility. A typical electronic tolling plaza is shown in Figure 87.



Land Transport Authority, Singapore

**Figure 87. Gantry on a Variable-tolled Facility in Singapore (1)**

## How Treatment Reduces Nonrecurrent Congestion

### *Shift Demand to Other Time Periods*

Electronic toll collection provides a means for counting the number of vehicles traveling along a given segment of roadway. This information can be used in conjunction with intelligent transportation systems to notify the traveling public of congested conditions. Upon receiving information that a certain freeway segment is experiencing congestion, potential users may divert to other routes or plan their trip during a different period, thereby reducing demand on the roadway during peak times and reducing the associated nonrecurrent congestion.

This effect can be magnified through the use of variable toll pricing schemes, which have been used in many locations. By charging road users a premium to access the roadway during times of peak demand, motorists are encouraged to shift their trip to other facilities or time periods. Where variable tolls are used, drivers should be notified of the premium pricing as far in advance as possible in order that they may have the greatest number of alternative options possible. While variable tolling is often used during recurring congestion, by increasing prices during daily peak hours, it can also be used for nonrecurrent congestion, such as during special

events, during road construction, or when incidents have blocked lanes, in order to reduce demand.

## Factors Influencing Treatment Effectiveness

Factors that may influence the effectiveness of electronic toll-collecting systems at reducing nonrecurrent congestion include:

- Traffic demand
- Traffic demand profile (roadway segments with short periods of very high demand surrounded by periods of moderate demand will tend to be especially improved by this treatment)
- Existing infrastructure for relaying information to the traveling public (e.g., ITS, electronic message boards, radio)
- Availability of alternate routes with surplus capacity

## Cost

Initial costs for field equipment used in electronic toll collection can be significant. Compared to the costs to build and operate full-scale toll booth plazas, however, electronic toll collection represents a significant reduction in cost. Employing field equipment on existing structures for the purpose of managing nonrecurrent congestion would further reduce the overall cost of implementation.

If electronic tolling already exists, the cost of using the system to reduce nonrecurrent congestion will include any necessary ITS systems to relay congestion information to travelers, and any expense associated with varying the toll price with nonrecurrent congestion.

## Key References

Chu, L., H. Liu, W. Recker, and S. Hague, Evaluation of Potential ITS Strategies Under Non-Recurrent Congestion Using Microscopic Simulation, January 2003. Available at: <<http://www.path.berkeley.edu/PATH/Publications/PDF/PWP/2003/PWP-2003-02.pdf>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

FHWA, *Active Traffic Management: The Next Step in Congestion Management, Chapter 2: European Agency Approaches to Congestion*, Last modified November 2008. Available at: <[http://international.fhwa.dot.gov/pubs/pProject L07012/atm\\_eu07\\_02.cfm#traf](http://international.fhwa.dot.gov/pubs/pProject L07012/atm_eu07_02.cfm#traf)> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

Gulipalli, P., and K. Kockelman, *Credit-Based Congestion Pricing: A Dallas-Fort Worth Application*, Presented at the 85th Annual Meeting of the Transportation Research Board,

January 2006. Available at: <[http://www.ce.utexas.edu/prof/kockelman/public\\_html/TRB06CBCPDFW.pdf](http://www.ce.utexas.edu/prof/kockelman/public_html/TRB06CBCPDFW.pdf)> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

Highways Agency, Online Content. Available at: <[http://www.itsradar.co.uk/newswirearchive/newswire\\_search.php?nw=14](http://www.itsradar.co.uk/newswirearchive/newswire_search.php?nw=14)> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

TxDOT, Online Content, available at: <[http://www.dot.state.tx.us/insdtdot/orgchart/cmd/cserve/bidprice/s\\_6002.htm](http://www.dot.state.tx.us/insdtdot/orgchart/cmd/cserve/bidprice/s_6002.htm)> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

Williams, P., Going With the Flow: Comprehensive Solutions Get Traffic-Congested Areas Moving Again, July 2008. Available at: <<http://www.acs-inc.com/assets/0/24/820/830/20e259c2-72af-4ac3-ab4c-68b9206da15e.pdf>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

## Figure References

1. Decorla-Souza, P., *High-Performance Highways*, FHWA-HRT-07-004. Available at: <<http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/publications/publicroads/07may/01.cfm>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

## Over-Height Vehicle Detection and Warning Systems

### Description and Objective

This treatment is designed to detect over-height vehicles, and activate warning devices to alert those vehicles of oncoming overhead height restrictions. An over-height vehicle is any vehicle containing a load whose height (legal, permitted, or illegal) exceeds the height of an approaching overhead facility.

The two primary types of vehicle detection systems are optical systems and laser radar. These systems function similarly, with a transmitter and receiver creating a beam at a desired height. When a tall vehicle passes, the beam is broken, causing the detector to activate a beacon or signal.

The primary objective of an over-height vehicle detection system is to reduce the number of over-height vehicle collisions with overhead facilities such as bridges or tunnels. These overhead facilities may be permanent (i.e., tunnel) or temporary (i.e., construction areas). A secondary objective is to provide appropriate advance notification, so drivers can divert to another route without causing traffic delays.

Figures 88 and 89 illustrate two examples of over-height detection systems.



**Figure 88. Photo of An Over-Height Detector (1)**



**Figure 89. Over-Height Detection System in Minnesota (2)**

## How Treatment Reduces Nonrecurrent Congestion

### *Reduce Number of Over-Height Vehicle-Related Crashes*

Over-height vehicle detection systems are deployed in order to reduce the annual number of over-height vehicle -related incidents and crashes. By notifying drivers of potential clearance problems in advance of an overhead facility, this treatment can reduce the total number of such

crashes. With fewer crashes blocking lanes and negatively impacting traffic flow, nonrecurrent congestion is reduced, and reliability is improved.

### *Reduce Number of Over-Height Vehicle-Related Lane-Blocking and Shoulder-Blocking Events*

In some cases, drivers of over-height vehicles may realize that their vehicle is too tall to clear an upcoming obstruction, but this realization comes too late to divert onto another route. So, the driver will stop the vehicle before colliding with the obstruction, blocking the lane or shoulder and impeding other traffic. When over-height vehicle detections systems are employed, such that drivers of over-height vehicles are made aware of upcoming obstructions with enough notice to divert onto another route, the number of these lane-blocking and shoulder-blocking events are reduced. This results in reduced nonrecurrent congestion and improved reliability.

### Factors Influencing Treatment Effectiveness

The following factors are important in determining the effectiveness of over-height vehicle detection systems at reducing nonrecurrent congestion:

- Annual number of over-height vehicle crashes
- Annual number of over-height vehicle lane-blocking events
- System effectiveness at notifying drivers in time to avoid collision
- Available alternate routes for over-height vehicles to divert onto

### Cost

Cost estimates will vary based on the type of system deployed. A simple, lower-cost system could be an optical system, consisting of an activated flash beacon mounted above a static sign using solar power and wireless communication. A more complex, higher-cost system would be one that has a series optical system, dynamic message signs with activated flashing beacons, cameras, high bandwidth communication, and commercial power.

### Key References

Fu, C., J. R. Burhouse, and G. Chang, "Overheight Vehicle Collisions with Highway Bridges," *Transportation Research Record 1865*, Transportation Research Board, 2004.

*Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices*, Federal Highway Administration, 2003.

*Traffic Detector Handbook, Third Edition, Volume 1*, Report No. FHWA-HRT-06-108, Federal Highway Administration, October 2006.

New Jersey Department of Transportation, *NJDOT activates Overheight Vehicle Detection Systems at three locations*, News Release, November 2007. Available at:

<<http://www.state.nj.us/transportation/about/press/2007/112107a.shtm>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

## Figure References

1. *Traffic Detector Handbook*, Third Edition, Volume 1, Chapter 3, FHWA-HRT-06-108, May 2006. <<http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/publications/research/operations/its/06108/03.cfm>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).
2. MnDOT, 2012. Available at: <<http://www.dot.state.mn.us/d1/newsrels/03/12/hwy53sign1.jpg>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

## Emergency Response Notification

### Reference Location Signs (Emergency Reference Markers)

#### Description and Objective

Reference location signs provide precise location information to roadway users, incident management responders, and highway maintenance personnel. The objectives of reference locations signs are to:

- Aid roadway users in their trip progress assessments
- Serve as alignment markers on rural routes, especially at night or in other low-visibility conditions
- Facilitate road maintenance activities such as repair operations, clearance of debris, and highway inventories
- Assist in accurate reporting of emergency incident and traffic crash locations to reduce response time and inform other motorists of incidents that may affect routing decisions

The second and third objectives in the list above can reduce nonrecurrent congestion by shortening the duration of the incident. Accurate location information allows maintenance crews to quickly remove debris from the roadway that may be blocking the travel way, and allows emergency responders to arrive at the scene of a crash quickly, so that investigation and vehicle removal can occur quickly. Quicker identification of incidents typically results in quicker response and clearance times, and allows highway operations to return to normal sooner than they may otherwise have. This benefit is what will be considered here.

Reference location signs are typically installed along interstates and other major highways at mile increments. The marker references the mile post along the roadway, most often numbering from a state line, and correlating with the exit numbers along the route, as illustrated in Figure 90. Intermediate reference markers may be used at one-tenth of a mile increments or two-tenths of a mile increments and include both the mile post and a decimal.





**Figure 90. Highway Reference Markers (1)**

Various types of highway reference markers are shown in Figure 91.



**Figure 91. Examples of Highway Reference Markers (2)**

## How Treatment Reduces Nonrecurrent Congestion

### *Reduce Incident Response Time*

Reference location signs allow people involved in or reporting an incident to provide detailed information about the exact location of the incident. This results in quicker response and clearance times, reducing congestion and improving reliability.

### *Reduce Mainline Demand During an Incident*

Reference location signs promote the communication of accurate incident location information which can be relayed to motorists through Advanced Traveler Information Systems (ATIS) such as Dynamic Message Signs or local radio stations. When motorists are made aware of congested conditions along their route, they are able to divert to other routes, thereby reducing the mainline demand. This reduced demand helps improve operations while the incident is being cleared, reducing delay and improving reliability.

### *Reduce Frequency of Incidents*

Frequently spaced intermediate reference location signs (such as at every tenth of a mile) may serve as roadway delineators, especially at night or during inclement weather. These signs may help keep drivers on the road during conditions where the edgeline may be difficult to see, which reduces the frequency of lane-blocking incidents and crashes. With less lane-blocking incidents, freeway congestion is reduced and reliability is improved.

## Factors Influencing Treatment Effectiveness

The treatment and roadway characteristics that will have an impact on the expected level of effectiveness in reducing nonrecurrent congestion from this treatment include:

- Existing emergency response time
- Familiarity of average driver with area (emergency reference markers will have a stronger impact on roadways with a high percentage of drivers unfamiliar with the area)
- Spacing of emergency reference markers (a closer spacing will increase the probability that a driver will be able to determine his position and accurately report to 911 operator)
- Size of sign
- Reflectivity of sign
- Presence of traffic management system that can identify crash locations before call is made

## Cost

The cost of installing reference location signs will depend significantly on the distance of roadway and planned spacing. The reference markers will also require periodic inspection and replacement.

## Key References

- ARTIMIS, *Closed Circuit TV Cameras: System Components*, 2012. Available at: <<http://www.artimis.org/system.php>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).
- Konz, J., C. Richey, and T. Schnell, *I-95 Corridor Mile Marker and Ramp Designation Signing*, 2012. Available at: <<http://www.intrans.iastate.edu/pubs/midcon2007/KonzSigning.pdf>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).
- Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices*, Federal Highway Administration, 2003.
- Schnell, T., and J. Konz, Reference Location Sign Study, Phase II- Survey, TarVIP Analysis, and Field Validation, April 2009. Available at: <[http://i95coalition.net/i95/Portals/0/Public\\_Files/pm/reports/full408.pdf](http://i95coalition.net/i95/Portals/0/Public_Files/pm/reports/full408.pdf)> (Accessed April 9, 2012).
- TDOT, *Highway Incident Management- Emergency Reference Markers*, 2012. Available at: <<http://www.tdot.state.tn.us/incident/help/refmarkers.htm>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).
- Wilson, B., *Untangling the Triangle*, Roads & Bridges, February 2003. Available at: <<http://www.roadsbridges.com/untangling-triangle>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

## Figure References

1. MoDOT, Online Content. Available at: <<http://www.modot.org/newsroom/images/NewMarker.jpg>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).
2. MUTCD, Part 2 G and H, 2009. Available at: <<http://www.mutcd.fhwa.dot/gov/pdfs/2009/part2gandh.pdf>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

## Roadside Call Boxes

### Description and Objective

Roadside call boxes are telephone stations located in close proximity to a roadway. They are useful in locations with inadequate cell phone reception such as tunnels. Modern call boxes are capable of informing dispatchers of the location where the call originated, which aids in directing emergency personnel to the scene more quickly.

The objective of roadside call boxes is to notify emergency personnel of an incident more quickly, thereby decreasing the response time of emergency personnel. The information that is relayed via a roadside call box may also be used by Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS) to

notify drivers of adverse conditions ahead (e.g., using variable message boards, radio announcements, etc.). Examples of call boxes are shown in Figures 92 and 93.



**Figure 92. Call Box Mounted on Sound Barrier (1)**



**Figure 93. Roadside Call Box (2)**

## Typical Applications

Historically, call boxes have been placed at regular intervals (usually a mile or less) along major routes by the agencies that use them. But as cell phones have become more prevalent, call boxes may not be needed in as many locations. Emergency call boxes can be most beneficial in locations with poor cellular phone service (such as in tunnels or along mountain passes), and in specific areas where congestion-causing incidents are frequent. Call box phones are typically linked directly to a call center or emergency response organization. The call center staff member takes information from the motorist and dispatches the appropriate services (emergency assistance, tow truck, medical, etc.). Location information can either be obtained from the

motorist (a sign on the box typically includes a location identification code) or transmitted from an automated identification system located within the call box.

The phones are usually operated by pushing a single button—they do not allow calls to be made to other phone numbers. On some phones, a color button system allows the caller to identify the specific service they need. Some phones are also equipped to handle TTY calls for the hearing impaired.

Roadside call boxes may be powered either by electrical power or solar/battery power. Telecommunication is either wireless or provided by wire line. In locations with an ITS traffic management system, information gathered from call box users can be relayed to other motorists through changeable message signs or via local radio stations to indicate the potential for congestion or lane blockage. This may help reduce demand on the affected highway segment by allowing motorists to choose another route.

## Design Criteria

Design criteria and current practices for the state of California can be found at: <http://www.calsafe.org/Full%20Guidelines%20Revisions-v10%2020071024.pdf>.

This set of program guidelines has been developed by the California Highway Patrol (CHP) and the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans), in cooperation with the California Service Authority for Freeway Emergencies/Expressways Committee (CalSAFE) for use in the SAFE call box program. The SAFE call box program operates over 16,000 motorist aid call boxes in California. This program is supported by registered vehicle owners in California who pay one dollar per vehicle registration, annually, to fund this public service to all motorists who drive on California state highways.

## How Treatment Reduces Nonrecurrent Congestion

### *Reduces Incident Response Time*

Roadside call boxes can help to notify emergency personnel of incidents more quickly and provide better information regarding the location of a disabled vehicle. By providing personnel with quicker and more accurate information, this treatment can reduce the emergency response time and allow the incident to be cleared sooner. This reduction in lane-blocking time leads to a reduction in nonrecurrent congestion and improved reliability.

### *Reduces Mainline Demand During an Incident*

Roadside call boxes can be used to communicate to a Traffic Management Center (TMC) that an incident has occurred. The TMC may then notify drivers of the incident in advance of the incident using ITS technology (e.g., variable message boards). This may cause some drivers to

divert to other routes in an attempt to avoid the incident and subsequent congestion. By reducing the demand during the incident, congestion is reduced and reliability is improved.

## Factors Influencing Treatment Effectiveness

Factors that may influence the effectiveness of roadside call boxes at reducing nonrecurrent congestion include:

- Cell phone reception (the less reception available, the more useful this treatment will be)
- Efficiency of notification system at relaying information to emergency responders
- Traffic demand volumes
- Presence of an ITS system
- Capability of informing motorists of lane-blocking incidents through VMS or local radio
- Frequency of call boxes and uniformity of identifying signs and locations

## Cost

Cost estimates will vary based on ownership (agency or private) and the type of system (commercial power and communication, commercial power and agency communication, solar/battery and commercial communication, etc.). Factors that may affect the cost to implement call boxes include:

- Hardware costs for phone, stand, case, and other associated elements
- Installation of phone cable
- Installation of new power source or connection to existing power source
- Ongoing phone service fees and electricity costs

## Key References

Unknown Author(s), Wikipedia Internet Entry, Last Modified February 2012. Available at: <[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Emergency\\_telephone](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Emergency_telephone)> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

Cavanaugh, M., P. Finn, and J. Plautz, *Are Roadside Call Boxes Necessary or Outdated?*, September 29, 2011. Available at: <<http://www.kpbs.org/news/2011/sep/27/roadside-call-boxes-big-help-or-outdated/>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

Nee, J., J. Carson, and B. Legg, *An Evaluation of Motorist Aid Call Boxes In Washington*, June 1996. Available at: <<http://www.wsdot.wa.gov/research/reports/fullreports/420.1.pdf>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

Metropolitan Transportation Commission (CA), *Bay Area Call Box Program*, Last modified March 2011. Available at: <[http://www.mtc.ca.gov/services/call\\_boxes/](http://www.mtc.ca.gov/services/call_boxes/)> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

Motorist Aid Call Box Evaluation Report, Minnesota Department of Transportation, Office of Freeway Operations, Traffic Management Center, July 1991.

## Figure References

1. FHWA, *Noise Barrier Design Handbook*, Online Content, July 2011. Available at: <[http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/noise/noise\\_barriers/design\\_construction/design/design07.cfm](http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/noise/noise_barriers/design_construction/design/design07.cfm)> (Accessed April 9, 2012).
2. Kulbacki, Michael, *Puttin' on the RITS*, Public Roads Vol. 61, No. 3, November/December 1997. Available at: <<http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/publications/publicroads/97novdec/p97nov18.cfm>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

## Weather

### Fog Detection

#### Description and Objective

Fog detection systems automatically detect the presence of fog and then post warning messages on dynamic message signs upstream of the fog detector to notify drivers that they are entering a portion of roadway where fog is present.

Figure 94 illustrates how foggy conditions can substantially reduce visibility on a roadway. The objective of fog detection systems is to reduce the number of fog-related incidents and crashes by notifying drivers of reduced visibility ahead and inducing them to reduce speeds and proceed with caution.



**Figure 94. Foggy Conditions Along a Roadway in California (1)**

## How Treatment Reduces Nonrecurrent Congestion

### *Reduce the Number of Incidents*

Fog detection systems are deployed in order to reduce the annual number of fog-related incidents and crashes. By notifying drivers in advance of a roadway section with low visibility, these systems encourage drivers to slow down and proceed with caution, resulting in fewer crashes. With fewer crashes blocking lanes and negatively impacting traffic flow, nonrecurrent congestion is reduced and reliability is improved.

In a 2003 compilation of *Best Practices for Road Weather Management* Version 2.0, a fog detection system in Tennessee on I-75 was deployed in 1994, after many fog related accidents caused action by road decision makers. The implemented Tennessee Low Visibility Warning System covers a span of 19 mi. Accidents have been reduced due to the implementation of such system, from 1973 to 1994, there were fog related accidents totaling at 200, with 130 injuries and 18 fatalities. According to the author, only one crash has occurred in fog on this section of roadway since (paper published in 2003).

### Factors Influencing Treatment Effectiveness

Factors that may influence the effectiveness of fog detection systems at reducing fog-related crashes and incidents include:

- Expected annual number of fog events affecting roadway operations
- Effectiveness of sensors at recognizing fog and activating warning signs
- Driver behavior (e.g., whether or not motorists follow instructions to reduce speed)

### Cost

The cost of a fog detection system varies based on the size of the system, the extent of automation, and what means of notifying drivers are used (e.g. dynamic message signs may need to be constructed.)

### Key References

*CALTRANS District 10 PowerPoint Presentation*. Available at:  
<[http://www.topslab.wisc.edu/resources/NHVC\\_presentations/Clint\\_Gregory-Caltrans\\_Managing\\_Traffic\\_During\\_Poor\\_Visibility-A\\_Journey%20Through\\_the\\_Fog.pdf](http://www.topslab.wisc.edu/resources/NHVC_presentations/Clint_Gregory-Caltrans_Managing_Traffic_During_Poor_Visibility-A_Journey%20Through_the_Fog.pdf)>  
(Accessed April 9, 2012).

Goodwin, L., *Best Practices for Road Management* Version 2.0, May 2003. Available at:  
<[http://ops.fhwa.dot.gov/weather/best\\_practices/CaseStudiesFINALv2-RPT.pdf](http://ops.fhwa.dot.gov/weather/best_practices/CaseStudiesFINALv2-RPT.pdf)> (Accessed April 9, 2012).



MacCarley, A., "Evaluation of Caltrans District 10 Automated Warning System: Year Two Progress Report," *California Partners for Advanced Transit and Highways (PATH) Research Reports*: Paper UCB-ITS-PRR-99-28, August, 1999. Available at: <http://repositories.cdlib.org/its/path/reports/UCB-ITS-PRR-99-28>.

## Figure References

1. California Transportation Journal, Issue 2, 2009. Available at: <http://www.dot.ca.gov/ctjournal/2009-2/Safety.html> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

## Road Weather Information System (RWIS)

### Description and Objective

A Road Weather Information System (RWIS) gathers meteorological information and relays it to highway agency and maintenance personnel. RWIS is comprised of Environmental Sensor Stations (ESS) in the field, a communication system for data transfer, and central systems to collect field data from numerous ESSs. An ESS measures atmospheric, pavement and/or water level conditions. Atmospheric data include air temperature and humidity, visibility distance, wind speed and direction, precipitation type and rate, tornado or waterspout occurrence, lightning, storm cell location and track, as well as air quality. Pavement data include pavement temperature, pavement freeze point, pavement condition (e.g., wet, icy, flooded), pavement chemical concentration, and subsurface conditions (e.g., soil temperature). Water level data include tide levels (e.g., hurricane storm surge) as well as stream, river, and lake levels near roads. Central RWIS hardware and software are used to process observations from ESS to develop forecasts, and display or disseminate road weather information in a format that can be easily interpreted by a manager.

The objective of RWIS is to provide road weather information to highway agency and maintenance personnel so that they can make more informed decisions. The data collected by RWIS can be used to create computer models of weather patterns and continuously calibrate these models. The model weather predictions can be useful to highway agencies in making a determination to close roadway segments in advance of a major storm. The model forecasts can also help agencies be better prepared to respond to a storm with treatments such as anti-icing or de-icing chemicals and snow removal equipment.

Figure 95 presents a photo of a RWIS at Turner-Fairbank Highway Research Center. Figure 96 illustrated an integrated weather information system.



**Figure 95. Road Weather Information System at the Turner-Fairbank Highway Research Center (1)**



**Figure 96. Integrated Weather Information System (2)**

## How Treatment Reduces Nonrecurrent Congestion

### *Reduce Frequency of Incidents*

The information from a RWIS can be used by maintenance crews to prepare for de-icing, anti-icing, and snow removal treatments in advance of a winter storm. By helping to improve winter maintenance operations and pavement conditions, RWIS technology may reduce winter-related crashes. By eliminating crashes, this treatment reduces the lane-blocking time associated with these crashes. This results in a decrease in nonrecurrent congestion for the roadway and improved reliability.

## Factors Influencing Treatment Effectiveness

Factors that may influence the effectiveness of RWIS at reducing nonrecurrent congestion include:

- Sophistication of system
  - Numbers and types of sensors
  - Accuracy of prediction models
- Effectiveness of communication system between RWIS and maintenance personnel

## Cost

The cost of a RWIS system varies based on the size of the system, as well as the weather-prediction model development and ongoing calibration. If certain infrastructure is already in place (e.g., ITS for managing freeway lanes and dispatching emergency personnel), the cost of a RWIS may be reduced. In general, construction of a new RWIS system may cost several hundred thousand dollars, with ongoing costs of several thousand dollars per year. (Reference: see our case study document.)

## Key References

Ferguson, T., Pennsylvania DOT Finds RWIS Station a Wise Investment, FHWA-SA-98-021, April 1998. Available at: <<http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/publications/focus/98apr/048rwis.cfm>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

FHWA/USDOT, Road Weather Management Program, 21st Century Operations Using 21st Century Technologies, 2012. Available at: <<http://ops.fhwa.dot.gov/weather/>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

U.S. Department of Transportation, Intelligent Transportation Systems Joint Program Office from “Investment Opportunities for Managing Transportation Performance: Candidate ITS Technology Appendices” January 16, 2009. Available at: <[http://www.its.dot.gov/press/pdf/transportation\\_tech.pdf](http://www.its.dot.gov/press/pdf/transportation_tech.pdf)> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

Montana State Government, Scan Web SSI, 2012, Available at: <<http://rwis.mdt.mt.gov/scanweb/>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

## Figure References

1. Zaccagnino, W., Technology for Work and Travel, Public Roads Vol. 59, No. 4, Spring 1996. Available at: <<http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/publications/publicroads/96spring/p96sp23.cfm>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

2. Klein, L., M. Mills, and D. Gibson, *Traffic Detector Handbook: Third Edition-Volume I*, FHWA-HRT-06-108, October 2006. Available at: <<http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/publications/research/operations/its/06108/03.cfm>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).
3. FHA, *Freeway Management and Operations Handbook*, Chapter 13, January 2011. Available at: <[http://ops.fhwa.dot.gov/freewaymgmt/publications/frwy\\_mgmt\\_handbook/chapter13\\_01.htm](http://ops.fhwa.dot.gov/freewaymgmt/publications/frwy_mgmt_handbook/chapter13_01.htm)> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

## **Flood Warning System**

### **Description and Objective**

Flood warning systems automatically warn motorists of potentially hazardous flood conditions on the roadway ahead. There are two types of flood warning systems: active and passive. Passive systems consist of warning signs indicating a location on the roadway which may flood or be susceptible to standing water during heavy rains. Active warning systems consist of a sensor to detect high water levels or water on the roadway and a variable message sign (or flashing lights on a static sign) to warn motorists. Some flood warning systems include the capability of closing the roadway with physical barriers, which consist of either automated railroad crossing-type gates or manually placed barricades.

Components of flood warning systems include (Boselly, 2001):

- Sensors to detect the level of water at various locations such as streambeds, bridges, and road surfaces
- Data processing technology to store the data of all locations
- Components that provide an automated alert to emergency response personnel
- Monitoring subsystem such as a website database that receives data from the field sensors and provides readily accessibility and usable information to emergency managers and motorists
- Automated or manually activated signage
- Automated or manually activated traffic control components, such as gates to physically barricade the roadway

The objective of flood warning systems is to notify motorists that the roadway ahead may be underwater from nearby streams and rivers, and discourage or prohibit motorists from entering that section of the roadway. Figure 97 and 98 present photos of flooded roadways.



**Figure 97. Flooded Roadway (1)**



**Figure 98. Closed Flooded Roadway (2)**

## How Treatment Reduces Nonrecurrent Congestion

### *Reduce the Number of Incidents*

This treatment is designed to reduce the number of incidents related to flooded roadways and to prevent vehicles from driving into a situation where they are “trapped” by a flooded roadway. Like other weather emergency treatments, such as gates that close freeway ramps during snow storms and contraflow lanes during hurricane evacuations, this treatment is not designed to reduce trip delay or increase reliability, but rather to minimize incidents and improve safety.

## Factors Influencing Treatment Effectiveness

Factors that may influence the effectiveness of flood warning systems at reducing flood-related crashes and incidents include:

- Expected annual number of flood events affecting roadway
- Effectiveness of sensors at recognizing flood and relaying message to personnel or automated gates
- Response time of personnel to manually set barricades (if applicable)

## Cost

The cost of a flood warning system varies based on the size of the system, the extent of automation, and what means of notifying drivers are used (e.g., dynamic message signs may need to be constructed.)

## Key References

Boselly, S. E., “Roadway Flash Flooding Warning Devices Feasibility Study,” Final Report for ITS-IDEA Project 79, 2001.

Gibbons, E., “Rural Applications of ITS to improve Safety” (Online Presentation)

## Figure References

1. WADOT, *Crews rush to open lane before evening commute*. Online: <<http://www.wsdot.wa.gov/Projects/I5/2007Flood/articles/crews-rush-to-open-lane.htm>>.
2. MoDOT, *Flood Information and Resources*. Online: <[http://www.modot.org/kansascity/Flood\\_resource.htm](http://www.modot.org/kansascity/Flood_resource.htm)>.

## Wind Warning System

### Description and Objective

Wind warning systems warn motorists of potentially hazardous wind conditions along the roadway ahead. High winds can cause vehicles to swerve, leave the roadway, collide with other vehicles, or overturn, and trucks are particularly susceptible to these problems. Since high winds are often less visible than other inclement weather conditions (e.g., snow or fog), wind warning systems can be very valuable for appraising drivers of dangerous conditions and urging them to drive slower and more carefully.

The simplest wind warning system would be the installation of static signs at highway entrance ramps in areas prone to high winds. Many static signs are supplemented with wind socks, providing drivers with real-time visual information about current wind conditions. Some static signs are accompanied by flashers that are activated during high winds. More advanced wind warning systems include variable message signs, which automatically show wind advisories based on wind speed sensor data. Some policies prohibit certain vehicles from entering a highway or bridge during high wind conditions, and variable message signs can post these prohibitions.

The objective of wind warning systems is to provide information about potentially hazardous driving conditions to drivers, especially those in vehicles especially susceptible to wind such as trucks, RVs or vehicles with oversized loads. These warnings discourage drivers from traveling during the windy conditions, or encourage them to find an alternative path or proceed with greater caution. Example photos of wind warning systems are shown in Figure 99.



**Figure 99. Example Photos of Wind Warning Systems (I)**

## How Treatment Reduces Nonrecurrent Congestion

### *Reduce the Number of Incidents*

This treatment is designed to reduce the number of crashes and incidents caused by high winds. Like other weather emergency treatments, such as gates that close freeway ramps during snow storms and avalanche warning systems, this treatment is not designed to reduce trip delay or increase reliability, but rather to minimize incidents and improve safety.

## Factors Influencing Treatment Effectiveness

Factors that may influence the effectiveness of wind warning systems at reducing wind-related crashes and incidents include:

- Frequency high-wind events which potentially cause crashes or incidents
- Effectiveness of sensors at recognizing high winds and relaying message to motorists

## Cost

The cost of installing a wind warning system varies depending on the type of detection used, the type and number of signs used, and the extent to which the system is automated. In general, construction of a wind warning system may cost several hundred thousand dollars.

## Key References

Kumar, M., Evaluation of Automated Wind Warning Systems Final Report, RITA, February 2006. Available at: <[http://ntl.bts.gov/lib/25000/25100/25145/426705\\_Final\\_Report.pdf](http://ntl.bts.gov/lib/25000/25100/25145/426705_Final_Report.pdf)> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

Montana DOT High Wind Warning System, Best Practices for Road Weather Management, Federal Highway Administration, 2012. Available at: <[http://ops.fhwa.dot.gov/weather/best\\_practices/casestudies/013.pdf](http://ops.fhwa.dot.gov/weather/best_practices/casestudies/013.pdf)> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

Nevada DOT High Wind Warning System, Best Practices for Road Weather Management, Federal Highway Administration, 2012. Available at: <[http://ops.fhwa.dot.gov/weather/best\\_practices/casestudies/015.pdf](http://ops.fhwa.dot.gov/weather/best_practices/casestudies/015.pdf)> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

Traffic Filtering during High Winds, United Kingdom Highways Agency, 2012. Available at: <<http://www.highways.gov.uk/roads/17345.aspx>> (Accessed April 9, 2012).

## Figure References

1. Intelligent Transportation Systems, Oregon Office of Maintenance and Operations, 2007. Available at: <[http://www.oregon.gov/ODOT/HWY/ITS/its\\_devices.shtml](http://www.oregon.gov/ODOT/HWY/ITS/its_devices.shtml)> (Accessed April 9, 2012).



## Chapter 4.

# Examples of Design Treatment Installations

---

Several of the treatments described in Chapter 3 have been implemented, in varying degrees, by highway agencies. They have not always been implemented for the specific purpose of reducing nonrecurrent congestion; however, lessons can still be learned from the agencies' experiences with design, construction, cost, benefits, and challenges. This chapter presents several examples of treatment implementations that were documented in literature, described in news articles, or identified in interviews with highway agency staff. While detailed information was not available for inclusion in this report for many of these implementations, the purpose of this chapter is to provide the reader with enough information to follow up with the agencies who have implemented treatments like those being considered by the reader, or who have attempted to address congestion issues similar to those the reader is addressing.

## Emergency Crossovers

### Illinois

The Illinois Department of Transportation undertook a project in 1997, to make improvements to I-55 (Stevenson Expressway) in Chicago. The design drawings for this project included a detailed plan for an emergency turnaround opening in the median to be used in conjunction with alternating shoulders. The median opening is 20 ft in length, and is located at a place where the alternating shoulder transitions from a westbound left shoulder to an eastbound left shoulder. A pavement marking line and diagonal hashes have been used to designate the shoulder. "No U-turn" signs have been placed at either end of the median opening to discourage the use of this emergency turnaround by general traffic.

The cross-section of the roadway consists of two 11.5-ft westbound lanes, an 8.2-ft left shoulder which alternates between the westbound and eastbound direction of travel, a 2-ft wide concrete median with 600-mm glare screen, and two 11.5-ft eastbound lanes. A guardrail is located along the outside edge of the rightmost lane in both directions of travel.

## Gated Median Barrier

### Texas

In the late 1990s, several gated median barriers were installed near Houston, Texas, on the following roadways:

- IH-45 North (4 gates)
- US-59 Eastex (5 gates)
- US-59 Southwest (11 gates)
- US-290 Northwest (8 gates)

The Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT) prefers the manually-operated gates over the automated gates, primarily due to the rather infrequent use of the gates. When left closed for extended periods of time, the automated gates tended to lock up and not function correctly, while the manually-operated gates did not experience these problems.

Because the Houston area freeway system has frequent interchanges and a comprehensive system of frontage roads, the gates were not installed to be used by emergency responders to decrease response time. Rather, their intended main function was to re-route traffic in response to major incidents. However, the gates have rarely been used for this purpose. One complicating reason for this infrequent use is that the gates are along a section of roadway that has a center contra-flow lane for carpools and busses only. The contra-flow lane allows inbound traffic during the morning peak period and outbound traffic in the evening peak period. TxDOT is hesitant to open the gated median barriers and disrupt the center contra-flow lane, because they want to encourage bussing and carpooling by maintaining good operation in the HOV lane, even during incidents on the mainline. TxDOT has not installed additional gated median barriers since 1999.

## Michigan

In 2008, the Michigan Department of Transportation (MDOT) installed gated median barriers as part of an improvement project on I-75 between Dixie Highway and Birch Run Road near Bridgeport, Michigan. This project involved widening I-75 and eliminating the existing maintenance crossovers. Since the section between Dixie Highway and Birch Run Road is over 8 mi in length, gated median barriers were installed to maintain access for emergency and maintenance personnel. Training courses and instructional literature for the appropriate use of these gates were offered to local fire departments, state, county, and local police departments.

Two semi-automated gates were installed in the concrete median barrier along this section of I-75. These gates are operated by an electronic keypad where users enter a code to open the gate. The gates also have a manual override if the electronic control should fail. The purchase and installation of each gate added approximately \$125,000 to the cost of the project.

There are few recorded instances of the gates being used by emergency personnel to decrease response time. Part of the reason for the low usage rate is that this section of roadway lies between two law enforcement jurisdictions, making it difficult for dispatchers to determine which direction officers will be coming from. Another challenge is the frequent improper reporting of crash direction to emergency personnel. MDOT is planning to install cameras along this section of freeway to assist in providing emergency personnel with better information.

These gates have been used by the fire department, although the large turning radius of the fire trucks makes their use difficult. Since fire trucks need additional turning space, traffic must remain clear of the inside lanes in both directions of travel, and accomplishing this without law enforcement on site to close the lanes makes this maneuver even more difficult. For these

reasons, the median barrier gates have not often been used to reduce the fire department response time.

Another difficulty with these gates has been the corrosive effect of snow and ice on the moving parts of the gate. Ice, salt, sand, and other debris accumulate on the gate and can damage the gears, motors, and other internal components. Currently, extensive maintenance is required to keep the gates operational, though MDOT is working with manufacturers to improve the resilience of these gates in harsh winter conditions. Energy costs for the operation of these gates are approximately \$25 per month, per gate.

## Extra-Height Median Barrier

A project was completed by the Georgia Department of Transportation (GDOT) in 2005, to raise the height of a median barrier along a 7-mi section of I-85 in Union City, Georgia. The total project cost was \$39.7 million, including 7 mi of road reconstruction of outside lanes with associated patching and rehabilitation, updated guardrail, updated bridge side barrier, expansion of shoulder to 12 ft in width and addition of glare screen to existing center median barrier for the total length of the project.

Source: <http://www.walshgroup.com/portfolio/heavy+civil/highways/gdot-i-85-pavement-replacement.html>.

## Drivable Shoulder

The Minnesota Department of Transportation (Mn/DOT) has retrofitted several existing expressways and freeways in to allow buses to drive on the shoulder. These drivable shoulders, known as bus-only shoulders, consist of segments ranging from 0.3 to 9.0 mi in length. The segment lengths vary depending on location. The bus-only shoulders are operational at any time when traffic in the adjacent travel lanes is moving at speeds less than 35 mph. Buses may not travel more than 15 mph faster than the mainline and the maximum speed allowed on the shoulder is 35 mph. Geometric design standards for these bus-only shoulders are available at <http://www.dot.state.mn.us/metro/teamtransit/>.

Bus-only shoulders are typically located on the outside shoulder and the segment is signed as such. Signs warning of buses on shoulders are placed at intersections within the segment to alert drivers entering the roadway to watch for buses on the shoulder.

Construction costs for these projects vary depending on whether a shoulder is being converted or is part of a new construction project. Table 4 indicates different scenarios and the associated costs for implementation on a freeway or expressway. Operating and maintenance costs include the additional cost of snow and debris removal in these areas. There are also increased costs to repair.

**Table 4. Costs Associated With Implementing Bus-Only Shoulders**

<b>Condition</b>	<b>Costs plus signing and striping</b>
Shoulder width and bituminous depth are adequate. Catch basins do not need adjustment. Signing and striping are only requirements.	\$1,500 per mile—Freeway \$2,500 per mile—Expressway
Shoulder width and bituminous depth are adequate. Minor shoulder repairs and catch basin adjustments are needed.	\$5,000 per mile—Freeway \$5,000 per mile—Expressway
Shoulder width is adequate but bituminous depth requires a 2 in overlay. This assumes shoulder and roadway can be overlaid at the same time.	\$12,000 per mile—Freeway \$12,000 per mile—Expressway
Same as above but adjacent roadway is not being overlaid. Shoulder must be removed; granular base adjusted and increased bituminous depth replaced.	\$80,000—\$100,000 per mile
Shoulder width and depth replacement are required.	\$42,000—\$ 66,000 per mile for both freeway and expressway
Installing a 12-ft shoulder rather than a 10-ft shoulder in a new construction project.	\$30,000 per mile for both freeway and expressway

## Alternating Shoulder

### Illinois

The Illinois Department of Transportation (IDOT) undertook a project in 2001, to make improvements to the southbound lanes of I-57. Part of the traffic control plan for this project involved shifting the southbound traffic to the northbound lanes via a median crossover and using the northbound lanes to carry both northbound and southbound traffic.

IDOT determined that two lanes were needed for each direction of travel. However, providing a four-lane cross-section on the existing roadway did not permit adequate shoulder space in both directions. Therefore, the decision was made to implement alternating shoulders.

During the project, the cross-section of the roadway was comprised of two 11.5-ft southbound lanes, a 2-ft wide concrete median with 600-mm glare screen, a 6.5-ft inside shoulder that alternated from one direction of travel to the other, and two 11.5-ft northbound lanes. A guardrail was located along the outside edge of the rightmost lane in both directions.

In the transition section between the southern and northern ends of the project, which was about 160 ft, the concrete median was gradually shifted to eliminate the northbound left shoulder and provide a southbound left shoulder.

This configuration allowed for a four-lane cross-section in the existing roadway width, while intermittently providing a shoulder refuge area for both directions of travel in case of a crash or vehicle disablement.

## Emergency Pull-Offs

The Minnesota Department of Transportation made improvements to I-94 in Minneapolis in response to increased traffic demand after the I-35W bridge collapse. The improvements to I-94 included the construction of adding a lane to carry the additional traffic while the I-35W bridge was repaired. After the bridge repair was complete, a project was undertaken for I-94 to build an acceptable permanent configuration while keeping the additional lane that was constructed. Since some sections of the roadway were left with limited shoulder width, emergency pull-offs were constructed at multiple locations along the roadway to accommodate such incidents as vehicle breakdowns and crash investigations. The length of these emergency pull-offs was generally 150 to 200 ft. The cost of constructing a single pull-off was \$75,000, unless a retaining wall was required. The construction of a retaining wall added \$150,000 to the cost of constructing a vehicle turnout. Construction of these pull-offs was completed in the summer of 2010.

## Crash Investigation Sites

### Georgia

The Georgia Department of Transportation (GDOT) installed approximately 50 or 60 crash investigation sites (or “Accident Investigation Sites,” as they are called within GDOT) in the late 1990s. They are 12-ft wide shoulder extensions paved with asphalt. They are approximately 100-ft long with tapers on each end. At the time of construction, they cost roughly \$10,000 per site. The sites do not include lighting. Two signs were installed for each site—one in advance of the site and one at the AIS. The sign is blue with a white logo of a tow truck pulling a car.

## Ramp Turn Restrictions

The Kansas Speedway in Kansas City, Kansas, hosts many popular racing events, including the NASCAR series. These races draw large crowds, resulting in much higher than usual demand on the nearby roadway network. In order to improve traffic operations for patrons and minimize the negative impacts to other users of the roadways, the Kansas Department of Transportation (KDOT) and the Kansas Highway Patrol (KHP) implemented a comprehensive plan for directing traffic into and out of the speedway facility.

This comprehensive traffic control plan involves both advance setup and manual direction of traffic while patrons stream into the facility. The major interchanges that are involved in this effort are:

- I-70 at K-7
- 110th and I-435
- I-435 and State Avenue
- I-435 and Parallel Avenue

Prior to race day, KDOT staff erect 12 portable variable message boards and temporary signs at specific locations along the roadway, adjust permanent signs, and place traffic cones near where they will be needed. This advance work, along with the subsequent teardown after the race day has a total cost of approximately \$10,000, which is paid by KDOT (in the form of wages to KDOT staff and use of KDOT equipment).

Many races span the 2-day weekend, so an 8-person crew from KDOT and a 16-person crew from the KHP are dispatched to manually direct traffic and manage the traffic control system. Ten (10) cameras are located at various points along the route, which provide staff with real-time information on the traffic patterns and help them make informed decisions. KDOT spends approximately \$10,000 on staff wages and equipment use during the two-day implementation of this system.

The additional cost to the Kansas Highway Patrol for the 2-day deployment of this system is approximately \$50,000 in officer wages and use of police vehicles and equipment. These costs are covered by the department's own funds.

Two other agencies are involved in the implementation of this plan as well: the Kansas City Kansas (KCK) police department and the Bonner Springs police department. Each of these agencies sends officers to various locations within their jurisdiction to improve local traffic flow. The costs to these agencies are unknown, and are covered from each of the agency's own funds.

Altogether, there are an estimated 1,000 man-hours spent between all these departments for a single deployment of this system. The total cost to all departments combined is approximately \$70,000. This cost must be paid each time the system is used, and does not include the installation cost of the permanent infrastructure and design plans needed before the system was ever implemented. As previously stated, this traffic control system makes use of 10 cameras placed at various points along the roadways. Five of these cameras were installed as part of a project completed in 2010, which also included improvements to the five existing cameras. The total cost of this project was approximately \$400,000.

## **Improvements to Detour Routes**

On August 1, 2007, the I-35W Mississippi River Bridge collapsed, displacing 154,000 daily vehicle trips. A study of the I-94/I-35W interchange revealed that 40 percent of these trips began or ended within the downtown area and 60 percent were pass-through trips.

Three primary detour routes were identified for improvements, as shown in Figure 100:

- Route 1: TH-280 from I-35W to I-94, and I-94 from Hwy 280 to I-35W
- Route 2: I-694 from I-35W to I-94, and I-94 from I-694 to downtown
- Route 3: TH-100 from I-694 to I-394

## Route 1

TH-280 was converted from an expressway to a freeway to increase capacity. The projects along this corridor included:

- The addition of a second lane to the ramp from TH-280 to I-35W/Hwy 36. This project cost \$375,000 and was completed in August 2007. (A)
- The construction of a southbound one-way frontage road at Broadway Ave to provide access to local business. This project cost \$400,000 and was completed in August 2007. (H)
- The construction of continuous lighting along TH-280. This project cost \$200,000 and was completed in August 2007. (L)
- The construction of a diamond interchange with two temporary signals at Hennepin/ Larpenteur Avenue. This project cost \$930,000 and was completed in August 2007. (J)
- The construction of Traffic Management System infrastructure along TH-280 including cameras, vehicle detectors, and dynamic message signs. This project cost \$500,000 and was completed in August 2007. (D)
- The elimination of access to TH-280 at each of two existing at-grade signals. This project cost \$155,000 and was completed in August 2007. (M)

The location of each project is shown in Figure 100 by the letter designation given in parenthesis above.


I-94 was improved by constructing a fourth lane along I-94 in the eastbound and westbound directions. This project cost \$1.2 million and was completed in August 2007. (G)

## Route 2

The following two projects were undertaken to improve operations along I-694:


- The construction of a fourth lane on eastbound I-694 to TH-47. The interchange at TH-47 was also modified to extend a through lane and provide a better lane drop condition. This project cost \$170,000 and was completed in September 2007. (O)
- The conversion of the shoulder of westbound I-694 to a bus-only lane. This project involved sign installation only. This project cost \$10,000 and was completed in August 2007. (N)

Although part of the detour Route 2, no improvement projects were undertaken for this section of I-94.



**Figure 100. Detour Routes in Minneapolis, Minnesota, During I-35W Bridge Reconstruction**





**Figure 101. Individual Project Locations**

### Route 3

Travel lanes were added along TH-100 to increase capacity. The projects along this corridor included:

- The addition of a second lane to the ramp from northbound TH-100 to eastbound I-694. The project also included lane widening along TH-100 to increase capacity, which was accomplished mostly through pavement marking changes. This project cost \$17,000 and was completed in August 2007. (C)
- The addition of an auxiliary lane along TH-100 from Duluth Street to TH-55. This lane addition was accomplished solely by changes to pavement markings. This project cost \$30,000. (I)

### Other Improvements

The following improvements were made near the immediate area of the collapsed bridge. Though not part of a formal detour route, these improvements provided operational benefits to traffic needing access to destinations near the site of the collapse. These improvements included:

- The conversion of the existing one-lane entrance and exit ramps at the I-35W and 4th Avenue interchange to two-lane ramps. This project cost \$112,000 and was completed in August 2007. (B)

- The addition of cameras and vehicle detectors along Hwy 65. These improvements allowed signal operations personnel to monitor conditions and adjust signal timings, thereby improving traffic flow and operations. This project cost \$70,000 and was completed in August 2007. (E)
- The addition of cameras and vehicle detectors along Hwy 47. These improvements allowed signal operations personnel to monitor conditions and adjust signal timings, thereby improving traffic flow and operations. This project cost \$70, 000 and was completed in August 2007. (F)
- The addition of an eastbound right-turn lane on Washington Ave for traffic entering the southbound I-35W on-ramp. The on-ramp was also widened and new striping was installed on the improved ramp. This project cost \$170,000. (K)
- The addition of ramps at the I-35W and Hennepin Avenue interchange. This project had an estimated cost of \$1,250,000 but was never completed. (P)

## Reduced Construction Duration

Several techniques of reducing construction duration are employed by TxDOT near Dallas, Texas. These techniques include:

- Charging the contractor a “lane rental fee” for each hour that a traffic lane is shut down
- Breaking a single project into multiple phases
- Paying the contractor a bonus (per day) for finishing ahead of schedule
- Charging the contractor a fine (per day) for finishing behind schedule

These techniques vary in cost, and are very sensitive to the traffic demand volumes of the roadway that is impacted by the project. The contractor bonuses can range from \$10,000 to \$20,000 per day with a maximum limit of \$250,000.

Construction Analysis for Pavement Rehabilitation Strategies (CA4PRS) is a software tool developed by the University of California Pavement Research Center (UCPRC) through the UC Berkeley Institute of Transportation Studies and funded by FHWA. The software helps agencies evaluate the cost of various construction scenarios for pavement rehabilitation projects, taking into account schedule, cost, and delay to traffic. FHWA obtained a group license from UC Berkeley for the software for use by all 50 state DOTs. The software has been used to justify accelerating construction schedules by showing how they can save the agency and the users’ money. One example was the I-15 Devore project in Iowa. The project was completed in two 9-day periods, rather than the 10 months that would have been required for standard nighttime closures. Using traffic simulation models and the CA4PRS software, this accelerated schedule was shown to save the agency \$6 million and the road users \$2 million.

## Improved Work Site Access/Circulation

The Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT) Design Manual, Chapter 1010—Work Zone Safety and Mobility, contains various techniques and strategies to minimize the effect of a work zone on traffic operations and traffic safety. WSDOT policy prohibits hauling ingress and egress from a live traffic lane on high speed/high volume roadways. Therefore, alternate methods of delivering materials are required. Examples of techniques that have been employed on WSDOT projects include:

- Material stockpiling on site. This technique involves contractors planning ahead and creating stockpile sites within the work zone area. The materials are delivered during low-demand time periods and used when they are needed.
- Material processing on site. The contractor strategically positions portable concrete or asphalt plants on site to minimize access conflicts.
- Dedicated truck deceleration and acceleration lanes. This technique involves the construction of an additional temporary lane within the work zone space to allow delivery trucks to decelerate when entering and accelerate when exiting. Barrier separation of these lanes from normal travel lanes is preferred. In most cases, it may be difficult to find available space within the work zone, but when possible, this method works well.
- Temporary access granted from other property owners. This technique involves the contractor seeking cooperation from adjacent property owners, local agencies and FHWA to allow access for deliveries trucks via nearby non-freeway roads.
- Alternate private or local access. Through easements and local agency permits, contractors may obtain alternate access.
- Total closure. This should be done during periods of low demand. By temporarily closing the entire roadway, work can be completed quickly. This technique is particularly useful in conjunction with weekend work.
- Lane closure for hauling access. This technique should be used only during periods of low traffic demand to avoid significant congestion. This technique would be recommended in conjunction with night work, for example.
- Lane closure using Quickchange Movable Barrier. This allows a traffic lane to be closed for periods of low demand, and then easily reopened during periods of high demand.
- Closure of ramp to allow “wrong-way” material hauling. This technique involves the full or partial closure of a downstream off-ramp. Trucks that are delivering materials to the project site travel in the closed lane in the opposite direction of normal traffic.
- Project staging. This technique involves advance planning of a series of project phases, such that materials for later stages can be delivered and stockpiled before ramps or lanes are opened.
- Use of conveyer system. Materials can be delivered to an area near the work site with low traffic volumes and brought to the location where they are needed through an on-site conveyer system.

- Hauling allowed only during specified conditions. This technique involves a performance specification to the contractor which only allows materials to be delivered when certain conditions are met. For example, material delivery would only be allowed if vehicle demand volumes were below a certain threshold.
- Rolling slowdown operations. These may be used to provide gaps in the normal traffic stream to allow trucks to deliver material to the site. This is intended to reduce the occurrence of sudden speed reductions and potential for rear-end collisions.
- HOV lane use. High-occupancy-vehicle-only lanes may be used by trucks delivering materials to the site.

## **Animal-Vehicle Collision Design Considerations**

Five wildlife crossings were constructed along US-93, a two-lane highway near Wells, Nevada, by NDOT between 2000 and 2010. This section of roadway is along a migratory path of mule deer, and it is estimated that 3,500 deer use one of the five crossings each fall and again each spring.

These crossings were built over a series of three projects. The first project was an overcrossing built over an existing cut section of the roadway. The road profile remained as it was, so only a small amount of earthwork was required. The overcrossing was 185-ft long and 55-ft wide. The total cost to NDOT was \$1.8 million, and another agency paid the \$300,000 cost of installing fencing around the crossing.

The next project consisted of the construction of three undercrossings under US-93. The crossings were constructed one-half at a time to allow traffic to continue to flow during construction. The undercrossings consisted of a metal culvert, 28-ft wide by 16-ft tall. The total cost for these three undercrossings was \$2.2 million.

The third project was the construction of an overcrossing 100-ft long by 55-ft wide. A significant amount of earthwork was required for this crossing, and the roadway was lowered 14-ft from its original profile. An 8-ft woven wire fence was installed with this crossing, and is included in the total \$3.15 million cost of this project.

These five crossings are all along a single 12-mi section of US 93 that experienced an estimated 70 to 80 wild animal-vehicle crashes per year before these projects began. These crossings have resulted in an 80-percent to 90-percent reduction in wild animal-vehicle crashes along this roadway.

## **Contra-Flow Lanes—Evacuation**

The Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT) has identified I-10 West as a potential hurricane evacuation route for the City of Houston. In several places along this route, water-filled traffic barriers have been used to separate directions of travel. In an emergency evacuation,

the water can be drained from these barriers, allowing them to be moved to allow contraflow of the east-bound lanes of I-10 during the evacuation. In addition, the outside shoulder of I-10 may be used as a travel lane during evacuations. This may be done with or without the use of contraflow lanes. For other evacuation routes, the inside shoulder may be designated as the “evaculane” and marked with a hurricane evacuation symbol. Residents know when they are permitted to use the lane through local media reports and signs along the route. During times when the shoulder serves as an evaculane, fender-benders and disabled vehicles must be moved off of the shoulder or to the nearest ramp.

The entire I-10 evacuation route from Houston to San Antonio covers nearly 200 miles of freeway. Costs for using the water-filled barriers along the route were approximately \$250,000. However, the most significant cost associated with this treatment is personnel time during each evacuation event. At each interchange, it is recommended that three workers are dispatched to direct traffic onto the freeway. Law enforcement personnel are preferred to fulfill this role, and it is also recommended that each person directing traffic have a vehicle in order to bring attention to themselves and for their protection. Many interchanges are involved in the Houston evacuation route, so dispatching all necessary personnel can be a rather expensive undertaking.

TxDOT has posted several videos illustrating and explaining the use of emergency evacuation routes. They provide guidance to drivers on what to expect during an evacuation, and show renderings of the temporary traffic control to guide them onto the contraflow section, as well as the signs they should expect to encounter. Contraflow guide signs are typically folded signs that are opened during an evacuation.

TxDOT has developed evacuation plans for Corpus Christi as well. The main evacuation route is I-37 toward San Antonio, although the DOT states that this route would not be able to accommodate all coastal traffic and that other routes should be considered depending on the predicted path of the storm. The I-37 evacuation plan has three stages. In the first stage, shoulders are opened for use. In the second stage, contraflow is deployed between the urban areas, and in the third, contraflow begins in the urban center of Corpus Christi and includes several interchanges where traffic control will be modified to accommodate contraflow.

The Corpus Christi evacuation plan provides the following images of the signing used for shoulder use during an evacuation.

## **HOV Lanes/HOT Lanes**

Several freeways in Houston, Texas, have reversible HOV lanes between opposing directions of travel. These lanes are available to inbound HOV traffic in a.m. peak periods and outbound HOV traffic in PM peak periods. I-45 near Sam Houston Tollway is one such road. The HOV lane is separated from the general travel lanes by concrete barriers, and may only be entered or exited at specific access points along the freeway. Barriers are moved at each end of the reversible HOV lane during off-peak periods to switch the direction of travel.

When major incidents take place that block freeway lanes and cause significant congestion, the HOV lanes are temporarily opened to all traffic. This occurs approximately four times per year. The traveling public is made aware of the lane opening by dynamic message signs and via television and radio news programs. The TxDOT Traffic Management Center makes the decision to open the lane and relays the message through news station personnel. Thus, there is little, if any, cost to implement this treatment.

Opening the HOV lane to all traffic is done relatively rarely because of the negative impact it has on HOV vehicles. TxDOT prefers to give an advantage to HOV traffic, so that they have better travel conditions than the general traffic, in order to encourage carpooling and bussing. Temporarily opening the HOV lane to all traffic eliminates this incentive.

On very rare occasions, TxDOT will waive toll fees on certain toll-only facilities in the Houston area in response to major incidents. The cost of doing this is the lost revenue that would have been collected at the toll booths. The public is apprised of the temporarily waived tolls via television and radio in the same way as the HOV lane opening.

## **Work Zone Express Lanes**

Work zone express lanes were used during a construction project in downtown Tucson, Arizona, along the I-10 corridor during 2007 through 2009. The existing freeway improvements included lane additions and reconstruction of seven bridges and underpasses along a 4.5-mi segment as part of a \$200 million project. Prior to construction, express lanes were built along the entire length of the work zone for traffic desiring to bypass local traffic. A mobile ITS center was established with approximately 72 cameras to monitor the entire project area comprehensively. Since shoulders were not provided along the express lanes, existing ramps (not in use by through traffic) were designated as emergency pullouts and tow trucks were available at all times to assist in clearing any incidents. In addition, parallel arterial roads were upgraded to provide additional through lanes, and the traffic signals along the route were retimed to adjust to the new traffic demands.

## **Ramp Metering**

In the Minneapolis/St. Paul metropolitan area, there were approximately 430 ramp meters in operation in 2006. They operated during the morning and evening peak periods. Some of the installations were pre-timed, while others were traffic responsive and part of a larger network-wide metering system. The Twin Cities region has the most comprehensive metering system in the nation. In 2000, Mn/DOT conducted a legislature mandated study of the metering program. Key results from this study are discussed in the Chapter 3 discussion of this treatment.

Seattle has had approximately 120 ramp meters in place since 2002. They are all part of a traffic-responsive system-wide initiative. The meters operate during the morning and afternoon peak periods as well as during incidents and special events. The system is designed to be able to respond to special nonrecurrent congestion needs.

Caltrans has over 1,000 ramp meters in place throughout the state, including installations in major metropolitan areas such as Los Angeles and San Diego. The installations include a variety of approaches, from local pre-timed installations to large network-wide traffic responsive systems. Caltrans has even developed a ramp metering design manual for the state.

## Fog Detection

Caltrans District 10 experiences, seasonal fog, and dust-related visibility problems that have caused numerous multi-vehicle crashes. In 1990, Caltrans proposed a multi-sensor automated warning system based on the expansion of SR 120 connecting I-5 and SR 99. The system includes 36 traffic speed monitoring sites, nine complete report meteorological stations, and nine changeable message signs for warning drivers. This system is referred to as the Caltrans Automated Warning System (CAWS). CAWS detects reduced visibility conditions and traffic congestion. When sensors determine visibility is below 500 ft, changeable message signs display “FOGGY CONDITIONS AHEAD”; when visibility is below 200 ft, changeable message signs display “DENSE FOG AHEAD.” The Traffic Monitoring System (TMS) sensors collect traffic count and speed information. When speeds are below 35 mph, the changeable message signs display “SLOW TRAFFIC AHEAD” warnings, and when speeds are below 11 mph, the changeable message signs display “STOPPED TRAFFIC AHEAD” warnings.

The weather-related instruments of CAWS also measure wind speed. When wind speeds register above 25 mph, the changeable message signs display “HIGH WIND WARNING.” These messages are intended to reduce crashes caused by low visibility due to dust.

The cost of the San Joaquin Valley system that was implemented in the early 1990s was estimated to cost approximately \$3.6 million (\$1.32 million for CALTRANS and \$2.35 million for the California Highway Patrol).

## Tennessee

In Tennessee, two major fog-related crashes triggered the installation of a fog detection system in 1993, on the Hiwassee Bridge on I-75. The system includes:

- Road Weather Information Systems
- Fog detectors
- Speed detectors
- Variable message signs
- Changeable speed limit signs
- Swing gates
- Fixed signs with flashers
- Highway Advisory Radio systems

If speeds fall below 45 mph, or if visibility falls below 1,320 ft, an alert is sent to dispatch a highway patrol officer to assess the situation. An automatic message is sent to the variable message sign notifying drivers of potential fog ahead. If the highway patrol officer confirms the presence of fog, the variable message changes from “POTENTIAL FOG AHEAD” to “FOG AHEAD.” Variable speed limit signs are also activated, as follows:

- When visibility is between 480 and 1,320 ft, the speed limit is set to 50 mph.
- When visibility is between 241 and 480 ft, the speed limit is set to 35 mph.
- When visibility is below 240 ft, the road is closed and traffic is detoured onto a nearby US highway.

From December 1993 to January 1995, there were 77 activations for fog. All activations required lowering the speed limit to 50 mph; ten of the activations required a further lowering of the speed to 35 mph. Two road closures took place—one due to fog, the other due to a nearby chemical plant explosion of toxic smoke. The system is monitored by the highway patrol but was installed and maintained by officials from the Tennessee Department of Transportation (TNDOT).

This system was installed for a total cost of approximately \$4.5 million.

## **Flood Warning System**

### **Dallas, Texas**

The City of Dallas, Texas, implemented a flood warning system in April 2000. The system is made up of three components:

- A central computer system
- One sensor at each site
- One to six changeable message signs at each site

The sensor monitors the elevation of a nearby stream and reports every twenty minutes to the central computer. When the flood water reaches the edge of the roadway, a float switch tells the sensor to signal the sign to change to the warning text and turn on the flashing lights. The sign sends a message back to the sensor confirming that everything is working properly. The sensor radios this information back to the central computer. Pages are sent to staff and messages are printed out at the appropriate Street Services district alerting them of the need to place barricades at the location as soon as possible.

The signs and sensors are battery powered and recharged with solar cells. All communication between the sensors and signs and the sensors and the central computer are by radio. The sensors normally control the signs without intervention from the central computer. However, the central computer can issue commands to turn on the signs and lights.



The signs include changeable text messages and red flashing lights. In the non-alarm state, the lights are off and the sign shows “High Water When Flashing.” In alarm state, the lights alternate flashing and the sign changes to “Do Not Enter High Water.” The signs and lights are equipped with sensors to detect the status.

## **Fort Worth, Texas**

The City of Fort Worth, Texas, has a system consisting of both manual and automatic barrier gates as well as flashing beacons that warn motorists of flooded roadways. The city first installed the system in 2003, which includes 43 advance warning signs at 18 sites.

## **Houston, Texas**

The City of Houston, Texas, has over 185 sites with 550 sensors that include 12 low water crossing areas.

## **Wind Warning System**

### **Oregon**

A wind warning system used on the Yaquina Bay Bridge in Oregon included an anemometer to measure wind speed, which automatically activates flashing beacons on two static signs. This system was constructed for approximately \$20,000.

### **Montana**

A wind warning system is used along Interstate 90 in the Bozeman/Livingston area in Montana. The following messages are displayed to motorists:

- If wind speeds are between 20 and 39 mph: CAUTION: WATCH FOR SEVERE CROSSWINDS
- If wind speeds are over 39 mph: SEVERE CROSSWINDS: HIGH PROFILE UNITS EXIT

### **Nevada**

A wind warning system is used along US 395 in Washoe Valley, between Carson City and Reno, Nevada. The following messages are displayed to motorists:

- If wind speeds are between 15 to 30 mph and maximum wind gusts are 20 to 40 mph: CAMPERS AND TRAILERS NOT ADVISED

- If wind speeds are greater than 30 mph and maximum wind gusts greater than 40 mph: **CAMPERS AND TRAILERS PROHIBITED**

## **New York**

A wind warning system is used on the Ogdensburg-Prescott International Bridge in New York. The following messages are displayed to motorists:

- If wind speeds are between 30 to 40 mph: **HIGH WIND ADVISORY. USE CAUTION ON BRIDGE.** (No vehicle restrictions.)
- If wind speeds are between 40 to 50 mph: **HIGH WINDS. REDUCE SPEED ON BRIDGE.** (Restrictions: Escorted vehicles are individually assessed on their load.)
- If wind speeds are between greater than 50 mph: **HIGH WINDS. REDUCE SPEED TO 5 mph.** (Restrictions: Intermittent restrictions of recreational vehicles (motor homes and travel trailers), motorcycles, and empty container trucks, as warranted by bridge manager or designee.)
- If wind speeds are between greater than 75 mph: **BRIDGE CLOSED.** (All traffic restricted.)