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DEFINITIONS AND CAUTIONARY NOTE

The companies in which Royal Dutch Shell plc directly and indirectly owns investments are separate entities. In this presentation “Shell”, “Shell group” and “Royal Dutch Shell” are sometimes used for convenience where references are made to Royal Dutch Shell plc and its subsidiaries in general. Likewise, the words “we”, “us” and “our” are also used to refer to subsidiaries in general or to those who work for them. These expressions are also used where no useful purpose is served by identifying the particular company or companies. “Subsidiaries”, “Shell subsidiaries” and “Shell companies” as used in this presentation refer to companies over which Royal Dutch Shell plc either directly or indirectly has control. Companies over which Shell has joint control are generally referred to “joint ventures” and companies over which Shell has significant influence but neither control nor joint control are referred to as “associates”. In this presentation, joint ventures and associates may also be referred to as “equity-accounted investments”. The term “Shell interest” is used for convenience to indicate the direct and/or indirect (for example, through our 23% shareholding in Woodside Petroleum Ltd.) ownership interest held by Shell in a venture, partnership or company, after exclusion of all third-party interest.

This presentation contains forward-looking statements concerning the financial condition, results of operations and businesses of Royal Dutch Shell. All statements other than statements of historical fact are, or may be deemed to be, forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements are statements of future expectations that are based on management’s current expectations and assumptions and involve known and unknown risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results, performance or events to differ materially from those expressed or implied in these statements. Forward-looking statements include, among other things, statements concerning the potential exposure of Royal Dutch Shell to market risks and statements expressing management’s expectations, beliefs, estimates, forecasts, projections and assumptions. These forward-looking statements are identified by their use of terms and phrases such as “anticipate”, “believe”, “could”, “estimate”, “expect”, “goals”, “intend”, “may”, “objectives”, “outlook”, “plan”, “probably”, “project”, “risks”, “schedule”, “seek”, “should”, “target”, “will” and similar terms and phrases. There are a number of factors that could affect the future operations of Royal Dutch Shell and could cause those results to differ materially from those expressed in the forward-looking statements included in this presentation, including (without limitation): (a) price fluctuations in crude oil and natural gas; (b) changes in demand for Shell’s products; (c) currency fluctuations; (d) drilling and production results; (e) reserves estimates; (f) loss of market share and industry competition; (g) environmental and physical risks; (h) risks associated with the identification of suitable potential acquisition properties and targets, and successful negotiation and completion of such transactions; (i) the risk of doing business in developing countries and countries subject to international sanctions; (j) legislative, fiscal and regulatory developments including regulatory measures addressing climate change; (k) economic and financial market conditions in various countries and regions; (l) political risks, including the risks of expropriation and renegotiation of the terms of contracts with governmental entities, delays or advancements in the approval of projects and delays in the reimbursement for shared costs; and (m) changes in trading conditions. All forward-looking statements contained in this presentation are expressly qualified in their entirety by the cautionary statements contained or referred to in this section. Readers should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements. Additional risk factors that may affect future results are contained in Royal Dutch Shell’s 20-F for the year ended December 31, 2012 (available at www.shell.com/investor and www.sec.gov). These risk factors also expressly qualify all forward looking statements contained in this presentation and should be considered by the reader. Each forward-looking statement speaks only as of the date of this presentation, [20/04/2015]. Neither Royal Dutch Shell plc nor any of its subsidiaries undertake any obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statement as a result of new information, future events or other information. In light of these risks, results could differ materially from those stated, implied or inferred from the forward-looking statements contained in this presentation.

We may have used certain terms, such as resources, in this presentation that United States Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) strictly prohibits us from including in our filings with the SEC. U.S. Investors are urged to consider closely the disclosure in our Form 20-F, File No 1-32575, available on the SEC website www.sec.gov. You can also obtain these forms from the SEC by calling 1-800-SEC-0330.
HISTORY AND OPERATIONS

Does your company use real-time monitoring for its offshore operations? If not, why?

- Yes – New Orleans Since 2002 / Houston since 2006 (After Katrina)

If your company does use real-time monitoring, what are the critical operations and specific parameters that your company monitors?

- Deepwater
  - Drilling Centric
  - Data streamed for Deepwater Completions / P&A / Workovers – Scope is project dependent.
  - Well Control and Performance Based Parameters
PARAMETERS AND RTM REQUIREMENTS

- Do you believe there are specific types of wells or operations and parameters (for drilling, completions or workovers, or production operations) that always should be monitored with real-time monitoring?
  - *For Shell – All Deepwater Operations*
  - *Well Control – Pit Volumes, MW, ECD, Flow in/Out*

- Are there specific criteria or risk thresholds that your company uses to prompt real-time monitoring requirements (e.g. factors such as well or water depth, frontier area, HP/HT wells, or well complexity)?
  - *All Deepwater Wells (Drilling)*
    - *Deepwater P&A (Surface parameters only)*
    - *Deepwater Workovers – Drill pipe operations only (Does not include coil operations)*
    - *Deepwater Completions – Data monitored and Streamed to engineering teams*
PREDICTIVE SOFTWARE AND AUTOMATION

- Does your company rely on any automation and predictive software in real-time monitoring?
  - Predictive Software
    - Connection Flow Monitoring
    - Heat Check Calculator

- What role could automation and predictive software tools play in real-time monitoring?
  - Predictive software tools could help supplement real-time monitoring, but not replace it.
  - Automation is still in its infancy.
Condition-based monitoring is viewed by BSEE as monitoring the operating condition of critical equipment and using any generated data to predict and proactively intervene when needed. As such, what role could condition-based monitoring play in real-time monitoring? Describe how operating equipment using condition-based monitoring could be tailored and/or used for real-time monitoring.

- Do not see direct connection to Drilling / CWI operations.
- Possibly for surface equipment monitoring.
- Production has benefits, as operations are long term and repeatable.
- Ex: Temperature, pressure monitoring.
- Operating equipment is maintained by contractor, either rig or service company.
LEVERAGE OF TECHNOLOGIES

BSEE would like to use real-time monitoring technologies to accomplish many of its safety and environmental protection responsibilities. Real-time monitoring technologies could be incorporated into BSEE’s existing safety and environmental regulations in order to replace or supplement its on-site inspection program.

- How could BSEE leverage such technologies?
  - Shell is open to BSEE coming to RTM centers to view data from the rigs. (Provides context)
- Which activities could real-time monitoring supplement or replace?
  - Currently, they could not replace any onsite activities, but could offer possibilities for items such as BOP monitoring.
BSEE OPPORTUNITIES

• What opportunities do you see for BSEE to use real-time monitoring to provide timely, functional, and value added inspections?
  • Don’t see connection to DW operations, aside from possible reduction in onsite visits for items that could be monitored remotely, such as BOP testing.
  • Historical data could be useful, in certain cases.

• What would you recommend that BSEE do in the real-time monitoring area?
  • Sufficient staff to monitor BOP testing from onshore vs offshore.