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Learning Objectives

• Identify challenges of having wetlands near airports
• Describe the considerations and constraints of wetland mitigation on and near airports
• Discuss the process involved in wetland impacts at airports
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Five Ways to Get Involved!

1. Join the ACRP IdeaHub community
2. Volunteer for a project panel
3. Prepare a research proposal
4. Answer an ACRP survey
5. Apply the research results

Visit us online: www.trb.org/ACRP
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Q Over 10 years’ experience in Florida
Q Qualified airport wildlife biologist
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Learning objectives

At the end of this webinar, you will be able to:

Q Have a basic knowledge of the definition of wetlands/waters of the US and regulatory environment.
Q Identify challenges of having wetlands on or near airports.
Q Describe the considerations and constraints of wetland mitigation on and near airports.
Q Discuss the process involved in addressing wetland impacts at airports.
Topics covered

Q Definition of wetlands/waters of the US
Q Regulatory environment
Q Wetland mitigation and challenges at airports
Q Types of mitigation
Q Case studies in wetland mitigation for airports

Vernal pool
Hartness State Airport, Vermont
What is a wetland?

US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) and US Environmental Protection (USEPA) define wetlands as:

areas that are inundated or saturated by surface or groundwater at a frequency and duration sufficient to support, and that under normal circumstances do support, a prevalence of vegetation typically adapted for life in saturated soil conditions.
What is a wetland?

Wetlands include, but are not limited to:

- Swamps
- Marshes/salt marshes
- Ponds
- Bogs
- Wet meadows
- Floodplain forests
- Estuaries

Wet meadow
How will you know if you have a wetland?

Q A wetland scientist will conduct a wetland delineation to determine the presence of wetlands and identify the boundary.

Q Note: Not all delineated wetlands may be jurisdictional. Local, state, and federal regulators decide this.

*Forested wetland*
USACE wetland criteria

**Hydrology**
Water saturation and movement through the wetland

**Hydrophytes**
Plants specially adapted to grow in wetlands due to the prolonged presence of water

**Hydric Soils**
Characteristic soils that develop in wetlands due to the prolonged presence of water
What are Waters of the United States?

The USACE/USEPA recently issued the “Navigable Waters Protection Rule” defining jurisdictional wetlands as:

Q Territorial seas and traditional navigable waters
Q Perennial and intermittent tributaries that contribute surface flow to such waters
Q Certain lakes, ponds, and impoundments of jurisdictional waters
Q Wetlands adjacent to other jurisdictional waters

(April 2020 definition)
Red maple swamp-floodplain, Manchester-Boston Regional Airport, New Hampshire
Wetland Challenges at Airports

- Wetlands are not compatible with airport operations!
- The regulatory environment is complex with multiple jurisdictions (federal, state and local agencies).
- Multiple agencies can take jurisdiction.
- Wetland impacts often occur as part of a larger project that can affect multiple resources.

Jurisdictional ditch at Toledo Express Airport, Ohio
Project Goal

Provide a clear, concise, and readable guidebook for airport staff, regulators, and consultants to address wetland mitigation at airports.

Standing water can attract hazardous wildlife and pose risks to the traveling public.
Potential Wildlife Hazards

Q Wetlands provide food, water, and shelter for wildlife that can be hazardous to aviation.

Q The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) identifies separation criteria*: 
   ß 5,000 feet (piston-powered aircraft)
   ß 10,000-feet (turbine-powered aircraft)
   ß 5 miles from approach/ departure corridors

More than 207,000 wildlife strikes have been reported to the FAA since 1990.

* Source: FAA AC 150/ 5200-33B, Wildlife Hazard Attractants On and Near Airports
Guidebook contents

- Regulatory environment
- Wetland identification and impacts
- Mitigation types
- Constraints
- Engineering and design issues
- Costs and funding
- Public outreach and stakeholder management
- Case studies
Regulatory Environment
Multiple agencies (federal, state, regional and local) govern wetlands including:

- FAA
- USACE
- National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Fisheries
- USEPA
- US Fish and Wildlife Service (USF&WS)
- National Congress of American Indians
- US Department of the Interior
Federal Clean Water Act (CWA) of 1972

The CWA established a structure for regulating discharges of pollutants into the Waters of the United States and quality standards for surface waters.

Wetland issues are addressed in Sections 401, 402 and 404 of the CWA.
CWA – Section 404

Q Section 404 regulates discharges of dredged and/or fill material into waters of the US, including wetlands.

Q USACE has implementation authority.

Q USF&W regulates impacts to fish and wildlife.

Fill requiring 404 permit and mitigation
USACE and USEPA usually require mitigation for unavoidable adverse impacts to wetlands and waters of the U.S. through:

- **Restoration** - Re-establishment or rehabilitation
- **Establishment** - Creation or enhancement
- **Preservation** - Other wetlands, streams, and aquatic resources

Tributaries of navigable waters are jurisdictional waters of the U.S.
CWA Section 404

Wetland Mitigation types

- Mitigation banks
- In-lieu-fee programs
- Permittee-responsible mitigation

(Top right) Sugar Creek mitigation site before revegetation, La Crosse Regional Airport, Wisconsin

(Bottom right) Sugar Creek mitigation site following revegetation
A Section 404 permit is not valid without an approved 401 certification.

- States and Tribes may review and approve, conditionally approve, or deny 401 Water Quality Certifications for activities that may result in a discharge to waters of the US including wetlands.
- Early coordination is recommended.
Federal Regulations: CWA Section 402

A framework to permit the discharge of pollutants through point sources to waters of the US through Individual or General Permits.

Q Most states implement/ manage the Section 402 permit process.

β Projects that modify stormwater infrastructure may require Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan revisions.

Q Actions that disturb more than 1 acre of ground surface will require coverage under a construction stormwater discharge permit.
National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA)

Q Primary federal regulation for environmental protection
Q A process to comply with federal laws and regulations
Q Applies to all federal actions

Sample of policies:

- USDOT Section 4(f) Act
- Clean Air Act
- Clean Water Act
- Farmland Protection Policy Act
- Pollution Prevention Act
- Title VI of Civil Rights Act
- E.O.12898-Environmental Justice
- National Historic Preservation Act
- Aviation Safety and Noise Abatement Act
- Public Hearing Requirements
- Endangered Species Act
- Coastal Zone Management Act
NEPA and FAA

FAA is the federal lead agency for most airport-related wetland mitigation projects.

- FAA NEPA guidance:
  - FAA Order 1050.1 - *Environmental Impacts: Policies and Procedures*
  - FAA Order 5050.4 - *National Environmental Policy Act Implementing Instructions for Airport Actions*

Airport sponsors must work closely with FAA environmental staff throughout the NEPA and mitigation planning process.
Federal agencies prepare a NEPA document prior to decision making.

NEPA facilitates concurrence from agencies associated with potentially affected resources.

One of three NEPA documents may apply: Categorical Exclusion (Cat Ex), Environmental Assessment (EA), or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS).

NEPA documents identify resources, potential impacts, and necessary mitigation.
Mitigation Process Flowchart

1. Is there a project with ground disturbance or tree clearing in your CIP?
   - Yes
     - Does your master plan or ALP include environmental inventory and constraints?
       - No
         - Delineate Wetlands
       - Yes
         - Have wetlands been delineated within the last five years?
           - No
             - Delineate Wetlands
           - Yes
             - Are there wetland impacts?
               - No
                 - Engage Agencies
               - Yes
                 - Complete NEPA and other Regulatory Requirements

2. No
   - Design and Construct
Mitigation Types

Q Banking (credits)
  - Off-site source of mitigation guaranteed by a third party. The airport operator purchases credits in a mitigation bank to offset wetland impacts.

Q Permittee-responsible
  - Airport operator creates, enhances and/ or preserves a wetland. Also responsible for long term maintenance.

Q In-lieu-fee
  - Airport operator provides funds to an in-lieu mitigation sponsor, such as a public agency or not-for-profit organization.
## Banking (Credits)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pros</th>
<th>Cons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Agency-preferred approach</td>
<td>• Prices are variable and market driven</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Off-site mitigation may alleviate wildlife hazard concerns</td>
<td>• Mitigation banks may not offer the type of mitigation necessary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Airport operator pays one-time fee</td>
<td>• Credits might not be available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Mitigation bank is responsible for site success</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Permittee-responsible mitigation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pros</th>
<th>Cons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Can be cost effective if airport has sufficient property for mitigation</td>
<td>• Property acquisition may be expensive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Only option if existing credits or in-lieu-fee programs are unavailable</td>
<td>• Airport operator is responsible for design, construction, monitoring, and long-term management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Airport operator is responsible for design, construction, monitoring, and long-term management</td>
<td>• Risky and time-consuming</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Long-term conservation easement required (not desirable to FAA)</td>
<td>• Long-term conservation easement required (not desirable to FAA)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# In-Lieu-Fee Mitigation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pros</th>
<th>Cons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Off-site mitigation may alleviate wildlife hazard concerns</td>
<td>• Prices may vary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Low risk: in-lieu-fee sponsor is responsible for success of mitigation</td>
<td>• Programs/ opportunities may not be available</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mitigation Planning Considerations

**Site-related Constraints**

- Q Sufficient area for mitigation
- Q Distance from air operations area
- Q Available water source and water rights
- Q Potential environmental effects
- Q Property/easement acquisition

*Streaked Horned Lark (threatened species)*

*Hazardous waste site*
Engineering/Design Considerations

- Site selection/investigations
- Hydrologic/hydraulic studies
- Phasing and funding
- Effect on airport operations (construction and operation)
- Long-term monitoring/maintenance and stewardship

Long-term site monitoring required to determine success
## Mitigation Funding

**Costs**

- Permitting costs (including NEPA compliance)
- Mitigation components (vary by mitigation type)

**Funding**

- Airport Improvement Program (AIP) eligible if AIP-project related
- AIP Order 5100.38D-Change 1, Airport Improvement Program Handbook, Table S-1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What Can Be Done If Justified</th>
<th>Factors to Consider For Justification and Eligibility</th>
<th>Required Usable Unit of Work and Required Outcome</th>
<th>Work Code*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| j. Environmental Mitigation  | (1) Environmental mitigation projects (such as wetland mitigation) approved in an environmental determination for an AIP eligible project is and allowable cost (or phase) of the AIP eligible project.  
(2) The costs of wetland monitoring for the required period of monitoring that is included in the record of decision, up to a maximum of five years is an allowable cost. | An environmental mitigation measure that meets the requirements of the environmental determination. | The work code of the associated AIP eligible project must be used |
Case studies

Refer to Chapter 9 in the guidebook for all case studies.

Q Six case studies
Q Various mitigation strategies
Q Diverse airports nationwide

β La Crosse Regional Airport
β Cecil Airport
La Crosse Regional Airport, Wisconsin
La Crosse Regional Airport

- Lake Onalaska
- New Taxiway F extension and taxiway connectors
- Taxiway C (previous taxiway)
- Runway 18/36
- Terminal
La Crosse Regional Airport

**Wetland Mitigation**

- Permittee-responsible
- Land acquisition
- Design and construction
- Monitoring
- Non-airport long term stewardship
La Crosse Municipal Airport

Fishery Mitigation

- Pettibone Park
  - Owned by City
  - City maintains system
Cecil Airport/ Commerce Center

Cecil Field Naval Air Station

- Closed in 1993
- Still utilized as active General Aviation/Military airfield
- COJ and JAA tasked with redevelopment of 17,000 acres
- Such a large undertaking requires wetland impacts
- Impacts require mitigation
- What to do?
Cecil Airport/Commerce Center

Jacksonville, Florida

- Master planned development for the new Cecil Airport/Commerce Center
- >500 acres of jurisdictional wetlands (1/3 within airport boundary)
- City worked with federal, state, and local agencies to identify aviation-compatible mitigation through:
  - On-site wetland preservation and creation
  - Contribution to regional conservation efforts
Cecil Airport/ Commerce Center

Q Considerations for Planning
Q Location
  ß Separation distances
Q Planning
  ß Type of Wetland
  ß How to achieve proper hydrology
Q Coordination
  ß Regulatory agencies
  ß FAA

Year 2 of wetland monitoring

Year 3 of wetland monitoring
Sugar Creek mitigation site, 2014
La Crosse Regional Airport, Wisconsin
Thank you!

Runway 24 Safety Area Improvement Project - Manchester-Boston Regional Airport, New Hampshire
More information can be acquired through the Transportation Research Board website (trb.org) by searching for ACRP Research Report 198.
ACRP is an Industry-Driven Program

- Managed by TRB and sponsored by the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA).
- Seeks out the latest issues facing the airport industry.
- Conducts research to find solutions.
- Publishes and disseminates research results through free publications and webinars.
Other Ways to Participate

Become an Ambassador. Ambassadors represent ACRP at events and conferences across the country!

Sponsor or become an ACRP Champion. The champion program is designed to help early- to mid-career, young professionals grow and excel within the airport industry.

Visit ACRP’s Impacts on Practice webpage to submit leads on how ACRP’s research is being applied at any airport.

Visit us online: www.trb.org/ACRP
Upcoming ACRP Webinars

December 8
Planning an Effective Airport Deicing Runoff Management Program
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Moderator: Janet Kieler, Denver International Airport
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Get Involved with TRB
#TRBwebinar

Getting involved is free!

Be a Friend of a Committee [bit.ly/TRBcommittees]
– Networking opportunities
– May provide a path to Standing Committee membership

Join a Standing Committee [bit.ly/TRBstandingcommittee]


Update your information [www.mytrb.org]
#TRBAM is going virtual!

• 100th TRB Annual Meeting is fully virtual in January 2021
• Continue to promote with hashtag #TRBAM
• Check our [website](http://example.com) for more information
TRB turns 100 on November 11, 2020

100 YEARS
2020

Help TRB:
- Promote the value of transportation research;
- Recognize, honor, and celebrate the TRB community; and
- Highlight 100 years of accomplishments.

Learn more at
www.TRB.org/Centennial
#TRB100

MOVING IDEAS: ADVANCEING SOCIETY—100 YEARS OF TRANSPORTATION RESEARCH
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