If these rates are applied to the $\mathbf{X}, \mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{Z}$, and "Other" vehicle-miles above, the results are as shown in Table 12.

Since so little is known about the "Other" travel, and following the course of the original report, this "Other" travel is eliminated, and the final conclusion is expressed as follows:
(1) Taxed gasoline consumed by motor vehicles on public roads and streets in North Carolina on the average 24 -hour day of 1949 , was as shown in Table 13, within the limits of this study.
This compares with the following findings of the original report:

|  |  | Daily <br> Thousand Gal. | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All city-town streetsState highwaystowns)County <br> towns) roads |  | 628.097 | 3380 |
|  | (outside' | 894511 | 48.14 |
|  | coutside | 335.415 | 18.06 |
|  |  | 1,858.023 | 100.00 |

(2) In spite of the many changes due to improved mileage and population data, it is apparent that the indications of the original report remain substantially the same in the final report, with very little change in the relationship of total travel on the three systems.
(3) There still remains a possibility of error due to the relatively small number of sample towns, and the lack of more extensive traffic data in the town of the smallest sizes, of which there are so many in North Carolina.
(4) It would appear, however, from a comparison of the two reports that the final results are generally corroborative, this report materially reducing the degree of probable error.
(5) The major value of this report lies in the availability of new, complete, certified, recent street mileage data in all towns, and more reliable computations as to vehicle-miles of travel in all towns, and corroboration of previously computed percentages.

# TESTING $\perp$ TRAFFIC CIRCLE FOR POSSIBLE CAPACITY 

E. B. Shrope, Principal ('ıil Engineer, Bureau of Highway Planning, New York State Department of Public Works

SYNOPSIS
The Latham, New York, traffic circle has a 200 -ft. diameter central island, with two $15-\mathrm{ft}$. lanes and four entering highways. These highways enter so as to give two $210-\mathrm{ft}$. and two $105-\mathrm{ft}$. weaving sections measured on the center line and from center-to-center of the entering highways.

A preliminary check of the volumes, from the annual August counts, showed less than $1,000 \mathrm{vph}$. This was not enough to load the circle for testing capacity. It was therefore decided to use 30 test cars on a $3-\mathrm{min}$. schedule, in addition to regular traffic. The plan scheduled the test cars with 50 to 50,75 to 25,90 to 10 percent weaving action with two separate test routings.

The circle was divided into four segments for studying the weaving actions in the shorter and the longer weaving sections. Three tests were taken for periods of 30 min . and two for 15 min . On the shorter Segments A and C with all cars weaving on a 50 to 50 ratio, the possible capacity was about $1,200 \mathrm{vph}$. and $1,300 \mathrm{vph}$. with 70 to 30 weaving ratio. The maximum speeds recorded in traveling through the shorter weaving sections ranged from 16 to 21 mph .-through lane movements, also were recorded. On the longer Segments B and D with all cars weaving on a 50 to 50 ratio, the possible capacity was found to be about $1,500 \mathrm{vph}$. with a one-lane operation and about $2,000 \mathrm{vph}$. for two-lane operation, (twoabreast). On a 70 to 30 ratio and above, with all cars weaving, the capacity of the weaving sections were increased to $1,700 \mathrm{vph}$. for one-lane operation and 2,200 vph . for two-lane operation.

The maximum speeds recorded at which vehicles passed through the longer weaving sections, during the test, varied from 17 to 24 mph .

- In 1949, the New York State Department of Public Works scheduled an annual highway-
traffic-research program in coöperation with the Bureau of Public Roads. Several types of
projects were advanced for study. Among these was one to study the possible capacity of traffic circles with special attention being given to short weaving sections. The Latham Traffic Circle presented an ideal opportunity for this type of study.

Latham, New York, is known as "The Hub of the Capital District." Its landmark, Latham Traffic Circle, makes it the nominal crossroads for the area. US 9, the main northsouth highway and New York 7, the east-west artery, converge at the circle and together produce a steady-flowing stream of traffic.


Figure 1. Location of Latham Circle.
That part of Latham on Routes 7 and 9 is a thriving commercial center. New stores and businesses are going up almost overnight to get away from the city parking problems and to serve the rapidly growing population.
The Latham Traffic Circle has a $200-\mathrm{ft}$. diameter central island, a 30 -ft. pawement and four entering highways. Route 9 is a threelane and Route 7 a two-lane highway. These highways enter so as to give two $210-\mathrm{ft}$. and two $105-\mathrm{ft}$. weaving sections as measured on the centerline, from center to center of the entering highways.
Preparation for this test could not be made in sufficient time to take advantage of the heavy July and August travel at this location. The existing three-lane north-south highway, Route 9 , is presently carrying summer volumes up to 14,000 vehicles and Route 7, the east-
west route, up to 10,500 vehicles per 24 hr . In order to provide adequate traffic, it was decided to load certain segments of the circle by the use of test cars on a predetermined schedule. These were in addition to the prevailing traffic. The tests were run on November 9,1949 . The plan scheduled the test cars with 50 to 50,75 to 25 , and 90 to 10 percent weaving action with two separate test routings.
In order that a permanent record of test could be made for analysis, two movie cameras


Figure 2. Dimensional plan of circle.
were used at different locations. Definite markings were located on the ground so the speed of the cars could be estimated.

Figure 1 shows the location of Latham Circle and the crossing of the two main arteries Route 9, north-south extending from New York, through Albany to Saratoga and north to Montreal and Route 7 from Vermont through Troy to Schenectady and southwest to Binghamton and into Pennsylvania.
Figure 2 shows the dimensions of the circle. Weaving Sections A and C are 105 ft . long and $B$ and $D$ are 210 ft . long, measured center to center of the entering highways. It also shows the approximate location of the movie cameras. The one to the north was located on the roof of a schoolhouse and the one to the south was
operated from the raised platform of a trafficsignal repair truck.

Figure 3 shows some of the traffic on the circle from different angles.

A study of the normal traffic that could be expected at the time of the tests indicated that the maximum volume would be approximately 1,000 vehicles per hr . in a segment of the circle. It was estimated that this volume might have to be increased to an equivalent of $3,000 \mathrm{vph}$. to reach the possible capacity of the circle and to introduce two-lane action. On the basis of adding the equivalent of 2,000 vph . to a segment, the number of test cars required was calculated. With a test car speed of 20 mph . and a traveled path of $1,800 \mathrm{ft}$. , 30 test cars operating continuously for a $3-\mathrm{min}$. period were required.

It was planned to run each test for 27 min ., in nine separate $3-\mathrm{min}$. periods, feeding the test cars into the normal traffic in these 3 -min. periods from an initial total volume of about the equivalent of 350 vph . to the $2,000 \mathrm{vph}$. Working within this range the number of test cars to be added to the normal traffic during each 3 -min. period from 0 to 27 was calculated (see Fig. 4). The total number of test cars required for each 3 -min. period was then allotted between two groups according to the percentage of weaving for each test. One group was identified by letters and the other by numbers.

Two routings for test cars were planned as shown in Figure 5. Routing 1 was planned to produce through and weaving actions in each of the four segments under study. Routing 2 was planned to eliminate slow-ups in traffic in the longer segments by scheduling all test cars as through traffic on the shorter segments. This controlled, as far as possible, the effects of any slow-ups in the longer segments, caused by weaving in the shorter segments and tended to produce two-lane action with accompanying maximum capacity loadings.

In order to cover a varied range of weaving movements, it was then decided to schedule three tests on each routing, hereafter referred to as 1 and 2 , with ratios of 50 to 50 (A), 75 to 25 (B), and 90 to 10 (C) crossing or weaving movements. The numbers in these ratios represent the percentages of the total vehicles to cross the crownline of the weaving sections. The first number represents the percentage to cross from the outside lane and the second
number the percentage to cross from the inside lane.

It must be remembered that this scheduling of the test cars was in addition to the normal flow of vehicles.

Table 1 shows the basic planning data for controlling the dispatch of the test cars during each test. For example, on the $50 \times 50$ ratio


Figure 3.
on both Routings 1 and 2 , the addition of test cars, for the equivalent of 350 to $2,000 \mathrm{vph}$., at the beginning of each $3-\mathrm{min}$. period were $3,4,5,7,8,10,12,14,15$ respectively for both lettered and numbered cars. Thus all of the 30 test cars were added to the normal traffic for the last $3-\mathrm{min}$. period of the test to attain maximum volumes.

To ease identification and study, all test cars had a large number or letter painted or


Figure 4. Lane capacity in relation to speed and spacing.


Figure 5. Routing of test cars.
fastened on the top and sides of the car. Thus, they could be followed through the weaving area by observers. For the normal traffic, colored cards were handed out as the cars entered the circle and collected as they left. This gave a complete record of the routings through the circle for both normal and test traffic.

In order that a complete record could be had for future study and comparisons, the hand record taken at census stations on the routes entering the circle and at the weaving areas, was augmented by the use of two movie cameras. Thus a major portion of these tests are recorded on movie films.

In addition to the two fixed cameras, approximately $1,600 \mathrm{ft}$. of movies were taken from a helicopter circling over the site for three of the five tests.

At each segment men were stationed to record the passage of the numbered and lettered test cars and to handle the colored cards for normal traffic. From this record the total volume on each segment and the amount of weaving was known. Table 2 shows the results of the tests. As each section of this test ran for three min., the volume multiplied by 20 gave the vehicles per hour. These are the figures shown. Thus, Column 3 shows the total vph . through the segment for each $3-\mathrm{min}$. period; Column 4 the trucks; Column 5 the weaving or crossing volume; Column 6 the actual percentages of the total vehicles (Column 5) crossing the crownline of the weaving sections for each $3-\mathrm{min}$. period, the first number representing the percentage crossing from the outside lane and the second number the percentage crossing from the inside lane; and Column 7 the volume of through traffic.

The heaviest volume recorded during the test occurred during Test 2-A in Segment B when vehicles passed through the weaving area at the rate of $1,900 \mathrm{vph}$. during the $3-\mathrm{min}$. period from 12 to 15 min . Of this total of $1,900 \mathrm{vph}$. there were 100 trucks, 1,820 vehicles crossed one another at 50 to 50 ratio and 80 vehicles went through the area without crossing.

Column 6 shows the percent crossing ratios recorded for the total volume of crossing traffic in the respertive segments. Obviously this varies, in most instances, from the crossing ratios planned for the test cars.
Because of the sharpness of the entering
approaches (radius $=70 \mathrm{ft}$.) all drivers tended to slow up before making the turn from the highway into the circle and fed into the circle in a single lane of traffic.

Figure 6 shows percentages of slow-ups and stops on the short Segment $C$ as compared to the volume. Of the vehicles entering the weaving area, the number of vehicles which slowedup or stopped were counted for each of the 3 -min. periods. These were combined and the

TABLE 1
PLANNED TEST PROGRAM SUPPLEMENTARY CARS

| Time period (minutes) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { "lettered } \\ & \text { cars" } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Vph. } \\ \text { equi- } \\ \text { valent } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of "'num- } \\ \text { bered } \\ \text { cars" } \end{gathered}$ | Vph. <br> equi- <br> valent | Approx. total vph. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Test 1A and 2A (50 $\times 50$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-3 | 3 | 175 | 3 | 175 | 350 |
| 3-6 | 4 | 250 | 4 | 250 | 500 |
| 6-9 | 5 | 300 | 5 | 300 | 600 |
| 9-12 | 7 | 400 | 7 | 400 | 800 |
| 12-15 | 8 | 450 | 8 | 450 | 800 |
| 15-18 | 10 | 600 | 10 | 600 | 1200 |
| 18-21 | 12 | 700 | 12 | 700 | 1400 |
| 21-24 | 14 | 800 | 14 | 800 | 1600 |
| 24-27 | 15 | 900 | 15 | 900 | 1800 |
| Test $1 B$ and $2 B$ (75 X 25) |  |  |  |  |  |
| O-3 | 4 | 250 | 1 | 50 | 300 |
| 3-6 | 6 | 350 | 2 | 100 | 450 |
| 6-9 | 8 | 450 | 3 | 200 | 650 |
| 9-12 | 10 | 600 | 4 | 250 | 850 |
| 12-15 | 12 | 700 | 5 | 300 | 1000 |
| 15-18 | 15 | 900 | 6 | 350 | 1250 |
| 18-21 | 16 | 950 | 7 | 400 | 1350 |
| 21-24 | 18 | 1050 | 8 | 450 | 1500 |
| 24-27 | 10 | 1100 | 9 | 500 | 1600 |
| Test $1 C$ and $8 C$ (00 $\times 10$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-3 | 5 | 300 | 1 | 50 | 350 |
| 3-6 | 7 | 400 | 1 | 50 | 450 |
| 6-9 | 10 | 600 | 1 | 50 | 650 |
| $9-12$ | 12 | 700 | 2 | 100 | 800 |
| 12-15 | 15 | 900 | 2 | 100 | 1000 |
| 15-18 | 17 | 1000 | 2 | 100 | 1100 |
| 18-21 | 19 | 1100 | 2 | 100 | 1200 |
| 21-24 | 22 | 1300 | 2 | 100 | 1400 |
| 24-27 | 24 | 1400 | 3 | 200 | 1690 |

percent of the total volume computed. Curve 1 represents the graph formed by plotting the combined percentages of cars which slowed-up and those which stopped on the short segment when vehicles were crossing on the test 50 to 50 ratio. Curve 2 is similar for the ratio of 70 to 30. Curve 3 was plotted from values taken from Tests $2-\mathrm{A}$ and $2-\mathrm{B}$, when approximately 50 per cent of the cars were performing a crossing operation and the balance were operating as through vehicles, in which no cross motion was executed.
From Curve 1, with all cars crossing on 50 to


TAB
LATHAM CIRCLE
VOLUMES RECORDED
NOTE-Figures shown indicate vph. rate at

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Min. | Segment "A" |  |  |  |  | Segment "B" |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Total | (Trucks) | X | $\% \times \%$ | Thru | Total | (Trucks) | $\times$ | $\% \times \%$ | Thru |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { Test 1-A } \\ (50-50) \end{array}$ | 0-3 | 540 920 | (120) | 400 720 | $30 \times 70$ $45 \times 55$ | 140 200 | 400 760 | (60) $(120)$ | 400 680 | $20 \times 80$ $42 \times 88$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 80 \\ 80 \\ 120 \\ 40 \\ 80 \\ 60 \\ 140 \\ 80 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 3-6 | 920 | (120) | 720 | $45 \times 55$ | 200 | 760 | $\left(\begin{array}{r}120) \\ 80\end{array}\right.$ | 680 540 | $42 \times 88$ <br> 52 <br> $\times 88$ |  |
|  | 6-9 | 580 | (120) | 460 | $44 \times 56$ | 120 | 620 | (80) | 540 920 | $52 \times 48$ 46 $\times 54$ |  |
|  | 9-12 | 960 | (100) | 900 | $53 \times 47$ | 60 | 1040 | (140) | 920 | $46 \times 54$ 63 |  |
|  | 12-15 | 1000 | (160) | 880 | $43 \times 57$ | 120 | 800 | (180) | 980 | $53 \times 47$ 39 |  |
|  | 15-18 | 940 | (40) | 820 | $44 \times 56$ | 120 | 1060 | (60) | 980 800 | $39 \times 61$ <br> 45 <br> 55 |  |
|  | 18-21 | 1040 | (180) | 980 | $45 \times 55$ | ${ }^{60}$ | 860 1240 | (80) | 800 1100 | $45 \times 55$ <br> 53 <br> 87 |  |
|  | 21-24 | 1280 | (120) | 1100 1100 | $\begin{array}{r}44 \times 56 \\ \hline 1849\end{array}$ | 180 140 | 1240 1180 | (260) | 1100 1100 | $53 \times 47$ $36 \times 64$ |  |
|  | 24-27 | 1240 | (200) | 1100 | $51 \times 49$ | 140 |  | (180) |  | $36 \times 64$ |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { Test 1-B } \\ (75-25) \end{array}$ | 0-3 | 680 | (120) | 320 | $19 \times 81$ | 360 | 560 | (60) | 560 | $50 \times 50$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 40 \\ 60 \\ 120 \\ 60 \\ 0 \\ 60 \\ 20 \\ 80 \\ 160 \end{array}$ |
|  | 3-6 | 740 | (100) | 660 | $30 \times 70$ | 80 | 600 | (100) | 560 | $68 \times 32$ |  |
|  | 6-9 | 960 | (160) | 820 | $32 \times 68$ | 140 | 560 | (140) | 500 | $48 \times 52$ |  |
|  | 9-12 | 1040 | (160) | 820 | $32 \times 68$ | 220 | 820 | (140) | 800 | $68 \times 32$ |  |
|  | 12-15 | 960 | (120) | 860 | $33 \times 77$ | 100 | 840 | (160) | 780 | $62 \times 38$ |  |
|  | 15-18 | 1020 | (100) | 920 | $20 \times 80$ | 100 | 1060 | (120) | 1060 | $70 \times 30$ |  |
|  | 18-21 | 1260 | (160) | 1100 | $29 \times 71$ | 160 | 900 | ( 80 ) | 840 | $69 \times 31$ |  |
|  | 21-24 | 1320 | (140) | 1240 | $31 \times 69$ | 80 | 1200 | (120) | 1180 | $56 \times 44$ |  |
|  | 24-27 | 1240 | (180) | 1060 | $38 \times 62$ | 180 | 1140 | (140) | 1060 | $\begin{array}{r}53 \times 47 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  |
|  | 27-30 |  |  |  |  |  | 1220 | (160) | 1060 | $73 \times 27$ |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { Test 1-C } \\ (90-10) \end{array}$ | 0-3 | 760 | (160) | 620 | $10 \times 90$ | 140 | 560 | ( 40) | 560 | $89 \times 11$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 20 \\ 60 \\ 80 \\ 100 \\ 80 \\ 40 \\ 140 \\ 60 \end{array}$ |
|  | 3-6 | 940 | (100) | 840 | $36 \times 64$ | 100 | 660 | ( 60 ) | 640 | $56 \times 44$ |  |
|  | 6-9 | 960 | (100) | 860 | $19 \times 81$ | 100 | 1020 | (140) | 960 | $69 \times 31$ |  |
|  | 9-12 | 1280 | (160) | 1140 | $32 \times 68$ | 140 | 920 | (120) | 840 | $67 \times 33$ |  |
|  | 12-15 | 1140 | (160) | 960 | $25 \times 75$ | 180 | 1160 | (180) | 1060 | $60 \times 40$ |  |
|  | 15-18 | 1060 | ( 80) | 1000 | $30 \times 70$ | 60 | 980 | (100) | 900 | $69 \times 31$ |  |
|  | 18-21 | 1160 | (140) | 1060 | $17 \times 83$ | 100 | 1200 | (160) | 1160 | $78 \times 22$ |  |
|  | 21-24 | 1320 | (80) | 1140 | $37 \times 63$ | 180 | 1300 | (120) | 1160 | $72 \times 28$ |  |
|  | 24-27 | 1320 | (140) | 1260 | $28 \times 72$ | 60 | 1340 | ( 40) | 1280 | $78 \times 22$ |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { Test 2-A } \\ (50-50) \end{array}$ | 0-3 | 800 | (160) | 400 | $40 \times 60$ | 400 | 960 | (140) | 880 | $50 \times 50$ | $\begin{array}{r} 80 \\ 60 \\ 80 \\ 40 \\ 80 \\ 320 \end{array}$ |
|  | 3-6 | 960 | (80) | 380 | $63 \times 37$ | 580 | 1000 | (100) | 940 | $49 \times 51$ |  |
|  | 6-9 | 1280 | (120) | 600 |  | 680 | 1360 | (180) | 1280 | $45 \times 55$ |  |
|  | 9-12 | 1320 | (120) | 460 | $44 \times 56$ | 860 | 1580 | (180) | 1540 | $53 \times 47$ |  |
|  | 12-15 | 1500 | ( 60 ) | 480 | $63 \times 37$ | 1020 | 1900 | (100) | 1820 | $50 \times 50$ |  |
|  | 15-0 |  |  |  |  |  | 1620 |  | 1300 | $60 \times 40$ |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { Test 2-B } \\ (75-25) \end{array}$ | 0-3 | 860 | (140) | 520 | $42 \times 58$ | 340 | 1120 | (180) | 1000 | $66 \times 34$ | $\begin{array}{r} 120 \\ 40 \\ 40 \\ 80 \\ 120 \end{array}$ |
|  | 3-6 | 1300 | (100) | 820 | $44 \times 56$ | 480 | 1300 | (140) | 1260 | $64 \times 36$ |  |
|  | 6-9 | 1180 | (60) | 500 | $60 \times 40$ | 680 | 1540 | (180) | 1500 | $67 \times 33$ |  |
|  | 9-12 | 1520 | (100) | 680 | $77 \times 23$ | 880 | 1500 | (180) | 1420 | $52 \times 48$ 88 |  |
|  | 12-15 | 1340 | (120) | 560 | $46 \times 54$ | 780 | 1720 | (180) | 1600 | $68 \times 32$ |  |

LE 2
TRAFFIC TEST
NOVEMBER 9, 1949

which cars came through in the three minute periods.

| 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 2 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Segment "C' |  |  |  |  | Segment "D" |  |  |  |  | Min. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Test 1-A } \\ & (50-50) \end{aligned}$ |
| Total | (Trucks) | $\times$ | $\% \times \%$ | Thru | Total | (Trucks) | X | $\% \times \%$ | Thru |  |  |
| 480 | (60) | 400 | $80 \times 20$ | 80 | 600 | (80) | 540 | $62 \times 38$ | 60 | 0-3$3-6$$6-9$$0-12$$12-15$$15-18$$18-21$$21-24$$24-27$ |  |
| 680 | (140) | 620 | $39 \times 61$ | 60 | 720 | (140) | 620 | $71 \times 29$ | 100 |  |  |
| 820 | (140) | 780 | $59 \times 41$ | 40 | 740 | (180) | 680 | $44 \times 56$ | 60 |  |  |
| 780 | (100) | 720 | $53 \times 47$ | 60 | 880 | (120) | 740 | $46 \times 54$ | 140 |  |  |
| 1000 | (200) | 960 | $54 \times 46$ | 40 | 1220 | (160) | 1080 | $50 \times 50$ | 140 |  |  |
| 1080 | (140) | 960 | $60 \times 40$ | 100 | 920 | (80) | 820 | $59 \times 41$ | 100 |  |  |
| 940 | (80) | 860 | $60 \times 40$ | 80 | 1120 | (180) | 1040 | $48 \times 52$ | 80 |  |  |
| 880 | (40) | 840 | $38 \times 62$ | 40 | 1200 | (100) | 1100 | $66 \times 34$ | 100 |  |  |
| 1300 | (240) | 1200 | $70 \times 30$ | 100 | 1200 | (180) | 1120 | $48 \times 52$ | 80 |  |  |
| 620 | ( 20 ) | 620 | $58 \times 42$ | 0 | 760 | ( 60) | 660 | $61 \times 39$ | 100 | $0-3$$3-6$$6-9$$9-12$$12-15$$15-18$$18-21$$21-24$$24-27$$27-30$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Test 1-B } \\ (75-25) \end{array}$ |
| 620 | (60) | 540 | $44 \times 56$ $\times 60$ | 80 | 620 | (100) | 580 | $48 \times 52$ | 40 |  |  |
| 660 | (100) | 580 | $59 \times 41$ | 80 | 980 | (120) | 960 | ${ }_{69} \times 31$ | 20 |  |  |
| 960 | (280) | 800 | $40 \times 60$ | 160 | 1080 | (300) | 1000 | $60 \times 40$ | 80 |  |  |
| 1040 | (100) | 980 | $45 \times 55$ | 60 | 1080 | (140) | 1000 | $70 \times 30$ | 80 |  |  |
| 1160 | (160) | 1100 | $40 \times 60$ | 60 | 1080 | (120) | 1020 | $65 \times 35$ | 60 |  |  |
| 1080 | (100) | 920 | $41 \times 59$ | 160 | 1420 | (100) | 1300 | $66 \times 34$ | 120 |  |  |
| 1200 | (220) | 1120 | $37 \times 63$ | 80 | 1100 | (160) | 1060 | $72 \times 28$ | 40 |  |  |
| 1120 | (140) | 1040 | $50 \times 50$ | 80 | 1260 | (180) | 1100 | $55 \times 45$ | 160 |  |  |
| 1100 | ( 80) | 1000 | $64 \times 36$ | 100 | 1300 |  | 1180 | $68 \times 32$ | 120 |  |  |
| 640 | (20) | 580 | $38 \times 62$ | 60 | 880 | ( 80) | 780 | $61 \times 39$ | 100 | 0-3 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Test 1-C } \\ (90-10) \end{gathered}$ |
| 680 | ( 80) | 580 | $38 \times 62$ | 100 | 880 | (60) | 840 | $65 \times 35$ | 40 | 3-6 |  |
| 940 | ( 80) | 760 | $45 \times 55$ | 180 | 940 | (120) | 780 | $72 \times 28$ | 160 | ${ }_{0}^{6-9}$ |  |
| 960 | (100) | 900 | $40 \times 60$ | 60 | 1180 | (140) | 1000 | ${ }_{87} \times 32$ | 180 | 9-12 |  |
| 1200 | (180) | 1100 | $38 \times 62$ | 100 | 1140 | (180) | 920 | $88 \times 13$ | 220 | 12-15 |  |
| 1140 | (140) | 980 | $33 \times 67$ | 160 | 1020 | ( 40 ) | 960 | $89 \times 31$ | 60 | 15-18 |  |
| 1260 | (180) | 1060 | $30 \times 70$ | 200 | 1360 | (180) | 1240 | $73 \times 27$ | 120 | 18-21 |  |
| 1040 | (140) | 960 | $21 \times 79$ | 80 | 1240 | (100) | 1160 | $71 \times 29$ | 80 | 21-24 |  |
| 1200 | ( 60 ) | 1120 | $20 \times 80$ | 80 | 1140 | (100) | 1040 | $79 \times 21$ | 100 | 24-27 |  |
| 720 | $\begin{array}{r} \left(\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 40 \end{array}\right. \\ (100) \\ (80) \\ (40) \end{array}$ | 440 | $64 \times 36$ | 280 | 820 | (60) | 700 | $43 \times 57$ | 120 | 0-3 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Test 2-A } \\ (50-50) \end{array}$ |
| 1060 |  | 520 | $61 \times 39$ | 540 | 1000 | (20) | 960 | $47 \times 53$ | 40 | $0-6$$3-6$$6-9$$9-12$$12-15$$15-0$ |  |
| 1140 |  | 440 | $55 \times 55$ | 700 | 1320 | (100) | 1240 | ${ }_{50} \times 5$ | 80 |  |  |
| 1520 |  | 700 | $55 \times 45$ | 820 | 1340 | ( 20) | 1200 | $50 \times 50$ 48 | 140 80 |  |  |
| 1600 |  | 660 | $39 \times 61$ | 940 | 1420 | ( 20) | 1340 | $48 \times 52$ | 80 |  |  |
| 1260 | (60) | 700 | $66 \times 34$ | 560 | 1240 | (120) | 1100 | $29 \times 71$ | 140 | 0-3 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Test 2-B } \\ (75-25) \end{array}$ |
| 1440 | (60) | 800 | $58 \times 42$ | 640 | 1340 | (60) | 1240 | $37 \times 68$ | 100 | 3-6 |  |
| 1640 | (100) | 780 | $59 \times 41$ | 860 | 1460 | (120) | 1340 | $27 \times 73$ | 120 | 6-9 |  |
| 1440 | (60) | 780 | $45 \times 55$ | 660 | 1500 | (120) | 1440 | $36 \times 64$ | 60 | 9-12 |  |
| 1620 | (100) | 860 | $60 \times 40$ | 760 | 1560 | (120) | 1400 | $31 \times 69$ | 160 | 12-15 |  |

50 ratio, the maximum volume for the short segment approached $1,200 \mathrm{vph}$. For Curve 2 the normal traffic changed the planned ratio of $\mathbf{7 5}$ to 25 to 70 to 30 and a study of the movie


RECORDED SLOW-UPS A STOPS FOR SHORT SEGMENTS VS. VOLUMES

Figure 6. Recorded slow-ups and stops for short segments versus volumes.
film indicated that all cars executed a crossing movement. Here the volume approached $1,300 \mathrm{vph}$. Curve 3 indicates a much higher volume when 50 percent of the cars operate



Figure 7. Recorded slow-ups and stops for long segments versus volumes.
as through vehicles and the others executed a 50 to 50 ratio of crossing. Here the volume approaches $1,700 \mathrm{vph}$.
Figure 7 shows similar curves for the long Segments B and D. Note that Curve 4 is similar to the others to about $1,400 \mathrm{vph} . \mathrm{A}$ study of the films showed that at this point a two-lane action started with vehicles traveling two abreast. Under this condition the volume
increased to slightly over $1,900 \mathrm{vph}$. with the crossing ratio of 50 to 50 . On the 70 to 30 cross-


Figure 8. Recorded vehicles traveling two abreast versus volumes.
ing ratio, Curves 5 and 5a, the recorded data showed a volume of about $1,500 \mathrm{vph}$. with



Figure 9. Recorded average speeds versus volumes.
single-lane action. Here again, a study of the films related to stops and slow-ups indicated that a two-lane action started at about 1,500
rph. and increased to around $2,000 \mathrm{vph}$. or more when traveling two abreast.

The number of cars which traveled abreast of another car in passing through the segments were counted and their percentage is plotted against total volumes (Fig. 8).

In the short segments, the curves indicate that very few cars traveled two abreast. Curve 7 shows about 3 percent traveling two abreast with a volume of $1,300 \mathrm{rph}$. when there was a 70 to 30 crossing operation.

Curve 6, plotted from data on the long segments, shows that the percentage of cars



Figure 10. Average speeds versus volumes for short segments.
traveling two abreast is very small up to about $1,400 \mathrm{vph}$. Here, the curve bends sharply upward. Nearly 30 percent of the cars traveled two abreast on the 50 to 50 ratio.

Curve 7a was plotted from Tests 2-A and 2-B when 50 per cent of the cars were operating as through vehicles. Here the percentage of cars traveling two abreast was about 12 per cent, and it was noted that these cars were practically all through vehicles and therefore were not required to merge or cross vehicles entering the circle.

Through the use of landmarks with known distances, the speeds of cars were recorded from the film. The speeds recorded included the time lost at the points of mergings. From
this data, Figure 9 was developed. The solid lines indicate average speeds obtained from actual readings. The dotted lines represent the estimated curves as determined from studies of the film.

## SHORT SEGMENTS

From Curves 8 and 8a, Figure 9, for the short segment C, it will be noted that a maximum capacity of about $1,200 \mathrm{vph}$., when all cars crossed on a 50 to 50 ratio and $1,300 \mathrm{vph}$. with all cars crossing on a 70 to 30 ratio and above, is indicated. Note the low speeds at these capacities.


Figute 11. Average speeds versus volumes for long segments.
Curve 9 represents average speeds recorded for Tests 2-A and 2-B when approximately 50 percent of the total vehicles passing the section are noncrossing, or through, cars. A maximum capacity of about $1,700 \mathrm{vph}$. is indicated under these conditions.

The maximum speeds recorded in traveling through the short weaving area ranged from 16 to 21 mph .

## LONG SEGMENTS

Curves similar to those drawn for the short segments previously mentioned are shown in Figure 9 for the two long segments.

The average speeds dropped rapidly to between 11 and 12 mph . at volumes of from

1,400 to $1,600 \mathrm{vph}$. after which the speeds remained almost constant up to about 1,900 vph. As mentioned previously this was brought about by the double-lane action which occurred at volumes of about $1,400 \mathrm{vph}$.

Curves 10 and 10a indicate that the maximum capacity with all cars crossweaving on a 50 to 50 basis is about $1,500 \mathrm{vph}$. for singlelane action and $2,000 \mathrm{vph}$. for double-lane.

Similarly Curves 11 and 11a indicate that the maximum capacity with all cars crossweaxing on a 70 to 30 basis is, for single-lane action, about $1,700 \mathrm{vph}$., and for double-lane action, approximately $2,100 \mathrm{vph}$. Again, note the low speeds of these maximum volumes.

The maximum speeds recorded at which vehicles passed through the long-weaving areas during the test varied from 17 to 24 mph .

The curves shown in Figures 6 through 9 represent relationships obtained from plotted points scattered over a fairly wide range. It was noted in the films that some drivers were unusually cautious in merging while others entered the segments with little or no hesitation. Those indications of individual driver characteristics were considered in plotting these curves, thus reflecting the delays which occurred under average driver behavior.

Figure 10 and 11 were plotted from the collected field data in combination with data obtained from a study and analysis of the traffic action portrayed in the motion picture film. Data needed to extend the curves, as plotted from the recorded data, was obtained by correlating higher volumes of through traffic with higher volumes of crossing traffic for the ratios of crossings shown under conditions of identical speeds for both types of traffic.

Figure 10 portrays the ratio of speeds to volumes for the short segments while Figure 11 indicates a similar relationship for the long segments.

In plotting the curves, the ratio of crossing cars was divided into two groups, those crossing at about 50 to 50 ratio and those crossing
at 70 to 30 ratio and above. This latter group includes cars which crossed through at ratios up to about 90 to 10 and is believed to be satisfactory for all ratios ranging above 70 to 30 , such as 80 to 20,90 to 10 , etc.

The maximum volumes are indicated for different crossing ratios and various combinations. These vary from $1,200 \mathrm{vph}$. for the short segments with all cars crossing on a 50 to 50 ratio to a maximum of about $2,300 \mathrm{vph}$. when all cars are acting as through vehicles.

A few examples of total volumes separated into their component parts of through and crossing vehicles have been marked on the figures so as to facilitate their use.

## CONCLUSIONS

It is believed that the analyses presented in this report of the detailed field observations of delays, slow-ups, and stops; vehicles traveling two abreast; and operating speeds; provide a logical means for portraying the possible capacity and operating characteristics of this traffic circle and accurately refiect the effects on capacity of different proportions of crossing movements for the weaving sections studied.

It is also believed that by proper recognition of the limitations and variations of these tests and by the use of the developed curves, Figures 10 and 11, it is possible to predict operating characteristics and capacities of circles, intersections, or interchanges having weaving sections similar to those tested.

The Vehicle Operation Section of the Bureau of Highway Planning of the New York State Department of Public Works carried on this traffic survey and study at Latham. The procedure for this study was developed, under the direction of Fred W. Fisch, who was then director of the Bureau of Highway Planning, by E. B. Shrope, in coöperation with O. K. Normann, of the Bureau of Public Roads. It was progressed under Shrope's direction, utilizing personnel and equipment of the public works department and the Bureau of Public Roads.

