# Pavement Cracking: Causes and Some Preventive Measures

# CHESTER McDOWELL, Texas Highway Department

The pavement cracking problem was investigated with respect to deep seated movements and also from the standpoint of "shrinkage cracking" due to the inherent properties of roadbuilding materials. In regard to the latter part of the report, some laboratory tests involving migration of lime into dried and cracked specimens were performed. Results indicated that the use of the proper percent solids in lime slurry, recycling of treatment and reconsolidation all contribute greatly to the effectiveness of lime's outstanding ability to reduce volume change and increase strength in an unmixed cracked clay.

This report establishes a theory that the degree of shrinkage cracking may be a function of certain strength characteristics of base and paving materials. The data for truly flexible base materials show the compressive strengths of such materials to be many times greater than are their respective tensile strengths.

A shear diagram classification chart divides all soil materials, with or without stabilization, into three groups, two of which are susceptible to shrinkage cracking and one which is not.

A chart shows the relation of compressive strength to the ratio of compressive to tensile strength for materials with widely varying characteristics. A line is drawn which appears to separate materials believed to be highly susceptible to shrinkage cracking from those which are less susceptible.

Recommendations are made for the use of water and lime to prevent deep seated swelling, adequate thickness of base to support loads, wide shoulders to prevent cracking of clay subgrades and stabilized materials which have relatively high ratios of compressive to tensile strengths. The use of highgrade flexible base materials containing low amounts of fine silt is recommended for use in construction of flexible pavements in areas susceptible to frost damage.

•THERE are many factors which contribute to the occurrence of cracks in pavements, some of which follow:

1. Excessive load deflections including resilience deflections.

2. Subsidence, consolidation, slides, etc.

3. Swell-shrink conditions of the subgrade.

4. Shrinkage cracking of the base and/or pavement due to causes other than deflections or movements of subgrade.

5. Frost and/or freeze-thaw action.

6. Brittleness of pavement due to aging and/or absence of traffic, including use of hard asphalts and their hardening due to oxidation. Type of mix and/or construction procedures may contribute to oxidation.

7. Thermal expansion and contraction.

It is intended that this report will concentrate primarily on items 3 and 4, including volume change of subgrade and "shrinkage cracking" caused by the inherent properties of the materials in the base and pavement. This does not mean that these items are the only important factors involved because cracking may be caused by any one or a combination of the items. The author has written reports indicating how triaxial tests can be used to help prevent excessive load deflections (1, 2, 3, 4, 5) and other reports have been presented in an attempt to provide for less detriment from shrink-swell conditions (6, 7, and 8). Data given in these reports will not be repeated here except to state that control of subgrade moisture content at time of evaporation cutoff, use of thick flexible base or stabilized layers consisting of wide blanket sections have done much to reduce pavement cracking but we have not eliminated the problem. Perhaps the damage from freeze-thaw or frost to some flexible base materials can be about as serious in certain portions of Texas as any other type of cracking. This is particularly true when many freeze-thaw cycles occur during wet winter months.

In areas adversely affected, the pavement cracks into blocks and base material fines pump from beneath the surfacing. An investigation involving properties of minus No. 40 materials (too numerous to include in this report) from roads noted to have various degrees of frost susceptibility indicates the following:

1. That the maximum amount of minus No. 200 in the total material often is not indicative of freeze-thaw susceptibility; it has been found to be of considerable value when expressed as a percentage of the minus No. 40 material.

2. That the percent minus 0.005-mm material expressed as a percentage of the minus No. 40 material also shows promise of correlating with performance as affected by freeze damage susceptibility unless surfacings consist of surface treatment applications.

3. Although no one single requirement placed in specifications is going to solve this problem, it now appears that in cases where surfacings are to consist of premix or HMAC, the minus No. 40 portion of unstabilized base materials produced in frost or freeze damage areas of Texas should not contain more than 25 percent minus 0.005-mm sizes nor more than 55 percent passing the No. 200 sieve.

Base materials used in such areas should be durable, have high triaxial strengths and should contain small amounts of fine size particles. Unless a thorough understanding of PVR (Potential Vertical Rise) exists, it is difficult to know when "deep treatments" are necessary to prevent cracking. Considerable success has been obtained by ponding and sealing to where PVR does not exceed  $\frac{1}{2}$  in., but the ponding method is so time-consuming that it has not proved to be very popular for highway construction.

#### CLASSES OF MATERIAL-SUSCEPTIBILITY TO CRACKING

In order to form a general concept about soil materials, the Mohr diagram classification chart (Fig. 1, see AASHO T 212) is used as a means for dividing all soil materials into the following three groups:

1. Group I generally includes triaxial classes 4, 5, and 6. This group consists of yielding materials in which the application of increasing increments of normal stresses will not be accompanied by corresponding equal increments of shearing strength.

2. Group II also consists of yielding materials but they differ from Group I in that increasing increments of normal stresses are accompanied by increments of shearing strength which are greater than the increments of normal stress applied. Generally this group includes the base and subbase materials generally used in highway work which give little trouble from shrinkage cracking.

3. Group III materials consist of cemented materials which have sufficient cohesion to form slabs. This group also has high shearing strengths but slab cracking occurs due to lack of sufficient cohesion to resist shrinkage and/or load stress. For instance, Sowers and Vesic (9) have reported tensile stresses up to 60 psi at the bottom of soil-cement slabs. Not very many stabilized soil slabs could be expected to be`strong enough to resist such stresses. One example might be portland cement concrete con-



Figure 1. Mohr diagram classification chart.

tinuously reinforced to reduce severity of cracking due to additional cohesive strength being supplied by the steel. This group of slab forming materials can be expected to be more or less susceptible to shrinkage cracking regardless of quality of subgrade. It appeared that tensile and compressive stresses and/or strengths might be pertinent to the problem.

## RATIO OF COMPRESSIVE TO TENSILE STRENGTHS

In order to contribute to the subject of shrinkage cracking of pavements, it became necessary for the author to conceive of theoretical as well as practical aspects of the problem. By use of the Mohr diagram of shearing stresses, certain theoretical concepts appear to be logical. When materials are capable of forming slabs (strengths sufficient to resist applied stresses), it is necessary that sufficient cohesion exist in order to resist tensile cracking. Figure 2 shows how a given amount of cohesion may



Figure 2.

be maintained by varying compressive and tensile strength relations or ratios in which

$$P_1 T_1 = PT$$

where

- P = original compressive strength,
- T = original tensile strength,
- P<sub>1</sub> = new or increased compressive strength, and
- $T_{1}$  = new or decreased tensile strength.

From this standpoint, it seemed that the ratio of compressive to tensile strength, hereafter referred to as CTR, would be an interesting tool for use in analyzing this problem provided we could investigate the susceptibility to shrinkage cracking of a wide variety of materials. It was soon discovered that materials with widely varying strengths would have the same CTR ratios such as steel and soil, however, they had widely different compressive strengths so it was decided to separate such materials by plotting compressive strengths against the ratio of compressive to tensile strengths (Fig. 3).

## CTR RATIOS FOR A WIDE VARIETY OF MATERIALS

Figure 3 shows some correlation between compressive strength and the ratio of compressive strength to tensile strength for a number of materials having a wide variety of physical characteristics, such as steel, portland cement concrete, epoxy-sand admixtures, soil-cement mixtures, soil-lime mixtures, raw flexible base materials, a gumbo clay soil and a sand soil plus OA-90 asphalt mixture. A line is drawn which



Figure 3. Relation of compressive strength to CTR.

tends to separate the mixtures on the left which are susceptible to shrinkage cracking from those on the right which are less susceptible to shrinkage cracking. Tensile strengths were determined by use of cohesiometer (converted to psi) for all materials except steel, PC concrete and epoxy-sand admixtures which were tested in tension.

Lab No	LL	рі	SL	LS	SR	Soil Binder	WBM (4 loss)
63-282-R	21	7	14	4 4	1 92	17	33
64-459-R	19	5	14	37	1 93	21	32
65-67-R	30	9	20	50	1 68	29	37
65-68-R	28	11	17	63	1 78	20	32
65-100-R	24	9	15	47	1 89	14	19
66-48-R	24	5	16	44	1 79	24	33
66-49-R	22	6	15	37	1 86	20	35
66-50-R	28	6	21	35	1 57	22	34
66-169-R	33	8	24	44	1 60	19	35
66-171-R	21	6	16	3 3	1 86	21	32
62-375-E	21	3	16	24	1 66	97	
64-526-R	29	14	18	59	1 74	42	
66-248-R	25	5	21	23	1 69	96	
Manor clay	70	41	11	20 0	1 93	100	

TABLE 1 SOIL CONSTANTS AND GRADATION OF FLEXIBLE BASES AND SOILS

Percent Retained On Square Mesh Sieves																	
Lab No 1		Opening (in )			Sieve Numbers				Grain Diam (mm)		(mm)	Spec					
	1%	1¼	7∕8	%	<sup>1</sup> /a	4	10	20	40	60	100	200	0 05	0 005	0 001	Grav	Material
3-282-R	0	9	28	40	57	70	76	80	83	85	87	89	90	93	98	2 70	Flexible base
34-459-R	0	6	20	33	46	59	68	75	79	81	83	85	87	95	98	2 72	Flexible base
35-67-R	0	5	18	29	42	54	63	68	71	73	75	80	85	94	96	2 67	Flexible base
55-68-R	0	12	27	37	49	61	71	76	80	82	84	86	88	94	97	2 68	Flexible base
6-100-R	0	10	19	31	46	62	73	82	86	88	90	92	93	97	99	2 76	Flexible base
56-48-R	0	10	30	42	52	62	70	74	76	78	82	90	90	96	99	2 70	Flexible base
6-49-R	0	3	15	26	40	55	67	76	80	81	82	83	87	94	98	2 63	Flexible base
6-50-R	0	5	17	28	42	56	68	74	78	82	86	90	96	97	99	2 64	Flexible base
6-169-R	Ó	4	14	26	41	54	69	77	81	83	86	88	89	97	98	2 71	Flexible base
6-171-R	0	3	13	24	37	53	64	74	79	82	84	86	87	93	97	2 74	Flexible base
32-375-E								0	3	13	47	81	87	94	96	2 64	Sand
4-526-R	0	10	22	29	34	42	50	55	58	61	70	80	81	89	94	2 63	Flexible base
6-248-R							0	1	4	25	68	77	79	84	87	2 67	Subgrade soil
Manor clay									0	1	4	8	9	45	59	2 71	Subgrade soil

 TABLE 2

 COMPRESSION AND COHESIOMETER TEST RATIO

Sample No 64-526-R	Percent Stabilizer (lime)	Curing Time (days)	Compressive Strength (psi)	Dry Density (pcf)	Cohesiometer Value (psi)	Ratio (CTR)	Average Ratio (CTR)
4	2	21	336 9	120 7		10 8	10 8
1	2	21		121 2	31 1		
6	2	21	345 7	120 6		10 8	
2	2	21		121 3	32 0		
21 <sup>a</sup>	2	21	298 2	118 8		19 0	19 1
ga	2	21		119 5	15 7		
25a	2	21	318 4	118 9		19 2	
13a	2	21		120 1	16 6		
11	4	21	316 5	119 2		96	10 2
3	4	21		120 0	33 0		
12	4	21	330 7	119 4		10 7	
4	4	21		119 8	31 0		
29 <sup>a</sup>	4	21	311 1	117 5		14 5	14 0
9a.	4	21		119 0	21 5		
30 <sup>a</sup>	4	21	312 0	117 5		13 4	
10 <sup>a</sup>	4	21		118 3	23 2		
18	6	21	320 0	117 5		14 3	14 2
5	6	21		119 9	22 4		
19	6	21	341 0	117 5		14 1	
6	6	21		119 7	24 1		
35a	6	21	284 5	116 8		12 7	12 8
11 <sup>a</sup>	6	21		117 4	22 4		
37a	6	21	273 8	116 7		12 8	
12 <sup>a</sup>	6	21		118 1	21 4		

<sup>a</sup>Moist cured 3 days before molding

Note Specimens are 6 x 8 in. molded with equipment described in AASHO T 212 and using a compactive effort of 50 ram blows per layer (10-1b segment of a circle hammer dropping 18 in )



Photograph 1. Half section of treated specimen showing lime migration between specimen cracks. Specimens clamped in metal half cylinders to facilitate slicing in half. Close-up at right shows surface of bisected lime-treated specimen after spraying with phenolphthalein. Note that darkly shaded areas are much wider than the white streaks of lime, indicating that the pH of a considerable portion of the sample has been altered.

The National Lime Association provided funds for the printing of this color photograph.

	CO.	COMPRESSION AND COHESIOMETER TEST RATIO								
Sample No 62-375-E	Percent Stabilizer (cement)	Curing Time (days)	Compressive Strength (psi)	Dry Density (pcf)	Cohesiometer Value (psi)	Ratio (CTR)				
	4	7	122 0	105 2	7 5	16 3				
	6	7	259 0	107 2	18 2	14 2				
	8	7	387 0	108 7	29 9	12 9				
	10	7	477 0	110.2	44 0	10.8				

TABLE 3

Note Specimens are 6 x 8 in molded with equipment described in AASHO T 212 and using a compactive effort of 25 ram blows per layer (10-1b segment of a circle hammer dropping 18 in.)

The data indicate that steel and soil have similar CTR values but widely different compressive strengths. The sand admixtures containing 4, 6, 8, and 10 percent cement indicate that 6 percent may have been about the maximum of cement that should be used before shrinkage cracking becomes even more critical. The high CTR values obtained from cores and beams consisting of sand-shell-cement perhaps explain why these mixtures exhibit low amounts of shrinkage cracking in the field. Details of materials tested in this laboratory are given in Tables 1 through 5. References relative to data obtained elsewhere are shown in Figure 3.

Caliche lime materials which were notable for shrinkage cracking were also investigated with respect to moist curing 3 days before compacting. It may be noted that this procedure almost doubled the CTR value and very little compressive strength was lost due to delayed compaction.

Results on CTR values obtained by testing ten good crushed stone and/or caliche flexible base materials vary from 25 to 80 (Fig. 3). These findings are consistent with the theory that CTR points for materials exhibiting small tendency toward shrinkage cracking should fall to the right of the sloping line.

Lab No of Soil	Dry Density (pcf)	Cohesiometer Value <sup>a</sup> (psi)	Unconfined Compressive Strength <sup>b</sup> (psi)	CTR Ratio <sup>c</sup>
Manor clay saturated	92 2	4 2	85	2
Manor clay at optimum	94 0	14 4	43 8	3
63-282-R	135 6	10	62 5	63
64-459-R	139 9	1 3	47 7	37
65-67-R	135 4	19	56 4	30
65-68-R	137 6	19	64 5	34
65-100-R	149 7	10	49 2	49
66-48-R	143 7	15	63 2	42
66-49-R	138 1	2 2	52 1	24
66-50-R	125 9	08	63 5	79
66-169-R	131 1	11	67 9	62
66-171-R	136 4	22	76 2	35

TABLE 4 COMPRESSION AND COHESIOMETER TESTS FOR MANOR CLAY AND FLEXIBLE BASE MATERIALS

<sup>a</sup>Cohesiometer specimens molded by gyratory compactor to comparable moistures and densities in all specimens except Manor clay

specimens except manor city Unconfined compressive strengths obtained from specimens molded and tested according to AASHO T 212

<sup>c</sup>Nearest whole numbe

TABLE	5
	•

COMPRESSION AND COHESIOMETER TESTS FOR HOT-MIX ASPHALTIC MATERIALS

Lab No of Soil	Dry Density (pcf)	Cohesiometer Value (psi)	Unconfined Compressive Strength (psi)	CTR Ratio <sup>a</sup>
66-248-R + 8½€ OA-90	136 1	65 3 _b	937 5 C	14
	E			

<sup>a</sup>Nearest whole number.

<sup>C</sup>Tested at 40 F.



Figure 4. Lime migration apparatus.



Figure 5. Lime-slurry treatment of Manor clay (gravitation method); percent volume swell and unconfined compressive strength in relation to percent lime solids in lime slurry.

Compression-tension ratios for asphaltic concrete will fall to the left of the sloping line (Fig. 3) unless tests are run at low temperatures. Results of tests

run at low temperatures on one mixture of sand plus OA-90 asphalt are shown in Figure 3. Tests run on identical mixture at 140 F are plotted on the left side of the sloping line. It is doubtful if shrinkage cracking of asphaltic mixtures occurs at such elevated temperatures. Additional testing is indicated; however, if tests could be run at pertinent temperatures, there is a possibility that the suggested minimum CTR values (Fig. 3) might have application to bituminous mixtures.

## **MIGRATION OF LIME**

Presently we hear a great deal about migration, pressure injection and deep mixing of lime for the purpose of improving volume change and strength characteristics of soils in Louisiana, Oklahoma, and Texas. Although field results indicate some success from methods, it would seem that some laboratory-scale tests might be of some assistance in evaluating proposed treatments.

In an attempt to determine the effects of lime slurry migration, some experiments using specimens consisting of "black gumbo" soil were subjected to the following techniques:

1. Specimens 6-in. high by 6-in. diameter were molded in three layers at optimum moisture for 5.3 ft-lb/cu in. compactive effort (10-lb ram, 18-in. drop).

2. Specimens were dried in a 140 F oven 96 hours.

3. Lime slurry consisting of various percentages of solids was pulled downward through the cracks by a vacuum pump (Fig. 4) and recirculated through the specimen until cracks were sealed, thereby preventing further circulation of the slurry. At this time measurements were taken to calculate percent volumetric swell.

4. Specimens were sealed in cells and moist cured for seven days.

5. Specimens were subjected to 20 days of capillarity either "as is" or after being reconsolidated to their original molding density.

6. After 20 days capillarity, specimens were measured for volume change and strength characteristics. In some instances the drying and lime slurry migration procedures were repeated before subjecting to capillarity.

Some specimens taken from step 3 were cut in half (Fig. 4) and spraved with phenolphthalein to show the effect of lime migration on the pH of the soil. (See bands formed in Photograph 1.) Results from a number of other tests are shown in Figure 5 where percent dry lime solids in slurry is plotted as abscissa and volumetric swell (percent) and compressive strength (psi) are plotted as ordinates. The percent volumetric swell is based on the dry volume of the raw soil specimen. Calculating volumetric change on the basis of oven-dried volumes 1s perhaps much more severe than may be expected from field conditions. It is used in this case merely for comparative purposes and the extremely high volume change condition of the dry clay specimens was drastically reduced by using lime slurry instead of tap water. Recycling of the drying and slurry treatment procedure helps decrease swelling probably because greater amounts of lime were deposited in the specimen. The amount of lime deposited ranged from 0.9 to 2.1 percent for one cycle and 2.6 to 3.7 percent for two cycles. The amount of lime deposited and the resulting plasticity index indicated that the optimum percent of solids in the lime slurry for this type of treatment appeared to be between 15 and 20 percent.

Strength curves (Fig. 5) show that unconsolidated specimens subjected to 20 days capillarity had unconfined compressive strengths which were increased 3- to 6-fold; with reconsolidation to original molding densities, strengths were increased from 4- to 8-fold. The ability of lime to improve the quality of soils without mixing is believed to be worthy of note.

Although the module consisting of small specimens representing a fairly long section of roadway is not ideal, it is believed that the results of these experiments strongly indicate that lime pressure injection and deep mixing of lime into jointed clays of the semi-arid regions has definite possibilities of reducing volume change and increasing subgrade support.

#### CONCLUSIONS

The results of this investigation indicate the following conclusions to be justified:

1. The data indicate that the relation of compressive strength to compressiontensile strength ratio has an influence on shrinkage cracking of pavements.

2. The use of pressure lime injection and/or deep mixing appears to be effective for treating some jointed or cracked clays in semi-arid to arid regions for the purpose of preventing excessive volume change and strength loss of subgrade soils. The feasibility of treating soils in this manner will depend upon unit costs which are not available at this time.

3. Optimum percent of solids in lime slurry for injection purposes appears to be between 15 and 20 percent.

4. Recycling of drying and lime migration procedures reduces swelling and improves subgrade support values.

5. Reconsolidation of lime-injected soils increases supporting power of some subgrade soils greatly.

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

In order to prevent some of the potential hazards associated with pavement cracking, the following proposals are offered: 1. That adequate thicknesses of surfacings, bases and subbases be used so as to support the traffic loads for the life of the pavement desired.

2. That potential vertical rise be kept as low as possible, perhaps below  $\frac{1}{2}$ -in. This may mean moisture control of clay subgrade before and after subgrade rolling operations, probably involving ponding areas where layers are capable of producing considerable amounts of volume change and covering subgrade with suitable layer capable of retarding evaporation.

3. That swell-shrink conditions of clay subgrades be controlled by use of shoulders consisting of granular and/or stabilized soils which are wide enough to control mois-ture fluctuations.

4. That the soil binder portion of flexible base materials to be used in frost susceptible areas of Texas should not contain more than 25 percent minus 0.005-mm material.

5. That, when feasible, all base and pavement layers be constructed out of materials whose ratio of compressive to tensile strength varies from a minimum of 11 for 1500-psi compressive strength material to a minimum of 22 for 40-psi material.

6. That highway research sections, especially in cuts, involving marls, jointed clays, etc., which have high swell potential, be treated with several cycles of lime injection prior to paving. If fairly large differential movements due to volume change of soils are anticipated, especially such as at grade points, fence lines, and old road crossings, it is recommended that serious consideration be given to use of the Oklahoma deep mixing process of lime treatment of subgrades.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author is indebted to many who have contributed and encouraged the development of this report. The work of the members of ths Soils Section and other members of the Materials and Tests Divisions of the Texas Highway Department, under the able guidance of A. W. Eatman, has been a major factor in making this report possible.

## REFERENCES

- 1. McDowell, Chester. Triaxial Tests Used in the Analysis of Flexible Pavements. HRB Bull. 16-B, 1954.
- 2. McDowell, Chester. Wheel Load Stress Computations Related to the THD Method of Flexible Pavement Design. HRB Bull. 114, 1955.
- 3. McDowell, Chester. Flexible Pavement Design: A Complex Combination of Theory Testing and Evaluation of Materials. HRB Bull. 321, 1962.
- 4. McDowell, Chester. Road Test Findings Utilized in Analysis of Texas Triaxial Method of Pavement Design. HRB Special Report. 73, 1962.
- McDowell, Chester. Comparison of AASHO Guide and THD Design of Pavement Thicknesses. Seventh Annual Meeting of THD District Lab. Engineers, Wichita Falls, 1962. (Unpublished.)
- McDowell, Chester. Interrelationship of Load, Volume Change, and Layer Thickness of Soils to the Behavior of Engineering Structures. HRB Proc., Vol. 35, p. 754-769, 1956.
- McDowell, Chester. The Relation of Laboratory Testing to Design for Pavements and Structures on Expansive Soils. First Annual Soil Mechanics Conference, Colorado Section ASCE, April 23, 1959 (C.S.M. Quarterly.)
- 8. McDowell, Chester. Remedial Procedures Used in the Reduction of Detrimental Effects of Swelling Soils. WASHO, June 1965. (Publication pending.)
- 9. Sowers, G. F., and Vesic, A. B. Vertical Stresses in Subgrades Beneath Statically Loaded Flexible Pavements. HRB Bull. 342, 1962.