Number 292, June 1985 ISSN 0097-8515

RCUL

ENERGY CONSIDERATION IN TRANSPORTATION **DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION AND OPERATION**

RESEARCH

mode

1 highway transportation

subject areas

11 administration

17 energy and environment

The Transportation Research Board is a unit of the National Research Council, which serves as an independent advisor to the federal government on scientific and technical questions of national Importance. The Research Council, jointly administered by the National Academy of Sciences, National Academy of Engineering, and the Institute of Medicine, brings the resources of the entire scientific and technical community to bear on national problems through its volunteer advisory committees.

RANSPORTATION

COMMITTEE ON ENERGY CONSIDERATIONS IN DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION OF TRANSPORTATION FACILITIES

Transportation Research Board, National Research Council, 2101 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20418

William B. Ledbetter, Texas Transportation Institute, chairman Donald Saylak, Texas Transportation Institute, secretary Daniel K. Boyle, New York State Department of Transportation

Clinton H. Coolidge, CIANBRO Co.

Jon A. Epps, University of Nevada

Henry H. Duval, Duval and Associates, Inc. Robert W. Israel, BOMAG, USA

Woodrow J. Halsted, Consultant

S. W. Nunnally, North Carolina State University Robert D. Schmidt, Peoria, Illinois

Darwin Spartz, California Department of Transportation T. Paul Teng, Federal Highway Administration William A. Yrjanson, American Concrete Pavement Association

Prepared by:

Jon A. Epps, University of Nevada Robert D. Schmidt, Peoria Illinois

Neil F. Hawks, TRB Staff Representative



	Ene	rgy Position in D			v	alue Engineering	Quality Assurance/Performance					
State	Yes/ No	Division Location	Written Policy On Energy	Design	Construction	Energy Considered	Examples Where Energy Considered	Contract Changed If Only Energy Saved	Used Yes/ No	Type of Construction		
Alabama												
Alaska	Yes	Research	Ro	Yes	Yes	Seld		Possibly	Yes	Buildings		
Arlzona	Yes	Planning Design	Yes	Yes	Yes	No		Possibly	Yes			
Arkansas	Nu		Yes	No	No			Case-by- Case	No			
Callfornia	Yes	Planning Design Laboratory	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Barriers, Soundwalls Landscapé Irrigation	Yes	No	Thickness of PCC		
Colorado		aboratory										
Connecticut		Destan				-			-			
Delaware	Yes	Construction Maintenance Materials	No	Yes	Yes	No		Doubtful	No			
Florida	Yes	Executive	Yea	Yes	Yes	No		No	Yes	Asphalt Concrete P.C. Concrete		
Georgla	Yes	other	Yes	No	No		Informal Use		Yes	Aggregates		
llawa Li	No		lYes	No	Yes	No		Yes	Yes	P.C. Concrete Strength		
Idaho	No		No	Yes	No		54	Yes	Yes			
tilinois	No		No	Yes	Yes			Yes	Yes	Asphalt Concrete		
Indiana	No		No	Yes	No	No		Yes	No			
Iowá	No		No.	Yes	Yes	No		No	Yes	Nighway Construction		
Kansas	No	1000 - 100 -	No	No	Yes	No		Case-by-	Yes	All Construction		
Kontucky	No		Nes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Scrubber Sludge	Mayhe	Yes	Epoxy Coated Re-Bars		
Louislana				103	100	100	Serunder Studge	Tiaybe	100	P.C. Concrete		
Maine						-						
Maryland	No	and the state	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Fly Ash	Yes	Yes	Asphalt Concrete P.C. Concrete		
Massachusetts	No		No	No	No			Yes	Yes	Bridge Rehabilitation		
Mlehigan	No	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Rest Area Design	Yes	Yes	P.C. Concrete Pavements		
Minnesota	No		No	Yes	Yes		Lighting, Buildings, Heaters for Traffic Control Cabinets	No	Yes	Aggregate Base and Densit Asphalt Concrete Density		
Mississippi	-		-						-			
Missouri	No	1	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	1 I	No	No			
Nontana	Nes		Net	Vac	No	Vag	Haul Distance		Vac	Apphalt Congrata		
				lea	Ino	100	naur pristance		1C5	Naphart Concrete		
Nevada	No		No	Yes	No	No		Yes	Yes			
New Hampshire New Jersey	Yes	Construction Maintenance	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Steam Plants Solar Heating	No	Yes	Asphalt Concrete Pavement		
Nut Max Loo	-	Physical Plants		1	No	Voc	"U" Turn Facilitles	No	Vor			
New Mexico	Tes	Poetral	NO	res	NO	res		NO	ies	Acabalt Concepts		
New York	Yes	Services	No	No	Yes	Yes		No	Yes	P.C. Concrete Asphalt Concrete		
North Carolina	No		No	Yes	No	Yes	Design	No	Yes	P.C. Concrete Pavt. Marking, Signals		
Ohio				-	-	-						
Oklahoma	Nu		No	No	No			Maybe	Yes	Asphalt Concrete Pavement		
Poppeylussia	NO	Doutur	10S	V	V	V		res	res	P.C. Concrete Pavements		
Rhode Island	No	Design	No	tes	I es	tes	and the second second	NO	Yes	Asphalt Concrete		
South Carolina	No	territe the second second	No	Yes	Yes	Yes		Maybe	Yes	·		
South Dakota	You	Construction	Yoe	Yee	No	Yee	Asphalt Requeltan	No	No			
Tennessee	No	Maintenance	No	No	No		inspirate netyetting	No	No			
Texas	No		No	No	No	-		10	No			
Utah	-			-	-	-						
Vermont	Yes	Design	No	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	Aggregate Base, Asphalt		
Virginia			-	-			Contraction of the		1	Concrete, F.C. Concrete		
Washington	Yes	Maintenance	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	No	No			
West Virginia	No		No	Yes	Yes	No		No	Yes	All Types		
Wisconsin	No		Yes	Yes	Yea	No			No			
Wyoming District of Columbia	No		Nm	No	No			Yes	No			

Table 1. Summary of TRB Questionnaire - Energy Considerations in Transportation Design,

Construction and Operations

	Spec.		Rec	yelin	β	Operations To Conserve Energy										
State	Energy Saved	Used Yes/ ฟอ	Permitted or Required by Contract	Performed by D01	Removed Matl. Property of	Energy Saver	Reduced Lighting	Other Fuels For Trucks	Reduce Snowplowing	Bus or Car Pool Lanes	Park and Ride Lots	Vans for Employees	Coordinate For Free Flows	Computer 5 H Control Firm	Other	References
Alabama																
Alaska	Yes	Yes	Perm.			Yes	Yes					Yes	Yes	Yes		-
Arizona	?	715	Perm/		Cont/	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Co-Sign For Employee Vans	9-14
Arkansas		Yes	Perm/ Req.		Cont.	No	Yes				Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		15
Callfornla	No	Yes	Perm/ Reg.	Yes	Cont/ DOT	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Tree Thinning-Reduce Ice Convert Lighting	16,17
Colorado Connecticut									_							
Delaware		Yes	Req.	Yes	Cont/ DOT	Yes	Yes	Yes			Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Smaller Cars Building Weatherization Convert Lighting	-
Florida	Yes	Yes	Perm/ Req.		Cont.	Yes	Yes	Yes	_	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes		
Georgia	Yes	Yes	Req.		DOT	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Extensive List	18-20
Hawat i	Yes	Yes	Perm.		Cont.	Yes	Yes		_	Yes						
Idaho	-	Yes	Req.		DOT	Yes	Yes		Yes				Yes	Yes	Solar Heating for Asphalt Building Alterations Reserve Parking for	
Illinois	Yes	Yes	Req.		Cont.	Yes	Yes		Yes				Yes		Car Pool Vehicles	-
Indiana		Yes	Req.		Cont.	Yes	Yes					Yes	Yes		Reserve Parking for Car Pool Vehicles	
Iowa	No	Yes	Req.		DOT	Yes	Yes		Yes			Yes				
Kansas	1	Yes	Perm/ Req.		Cont/	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes				
Kentucky	No	Year	Perm.	Yes	DOT	Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes			
Louislana Maine								_								
Maryland	Yes	Yes	Perm.		Cont.	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Massachusetts	No	Yes	Perm.		Cont	Yes	-	-		Yes	Yes		Yes			1
Michigan	1 ?	Yes	Req.	Yes	DOT	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Maintenance Garages	21
Minnesota	Yes	Yes	Perm.		Cont.	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	Alternative Fuel Gasohol & Wood	1
Mississippi	-															-
Missouri		Yes	Perm.		Cont/	Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Extensive List	6 I K
Montana							1	1								
Nebraska	Yes	Yes	Req.	Yes	Cont/ DOT	Yes	Yes						Yes	Yes		ļ
Nevada	2	Yes	Perm/	Yes	Cont/	Yes	Yes			Yes			Yes	Yes	-	
New Hampshire		Yes	Req.	1	Dot	Yes	Yes			Yes		·				
New Jersey	Yes	Yeir	Porm/ Porg.	Yes	Cont/ DOT	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Building Alterations and Boilers	22
New Mexico	Yes	Yes	Pera/ Req.	Yes	Cont/ DOT	Yes		Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
New York	Yes	Yes	Perm.		Cont.	Yes	-	Yes	_		Yes		Yes	Yes		-
North Carolina	Yes	Yes	Reg.	Yes	DOT	Yes	Yes	Yes	_		Yes		Yes	Yes		
Ohio								<u> </u>								
Oregon	?	Yes	Perm. Perm/	Yes	Cont/	Yes	Yes	-	-	Yes	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Variable Work Shifts	-
			Perm/		DOT Cont/		-	-	-	-	-	-				
Pennsylvania	NO	Yes	Req.	Yes	DOT	Yes	Yes			Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			1.10
South Carolina	2	Yes	Req.		Cont.	Yes	Yes	-			Tes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Replace Cutbacks with Emulsions Asphalts	
South Dakota		Yes	Perm/	Yes	Cont/	Yes	Yee	Yes	Yes		-	_		-	At Low Temperatures	
Tennessee	-	Yes	Req. Perm/		DOT Cont.	Yes	-	-			Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Texas		Yes	Perm/ Reg.	Yes	Cont/ DOT	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes		Solar Heating for Asphalt Wind Electicity Generation	-
Utah	-								_		_	_				
Vermont	?	Yes	Reg.	-	DOT	Yes	Yes				Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Reduce Building Temperature	
Virginia			Port		Cont	-			_							14 C
Washington		Yes	Req.		DOT	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes	1.5.1		anne-
West Virginia		Yes	Pefm.		Cont.	No	-	Yes		-	Yes	1	Yes	Yes	Extensive List	23-29
Wisconsin		Yes	Req.		Cont.	Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes		Reduce Building Temperature	30,31
Wyoming District of	-		Perm/		Cont/		1		-		-					
Columbia	L	Yes	Req.	Yes	DOT	No	Yes			Yes			Yes	Yes		

.

5

Table 2. Energy Conservation Activities - Georgia, Missouri, West Virginia

GEORGIA

- Solar panels on liquid asphalt cement storage tanks at state plant
- Solar panels for hot water and heating at rest area
- Mandatory reduced mileages on all DOT vehicles
- Reduced speed limit to 50 mph for DOT vehicles
- Provide car pooling information and computer assistance to companies
- Closed some maintenance "barns" and consolidation operations
- Heating plant major changes in 3 DOT larger buildings Timers installed on some DOT heating/a/c plants
- Energy audits performed on all larger buildings
- Utility useages monitored
- Additional insulation used in new roof replacement
- Storm windows added to most field buildings
- One electric meter used to replace two, where possible
- Small vestibules with second door added to front and back of field offices
- Closed in an open ceiling in a field office
- Manually reduce a/c at night Turn lights out during lunch at field offices
- Cleaning/recycling road sign blanks
- Timers on a/c at rest areas, considered

MISSOURI

- Switch from use of cutback asphalt to emulsions
- Partition and insulate maintenance buildings
- Reduce striping program
- Reduce mowing program
- Reduce vehicle fleet
- Downsize cars and pickups Recycle guard rail by straightening
- Recycle signs in sign reclamation shop

WEST VIRGINIA

- Placed day/night switch on outside lighting at field offices
- Auditors check for energy conservation on every field contact

Example: (a) Garage doors open with heat on (b) Lights on in day where not converted as in no. 1 above

- Placed initial insulation at certain locations and have added insulation at others
- Reduced the number of vehicles on the road (see no. 7)
- State cars can no longer be taken home by everyone
- Reduced the number of substations

Example: Reduced 5 in Kanawha County Reduced 1 in Marion County

- Eliminated Courtesy Patrols
- Purchased smaller cars for the fleet

ENERGY PUBLICATIONS

State agencies were asked to rate the effectiveness of eight energy-related publications in the questionnaire. These publications (see references 1-8) include widely publicized and distributed reports by the TRB and the FHWA. Results tabulated in Table 3 indicated a disturbing finding that many of the individuals preparing the questionnaire, presumably among the most knowledgeable persons within the agencies on energy matters, are unaware of the existence of these publications. The committee is at a loss to explain this finding, and hopes that continued technology transfer efforts within TRB and FHWA will improve this situation.

The states of Arizona, Arkansas, California, Georgia, Michigan, New Jersey, West Virginia, and Wisconsin supplied lists of additional energy

related references (see references 9-31).

CLOSURE

Although thirty-one percent of the reporting states have energy policies, sixty-nine do not, which strongly indicates that energy considerations within the transportation community are not currently of major concern, even though energy prices are high and the prospects of an energy shortage in the near future are still very high. On the plus side, 70 percent of the reporting agencies have some form of value engineering and performance specifications in the design and construction of transportation facilities. About

References	No. of Responses (%)					
Title	Agency and Report No.	Date	Very Valuable	Satisfactory	Do Not Use	Unaware of Publication
Energy Conservation and Federal Aid Program	FWHA Bulletin	1/21/81	1 (2)	13 (33)	10 (25)	16 (40)
State Transportation Finances within the Context of Energy Constraints	NCHRP 231	r	2 (5)	10 (24)	17 (41)	12 (30)
Considerations in Transporation Energy Contingency Planning	TRB Special Report 191		1 (2)	17 (42)	13 (33)	9 (23)
Waste Materials as Potential Replacements for Highway Aggregates	NCHRP 166		3 (8)	13 (34)	12 (32)	10 (26)
Ways to Use Waste Products in Highway Construction	AASHTO AGC, ARTBA Task Force 16 Report		1 (2)	7 (18)	14 (35)	18 (45)
Optimizing the Use of Materials and Energy in Transportation Construction	TRB Special Report 166		3 (7)	13 (33)	13 (33)	10 (27)
Energy Involved in Construction Materials and Procedures	NCHRP Synthesis 85		3 (7)	11 (28)	17 (43)	9 (22)
Energy Requirements for Roading Pavements	Asphalt Institute IS 173	1/79	3 (7)	9 (23)	14 (35)	14 (35)

Table 3. Effectiveness of Publications

one half of these agencies believe that energy is conserved as a direct result of these programs. By far the most generally employed "energy conservation" measure is recycling, as 100 percent of the agencies utilize recycling to some extent. Although the energy savings may not be known, they all believe recycling is cost effective. Finally, most agencies employ traditional energy conservation measures in their direct cost operations. These include reduced lighting, the use of solar heating where practicable, and the like.

In closing, the Committee is both encouraged and concerned about the results of this questionnaire. There are several states that have vigorous energy policies. Other agencies are making some attempts at energy management. But the majority of the agencies reporting, plus presumably most of the agencies not reporting, have little or no energy management programs in the design and construction of their transportation facilities.

REFERENCES

- 1. Energy Conservation and Federal Aid Program -FHWA Bulletin 7/21/81.
- State Transportation Finance Within the 2. Context of Energy Constraints. NCHRP Report (TRB)
- 231. Considerations in Transportation Energy 3.
- Contingency Planning. TRB Special Report 191. Waste Materials as Potential Replacements for 4
- Highway Aggregates. NCHRP Report 166. Ways to Use Waste Products in Highway Construction. Task Force 16 Report. Report 5.

of Joint Cooperative Committee of AASHTO, AGC and ARTBA.

- Optimizing the Use of Materials and Energy in 6. Transportation Construction. TRB Special Report 166.
- Energy Involved in Construction Materials and 7. Procedures. NCHRP Synthesis 85. (TRB) Energy Requirements for Roadway Pavements.
- 8. Asphalt Institute Report IS 173, November 1979.
- 9. ADOT Energy Emergency Plan, April 1982.
- ADOT Energy Management Plan, October 1982. 10.
- Arizona Transportation Energy, Emergency and Long Term Conservation, April 1978. 11.
- 12. Arizona Energy Use Management Plan, February
- 1978.
- Arizona Transportation Energy, Directions, 13. February 1978.
- Arizona Transportation Energy; Usage Patterns, 14. December 1977.
- "Arkansas State Highway and Transportation 15. Department Energy Conservation Plan" December 1981.
- 16. "Resource Conservation," Policy and Procedure P78-17, California Department of Transportation, Revised May 16, 1983.
- "Value Engineering Information Exchange," miscellaneous items, Value Engineering Branch, California Department of Transportation. 17.
- "State Operations Energy Conservation Manual" Georgia Department of Transportation. 18.
- "Energy Keith, D. A. and Jackson, H. Z., 19. Management Report Aerial Survey Lab," EES Project A-3025, Engineering Experiment Station, Georgia Institute of Technology, September 10, 1981.
- "Georgia Department of Transportation Energy 20

8

Conservation Plan," Georgia Department of

- Conservation Plan," Georgia Department of Transportation, April 15, 1980. "Energy Committee," Department Regulation DR 1250.11, Michigan Department of State Highways and Transportation, October 21, 1976. "Conservation of Energy," Policy No. 1.506, New Jersey Department of Transportation, February 11, 1975 21.
- 22. February 11, 1975. Energy and Transportation Systems, 12/78,
- 23. California Department of Transportation.
- 24. Procedure Manual for Energy Evaluation in Highway Development Projects, 12/82, West Virginia Department of Highways. A Manual on User Benefit Analysis of Highway
- 25. and Bus-Transit Improvements, 1977, AASHTO. Transportation Energy Conservation Data Book,
- 26.

Edition 1, 11/81, Oak Ridge National

- 27.
- Laboratory. Energy: Forecasting, Data and Conservation, Transportation Research Record 801. (TRB) Economic, Social and Energy Effects of Highway 28. Transportation, Transportation Research Record 812. (TRB)
- Procedure for Estimating Highway User Costs, Fuel Consumption and Air Pollution, 3/80, 29.
- United States Department of Transportation. "Energy Conservation," Policy K, Wisconsin Department of Transportation. 30.
- "Energy Consumption Energy and Materials," Subject 40, Facilities Development Manual, 31. Wisconsin Department of Transportation.