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**GLOSSARY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AASHTO</td>
<td>American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AI</td>
<td>Artificial Intelligence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANN</td>
<td>Artificial neural network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>API</td>
<td>Application Programing Interface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BBAVectors</td>
<td>Box Boundary-Aware Vectors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVAT</td>
<td>Computer Vision Annotation Tool</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOTA</td>
<td>Large-scale dataset for object detection in aerial images</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOT</td>
<td>Departments of Transportation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAST</td>
<td>Fixing America's Surface Transportation Act</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIS</td>
<td>Geographic information systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GUI</td>
<td>Graphical user interface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSIP</td>
<td>Highway Safety Improvement Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSM</td>
<td>Highway Safety Manual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTML</td>
<td>Hyper Text Markup Language</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>Identity document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IoT</td>
<td>Internet of Things</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT</td>
<td>Information Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITS</td>
<td>Intelligent Transportation Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KNN</td>
<td>K-nearest neighbors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP-21</td>
<td>Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIRE</td>
<td>Model Inventory of Roadway Elements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MUTCD</td>
<td>Manual on uniform Traffic Control Devices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-CNN</td>
<td>Region-based Convolutional Neural Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RGB</td>
<td>Red, green, and blue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVM</td>
<td>Support vector machine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>Transverse crosswalk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>Zebra crosswalk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>Ladder crosswalk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VGG16</td>
<td>Convolutional neural network with 16 layers deep</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Intersection markings play a vital role in providing road users with guidance and information. The conditions of intersection markings will be gradually degrading due to vehicular traffic, rain, and/or snowplowing. Degraded markings can confuse drivers, leading to increased risk of traffic crashes. Timely obtaining high-quality information of intersection markings lays a foundation for making informed decisions in safety management and maintenance prioritization. However, current labor-intensive and high-cost data collection practices make it very challenging to gather intersection data on a large scale.

This IDEA project develops an automated system to intelligently detect and characterize intersection markings and to assess their degradation conditions with existing roadway Geographic information systems (GIS) data and aerial images. The system focuses on two types of markings at intersections – lane-use arrows and crosswalks, while it has the flexibility to be extended to cover other road markings as well. The seamless integration of spatial analytics and advanced computer vision techniques makes the proposed system truly cost-effective, scalable, and computationally efficient. The system harnesses emerging artificial intelligence techniques such as transfer learning and multi-task deep learning to enhance its robustness, accuracy, and computational efficiency. A data acquisition module was developed to automatically retrieve intersection locations from roadway GIS data in Virginia and capture corresponding aerial images on a large scale. Over 3,000 intersection images have been captured and manually annotated. Marking images were synthesized from different environment settings, and the synthesized data was used in a transfer learning process to pre-train computer vision models for marking detection and characterization. Computer vision modules were developed to detect and classify lane-use arrows (85% average precision) and crosswalks (89% average precision), to reliably measure the marking sizes by calibrating detection bounding boxes, and to assess the degradation conditions of markings (91% overall accuracy for lane-use arrows and 83% for crosswalks). Data acquisition and computer vision modules developed were integrated and a graphical user interface (GUI) was built for the system. The developed system is deployed as a web-based application so that it does not require powerful client computers and any users with internet connections can easily access it.

The proposed system can fully automate the processes of marking data collection and condition assessment on a large scale with almost zero cost and short processing time. The seamless integration of spatial analytics and advanced computer vision techniques makes the system truly cost-effective, scalable, and computationally efficient. Current data collection practices require state agencies to invest millions of dollars in contracting very time-consuming data collection services each year. By economically providing large-scale intersection marking data, this system can enable state agencies to empower analytic methods for data-driven safety management and timely assist maintenance prioritization for reinforcing intersection safety.
IDEA PRODUCT

This IDEA project develops an automated system for large-scale intersection marking data collection and condition assessment. FIGURE 1 illustrates the conceptual design of the system. The system focuses on two types of markings at intersections – lane-use arrows and crosswalks, while it has the flexibility to be extended to cover other road markings as well. The system economically utilizes roadway geographic information systems (GIS) data and aerial images as inputs, which are commonly available from state department of transportations (DOTs) or open sources. The use of GIS data enables fast indexing and identification of intersections and accelerate the process of aerials image data extraction, making the proposed approach truly scalable and computationally efficient. The extracted intersection image data were used to train a novel computer vision model for detection, characterization, and condition assessment of intersection markings. Emerging artificial intelligence techniques were harnessed to improve accuracy, robustness, and computational efficiency of the system. This system will be the foundation of future expansions to collect other roadway features such as medians and driveways to support additional data needs of DOTs.

FIGURE 1 Conceptual illustration of the automated system for intersection marking data collection.

CONCEPT AND INNOVATION

Motivation

The Model Inventory of Roadway Elements (MIRE) program of Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) emphasizes that roadway data, including intersection markings, are critical to data-driven safety management (1). However, MIRE has identified that existing roadway inventories have large gaps in
intersection marking data such as the number of exclusive left-turn lanes and the presence of crosswalks (2). Intersection markings play a vital role in providing road users with guidance and information. The conditions of intersection markings will be gradually degrading due to vehicular traffic, rain, and/or snowplowing. Degraded markings can confuse drivers, leading to increased risk of traffic crashes. Timely obtaining high-quality information of intersection markings lays a foundation for making informed decisions in safety management and maintenance prioritization. However, current labor-intensive and high-cost data collection practices make it very challenging to gather intersection data on a large scale. (3) It immediately requires a cost-effective tool that can accurately and efficiently collect statewide intersection marking data.

**Potential Payoff for Practice**

The system can fully automate the processes of marking data collection and condition assessment on a large scale with almost zero cost and short processing time (e.g., in a preliminary test, the processing time per intersection is less than 2 second). The system can help states improve their inventory databases to accommodate data requirements legislated in the Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century (MAP-21) Act and the Fixing America's Surface Transportation (FAST) Acts. More specifically, the large-scale data produced through the developed system can greatly benefit transportation agencies in several key aspects:

1) **Advances intersection safety management.** The system can provide state DOTs demanding data for Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP). The availability of large-scale intersection marking data (e.g., presence of crosswalks, dedicated left-turn lanes, etc.) enables agencies to use the analytic methods provided in the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials’ (AASHTO’s) Highway Safety Manual (HSM). It helps bridge the gaps in current modeling practices by offering critical data to support safety decision making in hotspot identification and before-after safety evaluation.

2) **Improves the inventory of roadway data elements.** The system offers a cost-effective tool to improve existing roadway inventory databases and provide additional data elements needed to support MIRE.

3) **Enables infrastructure maintenance prioritization.** It is estimated that state agencies spend more than $1 billion annually in maintaining road markings in the United States and Canada (4). The developed system can allow agencies to monitor the conditions of a large number of markings for better allocation of resources and timely maintenance.
4) **Augments intelligent transportation systems (ITS).** The developed system can produce detailed intersection profiles for supporting ITS applications such as the development of high-resolution digital maps, driver-assistance systems, and safety warning systems.

5) **Supports transportation planning modeling.** The generated intersection data can help transportation planners develop more accurate planning models by incorporating detailed information on intersection configurations.

**Existing Approaches and Their Limitations**

Though road marking data are often collected manually in practice, there are attempts to leverage image processing techniques (e.g., edge detection) to detect road markings (5-7). Despite faster proceeding speeds, those approaches rely on empirical functions that are often difficult to be generalized in varying environments (8). More adaptive learning-based methods such as k-nearest neighbors (KNN) (9), support vector machine (SVM) (10), random forest (11) and artificial neural network (ANN) (12) are experimented. However, most learning-based methods for marking recognition are customized for driving assistance, with only small and local data processed rather than large-scale data collection. Additionally, most existing approaches are still sensitive to noises caused by occlusion, illumination variations, and degraded conditions. Furthermore, condition assessment of intersection markings is still under-examined. Finally, no integrated approach is available to recognize marking and assess degradation condition concurrently. Thus, there is an immediate need to develop a more optimal and economical solution for marking data collection on a large scale.

**Innovation**

The system has innovatively addressed the limitations of existing data collection approaches from the following aspects:

1) **Seamless integration of spatial analytics with computer vision techniques.** Computer vision techniques have the advantages in recognizing visual patterns but are not helpful in quickly locating the intersections. Spatial analytics helps pinpoint intersections in the target area and auto-extract their aerial images. Incorporating the spatial information can be the catalyst to greatly reduce the efforts in image segmentation and object recognition, and thus it makes the data collection process truly scalable and computationally efficient.

2) **Embedding transfer learning for more robust and accurate outcomes.** The system has transfer learning capability to robustly detect and characterize intersection markings in varying environments, e.g., occlusion, illumination variations, degraded conditions, etc. It synthesizes a large set of markings under various scenarios to augment the model’s ability to learn from the real data.
3) **Smart application of deep learning for condition assessment.** Humans are sensitive to visual impairments of markings, but it is very costly to apply subjective assessment on a large scale. The system leverages deep learning to generate quality scores consistent with human viewers. The multi-scale deep features of markings are fed into a regression sub-network to produce quality scores to indicate their degradation conditions.

4) **Multi-task learning for higher accuracy and computational efficiency.** The system creatively performs the joint tasks of intersection marking detection, characterization, and condition assessment in an end-to-end deep learning model. Model can better learn a new task by transferring the knowledge it has acquired by learning a related task. The simultaneous accomplishment of multiple tasks ensures its computational efficiency and inference performance for large-scale data collection practices.

5) **Enhanced system accessibility and reproducibility.** Despite the equipped advanced spatial analytics and Artificial Intelligence (AI) components, the system has no prerequisite of knowledge and skills in imaging processing and GIS tools, and therefore enables more users to access it. In addition, it provides objective measurements for reproducible data collection.

**INVESTIGATION**

**Extraction and Annotation of Intersection Aerial Images**

A web-based tool was developed for downloading satellite images using Mapbox base-map. As shown in FIGURE 2, the developed web tool looped through each intersection (using shapefiles as inputs) and downloaded corresponding aerial images. Meanwhile, the Identity document (ID) and coordinates attributes of the images were stored as references of their geo-locations.
FIGURE 2 Workflow for downloading intersection aerial images.

An annotation tool of Computer Vision Annotation Tool (CVAT) was tested and used to manually label the types of lane-use arrows (i.e., left, right, left & straight, right & straight, and straight) and crosswalks (i.e., transverse, zebra, and ladder) and their degradation conditions (i.e., low-quality and high-quality). An example of annotation is shown in FIGURE 3.

FIGURE 3 Overall annotated image with land-use arrows, crosswalks, and degradation conditions labeled.
Marking Detection

Detect Lane-use Arrows

The downloaded aerial images from Mapbox were divided into a training set to train computer vision models and a testing set to test the trained model for performance evaluation. Each aerial image is a 3-channel Red, green, and blue (RGB) color image with a rough resolution of $1354 \times 967$ pixels. The lane-use arrows of each image were also manually annotated. TABLE 1 presents the statistical information of the lane-use arrow data distribution in the dataset.

TABLE 1 Lane-use Arrow Data Distribution in the Collected Dataset

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Traffic Markers</th>
<th>Total No.</th>
<th>No. in Training Set</th>
<th>No. in Testing Set</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>4,089</td>
<td>3,248</td>
<td>841</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left &amp; Straight</td>
<td>522</td>
<td>446</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Straight</td>
<td>1,136</td>
<td>860</td>
<td>276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>2,399</td>
<td>1,920</td>
<td>479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right &amp; Straight</td>
<td>676</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>8,822</td>
<td>7,024</td>
<td>1,798</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Faster RCNN model (13) was used to detect and classify lane-use arrows in the satellite images. The network structure is shown in FIGURE 4. The backbone to extract image feature is the convolutional neural network with 16 layers deep (VGG16) (14).

FIGURE 4 Faster RCNN model for lane-use arrow detection.
After training the Faster RCNN model on the training set, the detection performance was evaluated on the testing set. Examples of correctly detected and incorrectly detected (e.g., misclassification, missing) lane-use arrows are presented in FIGURE 5 (refer to the APPENDIX for more detection results). Common metrics for object detection, i.e., precision, recall, F-measure, and average precision\(^1\) were used to evaluate the performance of each lane-use arrow class, with results reported in TABLE 2. The overall average precision reaches 80% on the testing set.

![Correctly detected lane-use arrows](image1)

(a) Correctly detected lane-use arrows

![Incorrectly detected lane-use arrows](image2)

(b) Incorrectly detected lane-use arrows

**FIGURE 5 Example of correctly detected and incorrectly detected lane-use arrows.** (Numbers indicate confidence levels)

\(^1\) Aka Area Under the Precision-Recall Curve. Average precision indicates whether your model can correctly identify all the positive examples without accidentally marking too many negative examples as positive.
TABLE 2 Lane-use Arrow Detection Performance on the Testing Set

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lane-use Arrows</th>
<th>Precision</th>
<th>Recall</th>
<th>F-measure</th>
<th>Average Precision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left &amp; Straight</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Straight</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right &amp; Straight</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Note: Each metric is ranged between 0 to 1 and higher is better.)

Detect Crosswalks

Over 3,000 aerial images of intersections with crosswalks were collected, which were subsequently divided into a training set to develop the deep learning model and a testing set to evaluate the model performance. Each aerial image is a three-channel RGB color image with a resolution of 1354×967 pixels. All the crosswalks on these images were manually annotated. The crosswalks were classified into three types according to the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD) standards as shown in FIGURE 6. TABLE 3 presents the statistical information of the crosswalks in the collected dataset.

FIGURE 6 Examples of crosswalk markings. (T1 - Transverse Crosswalk: crosswalk marker with two parallel solid white lines; T2 - Zebra Crosswalk: crosswalk marker with a series of closely spaced solid white lines; T3 – Ladder Crosswalk: crosswalk marker with solid white lines between two parallel solid white lines)²

TABLE 3 Crosswalk Data Distribution in the Collected Dataset

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crosswalk Type</th>
<th>Total No.</th>
<th>No. in Training Set</th>
<th>No. in Testing Set</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>T1 - Transverse Crosswalk</strong></td>
<td>4,974</td>
<td>3,997</td>
<td>977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>T2 - Zebra Crosswalk</strong></td>
<td>2,437</td>
<td>1,947</td>
<td>490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>T3 - Ladder Crosswalk</strong></td>
<td>1238</td>
<td>975</td>
<td>263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sum</strong></td>
<td>8,649</td>
<td>6,919</td>
<td>1,730</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Most crosswalk markings are arbitrary-oriented, horizontal bounding boxes used for the detection of lane-use arrows are no longer suitable. A new deep learning model capable of detecting rotated objects is needed. The Box Boundary-Aware Vectors (BBAVectors) model (15) was used for oriented object detection in aerial images with Box Boundary-Aware Vectors. The BBAVectors model resulted in an outstanding performance in the Large-scale dataset for object detection in aerial images (DOTA) dataset (16), which is a benchmark dataset for oriented object detection in computer vision. The network structure of BBA Vectors is shown in FIGURE 7.

![FIGURE 7 BBA Vectors network structure using airplane detection as an example (15).](image)

After developing the BBA Vectors model on the training set, the detection performance was evaluated on the testing set. Examples of correctly detected and incorrectly detected (e.g., misclassification, missing) crosswalks are presented in FIGURE 8 (refer to the APPENDIX for more detection results). Widely used metrics for object detection, i.e., precision, recall, F-measure, and average precision were adopted to assess the detection performance on each crosswalk type as shown in TABLE 4. An overall average precision of 89% was achieved. It should be noted that T2 - Zebra Crosswalk class has a small number of instances (18) in the testing set, the detection results are perfect (with all metrics equal to 1).
**FIGURE 8 Examples of correctly detected and incorrectly detected crosswalks.** (Numbers indicate confidence levels)

**TABLE 4 Crosswalk Detection Performance on the Testing Set**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crosswalk Type</th>
<th>Precision</th>
<th>Recall</th>
<th>F-measure</th>
<th>Average Precision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1 - Transverse Crosswalk</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2 - Zebra Crosswalk</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3 - Ladder Crosswalk</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Note: Each metric is ranged between 0 to 1 and higher is better.)
Exploit Transfer Learning for Robust and Accurate Detection

Transfer learning was used to enhance the detection of lane-use arrows given its relatively poor performance as shown in TABLE 2. The framework of transfer learning is presented in FIGURE 9. A synthetic dataset was generated by image processing techniques. A Faster Region-based Convolutional Neural Networks (R-CNN) model was pre-trained on the synthetic dataset and then fine-tuned on real data for detecting and classifying lane-use markings in the transfer learning process.

![FIGURE 9 The framework of transfer learning.](image)

A few images were randomly selected in the training set of real data and cropped ten traffic markings for each class. An adaptive image segmentation method OTSU (17) was then applied to each cropped marking image to obtain a clear anchor marking set without backgrounds.

The classes of traffic markings were not evenly distributed in the real dataset. For example, the left marking occurred more frequently than others, and the right & straight marking appeared fewer times than others. This imbalanced distribution might result in accuracy defects during the model training. This challenge was addressed by selecting one anchor marking from each class randomly and then adding these five anchor markings to one real satellite image that did not contain real traffic markings. Salt-and-pepper noises, presented as sparsely occurring white and black pixels, were randomly added to markings during the process. Finally, a synthetic and rebalanced dataset of 490 satellite images was generated, with five fake markings (one for each class) on each synthetic image.

As a result of transfer learning, the Faster R-CNN model developed for detecting lane-use arrows has further improved. The detection performance was evaluated on the same testing set and the same metrics (i.e., precision, recall, F-measure, and average precision) were used to evaluate the performance for comparison purposes. The results before and after transfer learning are presented in TABLE 2, TABLE 4 and TABLE 5, respectively. The performance of the Faster R-CNN model has been significantly improved after transfer learning in terms of all metrics used. The average precision is improved from 78% to 85% after the transfer learning and code optimization, compared with the detection performance of the last quarter.
TABLE 5 Lane-use Arrow Detection Performance on the Testing Set after Transfer Learning

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lane-use Arrows</th>
<th>Precision</th>
<th>Recall</th>
<th>F-measure</th>
<th>Average Precision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left &amp; Straight</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Straight</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right &amp; Straight</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Note: Each metric is ranged between 0 to 1 and higher is better.)

**Marking Size Measurement**

*Methodology to compute the lengths and widths*

The detection bounding box was used to measure the size of each marking as shown in FIGURE 10.

Pixels were converted to feet. The actual physical sizes of markings were used to compute the conversion ratio \( R \) based on Eq. (1).

\[
R = \frac{\text{Actual size in feet}}{\text{Image size in pixels}}
\]  

(1)

As illustrated in FIGURE 11, as a result of marking detection, the coordinates for 4 corners of the bounding box were obtained, i.e., left bottom (LB), left top (LT), right bottom (RB) and right top (RT). The length and width of the detected marking can be calculated by corners’ Euclidean distances. The actual length and width in feet can be calculated by Eq. (2) and Eq. (3).

\[
\text{length} = R \times \frac{\sqrt{(x_1 - x_4)^2 + (y_1 - y_4)^2} + \sqrt{(x_2 - x_3)^2 + (y_2 - y_3)^2}}{2}
\]  

(2)

\[
\text{width} = R \times \frac{\sqrt{(x_1 - x_2)^2 + (y_1 - y_2)^2} + \sqrt{(x_3 - x_4)^2 + (y_3 - y_4)^2}}{2}
\]  

(3)
FIGURE 10 An example of crosswalk detection with bounding boxes. (Numbers in parentheses indicate widths and lengths of crosswalks in feet)

FIGURE 11 Bounding box with coordinates for computation illustration.

**Evaluation using Intersection over Union (IoU)**

Intersection over Union (IoU) (18) was used to indicate how trustworthy the measurements are. Area of overlap and area of union were obtained by comparing the detection bounding boxes with manually labeled bounding boxes. IoU can be computed by dividing area of overlap by area of union as in FIGURE 12.

FIGURE 12 Illustration of Intersection over Union.
The Area of Overlap can be calculated by
\[
\text{Area of Overlap} = (\min(x_{1,1}, x_{2,2}) - \max(x_{1,1}, x_{1,2})) \times (\min(y_{2,1}, y_{2,2}) - \max(y_{1,1}, y_{1,2}))
\]
where \((x_{1,1}, y_{1,1})\) and \((x_{2,1}, y_{2,1})\) are the top-left and bottom-right coordinates of the detection bounding box, and \((x_{1,2}, y_{1,2})\) and \((x_{2,2}, y_{2,2})\) are the top-left and bottom-right coordinates of the labelled bounding box.

The Area of Union can be calculated by
\[
\text{Area of Union} = (x_{2,1} - x_{1,1}) \times (y_{2,1} - y_{1,1}) + (x_{2,2} - x_{1,2}) \times (y_{2,2} - y_{1,2}) - \text{Area of Overlap}.
\]

IoU ranges from 0 to 1, with 0 indicating no overlap and 1 indicating perfect overlap. IoUs of detection results on the testing set are shown in TABLE 6. The mean IoU has reached 0.75, which is considered as satisfactory.

TABLE 6 Intersection over Union (IoU) on the Testing Set

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crosswalk Type</th>
<th>IoU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1-Transverse</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2-Zebra</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3-Ladder</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td><strong>0.75</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

_Visualization examples with different IoUs_

Examples of crosswalk detection with high IoUs and low IoUs are presented in FIGURE 13.
Assess the Degradation Conditions of Markings

Degradation conditions of markings were first manually annotated into two quality classes, i.e., low-quality and high-quality. If a marking (a lane-use arrow or crosswalk) is complete without any visible damage, it is classified as high-quality, otherwise it is classified as low-quality. Examples of low-quality and high-quality markings are presented in FIGURE 14.
A total of 6,396 lane-use arrows and 5,031 crosswalks were annotated by trained reviewers. TABLE 7 and TABLE 8 present the distributions of degradation conditions for lane-use arrows and crosswalks. The majority of markings (85.4% for lane-use arrows and 69.4% for crosswalks) are in the high-quality category.

**TABLE 7 Degradation Conditions of Lane-use Arrows**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quality Type</th>
<th>Total No.</th>
<th>No. in Training Set</th>
<th>No. in Testing Set</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low-quality</td>
<td>1,154</td>
<td>923</td>
<td>231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-quality</td>
<td>6,765</td>
<td>5,412</td>
<td>1,353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>7,919</td>
<td>6,335</td>
<td>1,584</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 8 Degradation Conditions of Crosswalks**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quality Type</th>
<th>Total No.</th>
<th>No. in Training Set</th>
<th>No. in Testing Set</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low-quality</td>
<td>1,327</td>
<td>1,061</td>
<td>266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-quality</td>
<td>3,009</td>
<td>2,407</td>
<td>602</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>4,336</td>
<td>3,468</td>
<td>868</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Kang et al. (19) used a convolutional neural network for image quality assessment. A deep convolutional neural network model VGG16 (14) was developed for condition assessment. VGG16 has great flexibility to learn the perception of human viewers on degradation conditions. The structure of VGG16 is presented in FIGURE 15.

**FIGURE 15 The VGG16 network structure for condition assessment.**
After training the VGG16 model, the classification performance was evaluated on the testing sets of both lane-use arrows and crosswalks. Examples of correctly classified and incorrectly classified markings are presented in FIGURE 16. Accuracy (No. of correctly classified instances/total No. of instances) was used to evaluate the performance of conditions assessment as reported in TABLE 9. The overall accuracies for lane-use arrows and crosswalks have achieved 91% and 83%, respectively.

(a) Correctly classified lane-use arrows (Ground truth: High, High, High, Low, Low; Predict: High, High, High, Low, Low)

(b) Incorrectly classified lane-use arrows (Ground truth: High, High, High, Low, Low; Predict: Low, Low, Low, High, High)

(c) Correctly classified crosswalks (Ground truth: High, High, High, Low, Low; Predict: High, High, High, Low, Low)

(d) Incorrectly classified crosswalks (Ground truth: High, High, High, Low, Low; Predict: Low, Low, Low, High, High)

FIGURE 16 Examples of correctly classified and incorrectly classified markings based on degradation conditions.
TABLE 9 Condition Assessment Performance on the Testing Set

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quality Class</th>
<th>Low-quality (%)</th>
<th>High-quality (%)</th>
<th>Overall (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lane-use arrow</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crosswalk</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

System Development

Back-end Development

The FastAPI\(^3\) framework was chosen to build the back-end system. FastAPI is a modern, high-performance, and web-based framework for building Application Programing Interfaces (APIs) with Python 3.6+ based on standard Python type hints. The structure of the back-end system is shown in FIGURE 17. The back-end takes intersection images as the input. It comprises a computer vision module and an output module. The computer vision module detects and characterizes lane-use arrows and crosswalks and assesses their degradation conditions. The outputs include labeled intersection images and .csv files with all marking information.

![Back-end system structure and function diagram.](image)

FIGURE 17 Back-end system structure and function diagram.

Front-end Development

For the front-end, the graphical user interface of the web-based system was developed so that users can view and interact with the system. JavaScript was used to create dynamic elements on static Hyper Text Markup Language (HTML) web pages. The Mapbox API was used to extract intersection aerial images from intersection coordinates inputted by users. The front-end workflow diagram is shown in FIGURE 18.

\(^3\) [https://github.com/tiangolo/fastapi](https://github.com/tiangolo/fastapi)
FIGURE 18 Front-end workflow diagram.

Input, Graphical User Interface, and Output

The input data contains intersection coordinate information and is tabulated in common .csv format. An example of the input data derived from LRS Road Intersections is shown in TABLE 10. There are three columns including Intersection_ID, Latitude, and Longitude.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intersection_ID</th>
<th>Latitude</th>
<th>Longitude</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1269888</td>
<td>36.8201493</td>
<td>-75.99975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1085129</td>
<td>36.7805423</td>
<td>-76.141486</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1045006</td>
<td>36.7744851</td>
<td>-76.133553</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1037605</td>
<td>36.7802918</td>
<td>-76.142283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>541180</td>
<td>36.8372126</td>
<td>-76.159457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>541789</td>
<td>36.8785388</td>
<td>-76.141027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The graphical user interface of the system prototype is shown in FIGURE 19.

---

4 LRS Road Intersections
FIGURE 19 Graphical user interface of the system prototype.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intersection_ID</th>
<th>Latitude</th>
<th>Longitude</th>
<th>Marking_ID</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>SubType</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Quality</th>
<th>Length</th>
<th>Width</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>54353</td>
<td>36.81321</td>
<td>-76.125</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Crosswalk</td>
<td>Zebra</td>
<td>[839, 354, 785, 385, 445, 69, 499, 37]</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>75.4</td>
<td>10.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54353</td>
<td>36.81321</td>
<td>-76.125</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Crosswalk</td>
<td>Ladder</td>
<td>[839, 354, 785, 385, 445, 69, 499, 37]</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>75.4</td>
<td>10.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54353</td>
<td>36.81321</td>
<td>-76.125</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Crosswalk</td>
<td>Ladder</td>
<td>[491, 933, 436, 966, 99, 673, 153, 640]</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>72.6</td>
<td>10.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54353</td>
<td>36.81321</td>
<td>-76.125</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Crosswalk</td>
<td>Ladder</td>
<td>[491, 46, 201, 587, 138, 566, 427, 25]</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>102.7</td>
<td>10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54353</td>
<td>36.81321</td>
<td>-76.125</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Crosswalk</td>
<td>Ladder</td>
<td>[828, 392, 606, 967, 546, 952, 769, 376]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>103.3</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54353</td>
<td>36.81321</td>
<td>-76.125</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Arrow</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>[183, 67, 183, 124, 222, 124, 222, 67]</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54353</td>
<td>36.81321</td>
<td>-76.125</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Arrow</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>[164, 163, 164, 151, 206, 151, 206, 103]</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54353</td>
<td>36.81321</td>
<td>-76.125</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Arrow</td>
<td>Straight</td>
<td>[788, 1004, 768, 1050, 845, 1050, 845, 1004]</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54353</td>
<td>36.81321</td>
<td>-76.125</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Arrow</td>
<td>Straight</td>
<td>[227, 40, 227, 84, 271, 84, 271, 40]</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54353</td>
<td>36.81321</td>
<td>-76.125</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Arrow</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>[744, 1056, 744, 1098, 797, 1098, 797, 1056]</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1269888</td>
<td>36.82015</td>
<td>-75.9998</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Crosswalk</td>
<td>Transverse</td>
<td>[1127, 557, 1071, 560, 1022, 268, 1079, 264]</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>48.1</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1269888</td>
<td>36.82015</td>
<td>-75.9998</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Crosswalk</td>
<td>Transverse</td>
<td>[630, 612, 578, 624, 429, 263, 481, 251]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>36.3</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1269888</td>
<td>36.82015</td>
<td>-75.9998</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Crosswalk</td>
<td>Transverse</td>
<td>[1003, 234, 993, 285, 476, 252, 487, 200]</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1269888</td>
<td>36.82015</td>
<td>-75.9998</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Crosswalk</td>
<td>Transverse</td>
<td>[1096, 612, 643, 676, 629, 630, 1082, 566]</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>76.6</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIGURE 20 Sample of an exported .csv file.
FIGURE 21 Sample of an exported .jpg file. (Numbers outside of parentheses are quality scores, numbers within parentheses are widths and lengths of crosswalks)

The field description of the exported .csv data file is presented in TABLE 11.

TABLE 11 Field Description of the Exported .csv Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intersection_ID</td>
<td>ID of intersections from the input file</td>
<td>541465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latitude</td>
<td>Coordinate that specifies the north–south position of an intersection</td>
<td>36.6830800700893</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longitude</td>
<td>Coordinate that specifies the east–west position of an intersection</td>
<td>76.0231042794389</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marking_ID</td>
<td>ID of markings started from 1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Marking types such as crosswalks or lane-use arrows</td>
<td>Crosswalk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SubType</td>
<td>Crosswalks have three subtypes, i.e., transverse, zebra, and ladder; lane-ues arrows have five subtypes, i.e., left, right, left &amp; straight, right &amp; straight, and straight</td>
<td>Ladder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Coordinates of four corner points of the detection bounding box. The Y-pixel coordinate increases from top to bottom and the X-pixel coordinate increases from left to right.</td>
<td>[790, 282, 438, 313, 425, 276, 777, 245]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality</td>
<td>Describe marking degradation condition, ranging from 0 (lowest quality) to 1 (highest quality)</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
<td>The length of a crosswalk in feet</td>
<td>59.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width</td>
<td>The width of a crosswalk in feet</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**User Guidance**

The graphical user interface is illustrated in FIGURE 19. A quick guide to use the system is described as follows.

- **Step 1.** Click **Input** to upload the prepared input data that contains intersection coordinates.
- **Step 2.** Click **Start** to start image extraction and processing all intersections contained in the input file until **End**.
- **Step 3.** Click **End** to stop the data collection program.
- **Step 4.** Click **Output** by selecting **CSV** to save all marking information (including locations, types, quality scores, etc.) as a .csv data file (see FIGURE 20 as an example). The .csv file is named in the format of the date as “month_day_year_overall_csv.csv”.
- **Step 5 (optional).** Click **Output** by selecting **Image** to save all labelled intersection images as .jpg files for further examination (see FIGURE 21 as an example). The .jpg file is named by intersection ID.

**PLANS FOR IMPLEMENTATION**

The developed system is intended to innovate state DOTs’ data collection efforts for safety improvement programs and infrastructure data inventories. The research team will comply with the guidance and requirements of the IDEA program and actively seek the opportunities to transfer the developed system into practices.

The research team will collaborate with the Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT) to conduct a pilot test of the developed system on its public roadway network. VDOT will provide statewide intersection GIS data and other relevant data for large-scale implementation and performance evaluation of the developed system. VDOT will also assist in incorporating collected data products into its existing roadway inventory database. With the feedback and experience learned from the pilot test, the research team will consistently improve the system to meet the needs of transportation agencies.

The developed system will be deployed as a web-based application so that it does not require powerful client computers and any users with internet connections can easily access it. The research team will consult VDOT and Caltrans representatives and some others of interest to learn the best service plan from their perspectives, considering the maintenance, cost, training, etc. Overall, the research team inclines to promote the use of the system through the grant of licenses and keep maintaining it. Certainly, using contractual service to help agency develop the datasets is also possible. Maintaining the web
software system by agencies will be challenging as it typically requires additional resources (e.g., dedicated staff) to oversee and/or maintain the system. This may not be a rational plan for agencies with limited resources. The research team will try to provide different options to facilitate agencies using the system. Necessarily incurred costs will be supported by the users to cover the operational and maintenance expenses. The cost will depend on how much the requested efforts from the research team, for example, just maintaining the system or requiring the team to develop the final data product. The exact format of collaboration and associated cost will be based on the mutual agreement between the research team and the users.

**CONCLUSION**

This project develops an automated system that utilizes advanced computer vision models to detect and characterize intersection markings and assess their condition. A summary of the investigation results are as follows:

- A Faster RCNN model was developed to detect lane-use arrows. The average precision has achieved 85% on the testing set.
- Developed a BBAVectors model that can capture rotated objects to detect crosswalks and achieved an average precision of 89%.
- Marking images were synthesized from different environment settings and the synthesized data was used in a transfer learning process to pre-train computer vision models, leading to an enhanced model performance.
- Marking sizes were measured by calibrating the detection bounding boxes. Intersection over Union (IoU) was used to indicate how trustworthy the measurements were. An IoU of 0.75 was achieved, which is considered satisfactory.
- A VGG16 model was developed to assess the degradation conditions of markings. The overall accuracies for lane-use arrows and crosswalks achieved 91% and 83%, respectively.

From the investigation, it is found that emerging artificial intelligence techniques (e.g., deep learning, transfer learning) could deliver satisfactory data products in terms of detection, characterization, and condition assessment of intersection markings. The model performance could be further enhanced when additional data are used for model development.

The seamless integration of spatial analytics and advanced computer vision techniques makes the system truly cost-effective, scalable, and computationally efficient. The system harnesses emerging artificial intelligence techniques such as multi-task deep learning and transfer learning to enhance its robustness, accuracy, and computational efficiency. The system is very accessible to users of different technical skills through its graphical user interface.
Existing intersection marking data are generally collected either by field investigation or computer-aided manual extraction from aerial images, street views, and/or video logs. These approaches are cost prohibitive and only feasible for very limited data collection needs. In addition, their inherently subjective nature requires extensive trainings to reduce human errors. The system offers distinct advantages to innovate current practices: (a) extremely low cost, (b) extraordinary scalability, (c) timeliness and consistency, and (d) objective and high-degree reproducibility. The system can automate statewide intersection marking data collection at almost zero cost and with machine-based objective measurements. It can enhance timeliness and consistency of roadway inventory data by rapidly processing latest aerial image data periodically. It eliminates the exposure of surveyors to hazards in field data collection. Unlike manual data collection, the system also provides objective measurements and a high-degree reproducibility of collected data.

The system can generate data elements highly expected by the state Departments of Transportation (DOTs) to support the Model Inventory of Roadway Elements (MIRE) program and to advance Highway Safety Improvement Programs (HSIP). Current data collection practices require DOTs to invest millions of dollars in contracting very time-consuming data collection services each year. By economically providing large-scale intersection marking data, this system will enable state DOTs to empower analytic methods for data-driven safety management. The system can also assess the degradation condition of identified markings, and thus timely assist maintenance prioritization for reinforcing intersection safety.
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TITLE
Collecting Intersection Marking Data Automatically

SUBHEAD
Developed an artificial intelligence-powered system to automatically detect and characterize intersection markings and to assess their degradation conditions

WHAT WAS THE NEED?
Intersection markings play a vital role in providing road users with guidance and information. The conditions of intersection markings will be gradually degrading due to vehicular traffic, rain, and/or snowplowing. Degraded markings can confuse drivers, leading to increased risk of traffic crashes. Timely obtaining high-quality information of intersection markings lays a foundation for making informed decisions in safety management and maintenance prioritization. However, current labor-intensive and high-cost data collection practices make it very challenging to gather intersection data on a large scale. Therefore, it immediately requires a cost-effective tool that can accurately and efficiently collect statewide intersection marking data.

WHAT WAS OUR GOAL?
Our goal is to develop an automated system for large-scale intersection marking data collection and condition assessment by utilizing emerging AI technologies and open-source data.

WHAT DID WE DO?
Our system economically utilizes roadway GIS data and aerial images as inputs, which are commonly available from state DOTs or open sources. The system focuses on two types of markings at intersections – lane-use arrows and crosswalks, while it has the flexibility to be extended to cover other road markings as well. We first developed a data acquisition module to automatically retrieve intersection locations from roadway GIS data in Virginia and capture corresponding aerial images on a large scale. Over 3,000 intersection images have been captured and manually annotated. By utilizing the extracted intersection image data, we then developed a computer vision module for detection, characterization, and condition assessment of intersection markings. We harnessed emerging artificial intelligence techniques such as
transfer learning and multi-task deep learning to enhance the robustness, accuracy, and computational efficiency of the system. Finally, we integrated the data acquisition and computer vision modules developed and built a graphical user interface (GUI) for the system. We deployed the system as a web-based application so that it does not require powerful client computers and any users with internet connections can easily access it.

**WHAT WAS THE OUTCOME?**

Our system was able to detect and classify lane-use arrows at an 85% average precision and crosswalks at an 89% average precision. It also could reliably measure the marking sizes and assess the degradation conditions of lane-use arrows at a 91% overall accuracy and crosswalks at an 83% overall accuracy. We found that emerging artificial intelligence techniques (e.g., deep learning, transfer learning) could deliver satisfactory data products in terms of detection, characterization, and condition assessment of intersection markings. The system performance can be further enhanced when additional annotated data are available in the future.

**WHAT IS THE BENEFIT?**

Our system can fully automate the processes of marking data collection and condition assessment on a large scale with almost zero cost and short processing time (e.g., in a preliminary test, the processing time per intersection is less than 2 seconds). The system can help states improve their inventory databases to accommodate data requirements legislated in the MAP-21 and the FAST Acts. The large-scale data produced through the developed system can greatly benefit transportation agencies in several key aspects such as intersection safety management, infrastructure maintenance prioritization, and transportation planning modeling.

**LEARN MORE**

Link will be added when the report is published.

**IMAGES**

Conceptual illustration of the automated data collection system
APPENDIX II: EXAMPLES OF MARKING DETECTIONS RESULTS
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