### PAPER

# **Variations in Long-Distance Travel**

## STACEY BRICKA

NuStats International

### ABSTRACT

The 1995 Nationwide Personal Transportation Survey (NPTS) and 1995 American Travel Survey (ATS) provide rich sources of data on travel in the United States. While the survey objectives differ, each provides a good foundation for further research into many different topics. The purpose of this paper is to identify regional variations in longdistance travel in the United States as reported in the 1995 NPTS and the 1995 ATS. Specifically, the paper will seek to answer the question "How does long-distance travel differ when we consider reports from respondents in geographically diverse regions of the United States, such as New York and Oklahoma?" This will be accomplished through comparisons of national- and state-level data collected through both studies. Comparisons of reported long-distance trips in each data set for pre-defined geographic regions will be performed in order to identify differences in trip length, purpose, mode, and demographic characteristics of the travelers. By focusing the analysis at the state level for geographically diverse areas, a comparison of results will show where regional variations in long-distance travel exist. In addition to comparing the data across geographic regions within each data set, this investigation will also, by default, look at differences reported in long-distance travel between the two data sets at the national level. Given the differences in methods used to collect the data, some variations in long-distance travel are expected. By using both data sets in this analysis, it is expected that any reporting shortfalls in one data set will be compensated by coverage in the other data set. The result expected from including both data sets in the analysis is a comprehensive picture of regional variations in long-distance travel.

### **INTRODUCTION**

The 1995 Nationwide Personal Transportation Survey (NPTS) and 1995 American Travel Survey (ATS) provide rich sources of data on travel in the United States. The purpose of this paper is to identify regional variations in long-distance travel in the United States as reported in the 1995 NPTS and the 1995 ATS. Specifically, the paper will seek to answer the question "How does long-distance travel differ when we consider reports from respondents in geographically diverse regions of the United States, such as New York and Oklahoma?" This will be accomplished through the following objectives:

• To compare and identify differences in long-distance national travel as reported in both data sets to determine the extent to which methodological differences will affect the regional analysis; and

• To compare and identify differences in long-distance regional travel as reported in both data sets to determine where regional variations exist.

This paper draws from the data contained in the travel period file of the 1995 NPTS and the person trip file of the 1995 ATS. In order to understand the comparisons drawn between the data sets, a short description of each data set and their inherent differences is necessary.

#### **1995 NPTS**

The NPTS is a comprehensive source of travel behavior and transportation patterns in the United States at both the household and person level, for typical daily travel as well as longer distance periodic travel. It is widely used by transportation planners and others as inputs to the transportation planning process as well as to better understand trends in travel patterns. The Research Triangle Institute conducted the survey from May 1995 through June 1996.

The data set consists of six files: household data, person data, vehicle data, travel day data, trip segment data, and travel period data. The travel period data file contains the data on long-distance travel collected as part of the person interviews. It represents all one-way trips of at least 75 mi for all members of surveyed households (age 5 and older) that took place during a 14-day period ending on the assigned travel day and either originated or ended at home. The trip distances were calculated as a straight-line distance based on the household location and the reported trip destination.

The data represent a cross section of travel in the United States as reported by 42,033 households. Approximately half of these household are part of the national sample and the other half were supplemented with separate contracts to support planning efforts at higher geographic levels. Through this add-on process, state-level data are available for respondents in Massachusetts, New York, and Oklahoma. The long-distance travel reported by respondents in these states will comprise the "regional" units of analysis for this paper.

#### **1995 ATS**

The ATS represents a national measurement of interstate and intermetropolitan passenger travel by trip and travel characteristics for all modes and for intermodal combinations. The purpose of the survey was to provide accurate and comprehensive information on travel patterns across the United States to assist in the formulation and evaluation of intermodal travel initiatives. It is used by policymakers to obtain detailed information on differences in travel patterns by state.

The data set contains four main files: household demographics, person demographics, household travel, and person travel. This paper will rely on the person travel file, which contains data for all members of surveyed households (regardless of age) that completed a one-way trip of at least 100 mi during a specific 3-month period. The trip distances were calculated using the zip codes for the origin and destination and based on transportation network databases.

The ATS sample design allows for use of the data at the state level as well as the national level. It is a state-based design of approximately 80,000 households. The actual sample size for each state varies, but all meet prescribed reliability requirements. Data were collected in 3-month cycles from April 1995 through March 1996 using regional census data collection centers.

### **DATA LIMITATIONS**

While both surveys independently provide a rich source of information about regional and national travel patterns, differences in methodology require exploration in order to understand limitations of comparing the results. The main differences between the data sets that will impact comparison of the results include the following:

• Definition of a long-distance trip. NPTS respondents were asked to record all oneway trips of at least 75 mi as "long-distance travel." The ATS defined long-distance travel as all trips of at least 100 mi. To minimize this methodological difference, only trips of 100 mi or longer in both data sets will be included in the analysis.

• Calculation of trip distance. Travel distance in the NPTS was calculated as a straight line from the home location to the reported destination. The ATS, however, used transportation network databases to calculate distance. In order to compare distance traveled, a factor of 1.22 was applied to the NPTS calculated distances.

• Respondent eligibility. The NPTS collects data from all members of the household age five and older, while the ATS collects data from all members regardless of age. To allow for comparable trip rates, only ATS person trips for those respondents age five and older will be included in the analysis.

• Regional analysis level. The NPTS provides state-level data only for Massachusetts, New York, and Oklahoma, while the ATS provides state-level data for all 50 states. In this paper, all regional level analyses will be restricted to Massachusetts, New York, and Oklahoma.

• Data collection "recall" period. The NPTS asked respondents to provide data for all long-distance trips within a 14-day period, while the ATS asked for such trips over a 3-month period. While this difference cannot be compensated for in terms of a data adjustment (such as those listed above), it will explain some differences in reported trip rates.

### **COMPARISON OF DATA**

The first step in the analysis is to compare the NPTS and ATS data at the state and national levels. Given the differences in methods used to collect the data, some variations in long-distance travel are expected. By using both data sets in this analysis, it is expected that any reporting shortfalls in one data set will be compensated by coverage in the other data set. The result expected from including both data sets in the analysis is a comprehensive picture of regional variations in long-distance travel.

As shown in Table 1, the data sets are fairly consistent in the reporting of trip lengths. Respondents from New York tended to make the longest trips, while Oklahoma respondents reported the shorted trip distances. New York and Massachusetts respondents both reported above average trip lengths as compared to the national average trip length.

Geographic Level	ATS (miles)	NPTS (miles)
National	515	510
Massachusetts	610	525
New York	705	1,099
Oklahoma	392	220

**TABLE 1** Average Person Trip Lengths, NPTS Versus ATS

Base: Includes all NPTS long-distance trips of at least 100 mi, where distance traveled is calculated distance times factor of 1.22 to allow comparison to ATS data. ATS calculations include all ATS long-distance trips of at least 100 mi for all household members 5 years or older. All cases are weighted using the appropriate file weights.

ATS				
Trip Purpose	Massachusetts	New York	Oklahoma	National
Work/work related	25.3%	24.1%	24.1%	24.4%
Shopping	1.0%	1.3%	1.3%	1.2%
School	3.1%	2.8%	2.4%	2.8%
Personal/Family Business	8.0%	10.8%	15.5%	11.0%
Vacation activities	12.9%	10.7%	9.5%	11.0%
Visit	29.2%	33.4%	36.7%	33.0%
Other Social/Recreation	2.9%	4.0%	4.3%	3.8%
Other	17.6%	12.9%	6.2%	12.8%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%

 TABLE 2 ATS Trip Purpose by Geographic Region

Base: ATS calculations include all ATS long-distance trips of at least 100 mi for all household members 5 years or older. All data are weighted.

Oklahoma respondents also differ somewhat in reported trip purpose compared to respondents from the Northeast. As shown in Table 2, the most frequently reported specific trip purposes were visiting and work trips. The difference is in the third most frequent trip purpose: personal/family business for Oklahomans, vacation activities for Massachusetts respondents, and a tie of the two for New Yorkers.

The same distinction holds true for the NPTS respondents, with travel for work and visiting among the most frequently reported trip purposes. Oklahoma respondents clearly reported more trips for personal or family business than for respondents in Massachusetts and New York combined (Table 3).

Long-distance travel modes were mainly personal automobile and airplane across both data sets. Of significance is the higher dependence on automobile by respondents in Oklahoma as compared to respondents from New York or Massachusetts. As shown in Table 4, ATS respondents from Oklahoma were 20 percent more likely to travel by personal auto than respondents from the other states. New Yorkers were more likely to travel by bus as a tertiary mode choice than others.

NPTS				
Trip Purpose	Massachusetts	New York	Oklahoma	National
Work/work related	21.8%	19.4%	20.4%	20.2%
Shopping	0.9%	2.1%	1.1%	1.6%
School	0.5%	0.6%	0.8%	0.6%
Personal/Family Business	10.0%	11.1%	40.9%	18.2%
Vacation activities	16.8%	10.8%	4.8%	10.6%
Visit	22.8%	26.7%	20.9%	24.5%
Other Social/Recreation	22.9%	25.6%	7.6%	20.6%
Other	4.3%	3.7%	3.3%	3.7%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%

TABLE 3	NPTS Trip	Purpose by	Geograph	ic Region

ATS				
Travel Mode	Massachusetts	New York	Oklahoma	National
Car/Pickup/Van	63.9%	61.9%	80.4%	65.8%
Other Truck	0.4%	0.1%	1.2%	0.4%
Airplane	29.0%	29.7%	14.5%	26.8%
Bus	2.1%	3.3%	1.2%	2.6%
School Bus	0.1%	0.1%	0.4%	0.1%
Train	1.4%	2.4%	0.0%	1.8%
RV	0.6%	0.6%	0.7%	0.6%
Bicycle	0.0%			0.0%
Motorcycle/Moped	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Other	2.4%	1.9%	1.5%	1.9%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%

 TABLE 4 ATS Travel Mode by Geographic Region

Base: ATS calculations include all ATS long-distance trips of at least 100 mi for all household members 5 years or older. All data are weighted.

The NPTS data set shows the top three travel mode choices as automobile, airplane, and bus. Oklahomans are more likely to take the automobile or bus over the airplane as compared to respondents from New York or Massachusetts. As with the ATS data, a higher percentage of New Yorkers are more likely to take the bus as a tertiary mode than those from other states (Tables 5–7).

The most frequent long-distance travelers came from households of two to four persons. This was consistent across the states for the ATS data set.

NPTS				
Travel Mode	Massachusetts	New York	Oklahoma	National
Car/Pickup/Van	81.2%	74.2%	90.1%	79.5%
Other Truck	0.8%	2.9%	0.8%	2.0%
Airplane	12.7%	9.2%	2.9%	8.4%
Bus	2.6%	6.7%	4.8%	5.4%
School Bus	0.3%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%
Train	1.6%	5.3%	0.0%	3.2%
RV	0.1%	0.2%	0.4%	0.2%
Bicycle			0.0%	0.0%
Motorcycle/Moped	0.3%	0.1%		0.1%
Other	0.4%	1.2%	0.8%	0.9%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%

**TABLE 5 NPTS Travel Modes by Geographic Region** 

ATS				
Household Size	Massachusetts	New York	Oklahoma	National
1	12.6%	11.9%	10.3%	11.8%
2	33.3%	29.3%	35.9%	31.5%
3	16.7%	18.2%	18.4%	17.9%
4	23.1%	26.1%	22.2%	24.6%
5	8.7%	8.6%	8.3%	8.6%
6	3.9%	4.8%	2.2%	4.1%
7+	1.6%	1.2%	2.6%	1.5%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%

 TABLE 6 ATS Household Size by Geographic Region

Base: ATS calculations include all ATS long-distance trips of at least 100 mi for all household members 5 years or older. All data are weighted.

The most frequent long-distance travelers reflected in the NPTS data set are also in households of two to four persons. However, in this data set, half of all Oklahoma respondents report having four persons in the household.

As shown in Table 8, Oklahoma travelers tend to be from the middle class, as compared to the high-income travelers from Massachusetts and New York.

NPTS				
Household Size	Massachusetts	New York	Oklahoma	National
1	13.3%	12.0%	8.6%	11.4%
2	30.2%	31.2%	14.0%	26.8%
3	16.4%	18.2%	13.7%	16.7%
4	27.5%	24.2%	54.4%	32.2%
5	8.5%	9.9%	6.7%	8.8%
6	3.2%	3.1%	2.3%	2.9%
7+	0.9%	1.4%	0.3%	1.0%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%

TABLE 7 NPTS Household Size by	<b>Geographic Region</b>
--------------------------------	--------------------------

ATS				
Household Income	Massachusetts	New York	Oklahoma	National
Less than \$10k	1.0%	1.2%	3.7%	1.6%
\$10k to < \$15k	0.6%	1.7%	5.0%	2.1%
\$15k to < \$25k	3.8%	7.5%	10.8%	7.3%
\$25k to < \$30k	2.8%	4.4%	5.3%	4.2%
\$30k to < \$40k	7.5%	7.7%	23.7%	10.8%
\$40k to < \$50k	9.9%	12.0%	19.2%	12.9%
\$50k to < \$60k	13.6%	13.4%	12.4%	13.2%
\$60k to < \$75k	25.1%	19.1%	8.2%	18.4%
\$75k to < \$100k	16.1%	18.3%	5.4%	15.2%
\$100k +	19.6%	14.8%	6.3%	14.3%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%

**TABLE 8 ATS Household Income by Geographic Region** 

Base: ATS calculations include all ATS long-distance trips of at least 100 mi for all household members 5 years or older. All data are weighted and income was imputed for households that did not provide a response.

The NPTS respondents from Oklahoma were also more middle class than those from Massachusetts or New York. However, the NPTS data set showed more variation across income groups than did the ATS data set. As shown in Table 9, nationally, one-third of all respondents earned between \$30,000 and \$50,000, as compared to 23 percent in the ATS data set. This discrepancy may be a result of data imputation used in the ATS data set.

Almost 90 percent of all long-distance travelers reported the race of the head of the household as White, non-Hispanic. As shown in Tables 10 and 11, the second highest reported race for Massachusetts respondents were Asian, while New York and Oklahoma were more likely to have Hispanic travelers.

NPTS				
Household Income	Massachusetts	New York	Oklahoma	National
Less than \$10k	1.9%	4.5%	6.7%	4.6%
\$10k to < \$15k	2.7%	2.8%	3.1%	2.9%
\$15k to < \$25k	6.2%	12.5%	4.1%	9.0%
\$25k to < \$30k	6.1%	9.1%	4.1%	7.2%
\$30k to < \$40k	11.7%	13.4%	13.7%	13.2%
\$40k to < \$50k	13.9%	12.3%	51.2%	22.9%
\$50k to < \$60k	11.2%	9.5%	4.7%	8.6%
\$60k to < \$75k	9.4%	8.6%	3.4%	7.4%
\$75k to < \$100k	16.1%	14.2%	5.5%	12.3%
\$100k +	20.8%	13.1%	3.6%	12.1%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%

**TABLE 9 NPTS Household Income by Geographic Region** 

Base: Includes all NPTS long-distance trips of at least 100 mi, where distance traveled is calculated distance times factor of 1.22 to allow comparison to ATS data. Includes only NPTS households that reported income. All data are weighted.

ATS				
Household Race	Massachusetts	New York	Oklahoma	National
Hispanic	1.8%	4.5%	3.1%	3.6%
White, non-Hispanic	92.7%	83.5%	89.7%	86.9%
Black, non-Hispanic	2.2%	7.1%	4.2%	5.4%
Asian, non-Hispanic	2.5%	4.2%	0.7%	3.1%
Other, non-Hispanic	0.7%	0.7%	2.4%	1.0%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%

TABLE 10 ATS Household Race by Geographic Region

Base: ATS calculations include all ATS long-distance trips of at least 100 mi for all household members 5 years or older. All data are weighted.

The NPTS data set shows a different distribution of respondent race, most notably in Oklahoma. In the ATS data, Oklahoma respondents are primarily White, with 7 percent Hispanic or African American. In the NPTS data set, there is an almost equal split between White and African American respondents, with marginal reporting of Hispanic respondents.

The final demographic variable considered is worker/non-worker status. In the ATS data set, Oklahoma differs from New York or Massachusetts in that the percentage of workers making long-distance trips is below the national average while its non-worker percentage is above the national average.

This distinction is even more apparent in the NPTS data set. As shown in Tables 12 and 13, the distribution of worker/non-worker is reversed for Oklahoma as compared to the other states and the national averages.

NPTS				
Household Race	Massachusetts	New York	Oklahoma	National
Hispanic	1.4%	9.3%	0.9%	5.6%
White, non-Hispanic	90.6%	73.3%	52.5%	71.8%
Black, non-Hispanic	2.6%	11.4%	42.7%	17.2%
Asian, non-Hispanic	2.8%	2.0%	0.2%	1.7%
Other, non-Hispanic	2.6%	4.0%	3.7%	3.7%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%

TABLE 11	NPTS Household Race by Geographic Region	
INDLL II	The result of the region of the region	

ATS Worker Status	Massachusetts	New York	Oklahoma	National	
Worker	68.9%	66.3%	60.3%	65.8%	
Non-Worker	31.1%	33.7%	39.7%	34.2%	
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	

### TABLE 12 ATS Worker Status by Geographic Region

Base: ATS calculations include all ATS long-distance trips of at least 100 mi for all household members 5 years or older. All data are weighted.

NPTS				
Worker Status	Massachusetts	New York	Oklahoma	National
Worker	73.8%	68.1%	40.7%	62.6%
Non-Worker	26.2%	31.9%	59.3%	37.4%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%

**TABLE 13 NPTS Worker Status by Geographic Region** 

Base: Includes all NPTS long-distance trips of at least 100 mi, where distance traveled is calculated distance times factor of 1.22 to allow comparison to ATS data. All data are weighted.

### CONCLUSIONS

The analysis of ATS and NPTS data set in light of long-distance trips reveals that longdistance travelers from Oklahoma differ significantly from those in New York or Massachusetts. Long-distance travelers from New York and Massachusetts were very similar in terms of travel statistics and demographic composition. Some major differences exist between the data sets in terms of income distribution. In addition, household race distributions in Oklahoma were very different. Specific results include

• The NPTS and ATS data sets differ in terms of income distribution, overall, and household race specifically for families in Oklahoma. This may be attributable to the imputation techniques employed in the ATS data, as well as differences in sampling design.

• Respondents from Oklahoma clearly differ from those in New York or Massachusetts in almost all respects. Their trips are shorter, more for family/personal business, and mostly made by automobile. Oklahoma families tend to be middle-class and comprised of 4-persons.

• Respondents from New York and Massachusetts are very similar in demographic and travel factors.

• Trip purpose remained fairly consistent across the states, particularly concerning work travel. Oklahomans tend to make more trips for personal or family business, while Massachusetts respondents make more trips for vacation activities.

• The dominant travel mode was the personal automobile. However, a higher proportion of New Yorkers consider long-distance travel by bus to be a more viable option than respondents in other states.

• Most long-distance travelers had household sizes of two to four persons. Households in Oklahoma tended to be larger than those in New York or Massachusetts, particularly in the NPTS data set.

• Most long-distance travelers were White, non-Hispanic. Massachusetts had more Asian travelers, while New York had more Hispanic travelers.