



Spatial Transferability of Travel Forecasting Models: A Review and Synthesis

Sujan Sikder (University of South Florida)

Abdul Rawoof Pinjari (University of South Florida)

Siva Srinivasan (University of Florida)

Roosbeh Nowrouzian (University of Florida)



Overview

- ❖ Background & Motivation
- ❖ Review and Synthesis
- ❖ Transferability of Activity-Based Model systems
- ❖ Future Research



Background

❖ Travel Forecasting Models

- ❖ Used to forecast future travel characteristics

❖ Transferability of Travel Forecasting Models

- ❖ The ability to transfer models over time or across space

❖ Temporal Transferability

- ❖ Transferability of models developed for one point in time to another point

❖ Spatial Transferability

- ❖ Transferability of models developed in one area to another area



Why Spatial Transferability?

- ❖ Can help in significant cost and time savings
- ❖ Recent shifts to the activity-based models
- ❖ Development of activity-based models requires significant data inputs, skilled staff, and long production times
- ❖ Not discussed with special attention in the recent past



Objectives


❖ Review

- ❖ Theoretical and practical aspects of model transferability
- ❖ Transfer methods
- ❖ Assessment metrics
- ❖ Empirical evidence on model transferability

❖ Discuss Transferability of Activity-Based Model Systems



Transferability-Theoretical Aspect

- ❖ Hierarchy of transferability levels by Ben-Akiva (1981) and Hensen (1981)
 - ❖ Underlying theory of travel behavior (e.g., utility maximizing decisions)
 - ❖ Mathematical Model (e.g., logit vs. probit)
 - ❖ Empirical Model Specification (e.g., specification of explanatory variables)
 - ❖ Parameter Values (e.g., coefficients of explanatory variables)
 - ❖ Potential for transferability decreases from theoretical level to the parameter estimates
 - ❖ Failure of transferability at any level reduces the potential for transferability at the lower level
- 



Transferability-Practical Aspect

- ❖ Models are only abstractions of reality
- ❖ Unrealistic to expect models to be perfectly transferable
- ❖ More constructive to understand if models can be transferred up to *certain acceptable practical criteria*

“The **usefulness** of the transferred model, information or theory in the new context” (Koppelman and Wilmot, 1982)



Transfer Methods

- ❖ Naïve Transfer
- ❖ Updating Constants
- ❖ Transfer Scaling
- ❖ Bayesian Updating
- ❖ Combined Transfer Estimator
- ❖ Joint Context Estimation



Methods Used to Enhance Model Transferability

Transfer Methods (Contd.)

❖ Base Context

- ❖ The context from which a model is transferred

❖ Application Context

- ❖ The context to which a model is transferred

❖ Transfer Bias

- ❖ Differences in true parameters between base and application contexts

❖ Constants

$$U_{in} = \beta_0 + \beta_{in} X_{in} + \varepsilon_{in}$$

Diagram illustrating the components of the utility function U_{in} :

- The term $\beta_0 + \beta_{in} X_{in}$ is enclosed in a green box and labeled "Systematic Utility".
- The term ε_{in} is labeled "Error Term".
- The constant β_0 is highlighted with a red box and labeled "Constants".



Transfer Methods (Contd.)

Transfer Methods	Procedures	Limitations
Naïve Transfer	Parameters are transferred directly	Too general
Updating Constants	Parameters other than the <u>constants</u> are transferred directly	May not adequately represent behavior in the application context
Transfer Scaling	Parameters other than the constants are transferred up to <u>a certain scale</u>	Sampling errors are not considered
Bayesian Updating	Base context parameters are <u>combined</u> with the application context parameters	Assumes transfer bias is zero
Combined Transfer Estimator	Uses mean square error (MSE) criterion, and takes into <u>account the transfer bias</u>	Updated parameters can be equal (or inferior) to the estimates in the application context
Joint Context Estimation	Both <u>common and context-specific</u> parameters are estimated	Need data from the base context



Transfer Methods (Contd.)

Transfer Methods	Procedures	Limitations
Naïve Transfer	Parameters are transferred directly	Too general
Updating Constants	Parameters other than the <u>constants</u> are transferred directly	May not adequately represent behavior in the application context
Transfer Scaling	Parameters other than the constants are transferred up to <u>a certain scale</u>	Sampling errors are not considered
Bayesian Updating	Base context parameters are <u>combined</u> with the application context parameters	Assumes transfer bias is zero
Combined Transfer Estimator	Uses mean square error (MSE) criterion, and takes into <u>account the transfer bias</u>	Updated parameters can be equal (or inferior) to the estimates in the application context
Joint Context Estimation	Both <u>common and context-specific</u> parameters are estimated	Need data from the base context



Transferability Assessment Metrics

❖ Statistical tests:

- ❖ Model equality test statistic (METS)
- ❖ Transferability test statistic (TTS)
- ❖ t-tests of individual parameter equivalence

❖ Predictive ability measures:

- ❖ Transfer rho-square
 - ❖ Transfer index (TI)
 - ❖ Relative error measure (REM)
 - ❖ Root mean square error (RMSE)
 - ❖ Relative aggregate transfer error (RATE)
 - ❖ Aggregate prediction statistic (APS)
- Disaggregate-level
- Aggregate-level

❖ Policy sensitivity comparisons



Transferability Assessment Metrics

❖ Statistical tests:

- ❖ Model equality test statistic (METS)
- ❖ Transferability test statistic (TTS)
- ❖ t-tests of individual parameters

❖ reject model transferability

❖ Predictive ability measures:

- ❖ Transfer rho-square
- ❖ Transfer index (TI)
- ❖ Relative error measure (REM)
- ❖ Root mean square error (RMSE)
- ❖ Relative aggregate transfer error (RATE)
- ❖ Aggregate prediction statistic (APS)

❖ similarity in disaggregate level measures ~~IMPLY~~ equality of parameters

❖ similarity in aggregate predictions ~~IMPLY~~ similarity in policy sensitivities

❖ Policy sensitivity comparisons

❖ only a handful of studies used these tests



Empirical Evidence

- ❖ Updating constants helps in achieving aggregate shares but not clear whether it helps in achieving policy sensitivity
- ❖ Joint context estimation approach appears to perform better than other updating techniques
- ❖ Statistical tests are likely to reject model transferability
- ❖ Transferability results vary based on the metrics used to assess transferability



Gaps in the Literature

- ❖ Only a handful of studies on travel choices (e.g., destination choice) other than the mode-choice
- ❖ Simple model structures (e.g. multinomial logit) used
- ❖ Not clear how much of the difference between base and application context models (i.e. if a model is not transferable) is due to the
 - ❖ impreciseness of parameter estimates
 - ❖ other factors (such as differences in surveys and assessment metrics)
 - ❖ the actual differences in travel behavior between the contexts
- ❖ Neither specific guidelines for transferring models nor any framework for assessing the transferability of activity-based models



Transferability of Activity-Based Model Systems - A hierarchy

❖ Transferability of the Design Features of the Model System

- ❖ The traveler markets to be modeled
- ❖ Structure of the overall model system
- ❖ Spatial and temporal resolution

❖ Transferability of Individual Model Components

- ❖ Hierarchy of model components
 - ❖ Long-term choice components
 - ❖ Activity and travel generation
 - ❖ Tour scheduling models
 - ❖ Trip-level models
- ❖ Model specification
- ❖ Model parameter estimates
- ❖ Linkages to other model components



Transferability of Activity-Based Model Systems - A hierarchy (Contd.)

- ❖ Issues with Transferring Design Features of the Model System
 - ❖ Attention to additional traveler markets (e.g., seasonal residents) may vary across regions
 - ❖ Planning priorities and needs vary considerably across regions
 - ❖ Some regions may need sophisticated framework
 - ❖ Some regions may need simpler framework
 - ❖ Spatial and temporal resolution requirements may vary across regions

"An ABM framework may have to be tweaked to transfer to a region"



Transferability of Activity-Based Model Systems - A hierarchy (Contd.)

❖ Issues with Transferring Individual Model Components

- ❖ Transferring model components lower in the hierarchy may be difficult
- ❖ Transferring some components (e.g., activity and travel generation) may be easier compared to other components (e.g., destination choice)
- ❖ Several factors can influence parameter estimates and variable specification
 - Differences in travel behavior
 - Differences in the activity-travel environment
 - Sampling errors
 - Measurement errors
 - Differences in the survey methods



Future Research

- ❖ Relative *influences of different factors* (e.g., differences in travel behavior, sampling error) on model transferability
- ❖ Effect of *differences in surveys* on model transferability
- ❖ Assess *updating methods* using policy sensitivity measures
 - ❖ Updating constants helps in achieving aggregate shares, but does it help in achieving appropriate policy sensitivity?
- ❖ Relationship between *different assessment metrics* of transferability
- ❖ Set *acceptable error threshold* to measure the transfer effectiveness



Future Research

- ❖ Relative Transferability of different model components: tour/activity generation, time-of-day, mode choice and destination choice
- ❖ Enhance model transferability by pooling data from different areas





Thank
You