

**APPENDIX A – DRAFT PERFORMANCE-BASED MIX DESIGN
PROCEDURE FOR POROUS FRICTION COURSE**

Standard Practice for Permeable Friction Course (PFC) Mix Design

AASHTO Designation:



1. SCOPE

- 1.1 This standard covers the mix design procedure of permeable friction course (PFC) asphalt mixtures.
- 1.2 *This standard may involve hazardous materials, operations and equipment. This standard does not purport to address all of the safety problems associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.*

2. REFERENCED DOCUMENTS

- 2.1 *AASHTO Standards.*
 - M 231, Weighing Devices Used in the Testing of Materials
 - M 320, Performance-Graded Asphalt Binder
 - M323, Superpave Volumetric Mix Design
 - R 30, Mixture Conditioning of Hot Mix Asphalt (HMA)
 - T 209, Theoretical Maximum Specific Gravity (G_{mm}) and Density of Hot Mix Asphalt (HMA)
 - T 245, Resistance to Plastic Flow of Asphalt Mixtures Using Marshall Apparatus
 - T 283, Resistance of Compacted Asphalt Mixtures to Moisture-Induced Damage
 - T 305, Determination of Draindown Characteristics in Uncompacted Asphalt Mixtures
 - T 312, Preparing and Determining the Density of Asphalt Mixture Specimens by Means of the Superpave Gyratory Compactor
 - T 324, Hamburg Wheel-Track Testing of Compacted Hot Mix Asphalt
 - T 331, Bulk Specific Gravity (G_{mb}) and Density of Compacted Hot Mix Asphalt (HMA) Using Automatic Vacuum Sealing Method
 - TP 108, Determining the Abrasion Loss of Asphalt Mixtures Specimens
- 2.2 *ASTM Standards.*
 - D 3549, Standard Test Method for Thickness or Height of Compacted Bituminous Paving Mixture Specimens

3. TERMINOLOGY

- 3.1 *Definitions:*
 - 3.1.1 *asphalt binder* – an asphalt-based cement that is produced from petroleum residue either with or without the addition of modifiers.
 - 3.1.2 *abrasion loss* – the loss of mass from an asphalt mixture specimen under the effect of abrasion.
 - 3.1.3 *air voids* – the total volume of the small pockets of air between the coated aggregate particles throughout a compacted paving mixture, expressed as a percent of the total volume of the compacted specimen.
 - 3.1.4 *draindown* – separation of asphalt binder from the coarse aggregate structure, generally during storage or transportation.
 - 3.1.5 *permeable friction course (PFC)* – a special type of porous asphalt mixture with air voids of at least 18 percent used for reducing hydroplaning and potential for loss of friction resistance, where the function of the mixture is to provide a free-draining layer that permits surface water to migrate laterally through the mixture to the edge of the pavement.

- 3.1.6 *stabilizing additive* – materials used to minimize draindown of asphalt during transport and placement of PFC, which may include fibers, polymers, crumb rubber, or a combination of these additives.

4. SUMMARY OF PRACTICE

- 4.1 Aggregates, asphalt binder, and stabilizing additives are selected that meet specification values. Trial aggregate blend gradations and compacted using trial binder contents in order to evaluate the performance of the trial mixes. Once the trial mix testing is completed, the optimum asphalt binder content is selected based on meeting minimum air void requirements, maximum abrasion loss, minimum shear strength, and mixture permeability. The abrasion loss, from TP 108, is an indicator of the durability of the mixture. The designed mixture is then evaluated for resistance to moisture susceptibility, resistance to rutting, and draindown. An optional test for cracking resistance is also provided.

5. SIGNIFICANCE AND USE

- 5.1 The procedure described in this practice is used to design permeable friction course mixtures that will provide good performance in terms of permeability and durability.

6. MATERIALS SELECTION

- 6.1 Select aggregates that meet the quality requirements of Superpave mixtures for the appropriate traffic category based on M 323.
- 6.2 *Asphalt Binder* – Performance-graded asphalt binders meeting the requirements of M 320 are selected based on the project climate and traffic conditions. Because of the relatively high binder contents and open graded structure of the aggregate, a stiff asphalt binder is needed to ensure durability. Therefore, for high-volume roadways or pavements with slow to standing traffic, select the asphalt binder high-temperature grade two grades higher than the asphalt binder grade required for the climate. (See Note 1) Select the high-temperature binder grade one grade higher than the climatic grade for all other roadways.
Note 1 – Some southern states successfully use a PG 76 asphalt binder for high-volume roadways (which is only one grade higher).
- 6.3 *Stabilizing Additive* – Stabilizing additives may be needed to prevent draindown of asphalt binder from the coarse aggregate skeleton during transportation and placement. Stabilizing additives such as cellulose fiber, mineral fiber, crumb rubber, and polymers have been used to minimize draindown potential.
- 6.4 *Design Gradation* – In order to provide the high level of permeability desirable with permeable friction courses, an aggregate gradation having a very open gradation is needed. [Table 1](#) includes the specific gradation ranges.

Table 1 – PFC Gradation Specification Bands

Sieve Size	Nominal Maximum Aggregate Size - % Passing					
	9.5 mm (3/8 in.)		12.5 mm (1/2 in.)		19.0 mm (3/4 in.)	
	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max
25 mm (1 in.)					100	
19 mm (3/4 in.)			100		85	100
12.5 mm (1/2 in.)	100		80	100	55	70
9.5 mm (3/8 in.)	85	100	35	60		
4.75 mm (No. 4)	20	30	10	25	10	25
2.36 mm (No. 8)	5	15	5	10	5	10
0.075 mm (No. 200)	2	8	2	8	2	8

7. MIX DESIGN PROCEDURE

- 7.1 *Select Trial Gradation* – Select a trial gradation to fall within one of the master specification ranges shown in [Table 1](#). Select gradation depending on the primary objective for the mix. Use coarse gradations for permeability and rutting resistance, fine gradation for noise reduction and with high 0.075 mm (No. 200) percent passing for improved durability. These trial gradations are obtained by adjusting the amount of fine and coarse aggregates in each blend, or by adding mineral filler, if needed.

- 7.2 *Prepare Aggregates* – Dry the aggregates to be used in the mixture to a constant mass and separate by dry-sieving into individual size fractions. (See Note 2) The following size fractions are recommended.
- 19.0 to 12.5 mm (3/4 to 1/2 in.)
 - 12.5 to 9.5 mm (1/2 to 3/8 in.)
 - 9.5 to 4.75 mm (3/8 in to No. 4)
 - 4.75 to 2.36 mm (No. 4 to No. 8)
 - 2.36 mm (No. 8) to 0.075 mm (No. 200)
 - Passing 0.075 mm (No. 200)

Note 2 – Bulk batching of samples based on aggregate blend proportions may be permissible if the gradations used are representative of those in the stockpile.

- 7.3 *Select Trial Binder Contents* – For most aggregates with virgin and polymer modified binders, use binder contents of 5.0%, 6.0%, and 7.0% by weight of total mix. For GTR modified binder, use 6.0%, 7.0%, and 8.0% binder content by weight of total mix.
- 7.4 *Prepare Samples for Trial Blend* – Prepare a total of fourteen samples: two samples at the middle asphalt content for determining theoretical maximum specific gravity, G_{mm} , of uncompacted samples according to T 209; two samples at each asphalt content for determining the air void content of compacted specimens, permeability, and shear strength; and two samples at each asphalt content for determining abrasion loss based on TP 108.
- 7.4.1 Determine the mixing and compaction temperatures in accordance with T 245, Section 3.3.1. The mixing temperature shall be the temperature needed to produce an asphalt binder viscosity of 170 ± 20 est. The compaction temperature shall be the temperature required to provide an asphalt binder viscosity of 280 ± 30 cst. The selected temperatures may need to be changed for modified asphalt binders; in such a case, follow the binder supplier's guidelines for mixing and compaction temperatures.
- 7.4.2 For each test specimen, weigh into a pan the appropriate amount of each size fraction to produce the required sample size. Mix the aggregates in each pan and place in an oven set to a temperature no more than 28°C (50°F) above the mixing temperature determined in Section 7.4.1.
- 7.4.3 Heat the asphalt binder to the mixing temperature determined in Section 7.4.1.
- 7.4.4 When preparing PFC in the laboratory, use a mechanical mixing apparatus. Place the heated aggregate batch into the mechanical mixing container. Add the required amount of stabilizing additive, if required, into the container and thoroughly mix with the aggregate. Add the required amount of asphalt binder as described in Section 7.3 into the container and mix the aggregate, stabilizing additives and asphalt binder rapidly until thoroughly coated. Mixing times for PFC should be slightly longer than for conventional mixtures to ensure that the stabilizing additives are thoroughly dispersed within the mixture. After mixing, short-term age the PFC mixture in accordance with R 30.

Note 3 – Dry-mixing fibers, if used, into the aggregate before adding the asphalt binder has been found to help disperse the fibers uniformly throughout the mixture.

- 7.5 *Sample Compaction* – Compact individual specimens at the established compaction temperature using 50 revolutions of the Superpave gyratory compactor in accordance with T 312.
- 7.5.1 After the samples have been compacted and are stable enough to prevent damage, extrude them from the molds and allow them to cool. Determine the bulk specific gravity of each specimen using T 331 or by dimensional analysis. To use dimensional analysis, determine and record the dry mass of each specimen in grams. Determine and record the height of each specimen in centimeters in accordance with ASTM E 3549 using calibrated calipers. Determine the diameter of each specimen in centimeters as the average of four equally spaced measurements using the calibrated calipers. Calculate the area of the specimen using the average diameter, $A = \pi d^2 / 4$. Calculate the volume of the specimen by multiplying the specimen area by its average height. Calculate the bulk density of the specimen by dividing the dry mass of the specimen by the calculated volume. Convert the bulk density into the bulk specific gravity, G_{mbt} by dividing by 0.99707 g/cm^3 , the density of water at 25°C (77°F).

7.5.2 Determine the theoretical maximum specific gravity, G_{mm} , of the uncompacted samples according to T 209. Calculate the percent air voids (VTM) as shown below:

$$VTM = 100x(1 - \frac{G_{mb}}{G_{mm}})$$

7.6 *Sample Evaluation* – Compare each trial mixture to the minimum air voids, maximum abrasion loss using TP 108, and permeability requirements in [Table 2](#). If none of the trial blends satisfies the requirements, repeat the process with different trial blends or different aggregates.

Table 2 – PFC Mixture Specification Requirements

Property	Requirement
Air Voids, %	15 to 20 (CoreLok method); 17 to 22 (Dimensional)
Cantabro Abrasion loss, %	20 max
Permeability, m/day	Meet agency criteria (50 min. recommended)
Shear Strength, (Optional) psi	125
Conditioned tensile strength, psi	50 min.(May be agency specific based on binder grade)
Tensile strength ratio (TSR)	0.70 min
Draindown, %	0.30 max
Hamburg Wheel-Tracker, (Optional)	PG 64 or higher, ≥10,000 passes
cycles before reaching 12.5 mm (1/2 in.) rut depth	PG 70, ≥15,000 passes PG 76 or higher, ≥20,000 passes
Cracking, (Optional) I-FIT FI	25 min

7.6.1 *Conduct Additional Performance Tests* – Prepare additional specimens using the determined optimum asphalt content for further performance testing. Prepare and analyze the mixture samples as described in [Sections 7.3 through 7.4.4](#). Compare test results to the remaining requirements in [Table 2](#).

7.6.2 *Draindown Sensitivity* – Determine the draindown sensitivity of two uncompacted samples of the design gradation at optimum binder content in accordance with T 305, except use a 2.36 mm wire basket. Conduct the draindown testing at a temperature of 15°C (27°F) higher than the anticipated production temperature.

7.6.3 *Evaluation of Moisture Susceptibility* – Determine the moisture susceptibility of the designed mixture according to T 283 with one freeze-thaw cycle. Modify the T 283 method as follows:

- Compact PFC specimens with 50 gyrations of the Superpave Gyratory Compactor at the optimum asphalt binder content;
- Verify air void content is within the range in accordance with [Table 5](#);
- Apply a vacuum of 87.8 kPa (26 in. Hg) for 10 min to saturate the compacted specimens; however, no specific saturation level is required; and
- Keep the specimens submerged in water during the freeze-thaw cycle.

If the mixture does not meet the minimum tensile requirements in [Table 2](#), either redesign the mixture using different materials or use an antistripping agent to increase the tensile results.

7.6.4 *(Optional) Hamburg Wheel Tracker Test (HWTT)* – Conduct HWTT according to T 324.

7.6.5 *(Optional) Cracking Test* – Conduct the I-FIT Flexibility Index Test according to Illinois Test Procedure 405: Determining the Fracture Potential of Asphalt Mixtures Using the Illinois Flexibility Index Test (I-FIT).

8. REPORT

8.1 *Report the following information and test results.*

8.1.2 Aggregate source(s); asphalt source, grade type, and amount of stabilizing additive, if needed; and material quality characteristics;

8.1.3 Selected aggregate gradation and optimum asphalt binder content;

8.1.4 Volumetric properties, abrasion loss, shear strength, and draindown for each trial blend from Section 7;

8.1.5 Results of moisture susceptibility testing and antistrip agent, if needed;

8.1.6 Results of HWTT test;

8.1.7 Results of SCB I-FIT test, if needed

9. KEYWORDS

9.1 Abrasion loss; aggregates; air voids; asphalt; asphalt binder; draindown; gradations; PFC mixtures; stabilizing additive; shear stress; tensile strength; Hamburg Wheel Tracker; I-FIT.

APPENDIX B – MIX DESIGNS EVALUATED IN THIS STUDY

Date: 9-12-95

Department of Transportation - State of Georgia
Asphaltic Concrete Design Report

Mix Type: D-MOD Mix I.D. No: 102-DM1

This design is approved for use contingent upon approval by the Engineer of a Job Mix Formula.
A change in materials properties or unacceptable field performance may invalidate this design.

Materials		Size, Grade	% Used	Group	Source	Source Name And Location
Type	Code	With W.out	Lime		Number	
Aggregate	007	0	59	IIA	102C	Blue Circle Aggregates, Inc. Buford, GA
	089	35	0	IIA	102C	Blue Circle Aggregates, Inc. Buford, GA
	W10	5	0	IIA	102C	Blue Circle Aggregates, Inc. Buford, GA
		0	0			
[1] Asph. Cement	AC20	0	0			
	Hydr. Lime	1.0	0.0		0033	Plant Improvement Co. Gwinnett Co., Ga
Additive						- Approved Source

% AC		Theor. SP.Gr.	Actual SP.Gr.	% Air Voids	Mix Density	% Vma	% Aggr. Voids Filled	Stab. Lbs.	Flow (.01 In.)
0.0		0.000	0.000	0.0	50 Blows Hydrated Lime	0.0	0.0	0	0.0
0.0		0.000	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0
0.0		0.000	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0
0.0		0.000	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0
0.0		0.000	0.000	0.0	75 Blows Hydrated Lime	0.0	0.0	0	0.0
0.0		0.000	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0
0.0		0.000	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0
0.0		0.000	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0
0.0		0.000	0.000	0.0	Additive Only	0.0	0.0	0	0.0
0.0		0.000	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0
0.0		0.000	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0
0.0		0.000	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0

Aggregate Gradations		W10	W50	W200	Comb. Grad.	Diametral Tensile Splitting	
1 1/2	0	0	0	0	0	Property	Lime
1	0	0	0	0	0	Conditioned Psi	Liquid
3/4	100	0	0	0	0	Control Psi	0.0
1/2	87	0	0	0	100	Retained Stab(%)	0.0
3/8	42	100	0	0	92	Job Mix Formula Criteria	
4	2	52	100	0	66		
8	2	7	75	0	25		
16	0	4	48	0	8		
30	0	3	32	0	5	With H Lime	Optimum AC
50	0	2	22	0	4		%
100	0	2	7	0	3		Film
200	0	1	3	0	2		Thick
						Aggr. Eff. Gravity	2.630

Note [1] Asphalt Cement to be modified with a Thermoplastic Polymer to meet Section 820.02.

[2] Mineral Fiber meeting requirements of Section 819.02C shall be added at 0.4% of total mix.

Harry H. McGaughey
Harry H. McGaughey
State Bituminous Construction Engineer

2.148B

PLANT LOCATION: Augusta	MIX DESIGN LAB NO.: APAC04	JOB MIX NO.: E0171
TYPE MIX: Open Graded Friction Course	DATE APPROVED: 03/12/04	
CONTRACTOR: APAC-Southeast, Inc.	DATE OF LAST REV.: 03/12/04	NO. OF REVISIONS: 0
CONTROL METHOD: QA	DATE VOID: 03/12/06	

	Source of Aggregate	Type of Aggregate	% Agg.	Gsb
1	Martin Marietta @ Augusta	#7 Stone	91	2.66
2	Martin Marietta @ Augusta	#89 Stone	8	2.68
3	Tenn Luttrell, Luttrell, TN	Lime	1	2.68
4				
5				
6				
7				

SIEVE	GRADATION							COMB.		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	GRAD.	TARGET	LIMITS
1 1/2" / 37.5 mm										
1" / 25.0 mm										
3/4" / 19.0 mm	100	100	100					100	100	100.0
1/2" / 12.5 mm	94	100	100					95	95	89.0 - 100.0
3/8" / 9.5 mm	67	98	100					70	69	63.0 - 75.0
#4 / 4.75 mm	17	60	100					21	20	15.0 - 25.0
#8 / 2.36 mm	6	16	100					8	7	5.0 - 10.0
#30 / 0.60 mm	4.2	4	100					5		
#60 / 0.150 mm	3.8	3	100					4.7		
#200 / 0.075 mm	1.2	1.4	100					2.20	2.0	0.00 - 4.00
OPTIMUM BINDER CONTENT, %									6.0	5.64 - 6.36

JOB MIX		PERCENT BINDER			
DATE		MARSHALL STABILITY, N			
PREPARED BY <u>ACS</u> 3-12-04		FLOW IN 0.25 mm			
REVIEWED BY <u>CAS/CAH</u> 3/12/04		MAXIMUM SPECIFIC GRAVITY			
APPROVED BY <u>JME</u> 3/22/04		BULK SPECIFIC GRAVITY			
		% AIR VOIDS IN TOTAL MIX			
		% V. M. A.			
		% VOIDS FILLED			

EFFEC. SPECIFIC GRAVITY: NA	TSR(%): NA	WET TS:(kPa) NA
GRADE OF BINDER: PG 76-22		
DESIGN DUST TO ASPHALT RATIO: NA		
6.0 % Asphalt recommended with permissible variation of: 0.36	This mix is satisfactory and meets SCDOT	
specification for use in Open Graded Friction Course		
REMARKS: Verified mix	0.3% by weight used of Interfibe - Cellulose Fiber	QA Spec.s
Jer Film Thickness (Microns)= NA		

**VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
MATERIALS DIVISION**

STATEMENT OF ASPHALT CONCRETE OR CENTRAL-MIX AGGREGATE JOB-MIX FORMULA

Submit to the District Administrator, Virginia Department of Transportation. The Materials Division must be notified by the contractor before work is begun using the submitted mix design. Once approved, this job-mix design may be used for all Department projects for the type of mix shown below.

New Mix: YES ☐ NO ☒

Contractor Design Mix No. _____ Design Lab No. C-2

Date 1-18-12 Job Mix ID No. 9002-2011-84 Calendar Year: 2012 TSR Test No. _____

Type Mix / Size Aggregate Porous Friction Course 9.5 PG 82-22 RM (Quiet Pavement)

Producer Name & Plant Location Superior Paving Corp. Leesburg Phone 703-729-0633

Materials	Job Mix Phase				Kind	Source
	A	B*	C			
Aggregate	89			%	#8	Luck Stone Leesburg
Aggregate				%		
Bag House	1			%	Plant Breakdown	Superior Paving Leesburg
Mineral Filler				%		
Screening				%		
RAP	10			%	Processed	Superior Paving Leesburg
Asphalt Cement	6.40			%	PG 82-22 RM	Blackledge Greer SC
Tack					EM-50TT	Seaboard Baltimore, MD
Additives:						
Anti Strip	.3				Pavebond Lite	Rohm/Haas Cincinnati OH
Fiber	.3				Cellulose	Hi-Tech Asp. Sol. Mechanicsville

*** All Asphaltic Materials to Produce This Mix Must be Certified by VAAP***

Job-Mix Sieves	Job Mix Phase Total % Passing		Tolerance % + or -	Acceptance Range Average of 8 Test(s)		End of Year Average	Design/Spec. Range
	Lab JMF	Production JMF		A	B		
	A	B*				C	
3/4"	100		0	100			100
1/2"	100		0	100			100
3/8"	86		2.8	83.2-88.8			85-100
#4	21		2.8	18.2-23.8			20-40
#8	9		2.8	6.2-11.8			5-10
#200	2.5		.7	1.8-3.2			2-4
Asphalt (%)	6.4		.21	6.19-6.61			Min. 6.0
VTM	18.7						Min. 16
Rice (Gmm)	2.650						
Compacted Unit Wt			50 gyrations				
Lay Down Temperatures	290-340 °F			Muffle Furnace Correction Factor:		.52	
Lab Compaction Temperatures	310-320 °F			Field Correction Factor (G _m - G _{th}):			
				Pill Weight:		4450	
				SMA Mixes		*50 Gyrations*	
				VCA _{ARC} :		42.2	
				G _{CA} :		2.958	

Producer Certification Technician's Signature Daniel Poole

MATERIALS DIVISION USE ONLY - TO BE COMPLETED UPON CONTRACTOR SUBMISSION OF PART B

Remarks <u>Approved 2011 Need Test Strip</u>			
Nominal Max. Size Aggregate	<u>9.5mm</u>	Application Rates:	Min. _____ lb/yd ³ (kg/m ³) Max. _____ lb/yd ³ (kg/m ³)
Checked By: _____			
Approved tentatively subject to the production of material meeting all other applicable requirements of the specification.			
* Note: Part B 'Production JMF' and corresponding Material percentages will be filled out by the Contractor upon receipt of the additional requirements of the Contractor within the first lot.			
Copies: State Materials Engineer	Approved By	✓ Part A: <u>Break For D. Shields</u>	Date: <u>4-10-12</u>
District Materials Engineer	Approved By	Part B:	Date:
Project Inspector	Approved By	Part C:	Date:
Sub-Contractor and/or Producer			

CORRECTED COPY

CORRECTED COPY

STATE OF FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
STATEMENT OF SOURCE OF MATERIALS AND JOB MIX FORMULA FOR BITUMINOUS CONCRETE

SUBMIT TO THE STATE MATERIALS ENGINEER, CENTRAL BITUMINOUS LABORATORY, 5007 NORTHEAST 39TH AVENUE, GAINESVILLE, FLA. 32609

Contractor Community Asphalt Corp. Address 14005 N.W. 186th Street, Hialeah, FL 33018
 Phone No. (561) 790-6467 Fax No. (561) 790-1093 E-mail trueblood@ctlabs.net
 Submitted By Todd B. Trueblood Type Mix FC-5 Intended Use of Mix Friction Course

TYPE MATERIAL	F.D.O.T. CODE	PRODUCER	PIT NO.	DATE SAMPLED
1. G-1-A Stone	41	White Rock Quarries	87-339	08 / 25 / 1997
2. G-1-B Stone	51	White Rock Quarries	87-339	08 / 25 / 1997
3. Asphalt Screenings	22	White Rock Quarries	87-339	08 / 25 / 1997
4. ARB-12	336-AR			
5.				
6.				

PERCENTAGE BY WEIGHT TOTAL AGGREGATE PASSING SIEVES

Blend	50%	45%	5%				JOB MIX FORMULA	CONTROL POINTS	RESTRICTED ZONE
Number	1	2	3	4	5	6			
3/4" 19.0mm	100	100	100				100	100	
1/2" 12.5mm	80	100	100				90	85 - 100	
3/8" 9.5mm	38	94	100				66	55 - 75	
No. 4 4.75mm	6	35	100				24	15 - 25	
No. 8 2.36mm	3	10	82				10	5 - 10	
No. 16 1.18mm	3	4	57				8		
No. 30 600µm	2	3	36				7		
No. 60 300µm	2	2	20				6		
No. 100 150µm	1	2	9				5		
No. 200 75µm	1.0	1.0	2.0				3.5	2 - 4	
Q _{max}	2.407	2.412	2.527				2.415		

The mix properties of the Job Mix Formula have been conditionally verified, pending successful final verification during production at the assigned plant, the mix design is approved subject to F.D.O.T. specifications.

JMF reflects aggregate changes expected during production.

GP 05-3979A (FC-5)

Transferred from GP 03-2470A (FC-5)

CORRECTED COPY due to incorrect binder shown.

Director, State Materials Office

Effective Date

Expiration Date



Original document retained at the State Materials Office

02 / 23 / 2005

02 / 23 / 2008

STATE OF FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
STATEMENT OF SOURCE OF MATERIALS AND JOB MIX FORMULA FOR BITUMINOUS CONCRETE
 SUBMIT TO THE STATE MATERIALS ENGINEER, CENTRAL BITUMINOUS LABORATORY, 5007 NORTHEAST 39TH AVENUE, GAINESVILLE, FLA. 32609

Contractor APAC-Southeast, Inc., Southern Florida Division Address P.O. Box 2579, Sarasota, FL 34230
 Phone No. (941) 483-3329 Fax No. (941) 486-0170 E-mail disheppard@ashland.com
 Submitted By Linda Sheppard Type Mix FC-5 Intended Use of Mix Friction Course

TYPE MATERIAL	F.D.O.T. CODE	PRODUCER	PIT NO.	DATE SAMPLED
1. G-1-A Stone	41	White Rock Quarries	87-339	02 / 07 / 2003
2. G-1-B Stone	53	White Rock Quarries	87-339	02 / 07 / 2003
3. PG 76-22	916-PG			
4.				
5.				
6.				
7.				

PERCENTAGE BY WEIGHT TOTAL AGGREGATE PASSING SIEVES

Blend	50%	50%					JOB MIX FORMULA	CONTROL POINTS	
Number	1	2	3	4	5	6			
3/4" 19.0mm	100	100					100	100	
1/2" 12.5mm	85	100					93	85 - 100	
3/8" 9.5mm	46	92					69	55 - 75	
No. 4 4.75mm	10	35					23	15 - 25	
No. 8 2.36mm	7	10					9	5 - 10	
No. 16 1.18mm	5	5					5		
No. 30 600µm	4	4					4		
No. 50 300µm	3	3					3		
No. 100 150µm	3	3					3		
No. 200 75µm	1.0	1.0					3.0	2 - 4	
G _{max}	2.407	2.416					2.411		

The mix properties of the Job Mix Formula have been conditionally verified, pending successful final verification during production at the assigned plant, the mix design is approved subject to F.D.O.T. specifications.

JMF reflects aggregate changes expected during production


SPM 05-3987B (FC-5)

SPM 05-3987A revised to reflect change binder content.

Director, Office of Materials

Effective Date

Expiration Date


 Original document retained at the State Materials Office
 08 / 31 / 2006
 02 / 03 / 2008



New Jersey Department of Transportation

12/21/2015

HMA Mix Design

Region: Central

Mix ID# C02D00737VIR
 Mix Type HMA, OPEN GR FRICTION CRS, ASPHALT RUBBER
 Producer TRAP ROCK INDUSTRIES - KINGSTON, NJ (HMA PLANT)
 Mix Temp. (F) 320
 Compaction Temp. (F) 310

Effective Date 3/4/2014
 Expiration Date 12/31/2049
 Verification Type Lab Verification
 Designer Michael Jopko

SIEVE SIZE Inch	mm	Job Mix Formula	Broadband min.	max.	Production Tolerances min.	max.	Tests Performed	Test Results	Test Criteria min.	max.
2	50	100.0	100	100			%Air Voids (Va)	19.64		
1 1/2	37.5	100.0	100	100			%VMA			
1	25	100.0	100	100			%VFA			
3/4	19	100.0	100	100			Quat/Asphalt Ratio			
1/2	12.5	100.0	85	100			Drain Down			
3/8	9.5	92.2	35	60	86.7	87.7	VCA - Mix			<VCA dry
No.4	4.75	33.9	10	25	28.4	39.4	VCA - dry			
No.8	2.36	12.9	5	10	8.4	17.4	Max. Sp.Grav. (Gmm)	2.688		
No.16	1.18	8.4	0	100			Bulk Sp.Grav. (Gmb)	2.160		
No.30	0.6	8.4	0	100			% Gmm @ N Max			
No.50	0.3	5.2	0	100			Sp. Grav. of Binder (GB)			
No.100	0.15	3.7	0	100			Sp. Grav. of Agg. Blend (Gsb)	2.937		
No.200	0.075	3.0	2	5	1	5	Moist Sensitivity TSR	94		
Virgin Binder Content		6.0					lbs./Square Yard/inch	101.13		
							% Gmm @ N Design	75.8		
							Ignition Oven Agg. Correction Factor CFI		0.15 @ 538 Degrees C	
							% Absorbed AC	0.10		

COMPONENT MATERIALS LOCATION	TOTAL MIX %	COMPONENTS - PRODUCER &
AGGREGATES, STONE SAND, UNWASHED	12.0	TRAP ROCK INDUSTRIES - KINGSTON, NJ (AGGREGATES)
AGGREGATES, COARSE, #8, BROKEN STONE	88.0	TRAP ROCK INDUSTRIES - KINGSTON, NJ (AGGREGATES)
ASPHALT, BINDER, GRADE 76-22	6.0	AXEON SPECIALTY PRODUCTS, LLC - PAULSBORO, NJ

Remarks: