

NATIONAL COOPERATIVE **HIGHWAY RESEARCH PROGRAM**

Strategies for Managing Increasing Truck Traffic

A Synthesis of Highway Practice

TRANSPORTATION RESEARCH BOARD

OF THE NATIONAL ACADEMIES

TRANSPORTATION RESEARCH BOARD EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE 2003 (Membership as of March 2003)

Officers

Chair: GENEVIEVE GIULIANO, Director and Professor, School of Policy, Planning, and Development, University of Southern California, Los Angeles

Vice Chairman: MICHAEL S. TOWNES, Executive Director, Transportation District Commission of Hampton Roads, Hampton, VA

Executive Director: ROBERT E. SKINNER, JR., Transportation Research Board

Members

MICHAEL W. BEHRENS, Executive Director, Texas Department of Transportation

JOSEPH H. BOARDMAN. Commissioner. New York State DOT

SARAH C. CAMPBELL, President, TransManagement, Inc., Washington, D.C.

E. DEAN CARLSON, Secretary of Transportation, Kansas DOT

JOANNE F. CASEY, President, Intermodal Association of North America, Greenbelt, MD

JAMES C. CODELL III, Secretary, Kentucky Transportation Cabinet

JOHN L. CRAIG, Director, Nebraska Department of Roads

BERNARD S. GROSECLOSE, JR., President and CEO, South Carolina State Ports Authority

SUSAN HANSON, Landry University Professor of Geography, Clark University

LESTER A. HOEL, L.A. Lacy Distinguished Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, University of Virginia

HENRY L. HUNGERBEELER, Director, Missouri DOT

ADIB K. KANAFANI, Cahill Professor and Chairman, Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of California at Berkeley

RONALD F. KIRBY, Director-Transportation Planning, Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments

HERBERT S. LEVINSON, Principal, Herbert S. Levinson Transportation Consultant, New Haven, CT

MICHAEL D. MEYER, Professor, School of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology

JEFF P. MORALES, Director of Transportation, California DOT

KAM MOVASSAGHI, Secretary of Transportation, Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development

CAROL A. MURRAY, Commissioner, New Hampshire DOT

DAVID PLAVIN, President, Airports Council International, Washington, D.C.

JOHN REBENSDORF, Vice President, Network and Service Planning, Union Pacific Railroad Company

CATHERINE L. ROSS, Executive Director, Georgia Regional Transportation Agency

JOHN M. SAMUELS, Senior Vice President, Operations, Planning, & Support, Norfolk Southern Corporation

PAUL P. SKOUTELAS, CEO, Port Authority of Allegheny County, Pittsburgh, PA

MARTIN WACHS, Director, Institute of Transportation Studies, University of California at Berkeley

MICHAEL W. WICKHAM, Chairman and CEO, Roadway Express, Inc., Akron, OH

MIKE ACOTT, President, National Asphalt Pavement Association (ex officio)

MARION C. BLAKEY, Federal Aviation Administration, U.S. DOT (ex officio)

REBECCA M. BREWSTER, President and CEO, American Transportation Research Institute (ex officio)

THOMAS H. COLLINS, (Adm., U.S. Coast Guard) Commandant, U.S. Coast Guard (ex officio)

JENNIFER L. DORN, Federal Transit Administrator, U.S. DOT (ex officio)

ELLEN G. ENGLEMAN, Research and Special Programs Administrator, U.S. DOT (ex officio)

ROBERT B. FLOWERS (Lt. Gen., U.S. Army), Chief of Engineers and Commander, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (ex officio)

HAROLD K. FORSEN, Foreign Secretary, National Academy of Engineering (ex officio)

EDWARD R. HAMBERGER, President and CEO, Association of American Railroads (ex officio)

JOHN C. HORSLEY, Executive Director, American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (ex officio)

MICHAEL P. JACKSON, Deputy Secretary of Transportation, U.S. DOT (ex officio)

ROGER L. KING, Chief Applications Technologist, National Aeronautics and Space Administration (ex officio)

ROBERT S. KIRK, Director, Office of Advanced Automotive Technologies, U.S. Department of Energy (ex officio)

RICK KOWALEWSKI, Acting Director, Bureau of Transportation Statistics, U.S. DOT (ex officio)

WILLIAM W. MILLAR, President, American Public Transit Association (ex officio)

MARY E. PETERS, Federal Highway Administrator, U.S. DOT (ex officio)

SUZANNE RUDZINSKI, Director, Office of Transportation and Air Quality, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (ex officio)

JEFFREY W. RUNGE, National Highway Traffic Safety Administrator, U.S. DOT (ex officio) ALLAN RUTTER, Federal Railroad Administrator, U.S. DOT (ex officio)

ANNETTE M. SANDBERG, Deputy Administrator, Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration, U.S. DOT (ex officio)

WILLIAM G. SCHUBERT (Captain), Administrator, Maritime Administration, U.S. DOT (ex officio)

NATIONAL COOPERATIVE HIGHWAY RESEARCH PROGRAM

Transportation Research Board Executive Committee Subcommittee for NCHRP

GENEVIEVE GIULIANO, University of Southern California, Los Angeles (Chair)

E. DEAN CARLSON, Kansas DOT

LESTER A. HOEL, University of Virginia

JOHN C. HORSLEY, American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials

Field of Special Projects

Project Committee SP 20-5

SUSAN BINDER, Federal Highway Administration

THOMAS R. BOHUSLAV, Texas DOT

DWIGHT HORNE, Federal Highway Administration

YSELA LLORT, Florida DOT

WESLEY S.C. LUM, California DOT

GARY D. TAYLOR, Michigan DOT

J. RICHARD YOUNG, JR., Post Buckley Schuh & Jernigan, Inc.

MARK R. NORMAN, Transportation Research Board (Liaison)

WILLIAM ZACCAGNINO, Federal Highway Administration (Liaison)

TRB Staff for NCHRP Project 20-5

DAVID B. BEAL, Senior Program Officer HARVEY BERLIN, Senior Program Officer B. RAY DERR, Senior Program Officer AMIR N. HANNA, Senior Program Officer EDWARD T. HARRIGAN, Senior Program Officer CHRISTOPHER HEDGES, Senior Program Officer TIMOTHY G. HESS, Senior Program Officer RONALD D. McCREADY, Senior Program Officer

CRAWFORD F. JENCKS, Manager, NCHRP

MARY E. PETERS, Federal Highway Administration

ROBERT E. SKINNER, JR., Transportation Research Board

ROBERT J. REILLY, Director, Cooperative Research Programs

MICHAEL S. TOWNES, Transportation District Commission of Hampton

CHARLES W. NIESSNER, Senior Program Officer

EILEEN P. DELANEY, Managing Editor HILARY FREER, Associate Editor

Roads

Program Staff

NCHRP SYNTHESIS 314

Strategies for Managing Increasing Truck Traffic

A Synthesis of Highway Practice

CONSULTANT

JAMES G. DOUGLAS Parsons Brinckerhoff Orange, California

TOPIC PANEL

MARK BERNDT, Wilbur Smith Associates
RICHARD A. CUNARD, Transportation Research Board
ROBERT FERLIS, Federal Highway Administration
JACK FOSTER, Texas Department of Transportation
KATHLEEN HANCOCK, University of Massachusetts—Amherst
JAMES W. MARCH, Federal Highway Administration
RAJA MITWASI, California Department of Transportation
F. GERALD RAWLING, Chicago Area Transportation Study
STEPHEN REICH, University of South Florida
JAMES SNYDER, New Jersey Department of Transportation

SUBJECT AREAS

Highway Operations, Capacity, and Traffic Control, and Safety and Human Performance

Research Sponsored by the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials in Cooperation with the Federal Highway Administration

TRANSPORTATION RESEARCH BOARD

WASHINGTON, D.C. 2003 www.TRB.org Systematic, well-designed research provides the most effective approach to the solution of many problems facing highway administrators and engineers. Often, highway problems are of local interest and can best be studied by highway departments individually or in cooperation with their state universities and others. However, the accelerating growth of highway transportation develops increasingly complex problems of wide interest to highway authorities. These problems are best studied through a coordinated program of cooperative research.

In recognition of these needs, the highway administrators of the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials initiated in 1962 an objective national highway research program employing modern scientific techniques. This program is supported on a continuing basis by funds from participating member states of the Association and it receives the full cooperation and support of the Federal Highway Administration, United States Department of Transportation.

The Transportation Research Board of the National Research Council was requested by the Association to administer the research program because of the Board's recognized objectivity and understanding of modern research practices. The Board is uniquely suited for this purpose as it maintains an extensive committee structure from which authorities on any highway transportation subject may be drawn; it possesses avenues of communication and cooperation with federal, state, and local governmental agencies, universities, and industry; its relationship to the National Research Council is an insurance of objectivity; it maintains a full-time research correlation staff of specialists in highway transportation matters to bring the findings of research directly to those who are in a position to use them.

The program is developed on the basis of research needs identified by chief administrators of the highway and transportation departments and by committees of AASHTO. Each year, specific areas of research needs to be included in the program are proposed to the National Research Council and the Board by the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials. Research projects to fulfill these needs are defined by the Board, and qualified research agencies are selected from those that have submitted proposals. Administration and surveillance of research contracts are the responsibilities of the National Research Council and the Transportation Research Board.

The needs for highway research are many, and the National Cooperative Highway Research Program can make significant contributions to the solution of highway transportation problems of mutual concern to many responsible groups. The program, however, is intended to complement rather than to substitute for or duplicate other highway research programs.

NOTE: The Transportation Research Board of the National Academies, the National Research Council, the Federal Highway Administration, the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, and the individual states participating in the National Cooperative Highway Research Program do not endorse products or manufacturers. Trade or manufacturers' names appear herein solely because they are considered essential to the object of this report.

NCHRP SYNTHESIS 314

Project 20-5 FY 2000 (Topic 32-02) ISSN 0547-5570 ISBN 0-309-06958-0 Library of Congress Control No. 2003105235

© 2003 Transportation Research Board

Price \$16.00

NOTICE

The project that is the subject of this report was a part of the National Cooperative Highway Research Program conducted by the Transportation Research Board with the approval of the Governing Board of the National Research Council. Such approval reflects the Governing Board's judgment that the program concerned is of national importance and appropriate with respect to both the purposes and resources of the National Research Council.

The members of the technical committee selected to monitor this project and to review this report were chosen for recognized scholarly competence and with due consideration for the balance of disciplines appropriate to the project. The opinions and conclusions expressed or implied are those of the research agency that performed the research, and, while they have been accepted as appropriate by the technical committee, they are not necessarily those of the Transportation Research Board, the National Research Council, the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, or the Federal Highway Administration of the U.S. Department of Transportation.

Each report is reviewed and accepted for publication by the technical committee according to procedures established and monitored by the Transportation Research Board Executive Committee and the Governing Board of the National Research Council.

Published reports of the

NATIONAL COOPERATIVE HIGHWAY RESEARCH PROGRAM

are available from:

Transportation Research Board Business Office 500 Fifth Street Washington, D.C. 20001

and can be ordered through the Internet at:

http://www.national-academies.org/trb/bookstore

Printed in the United States of America

THE NATIONAL ACADEMIES

Advisers to the Nation on Science, Engineering, and Medicine

The **National Academy of Sciences** is a private, nonprofit, self-perpetuating society of distinguished scholars engaged in scientific and engineering research, dedicated to the furtherance of science and technology and to their use for the general welfare. On the authority of the charter granted to it by the Congress in 1863, the Academy has a mandate that requires it to advise the federal government on scientific and technical matters. Dr. Bruce M. Alberts is president of the National Academy of Sciences.

The **National Academy of Engineering** was established in 1964, under the charter of the National Academy of Sciences, as a parallel organization of outstanding engineers. It is autonomous in its administration and in the selection of its members, sharing with the National Academy of Sciences the responsibility for advising the federal government. The National Academy of Engineering also sponsors engineering programs aimed at meeting national needs, encourages education and research, and recognizes the superior achievements of engineers. Dr. William A. Wulf is president of the National Academy of Engineering.

The **Institute of Medicine** was established in 1970 by the National Academy of Sciences to secure the services of eminent members of appropriate professions in the examination of policy matters pertaining to the health of the public. The Institute acts under the responsibility given to the National Academy of Sciences by its congressional charter to be an adviser to the federal government and, on its own initiative, to identify issues of medical care, research, and education. Dr. Harvey V. Fineberg is president of the Institute of Medicine.

The National Research Council was organized by the National Academy of Sciences in 1916 to associate the broad community of science and technology with the Academy's purposes of furthering knowledge and advising the federal government. Functioning in accordance with general policies determined by the Academy, the Council has become the principal operating agency of both the National Academy of Sciences and the National Academy of Engineering in providing services to the government, the public, and the scientific and engineering communities. The Council is administered jointly by both Academies and the Institute of Medicine. Dr. Bruce M. Alberts and Dr. William A. Wulf are chair and vice chair, respectively, of the National Research Council.

The **Transportation Research Board** is a division of the National Research Council, which serves the National Academy of Sciences and the National Academy of Engineering. The Board's mission is to promote innovation and progress in transportation by stimulating and conducting research, facilitating the dissemination of information, and encouraging the implementation of research results. The Board's varied activities annually engage more than 4,000 engineers, scientists, and other transportation researchers and practitioners from the public and private sectors and academia, all of whom contribute their expertise in the public interest. The program is supported by state transportation departments, federal agencies including the component administrations of the U.S. Department of Transportation, and other organizations and individuals interested in the development of transportation. **www.TRB.org**

www.national-academies.org

FOREWORD

By Staff Transportation Research Board Highway administrators, engineers, and researchers often face problems for which information already exists, either in documented form or as undocumented experience and practice. This information may be fragmented, scattered, and unevaluated. As a consequence, full knowledge of what has been learned about a problem may not be brought to bear on its solution. Costly research findings may go unused, valuable experience may be overlooked, and due consideration may not be given to recommended practices for solving or alleviating the problem.

There is information on nearly every subject of concern to highway administrators and engineers. Much of it derives from research or from the work of practitioners faced with problems in their day-to-day work. To provide a systematic means for assembling and evaluating such useful information and to make it available to the entire highway community, the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials—through the mechanism of the National Cooperative Highway Research Program—authorized the Transportation Research Board to undertake a continuing study. This study, NCHRP Project 20-5, "Synthesis of Information Related to Highway Problems," searches out and synthesizes useful knowledge from all available sources and prepares concise, documented reports on specific topics. Reports from this endeavor constitute an NCHRP report series, *Synthesis of Highway Practice*.

The synthesis series reports on current knowledge and practice, in a compact format, without the detailed directions usually found in handbooks or design manuals. Each report in the series provides a compendium of the best knowledge available on those measures found to be the most successful in resolving specific problems.

PREFACE

This report of the Transportation Research Board will be of interest to local, regional, state, and federal officials, as well as to other transportation professionals and the public that work with them, in dealing with the challenges of increasing truck traffic. The report documents recent efforts by transportation organizations that construct, operate, and manage the transportation system. In many cases, plans and strategies have been developed without precedent to provide guidance in determining effective strategies. This synthesis identifies truck-related challenges, planning activities for goods movement being undertaken, truck management strategies being considered, factors that have influenced the selection of particular strategies, and benefits expected from selected strategies. The types of projects being implemented most frequently include pavement improvement or rehabilitation, climbing lanes, lane restrictions, and weigh-in-motion. Primary factors driving the selection of these projects include potential benefits and public acceptance.

Information was derived from a survey of state departments of transportation (28 responses) and metropolitan planning organizations (8 responses), supplemented by a review of available literature.

A panel of experts in the subject area guided the work of organizing and evaluating the collected data and reviewed the final synthesis report. A consultant was engaged to collect and synthesize the information and to write this report. Both the consultant and the members of the oversight panel are acknowledged on the title page. This synthesis is an immediately useful document that records the practices that were acceptable within the limitations of the knowledge available at the time of its preparation. As progress in research and practice continues, new knowledge will be added to that now at hand.

CONTENTS

1	SUMMARY	
3	CHAPTER ONE Background, 3 Synthesis Obj Synthesis Org	ective, 4
5	CHAPTER TWO Types of Chal Current Challe Summary of C	=
11	CHAPTER THREE PLANNING FOR INCREASING TRUCK TRAFFIC Planning Activities, 11 State of the Practice, 12	
18	CHAPTER FOUR POTENTIAL MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES Potential Strategies, 18 Application of Strategies to Challenges, 22	
25	CHAPTER FIVE STRATEGIES SELECTED FOR APPLICATION Strategies Selected for Implementation, 23 Expected Benefits and Factors Influencing Implementation, 28 Strategies Studied but Eliminated in Some States, 28	
30	CHAPTER SIX	CONCLUSIONS
32	REFERENCES	
33	BIBLIOGRAPHY	
35	APPENDIX A	SURVEY
41	APPENDIX B	SURVEY RESPONDENTS
42	APPENDIX C	SUMMARY OF SURVEY RESPONSES

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

James G. Douglas, Parsons Brinckerhoff, Orange, California, was responsible for collection of the data and preparation of the report.

Valuable assistance in the preparation of this synthesis was provided by the Topic Panel, consisting of Mark Berndt, Senior Freight Systems Planner, Wilbur Smith Associates; Richard A. Cunard, Senior Program Officer, Transportation Research Board; Robert Ferlis, Team Leader, Office of Operations R & D, Federal Highway Administration; Jack Foster, Director, Transportation Systems Planning, Texas Planning and Programming Division, Texas Department of Transportation; Kathleen Hancock, Associate Professor, University of Massachusetts—Amherst; James W. March, Team Leader, Systems Analysis, Federal Highway Administration; Raja Mitwasi, Division Chief, Project and Program Manager, California Department of Transportation; F. Gerald Rawling, Director of Operations Analysis, Chicago Area Transportation Study; Stephen Reich, Program Director, Finance, Administration, and Management, Center for Urban

Transportation Research, College of Engineering, University of South Florida; and James Snyder, Director, International/Intermodal Corridor, New Jersey Department of Transportation.

This study was managed by Donna Vlasak, Senior Program Officer, who worked with the consultant, the Topic Panel, and the Project 20-5 Committee in the development and review of the report. Assistance in project scope development was provided by Stephen Maher and Jon Williams, Managers, Synthesis Studies. Don Tippman was responsible for editing and production. Cheryl Keith assisted in meeting logistics and distribution of the questionnaire and draft reports.

Crawford F. Jencks, Manager, National Cooperative Highway Research Program, assisted the NCHRP 20-5 Committee and the Synthesis staff.

Information on current practice was provided by many highway and transportation agencies. Their cooperation and assistance are appreciated.

Abbreviations used without definition in TRB Publications:

AASHO American Association of State Highway Officials

AASHTO American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials

ASCE American Society of Civil Engineers

ASME American Society of Mechanical Engineers
ASTM American Society for Testing and Materials

FAA Federal Aviation Administration FHWA Federal Highway Administration FRA Federal Railroad Administration FTA Federal Transit Administration

IEE Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers

ITE Institute of Transportation Engineers

NCHRP National Cooperative Highway Research Program

NCTRP National Cooperative Transit Research and Development Program

NHTSA National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

SAE Society of Automotive Engineers TCRP Transit Cooperative Research Program

TRB Transportation Research Board

U.S.DOT United States Department of Transportation

STRATEGIES FOR MANAGING INCREASING TRUCK TRAFFIC

SUMMARY

Increasing truck traffic poses many challenges for the transportation organizations that construct, operate, and maintain the transportation system. As such challenges have increased in importance, public agencies have begun to develop plans and implement strategies to address them. In most cases, these plans and strategies have been developed without the precedents that provide guidance in determining effective strategies.

The objective of this synthesis is to document the current state of the practice of these agencies contending with the challenges of increasing truck traffic. To do so, the synthesis used a survey of state departments of transportation (DOTs) and metropolitan planning organizations (MPOs) to identify the specific challenges being addressed, planning activities being undertaken, management strategies being considered, factors influencing the selection of particular strategies, and benefits and costs of selected strategies. Responses were received from 28 states and 8 MPOs.

State DOTs and MPOs are facing a broad array of challenges attributable to increasing truck traffic. These include traffic congestion, transportation system deficiencies, safety, infrastructure deterioration, intermodal connections, environmental impacts, quality of life, economic development, and losses in productivity. The challenges that are most prevalent for state DOTs include congested urban highways, insufficient truck parking, and pavement deterioration. The challenges that are most prevalent for MPOs include congestion, environmental issues (air quality and noise), and economic issues (transport costs and productivity).

DOTs and MPOs are undertaking a wide range of planning activities for dealing with truck traffic, including large-area freight planning (state, region, or corridor), local-area freight planning (intermodal facilities or truck-related land use), and goods movement forecasting. Relatively few of the planning efforts have been completed, and those that have are largely in response to federal mandates, indicating that planning for goods movement is still in its early stages of evolution.

Similarly, DOTs and MPOs have considered a wide range of potential strategies for managing increasing truck traffic, including improved highway design, special roadway facilities for trucks, operational improvements, intelligent transportation systems, improved signing, regulatory changes in allowed vehicle size or configuration, enhanced enforcement and compliance, and investments in alternative infrastructure.

All survey respondents are studying and implementing some types of management strategies for dealing with truck traffic. The types of projects being implemented most frequently include pavement improvement or rehabilitation, climbing lanes, lane restrictions, and weigh-in-motion. Expected benefits of these projects primarily include improvements in

safety, reductions in congestion, and increases in productivity. Potential benefits and public acceptance are among the primary factors considered in selecting such projects.

The more controversial strategies, which have been considered but rejected in some states, include changes in vehicle size or configuration limits, special roadway facilities for trucks, restrictions on lane usage or time of day, enhanced enforcement, and improvements in alternative infrastructure. Not all strategies are appropriate in all situations, and consideration must be given to public opinion, project cost, likely benefits, and ease of implementation.