### **APPENDIX A**

### **Survey Questionnaire**

#### NATIONAL COOPERATIVE HIGHWAY RESEARCH PROGRAM

#### **NCHRP PROJECT 20-5**

#### **SYNTHESIS OF HIGHWAY PRACTICE 32-07**

## PERFORMANCE MEASURES OF OPERATIONAL EFFECTIVENESS FOR HIGHWAY SEGMENTS AND SYSTEMS

Twentieth-century surface transportation programs were substantially focused on the development of basic infrastructure networks. The challenge for transportation in the 21st century is managing and operating these transportation resources to deliver needed services to customers under varying conditions in the face of growing travel demand and capacity limitations. Performance measurement is emerging as a critical tool to help meet this challenge. It is being used at several levels, ranging from day-to-day operations to long-term capital planning that enhances system operations. It can also be used at the project level to identify design features that improve operations and at the policy level to allow stakeholders to evaluate the benefits of highway improvements. However, evaluating and improving system operations through performance measures can be challenging. Data collection and analysis demands can be overwhelming. Different measures are appropriate for different audiences, e.g., the public, elected officials, system planners, and operations managers. Engineering measures may be useful in improving operations, but may not be effective in communicating meaningful information to the public.

This synthesis will summarize the practices concerning highway operational performance measures and associated data collection (e.g., link travel times, duration of congestion, reliability, LOS, seasonal road closures, recurring and non-recurring delays). How they are collected and put to use, their relative strengths, weaknesses, and the usefulness of these measures for various audiences and purposes will be documented. Successful practices will also be highlighted using agency profiles. The following survey is designed to support this analysis.

This survey should be completed by those in your agency who are familiar with your agency's activities related to operations and performance measures. Your responses are important regardless of the current use of performance measure in your agency.

Please respond to the survey by September 1, 2001 or provide any comments or questions to:

Terrel Shaw, PE
Principal Investigator
PBS&J
1901 Commonwealth Lane
Tallahassee, Florida 32303
(850) 576-2788
(850) 575-1513 fax
terryshaw@pbsj.com

# Survey on the Use of Performance Measures for the Operational Effectiveness of Highway Systems and Segments

### **Background Information** 1. Name: 2. Title: 3. Agency:\_\_\_\_ 4. Address: 5. City/State/Zip:\_\_\_\_ 6. Phone: 7. Fax: Yes 🗖 No 🗖 10. May we contact you for additional information? 11. Would you like your responses to be confidential? Yes □ No □ 12. What part of the agency do you work in? Planning □ Design □ Other □ \_\_\_\_\_ **Agency Profile Information** 13. Is your agency a: State Department of Transportation ☐ Headquarters ☐ or Region ☐ Metropolitan Planning Organization City or County Other type of agency 14. What is the population of the area for which your agency has jurisdiction? 5 million or more 1 million to 4,999,999 500,000 to 999,999 Less than 500,000 15. How many centerline-miles of roadways are present in your agency's jurisdiction? 16. Is your agency responsible for the operation of streets, highways, or toll roads? Yes □ No □ 17. Is your agency responsible for traffic data collection systems? Yes No O 18. Is your agency responsible for the operation of intelligent transportation systems (ITS)? Yes No 19. Is your agency involved in project planning and decision making? Yes □ No □ 20. Does your agency currently use performance measures in the operational analysis of highways?

No □ Yes □

21. If yes, what performance measures are used?	
☐ Traffic volume ☐ Vehicle-miles traveled ☐ Travel time ☐ Speed ☐ Density (passenger cars per hour per lane) ☐ Recurring delay ☐ Level of service/Highway Capacity Manual ☐ Duration of congestion ☐ Travel time reliability ☐ Percent of travel congested ☐ Percent of system congested ☐ Travel costs ☐ Vehicle occupancy	<ul> <li>□ Number of incidents</li> <li>□ Weather-related traffic incidents</li> <li>□ Rail grade crossing incidents</li> <li>□ Duration of delay caused by incidents</li> <li>□ Response times to incidents</li> <li>□ Commercial vehicle safety violations</li> <li>□ Security for highway and transit</li> <li>□ Weather-related road closures</li> <li>□ Response time to weather-related closures</li> <li>□ Evacuation times</li> <li>□ Toll revenue</li> <li>□ Delay from toll collection</li> <li>□ Delay from incidents</li> </ul>
22. Any others? Please specify.	
23. What measures are most important and why?	
24. Why do you consider performance measures (consider performance measures) (consider perform	check all that apply)?
25. How long have you used performance measure	es? years
26. Who is your audience for performance measure	es (elected officials, agency management, public, etc.)?
27. If a summary of the performance measures employees website with your response to the survey.  Website location:	ployed by your agency is available, please provide a copy or a link to a
28. What was the process used to define which me	asures will be used?

How are the measures reported?	
Who is the audience for the performance measures reporting?	
What media are used in reporting the measures?	
What format is used in reporting the measures?	
□ Tables □ Graphs/Charts □ Maps □ Text □ Other	
How are the measures used in operations?	
Are there any other uses than operations of performance measures by your agency?	
What is your agency's role in defining and collecting data to support these measures?	
What data are collected to support the performance measures?	

37.	What technologies are used to collect	the data?		
Tec	<u>hnology</u>	Which measures?		
Ind	uctive loops?			
Vid	eo detection?			
Pro	Probe vehicles or travel time studies?			
Roa	ad tubes?			
Rac	lar/acoustic?			
	Customer survivar?			
	Modeling/estimation?			
38.	What technologies are used to collect	et the data (inductive loops, ViDS, RTMS, etc.)		
		• / / /		
39.	What percentage of the system is co	vered?%		
40	How was this coverage selected?			
40.	now was this coverage selected?			
41.	41. How frequently are the data collected?			
42.		ne data collection (if costs are not available, how many people in full-time		
	equivalents are involved)?			
12	Is some of room data massided by an	other comments of the misses and in		
43.	Is some of your data provided by and	other agency? If so, please explain.		
44	Are these costs in addition to other d	lata collection programs or are they part of another data collection		
	requirement/system such as HPMS?	and concerns programs of the they part of another data concerns		
	requirement system such as 111 Wis:			
45.	How are the data collection funded?			
16	How is the data stored and who is re	enoneible for the data?		
46.	How is the data stored and who is re	sponsible for the data?		
46.	How is the data stored and who is re	sponsible for the data?		
46.	How is the data stored and who is re	sponsible for the data?		
	How is the data stored and who is re How long are the data stored?	sponsible for the data?		
		sponsible for the data?		
		sponsible for the data?		
47.	How long are the data stored?			
47.				

49. Which measures, if any, are used only in "real-time"?		
50. Who are the users of the "real-time" data?		
51. Would you like to receive a copy of this synthesis when complete	? Yes 🗖 No 🗖	

Thank you for your participation!

# THE NATIONAL ACADEMIES

### Advisers to the Nation on Science, Engineering, and Medicine

The **National Academy of Sciences** is a private, nonprofit, self-perpetuating society of distinguished scholars engaged in scientific and engineering research, dedicated to the furtherance of science and technology and to their use for the general welfare. On the authority of the charter granted to it by the Congress in 1863, the Academy has a mandate that requires it to advise the federal government on scientific and technical matters. Dr. Bruce M. Alberts is president of the National Academy of Sciences.

The **National Academy of Engineering** was established in 1964, under the charter of the National Academy of Sciences, as a parallel organization of outstanding engineers. It is autonomous in its administration and in the selection of its members, sharing with the National Academy of Sciences the responsibility for advising the federal government. The National Academy of Engineering also sponsors engineering programs aimed at meeting national needs, encourages education and research, and recognizes the superior achievements of engineers. Dr. William A. Wulf is president of the National Academy of Engineering.

The **Institute of Medicine** was established in 1970 by the National Academy of Sciences to secure the services of eminent members of appropriate professions in the examination of policy matters pertaining to the health of the public. The Institute acts under the responsibility given to the National Academy of Sciences by its congressional charter to be an adviser to the federal government and, on its own initiative, to identify issues of medical care, research, and education. Dr. Harvey V. Fineberg is president of the Institute of Medicine.

The **National Research Council** was organized by the National Academy of Sciences in 1916 to associate the broad community of science and technology with the Academy's purposes of furthering knowledge and advising the federal government. Functioning in accordance with general policies determined by the Academy, the Council has become the principal operating agency of both the National Academy of Sciences and the National Academy of Engineering in providing services to the government, the public, and the scientific and engineering communities. The Council is administered jointly by both Academies and the Institute of Medicine. Dr. Bruce M. Alberts and Dr. William A. Wulf are chair and vice chair, respectively, of the National Research Council.

The **Transportation Research Board** is a division of the National Research Council, which serves the National Academy of Sciences and the National Academy of Engineering. The Board's mission is to promote innovation and progress in transportation by stimulating and conducting research, facilitating the dissemination of information, and encouraging the implementation of research results. The Board's varied activities annually engage more than 4,000 engineers, scientists, and other transportation researchers and practitioners from the public and private sectors and academia, all of whom contribute their expertise in the public interest. The program is supported by state transportation departments, federal agencies including the component administrations of the U.S. Department of Transportation, and other organizations and individuals interested in the development of transportation. **www.TRB.org** 

www.national-academies.org