

NCHRP

SYNTHESIS 311

NATIONAL
COOPERATIVE
HIGHWAY
RESEARCH
PROGRAM

Performance Measures of Operational Effectiveness for Highway Segments and Systems

A Synthesis of Highway Practice

TRANSPORTATION RESEARCH BOARD
OF THE NATIONAL ACADEMIES

TRANSPORTATION RESEARCH BOARD EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE 2003 (Membership as of March 2003)

Officers

Chair: GENEVIEVE GIULIANO, *Director and Professor, School of Policy, Planning, and Development, University of Southern California, Los Angeles*

Vice Chairman: MICHAEL S. TOWNES, *Executive Director, Transportation District Commission of Hampton Roads, Hampton, VA*

Executive Director: ROBERT E. SKINNER, JR., *Transportation Research Board*

Members

MICHAEL W. BEHRENS, *Executive Director, Texas Department of Transportation*
JOSEPH H. BOARDMAN, *Commissioner, New York State DOT*
SARAH C. CAMPBELL, *President, TransManagement, Inc., Washington, D.C.*
E. DEAN CARLSON, *Secretary of Transportation, Kansas DOT*
JOANNE F. CASEY, *President, Intermodal Association of North America, Greenbelt, MD*
JAMES C. CODELL III, *Secretary, Kentucky Transportation Cabinet*
JOHN L. CRAIG, *Director, Nebraska Department of Roads*
BERNARD S. GROSECLOSE, JR., *President and CEO, South Carolina State Ports Authority*
SUSAN HANSON, *Landry University Professor of Geography, Clark University*
LESTER A. HOEL, *L.A. Lacy Distinguished Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, University of Virginia*
HENRY L. HUNGERBEELE, *Director, Missouri DOT*
ADIB K. KANAFANI, *Cahill Professor and Chairman, Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of California at Berkeley*
RONALD F. KIRBY, *Director-Transportation Planning, Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments*
HERBERT S. LEVINSON, *Principal, Herbert S. Levinson Transportation Consultant, New Haven, CT*
MICHAEL D. MEYER, *Professor, School of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology*
JEFF P. MORALES, *Director of Transportation, California DOT*
KAM MOVASSAGHI, *Secretary of Transportation, Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development*
CAROL A. MURRAY, *Commissioner, New Hampshire DOT*
DAVID PLAVIN, *President, Airports Council International, Washington, D.C.*
JOHN REBENDSDORF, *Vice President, Network and Service Planning, Union Pacific Railroad Company*
CATHERINE L. ROSS, *Executive Director, Georgia Regional Transportation Agency*
JOHN M. SAMUELS, *Senior Vice President, Operations, Planning, & Support, Norfolk Southern Corporation*
PAUL P. SKOUTELAS, *CEO, Port Authority of Allegheny County, Pittsburgh, PA*
MARTIN WACHS, *Director, Institute of Transportation Studies, University of California at Berkeley*
MICHAEL W. WICKHAM, *Chairman and CEO, Roadway Express, Inc., Akron, OH*

MIKE ACOTT, *President, National Asphalt Pavement Association (ex officio)*
MARION C. BLAKEY, *Federal Aviation Administration, U.S. DOT (ex officio)*
REBECCA M. BREWSTER, *President and CEO, American Transportation Research Institute (ex officio)*
THOMAS H. COLLINS, (Adm., U.S. Coast Guard) *Commandant, U.S. Coast Guard (ex officio)*
JENNIFER L. DORN, *Federal Transit Administrator, U.S. DOT (ex officio)*
ELLEN G. ENGLEMAN, *Research and Special Programs Administrator, U.S. DOT (ex officio)*
ROBERT B. FLOWERS (Lt. Gen., U.S. Army), *Chief of Engineers and Commander, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (ex officio)*
HAROLD K. FORSEN, *Foreign Secretary, National Academy of Engineering (ex officio)*
EDWARD R. HAMBERGER, *President and CEO, Association of American Railroads (ex officio)*
JOHN C. HORSLEY, *Executive Director, American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (ex officio)*
MICHAEL P. JACKSON, *Deputy Secretary of Transportation, U.S. DOT (ex officio)*
ROGER L. KING, *Chief Applications Technologist, National Aeronautics and Space Administration (ex officio)*
ROBERT S. KIRK, *Director, Office of Advanced Automotive Technologies, U.S. Department of Energy (ex officio)*
RICK KOWALEWSKI, *Acting Director, Bureau of Transportation Statistics, U.S. DOT (ex officio)*
WILLIAM W. MILLAR, *President, American Public Transit Association (ex officio)*
MARY E. PETERS, *Federal Highway Administrator, U.S. DOT (ex officio)*
SUZANNE RUDZINSKI, *Director, Office of Transportation and Air Quality, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (ex officio)*
JEFFREY W. RUNGE, *National Highway Traffic Safety Administrator, U.S. DOT (ex officio)*
ALLAN RUTTER, *Federal Railroad Administrator, U.S. DOT (ex officio)*
ANNETTE M. SANDBERG, *Deputy Administrator, Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration, U.S. DOT (ex officio)*
WILLIAM G. SCHUBERT (Captain), *Administrator, Maritime Administration, U.S. DOT (ex officio)*

NATIONAL COOPERATIVE HIGHWAY RESEARCH PROGRAM

Transportation Research Board Executive Committee Subcommittee for NCHRP

GENEVIEVE GIULIANO, *University of Southern California, Los Angeles*
(Chair)
E. DEAN CARLSON, *Kansas DOT*
LESTER A. HOEL, *University of Virginia*
JOHN C. HORSLEY, *American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials*

Field of Special Projects
Project Committee SP 20-5

SUSAN BINDER, *Federal Highway Administration*
THOMAS R. BOHUSLAV, *Texas DOT*
DWIGHT HORNE, *Federal Highway Administration*
YSELA LLORT, *Florida DOT*
WESLEY S.C. LUM, *California DOT*
GARY D. TAYLOR, *Michigan DOT*
J. RICHARD YOUNG, JR., *Post Buckley Schuh & Jernigan, Inc.*
MARK R. NORMAN, *Transportation Research Board (Liaison)*
WILLIAM ZACCAGNINO, *Federal Highway Administration (Liaison)*

MARY E. PETERS, *Federal Highway Administration*
ROBERT E. SKINNER, JR., *Transportation Research Board*
MICHAEL S. TOWNES, *Transportation District Commission of Hampton Roads*

Program Staff

ROBERT J. REILLY, *Director, Cooperative Research Programs*
CRAWFORD F. JENCKS, *Manager, NCHRP*
DAVID B. BEAL, *Senior Program Officer*
HARVEY BERLIN, *Senior Program Officer*
B. RAY DERR, *Senior Program Officer*
AMIR N. HANNA, *Senior Program Officer*
EDWARD T. HARRIGAN, *Senior Program Officer*
CHRISTOPHER HEDGES, *Senior Program Officer*
TIMOTHY G. HESS, *Senior Program Officer*
RONALD D. MCCREADY, *Senior Program Officer*
CHARLES W. NIESSNER, *Senior Program Officer*
EILEEN P. DELANEY, *Editor*
HILARY FREER, *Associate Editor*

TRB Staff for NCHRP Project 20-5

STEPHEN R. GODWIN, *Director for Studies and Information Services*
DONNA L. VLASAK, *Senior Program Officer*

DON TIPPMAN, *Editor*

JON WILLIAMS, *Manager, Synthesis Studies*
CHERYL Y. KEITH, *Senior Secretary*

NCHRP SYNTHESIS 311

Performance Measures of Operational Effectiveness for Highway Segments and Systems

A Synthesis of Highway Practice

CONSULTANT

TERREL SHAW, P.E.
PBS&J

TOPIC PANEL

KIMBERLY FISHER, *Transportation Research Board*
LISA A. KLEIN, *Metropolitan Transportation Commission*
MARK C. LARSON, *Minnesota Department of Transportation*
HENRY LIEU, *Federal Highway Administration*
GORDON MORGAN, *Florida Department of Transportation*
VINCENT PEARCE, *Federal Highway Administration*
JAMES L. POWELL, *Parsons Transportation Group*
JIM SKINNER, *Montana Department of Transportation*
WILLIAM WALSEK, *Maryland State Highway Administration*

SUBJECT AREAS

Highway Operations, Capacity, and Traffic Control, and Safety and Human Performance

Research Sponsored by the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials
in Cooperation with the Federal Highway Administration

TRANSPORTATION RESEARCH BOARD

WASHINGTON, D.C.
2003
www.TRB.org

FOREWORD

*By Staff
Transportation
Research Board*

Highway administrators, engineers, and researchers often face problems for which information already exists, either in documented form or as undocumented experience and practice. This information may be fragmented, scattered, and unevaluated. As a consequence, full knowledge of what has been learned about a problem may not be brought to bear on its solution. Costly research findings may go unused, valuable experience may be overlooked, and due consideration may not be given to recommended practices for solving or alleviating the problem.

There is information on nearly every subject of concern to highway administrators and engineers. Much of it derives from research and much from the work of practitioners faced with problems in their day-to-day work. To provide a systematic means for assembling and evaluating such useful information and to make it available to the entire highway community, the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials—through the mechanism of the National Cooperative Highway Research Program—authorized the Transportation Research Board to undertake a continuing study. This study, NCHRP Project 20-5, “Synthesis of Information Related to Highway Problems,” searches out and synthesizes useful knowledge from all available sources and prepares concise, documented reports on specific topics. Reports from this endeavor constitute an NCHRP report series, *Synthesis of Highway Practice*.

The synthesis series reports on current knowledge and practice, in a compact format, without the detailed directions usually found in handbooks or design manuals. Each report in the series provides a compendium of the best knowledge available on those measures found to be the most successful in resolving specific problems.

PREFACE

This report of the Transportation Research Board will be of interest to local, regional, state, and federal officials, as well as to other transportation professionals who work with them in examining the use of performance measures for the monitoring and operational management of highway segments and systems. The current state of the practice includes a wide and varied approach to performance measures, with more than 70 performance measures being identified in this synthesis. Those identified as being used the most successfully were those related to conditions experienced by the traveler, such as travel time, speed, and delay. Based on the survey results, the dimensions of operational performance that were the most relevant were the quantity of travel and the quality of travel.

This synthesis contains overview information culled from survey responses from state transportation agencies and metropolitan planning organizations. This information was combined with that from recent literature findings and ongoing research to address current practices across the nation.

A panel of experts in the subject area guided the work of organizing and evaluating the collected data and reviewed the final synthesis report. A consultant was engaged to collect and synthesize the information and to write this report. Both the consultant and the members of the oversight panel are acknowledged on the title page. This synthesis is an immediately useful document that records the practices that were acceptable within the limitations of the knowledge available at the time of its preparation. As progress in research and practice continues, new knowledge will be added to that now at hand.

CONTENTS

1	SUMMARY	
3	CHAPTER ONE	INTRODUCTION
	Background,	3
	Purpose,	3
	Methodology,	3
	Organization,	3
5	CHAPTER TWO	BASIC CONCEPTS AND DEFINITIONS
	What Are Performance Measures?,	5
	Why Have Performance Measurement?,	6
	How Do You Define Highway Systems and Segments?,	6
	How Do You Define Performance Measures for the Operational Effectiveness of Highway Segments and Systems?,	7
	What Are the Key Steps in Performance-Based Management?,	10
11	CHAPTER THREE	CURRENT STATE OF RESEARCH
	Seminal Works,	11
	Recent Advances in Research,	15
	Summary,	20
21	CHAPTER FOUR	CURRENT STATE OF THE PRACTICE
	How Are Performance Measures Being Used?,	21
	What Performance Measures Are Used?,	21
	Which Measures Are Most Important to Agencies?,	22
	How Long Has Your Agency Used Performance Measures?,	24
	What Measures Are Used in Operations?,	24
	What Other Measures Are Being Used?,	26
	What Other Uses for Performance Measures Were Reported?,	26
	Who Are the Intended Audiences for These Measures?,	27
	How Are Performance Measures Reported?,	27
	How Are Data Collected in Support of the Performance Measures?,	27
	How Are the Data Stored and Who Is Responsible for the Data?,	29
	Role of Intelligent Transportation Systems in Operational Performance Measures,	30
	Differences Between Rural and Urban Systems,	31
	Highlights of Federal, State, and Local Agency Practices,	31
47	CHAPTER FIVE	STRENGTHS AND WEAKNESSES OF PERFORMANCE MEASURES

50	CHAPTER SIX	CONCLUSIONS
51	REFERENCES	
52	BIBLIOGRAPHY	
53	ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS	
54	APPENDIX A	SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRE

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Terrel Shaw, PBS&J, Tallahassee, Florida, was responsible for collection of the data and preparation of the report.

Valuable assistance in the preparation of this synthesis was provided by the Topic Panel, consisting of Kimberly Fisher, Senior Program Officer, Transportation Research Board; Lisa A. Klein, Transportation Planner, Metropolitan Transportation Commission; Mark C. Larson, Director of Measurement, Office of Performance Planning and Measurement, Minnesota Department of Transportation; Henry Lieu, Transportation Engineer, Federal Highway Administration; Gordon Morgan, Manager, Highway Data Section, Florida Department of Transportation; Vincent Pearce, Office of Travel Management, Federal Highway Administration; James L. Powell, ITS Coordinator—Systems Central Region, Parsons Transportation Group; Jim Skinner, Planner, Program and Policy Analysis Bureau, Montana Department of Transportation;

and William Walsek, Planning Director, Maryland State Highway Administration.

This study was managed by Donna Vlasak, Senior Program Officer, who worked with the consultant, the Topic Panel, and the Project 20-5 Committee in the development and review of the report. Assistance in project scope development was provided by Stephen F. Maher and Jon Williams, Managers, Synthesis Studies. Don Tippman was responsible for editing and production. Cheryl Keith assisted in meeting logistics and distribution of the questionnaire and draft reports.

Crawford F. Jencks, Manager, National Cooperative Highway Research Program, assisted the NCHRP 20-5 Committee and the Synthesis staff.

Information on current practice was provided by many highway and transportation agencies. Their cooperation and assistance are appreciated.

PERFORMANCE MEASURES OF OPERATIONAL EFFECTIVENESS FOR HIGHWAY SEGMENTS AND SYSTEMS

SUMMARY

This synthesis examined the use of performance measures for the monitoring and operational management of highway segments and systems. The current state of the practice includes a wide and varied approach to performance measures, with more than 70 performance measures identified in this synthesis. An assessment of the relative strengths and weaknesses of these measures was performed. The measures that were identified as being used the most successfully directly reported conditions experienced by the traveler, such as travel time, speed, and delay. Measures that are derived from these basic units, primarily indices, were found to be less relevant to the operational environment than to policy planners. Based on the results of the survey of state departments of transportation and metropolitan planning organizations, the dimensions of operational performance that were the most relevant were the quantity of travel and the quality of travel.

Through this synthesis of research and practice, several research needs were identified to enhance and expand the state of the practice. These needs include developing common definitions for emerging performance measures such as travel reliability and other indices, as well as data quality and reporting guidelines that consider estimated standard errors. Guidelines for forecasting and considering alternate policy and development scenarios, and measures that support evacuations from natural and man-made disasters are also needed.