SHRP 2 Renewal Project R09

User's Guide for Microsoft Excel **Workbook Template for Conducting Simplified Risk Management Planning for Rapid Renewal Projects**



TRANSPORTATION RESEARCH BOARD OF THE NATIONAL ACADEMIES



User's Guide for Microsoft Excel Workbook Template for Conducting Simplified Risk Management Planning for Rapid Renewal Projects

TRANSPORTATION RESEARCH BOARD Washington, D.C. 2014 www.TRB.org

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was sponsored by the Federal Highway Administration in cooperation with the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials. It was conducted in the second Strategic Highway Research Program, which is administered by the Transportation Research Board of the National Academies.

COPYRIGHT INFORMATION

Authors herein are responsible for the authenticity of their materials and for obtaining written permissions from publishers or persons who own the copyright to any previously published or copyrighted material used herein.

The second Strategic Highway Research Program grants permission to reproduce material in this publication for classroom and not-for-profit purposes. Permission is given with the understanding that none of the material will be used to imply TRB, AASHTO, or FHWA endorsement of a particular product, method, or practice. It is expected that those reproducing material in this document for educational and not-for-profit purposes will give appropriate acknowledgment of the source of any reprinted or reproduced material. For other uses of the material, request permission from SHRP 2.

NOTICE

The project that is the subject of this document was a part of the second Strategic Highway Research Program, conducted by the Transportation Research Board with the approval of the Governing Board of the National Research Council.

The Transportation Research Board of the National Academies, the National Research Council, and the sponsors of the second Strategic Highway Research Program do not endorse products or manufacturers. Trade or manufacturers' names appear herein solely because they are considered essential to the object of the report.

DISCLAIMER

The opinions and conclusions expressed or implied in this document are those of the researchers who performed the research. They are not necessarily those of the second Strategic Highway Research Program, the Transportation Research Board, the National Research Council, or the program sponsors. The information contained in this document was taken directly from the submission of the authors. This material has not been edited by the Transportation Research Board.

SPECIAL NOTE: This document IS NOT an official publication of the second Strategic Highway Research Program, the Transportation Research Board, the National Research Council, or the National Academies.

THE NATIONAL ACADEMIES

Advisers to the Nation on Science, Engineering, and Medicine

The **National Academy of Sciences** is a private, nonprofit, self-perpetuating society of distinguished scholars engaged in scientific and engineering research, dedicated to the furtherance of science and technology and to their use for the general welfare. On the authority of the charter granted to it by Congress in 1863, the Academy has a mandate that requires it to advise the federal government on scientific and technical matters. Dr. Ralph J. Cicerone is president of the National Academy of Sciences.

The **National Academy of Engineering** was established in 1964, under the charter of the National Academy of Sciences, as a parallel organization of outstanding engineers. It is autonomous in its administration and in the selection of its members, sharing with the National Academy of Sciences the responsibility for advising the federal government. The National Academy of Engineering also sponsors engineering programs aimed at meeting national needs, encourages education and research, and recognizes the superior achievements of engineers. Dr. Charles M. Vest is president of the National Academy of Engineering.

The **Institute of Medicine** was established in 1970 by the National Academy of Sciences to secure the services of eminent members of appropriate professions in the examination of policy matters pertaining to the health of the public. The Institute acts under the responsibility given to the National Academy of Sciences by its congressional charter to be an adviser to the federal government and, upon its own initiative, to identify issues of medical care, research, and education. Dr. Harvey V. Fineberg is president of the Institute of Medicine.

The **National Research Council** was organized by the National Academy of Sciences in 1916 to associate the broad community of science and technology with the Academy's purposes of furthering knowledge and advising the federal government. Functioning in accordance with general policies determined by the Academy, the Council has become the principal operating agency of both the National Academy of Sciences and the National Academy of Engineering in providing services to the government, the public, and the scientific and engineering communities. The Council is administered jointly by both Academies and the Institute of Medicine. Dr. Ralph J. Cicerone and Dr. Charles M. Vest are chair and vice chair, respectively, of the National Research Council.

The **Transportation Research Board** is one of six major divisions of the National Research Council. The mission of the Transportation Research Board is to provide leadership in transportation innovation and progress through research and information exchange, conducted within a setting that is objective, interdisciplinary, and multimodal. The Board's varied activities annually engage about 7,000 engineers, scientists, and other transportation researchers and practitioners from the public and private sectors and academia, all of whom contribute their expertise in the public interest. The program is supported by state transportation departments, federal agencies including the component administrations of the U.S. Department of Transportation, and other organizations and individuals interested in the development of transportation. **www.TRB.org**

www.national-academies.org

User's Guide for Microsoft Excel Workbook Template for Conducting Simplified Risk Management Planning for Rapid Renewal Projects

Contents

1. Introduction
1.1 Purpose and Objectives1
1.2 Background and Limitations1
1.3 General Guidance1
1.4 Organization
2. "Base" Project Information and Performance Analysis: <1.Base Project Info>5
2.1 Inputs
2.2 Outputs
 Unmitigated Risk Identification and Assessment: <2a.Initial Risks (Brainstorm)>, <2b.Risks by Category>, <3a.Rating Scales>, and <3b.Unmitigated Risk Assess>
3.1 Inputs9
3.2 Outputs10
 Unmitigated Risk Analysis: <4a.Unmitigated Risk Results>, <4b.Unmitigated Risk Ranking>, and <4c.Unmitig. Risk Ranking Plots>
5. Risk Reduction Planning: <5a.Risk Reduction Evaluation> and <5b.Risk Reduction Plan>19
5.1 Inputs
5.2 Outputs
 Mitigated Risk Analysis: <6a.Mitigated Risk Assess>, <6b.Mitigated Risk Results>, <6c.Mitigated Risk Ranking> and <6d.Mitigated Risk Ranking Plots>

1. Introduction

1.1 Purpose and Objectives

- Facilitate simplified risk management planning (in terms of proactive risk reduction, but not contingency or recovery management) for relatively simple rapid renewal projects.
- Optimize key rapid renewal project performance objectives (measures):
 - Minimize project schedule in terms of project construction completion (operations start) date;
 - Minimize project cost in terms of total inflated cost (through construction);
 - Minimize project disruption, in terms of total user impacts (through construction); and
 - Maximize project longevity in terms of combination of schedule, cost, and disruption postconstruction (i.e., considering operations and replacement).
- Optimize by minimizing combined project performance in terms of combination of project schedule (through construction), inflated project cost (through construction), project disruption (through construction), and project longevity (postconstruction).

1.2 Background and Limitations

- Refer to SHRP 2 R09, *Guide for the Process of Managing Risk on Rapid Renewal Projects (Guide)* and related training materials— for more discussion and examples.
- Uncertainty (or range) in project performance is not evaluated, only mean values which, by themselves, would not be sufficient to establish budgets or milestones.
- Template was developed by Golder Associates Inc. (Golder) for its own use. This is a Beta version (dated June 30, 2010) and, as such, is still under development and might contain some "bugs." Please contact Golder if bugs are discovered so that they can be fixed in future versions. Golder provides this version solely as a courtesy, but does not warrant that the results are correct and cannot warrant that either the user-specified inputs are appropriate or the results will be interpreted correctly by the user, both of which are outside of Golder's control. In using this template, users acknowledges that they do so at their own risk, and that Golder has no liability for such use.

1.3 General Guidance

- Project performance components are separated (refer to Chapter 2 in the *Guide*):
 - o "Activities" (pieces of project) versus "project" (combination of all activities).
 - "Base" (without risk or contingency/float) versus "risk" (complementary to "base," which is intended to be covered by contingency/float), where "risk" includes opportunities (i.e., simply negative risks). "Total" is the combination of "base" and "risk."
 - "Unmitigated" (before additional risk reduction actions) versus "mitigated" (with additional risk reduction actions).
 - "Mean" (probability-weighted average value) versus "uncertainty/range" (likelihoods of various possible values). This template does not include assessment and determination

of full uncertainty/range, only mean values (which by themselves would not be sufficient for establishing budgets and milestones).

- Proactive risk reduction process (refer to Chapter 2 in the *Guide*):
 - o Unmitigated (before additional risk reduction actions)
 - Unmitigated "base" assessment and performance analysis
 - Unmitigated "risk" identification and assessments
 - Unmitigated "total" performance analysis
 - o Mitigated (with additional risk reduction actions)
 - Mitigation identification (focusing on key risks), implementation and effectiveness assessments, cost-effectiveness evaluation, and subsequent selection
 - Mitigated "total" performance analysis
- Microsoft Excel workbook template developed to document (similar to forms in the *Guide*) and automatically conduct analyses (as described in the *Guide*)
 - Load/save load/open the template in Excel and then save under a specific project name. Periodically resave the renamed template during use.
 - Template is Microsoft Excel workbook with following linked spreadsheets:
 - Instructions
 - <1."Base" Project Info>
 - <2a.Initial Risks (Brainstorm)>
 - <2b.Risks by Category>
 - <3a.Rating Scales>
 - <3b.Unmitigated Risk Assess>
 - <4a.Unmitigated Risk Results>
 - <4b.Unmitigated Risk Ranking>
 - <4c.Unmitig. Risk Ranking Plots>
 - <5a.Risk Reduction Evaluation>
 - <5b.Risk Reduction Plan>
 - <6a.Mitigated Risk Assess>
 - <6b.Mitigated Risk Results>
 - <6c.Mitigated Risk Ranking>
 - <6d.Mitigated Risk Ranking Plots>
 - Input—required inputs (of which some are drop-down boxes) for each spreadsheet are highlighted (in yellow shading), with other cells protected from being changed. User can reformat specific rows (e.g., autoheight or hide if not unused) or columns (e.g., change width) if needed (for long descriptions and for printing). Note: Must not hide first and last rows of any section, so that hidden rows in between can be unhidden if needed.
 - Output—outputs for each spreadsheet are automatically generated. Template is protected (and most calculations are hidden) to prevent inadvertent changes that could introduce errors in outputs. Print area for each spreadsheet in the workbook is preset, so that user simply needs to "print" worksheet or entire workbook. However, user can

reformat specific rows (e.g., autoheight or hide if not used) or columns (e.g., change width) if needed (for long descriptions).

1.4 Organization

- This User's Guide (in the following chapters) describes the specific input (where needed) and associated output in each spreadsheet for the following basic components of the template (which mirror the proactive risk reduction process described above):
 - 2. "Base" Project Information and Performance Analysis: <1.Base Project Info>
 - Unmitigated Risk Identification and Assessment: <2a.Initial Risks (Brainstorm)>,
 <2b.Risks by Category>, <3a.Rating Scales>, and <3b.Unmitigated Risk Assess>
 - 4. Unmitigated Risk Analysis: <4a.Unmitigated Risk Results>, <4b.Unmitigated Risk Ranking>, and <4c.Unmitig. Risk Ranking Plots>
 - 5. Risk Reduction Planning: <5a.Risk Reduction Evaluation> and <5b.Risk Reduction Plan>
 - 6. Mitigated Risk Analysis: <6a.Mitigated Risk Assess>, <6b.Mitigated Risk Results>,
 <6c.Mitigated Risk Ranking> and <6d.Mitigated Risk Ranking Plots>
- Instructions are also provided as a separate spreadsheet at the beginning of the workbook (see Figure 1), and these instructions are repeated in each spreadsheet in the workbook. An example of a filled-in template for a specific project is provided in the *Guide*.

Workbook Instructions

```
Golder Associates®
```

Limitations: This protected MS Excel workbook was developed by Golder Associates Inc. (Golder) for its own use, as a companion to the "Guide for the Process of Managing Risks on Rapid Renewal Projects" and related training materials developed by Golder under NAS/TRB SHRP2 research project R09; these materials include a separate "User's Manual" for this template to which a user is referred. This is a Beta version (dated 30June2010), and as such is still under development and might contain some "bugs" - please contact Golder (broberds@golder.com) if bugs are discovered so that they can be fixed in future versions. Golder provides this version solely as a courtesy, but does not warrant that the results are correct and cannot warrant that either the user-specified inputs are appropriate or the results will be interpreted correctly by the user, both of which are outside of Golder's control. In using this template, a user acknowledges that they do so at their own risk, and that Golder has no liability for such use.

Proceed through worksheets in following order (see cautions about making changes in previous sheets):

<1. "Base" Project Info>	Enter significant (simplified) project cost, schedule, disruption and "value" information per template, and specify whether traditional DBB or DB project delivery - automatically generates a simple cost-loaded schedule with escalation, default extended OH rates (which can be revised), measure of longevity (NPV of O&M and replacement cost and disruption), and "combined" project performance measure (cost, schedule and disruption through construction, and post construction longevity). The risks will subsequently be defined relative to this "base", and might need to be redefined if the "base" changes. Similarly, the risk management (reduction) actions might need to be re-evaluated and the Risk Management (Reduction) Plan changed if the "base" changes.
<2a.Initial Risks (Brainstorm)>	Enter all risks and opportunities identified through brainstorming, and specify which project activity each is most likely to occur during (and very unlikely to occur after), and a more detailed description of each (as needed). At this time, only the nature of the event, and not its severity, are described - severity (expressed in terms of risk factors) will be described in <3b.Unmitigated Risk Assess>.
<2b.Risks by Category>	Risks (and their detailed descriptions) from <2a.Initial Risks> are automatically carried over and listed in appropriate "category" (i.e., the project activity during which the risk is most likely to occur, and after which it is very unlikely to occur). However, add new risks (based on provided check list) and/or edit initial risks (including the detailed description) in this sheet to ensure comprehensive and non-overlapping set. Once a risk is edited in this sheet, the tie to <2a.Initial Risks> is broken. Hence, do not go back to change risks in <2a.Initial Risks>, because they will not necessarily be carried over to this sheet. Can add/edit risks until start <5a.Risk Reduction Evaluation>, however cannot move risks after start <3b.Unmitigated Risk Assess> (because would cause assessments in <3b.Unmitigated Risk Assess> to incorrectly address wrong risk), and editing risks after starting <3b.Unmitigated Risk Assess> might require reassessment of that risk.
<3a.Rating Scales>	If using mean <u>ratings</u> (instead of mean values) in <3b.Unmitigated Risk Assess>, confirm or revise the default rating-scale information for each factor (not needed if using mean values) <u>before</u> doing any assessments in <3b.Unmitigated Risk Assess>. Changes after starting <3b.Unmitigated Risk Assess> might require reassessment of risks.
<3b.Unmitigated Risk Assess>	Risks from <2b.Risks by Category> are automatically carried over, although the detailed description is not - any edits to risk register must be made in <2b. Risks by Category>, which could affect <3b. Unmitigated Risk Assess>. Enter mean rating (per <3a.Rating Scales>) <u>or</u> mean value for each risk factor, assuming no additional risk management. The unmitigated mean severity is determined for each risk automatically. If the set of risks is comprehensive and non-overlapping, approximate mean values for unmitigated collective risk are also determined automatically. Can revise assessments until start <5a.Risk Reduction Evaluation>. Changes to assessments after starting <5a.Risk Reduction Evaluation> and <5b.Risk Reduction Plan>, because the effectiveness might change.
<4a.Unmitigated Risk Results>	The unmitigated collective risks (from <3b. Unmitigated Risk Assess>) are determined automatically and combined with the base factors (from <1.Base Project Info>) to automatically determine approximate mean values of unmitigated total project performance.
<4b.Unmitigated Risk Ranking>	The ranking of the identified risks and opportunities (based on their unmitigated mean severity from <3b.Unmitigated Risk Assess>) is automatically determined.
<4c.Unmitig. Risk Ranking Plots>	The ranking of the identified risks and opportunities (based on their unmitigated mean severity, from <4b.Unmitigated Risk Ranking>) is automatically plotted.
<5a.Risk Reduction Evaluation>	Initially (premitigated/pre-updated) ranked risks and opportunities from <4b.Unmitigated Risk Ranking> must be manually carried over (the current rankings are shown in this sheet); once entered, their properties will be automatically carried over. Enter the candidate actions for each critical risk (both immediate and contractual, first from brainstorming and then from provided check list), and enter the cost-effectiveness factors for each. The cost-effectiveness of each candidate will be automatically determined. Select the most cost-effective action for each risk, with the default being "no action". The assessments can be revised until start <5b.Risk Reduction Plan>; changes after starting <5b.Risk Reduction Plan> might change the ranking of the actions.
<5b.Risk Reduction Plan>	The selected (most cost-effective) set of actions to address the set of risks from <5a.Risk Reduction Evaluation> must be manually carried over (the currently selected actions are shown in this sheet, listed in rank order based on cost-effectiveness); once entered, their properties will be automatically carried over. Add implementation details.
<6a.Mitigated Risk Assess>	The risks and their unmitigated factors are automatically carried over from <3b.Unmitigated Risk Assess>, and combined with the assessed effectiveness of the selected risk reduction actions (from <5b.Risk Reduction Plan>), to automatically determine the mitigated mean severity for each risk. If the set of risks is comprehensive and non-overlapping, approximate mean values for mitigated collective risk are also determined automatically.
<6b.Mitigated Risk Results>	The residual collective risks for the Risk Reduction Plan (from <5b.Risk Reduction Plan>) are determined automatically and combined with the initial base factors (from <1.Base Project Info>), the unmitigated risk factors (from <3b.Unmitigated Risk Assess>), and risk management factors (from <5a.Risk Reduction Evaluation>) to automatically determine approximate mean values of mitigated total project performance.
<6c.Mitigated Risk Ranking>	The ranking of the identified risks and opportunities (based on their mitigated mean severity from <6a.Mitigated Risk Assess>) is automatically determined.
<6d.Mitigated Risk Ranking Plots>	The ranking of the identified risks and opportunities (based on their mitigated mean severity, from <6c.Mitigated Risk Ranking>) is automatically plotted.
Yellow-shaded cells are in	nput cells; all others are protected and/or hidden to prevent inadvertent changes, which could produce misleading

results

Comments: Additional information is provided in spreadsheets through embedded comments, denoted by small red triangle in upper right corner of a cell and exposed when mouse moves over that cell.

Printing: Only relevant information is printed for each spreadsheet (print area is pre-set). However, can reformat rows (or columns or even individual cells), e.g., to show wrapped text or hide unused rows. Generally, only need to print latest spreadsheet (relevant info from previous spreadsheets is generally incorporated), but can print entire workbook if desired for complete report.

Figure 1. <Instructions>.

2. "Base" Project Information and Performance Analysis: <1.Base Project Info>

- Refer to Chapter 4 in the *Guide*
- Spreadsheet <1.Base Project Info> (Figure 2)

2.1 Inputs

In spreadsheet <1.Base Project Info> (Figure 2):

- Enter <project name> and select <project delivery method, either Traditional Design/Bid/Build (D/B/B) or Design/Build (D/B), from drop-down box>. Each project delivery method subsequently references a different simplified flowchart, as shown in Figure 2, which is carried throughout the rest of the analysis. Each project is divided into the following activities (regardless of project delivery method, which only affects the sequence of these activities):
 - o Planning
 - o Scoping
 - o Design funding
 - Preliminary design/environmental process
 - o Environmental permits
 - o ROW/utility/RR funding
 - o ROW/utilities/RR
 - o Final design
 - Construction funding
 - o Procurement
 - \circ Construction
 - o Operations
 - o Replacement
- Enter project base schedule factors:
 - <mean durations in months or mean milestone dates> for each activity in relevant simplified flowchart (note that funding activities are expressed as milestones, whereas the other activities are expressed as durations)
 - o <lags, in months> for specific activities, depending on which flowchart is relevant
 - Traditional D-B-B, which tends to be linear/sequential
 - E lag (remaining) after finish of ROW Fund to finish of ROW/Utilities/RR
 - Design–Build, which tends to overlap/accelerate
 - A lag (remaining) from finish of Environmental Permits to B lag (remaining) to finish of Procurement
 - C lag (remaining) from finish of Environmental Permits to D lag (remaining) to finish of ROW/Utilities/RR
 - E lag (remaining) after finish of ROW Fund to finish of ROW/Utilities/RR
 - F lag (overlap) from finish of ROW/Utilities/RR to start of

- G lag (non-overlap) after start of Final Design to start of Construction and H – lag (remaining) after finish of Final Design to finish of Construction
- I lag (remaining) after finish of ROW/Utilities/RR to finish of Construction
- J lag (remaining) from finish of ROW/Utilities/RR to K lag (remaining) to finish of Procurement
- Enter <project base cost factors, in mean uninflated \$million> for each activity in relevant simplified flowchart
- Enter <project base disruption factors, in mean million lost hours> for each activity in relevant simplified flowchart
- Enter <inflation rates, in mean average %/year from reference start date through midpoint of relevant activities in relevant simplified flowchart> for following activities (note that operations and replacement are covered separately under longevity trade-offs):
 - Engineering (including planning, scoping, preliminary design/environmental process, environmental permits, final design, and procurement)
 - o ROW/Utility/RR
 - o **Construction**
- Enter <extended OH rates, in mean average uninflated dollars per month critical path delay> or accept default values (if default value not overridden) for following phases:
 - Preconstruction (default value = average agency preconstruction "burn rate" = agency baseline preconstruction engineering cost/preconstruction duration)
 - Construction [default value = average agency construction burn rate {= agency baseline construction engineering cost/construction duration) plus compensable contractor OH (= 5% of contractor construction cost/construction duration)]
- Enter "trade-offs" to determine longevity and severity:
 - Enter <disruption value, in terms of mean average current uninflated dollars per losthour, to determine user costs>
 - Enter <schedule target, in terms of planned construction completion date> and
 <schedule value, in terms of current uninflated \$million per month change in construction completion date>
 - Enter <net postconstruction discount rate, in terms of %/year, to determine net present value (NPV) of longevity at end of construction> and <longevity value, in terms of year-of-expenditure dollars (YOE\$) per NPV\$, to determine equivalent inflated cost of longevity> or accept default value of 1.0 (if default value not overridden).

2.2 Outputs

In spreadsheet <1.Base Project Info> (Figure 2):

• The project delivery method (and relevant project flowchart) and the associated base factor assessments (i.e., regarding cost, schedule, disruption, inflation, extended overheads and trade-offs) for the project are documented.

- The base project performance is automatically determined:
 - Project base schedule, in terms of mean early start and end dates and float (in months) for each activity in relevant simplified flowchart, and key project base mean milestone dates (i.e., for advertisement, end of construction, and replacement)
 - Project base cost, in terms of both mean uninflated and inflated millions of dollars, through construction and postconstruction
 - Project base disruption, in terms of mean million lost hours, through construction and postconstruction
 - Project base longevity (i.e., combination via specified trade-offs of mean postconstruction schedule, cost, and disruption), in terms of mean NPV millions of dollars at end of construction
 - Project base combined performance (i.e., combination via specified trade-offs of mean schedule, cost, and disruption through construction, and mean longevity), in terms of mean equivalent inflated millions of dollars.

"Base" Project Info

Directions : Enter project name, project delivery method (from drop down list), verified "base" project cost/schedule/disruption information (by major project flowchart activity, as shown), and inflation rates/tradeoffs. See separate "User's Manual" for additional discussion of schedule lags.

Golder Associates

Yellow-shaded cells are input cells

Other cells are protected/hidden and should not be modified (except by someone who has reason and understands the spreadsheet) to prevent inadvertent mistakes that could cause misleading results

<project name=""></project>										Traditional	Enviro	Notes: <x> = lag E - lag (remaining) after finish of ROW Fund to finish</x>
Proj Delivery Method:	Traditional [<mark>)/</mark> B/B			art date		for schedule a	nd escalation	i i	Design/Bid/Build (D/B/B)	Permits	of ROW/Utilities/RR
		Base	Note: "Ba	se" is wi	ithout cor	tingency (or sche	dule float)				rennus	
Activity (master list)	Base Cost (unesc\$M)		Base Duration (months)	Lag Label	Lag (mos)	Base Early Start Date	Base Early End Date	Float (months)	Base Cost (esc\$M)	Time -> Enviro	Final	Procure- Construc- Opera- Replace-
Planning						1/0/1900	1/0/1900	0.0	\$ -	Planning > Scoping > Prelim	Design	ment tion Opera- Meplace-
Scoping						1/0/1900	1/0/1900	0.0	\$ -		Dealen	ment fion o tions o ment
Design Funding		(i i	1				0.0	j.	Notes: 1,2,3 = funding Design		
Prelim Design/Env Proc						1/0/1900	1/0/1900	0.0	\$ -	4 = project delivery		
Environmental Permits						1/0/1900	1/0/1900	0.0	\$ -	5 = replacement		
ROW/Util/RR Funding				E				0.0		Enviro Proc = Environmental Process	ROW,	
ROW/Util/RR					- 3	1/0/1900	1/0/1900	0.0	\$ -	Util, RR = Utilities, Railroad	Util, RR	
Final Design				ĵ.		1/0/1900	1/0/1900	0.0	\$ -			
Construction Funding	-			Į				0.0			1	Notes: <x> = lag</x>
Procurement						1/0/1900	1/0/1900	0.0	\$ -	Design/Build (D/B)	Enviro	D/B Final E - lag (remaining) after finish of ROW Fund to finish
Construction				1		1/0/1900	1/0/1900	0.0	\$ -]0-,, -, -,	Permits	Design
subtotal	\$ -	0.0	1						\$ -		. c.i.i.es	F - lag (overlap) from finish of ROW/ Util/RR to start of Construction
Operations						1/0/1900	1/0/1900	0.0	\$ -	Time → Enviro	<c><a></c>	S <g> <h></h></g>
Replacement				-		1/0/1900	1/0/1900	0.0	\$ -		3 Procu	
subtotal	\$-	0.0	\$ -	←long	evity (NI	PV\$M)			\$ -	Planning > Scoping > Proc,	- A HOUG	
Total	\$-	0.0	\$ -			1/0/1900	1/0/1900	1/0/1900	\$ -	e e Pienni	[ment	t struction Y tions Y ment
Mean Annual Cost Inflation Engr ROW/Utility/RR Construction				lim Des		fad date ronmental Proce nce), and Repla	ess, Final Desig	freplacemer		Notes: 1,2,3 = funding 4 = project delivery 5 = replacement Enviro Proc = Environmental Process Util. RR = Utilities. Railroad	ROW, Util. RR	A - lag (remaining) from finish of Environmental Permits D - lag (remaining) to finish of Procurement C - lag (remaining) to finish of Procurement Permits to D- lag (remaining) to finish of ROW/Util/RR G-lag (non-overlap) after start of finish of Construction and H - lag (remaining) after finish of Finish Design to start of Construction and H - lag (remaining) after finish of Finish of Construction Lag tremaining) after finish of Finish of Construction
Extended OH Rates (unesc Preconstruction Construction	\$ -									nstruction duration) - calculated default value can be re	vised	J - lag (remaining) area finish of ROW/Uti/RR to K - lag (remaining) to finish of Procurement on OH cost / construction duration) - calculated default value can be revised
Values for combining conse Disruption Value (\$M/M-hi) Schedule Target (date) Schedule Value (\$M/mo) Net Discount Rate (%/yr) Longevity Value (\$M/\$M _{Mr}) Erom / instructions?		target date fi to combine s to determine	"longevity" fro	ations ence fr m O&N	om targe 1 and rep	r) It date) with cos Iacement cost a e) - default valu	ind disruption	d				

From <Instructions>:

Enter significant (simplified) project cost, schedule, disruption and "value" information per template, and specify whether traditional DBB or DB project delivery - automatically generates a simple cost-loaded schedule with escalation, default extended OH rates (which can be revised), measure of longevity (NPV of O&M and replacement cost and disruption), and "combined" project performance measure (cost, schedule and disruption through construction, and post construction longevity). The risks will subsequently be defined relative to this "base", and might need to be redefined if the "base" changes. Similarly, the risk management (reduction) actions might need to be re-evaluated and the Risk Management (Reduction) Plan changed if the "base" changes.

Figure 2. <1.Base Project Info>.

3. Unmitigated Risk Identification and Assessment: <2a.Initial Risks (Brainstorm)>, <2b.Risks by Category>, <3a.Rating Scales>, and <3b.Unmitigated Risk Assess>

- Refer to Chapters 5 and 6 in the *Guide*
- Spreadsheets <2a.Initial Risks (Brainstorm)> (Figure 3), <2b.Risks by Category> (Figure 4), <3a.Rating Scales> (Figure 5), and <3b.Unmitigated Risk Assess> (Figure 6)

3.1 Inputs

- In <2a.Initial Risks (Brainstorm)> (Figure 3), enter <descriptive title> and <description> for each risk (up to 100, identified through brainstorming, considering current plans without additional risk management) in random order, and then enter their "category" (select <flowchart activity, from drop-down box> during which they are most likely to occur and unlikely to occur after). Unused rows (except the last) can be hidden.
- In <2b.Risks by Category> (Figure 4), edit categorized risks, which have been automatically carried over from <2a.Initial Risks (Brainstorm)>, for example, by comparing with checklist in the *Guide*, to ensure comprehensive and nonoverlapping set in each category (up to maximum number per category, for example, 15 for most categories, 20 for Procurement, 25 for Construction, and 10 for Funding). Can edit <descriptive title> and/or <description>, by either simply typing over or first copying and pasting special (values); however, such editing breaks the link with <2a.Initial Risks (Brainstorm)>. Can also add risks by simply typing <descriptive title> and <description>, overriding the equations that carry them over from <2a.Initial Risks (Brainstorm)>. Similarly, can delete risks by simply deleting <descriptive title> and <description>, although unless replaced there will be a gap in the risk numbering. All changes in <descriptive title> and/or <descriptive title> and <descriptive title> and <descriptive title> and <description>, although unless replaced there will be a gap in the risk numbering. All changes in <descriptive title> and/or <descriptive ti
- In <3b.Unmitigated Risk Assess> (Figure 6), for each risk (which have been automatically carried over from <2b.Risks by Category>), enter risk factor assessments (either <mean values> or <ratings, from drop-down box>, per predefined rating scales in <3a.Rating Scales> (Figure 5), and <affected activity, from drop-down box>) before any additional risk management:
 - Unmitigated probability of that risk event occurring
 - Unmitigated mean cost impact (and affected project activity) if that risk event occurs, in terms of uninflated millions of dollars
 - Unmitigated mean schedule impact (and affected project activity) if that risk event occurs, in terms of months of delay in affected activity (regardless of whether it is on critical path)
 - Unmitigated mean disruption impact (and affected project activity) if that risk event occurs, in terms of million lost hours
- In <3a.Rating Scales> (Figure 5), if rating scales are used in <3b.Unmitigated Risk Assess> (Figure 6), enter <value> in appropriate units for each unique range end point. For cost impact, disruption impact, and severity, default values are tied (as specified percentages) to base costs,

base disruption and base severity (actually combined performance), either from <1.Base Project Info> (Figure 2) or overridden; however, these can be overridden by simply typing in specific values (although this breaks the link to those base values). Common default values are also provided for schedule impacts and probabilities; these default values can also be overridden by simply typing in specific values.

3.2 Outputs

- In <2b.Risks by Category> (Figure 4), the risks (by category) are documented. Unused rows (except first and last in each category) can be hidden.
- In <3b.Unmitigated Risk Assess> (Figure 6):
 - The unmitigated risk factor assessments (in either mean values or ratings, per predefined rating scales in <3a.Rating Scales> (Figure 5) for each identified risk are documented
 - The unmitigated mean change in combined project performance or "severity" (mean values or ratings, per predefined rating scales in <3a.Rating Scales> (Figure 5, in terms of equivalent inflated millions of dollars) is automatically determined for each identified risk (ratings are used if any of the risk factors are expressed as ratings), and the identified risks are ranked on that basis
 - The sums (over all risks) of the mean performance measures (e.g., direct cost) are also determined automatically for each category, as well as over all categories (note that although informative, these sums would not be adequate to establish budgets/milestones/contingencies)
 - Unused rows (except first and last in each category) can be hidden.

Directions: Through brainstorming, identify risks in any order (short title/description) in Column B, identify which major activity (from pre-selected list) each is most likely to occur during (and very unlikely to occur after) in Column C, and add more detailed description (as desired) in Column D. Can reformat rows (or columns or even individual cells), e.g., to show wrapped text or hide unused rows (bright yellow only) (e.g., for printing).

Yellow-shaded cells are input cells

Other cells are protected/hidden and should not be modified (except by someone who has reason and understands the spreadsheet) to prevent inadvertent mistakes that could cause misleading results.

<Project Name>

Item	Risk or Opportunity	Activity (from list)	Description (possible non-"base" scenarios - causes and consequences)
1			
2			
100			

From <Instructions>:

Enter all risks and opportunities identified through brainstorming, and specify which project activity each is most likely to occur during (and very unlikely to occur after), and a more detailed description of each (as needed). At this time, only the nature of the event, and not its severity, are described - severity (expressed in terms of risk factors) will be described in <3b.Unmitigated Risk Assess>.

Figure 3. <2a.Initial Risks (Brainstorm)> showing only first two and last risk items.

Golder Associates®

Risks and Opportunities - Items by Categories

Note: Risks from <2a.Initial Risks> are automatically carried over and listed in the appropriate "category" (i.e., the project activity during which the risk is most likely to occur, and after which it is very unlikely to occur). Need comprehensive and non-overlapping set of risks.

Directions : Add additional risks in first "#NA" in each category if desired and edit risks carried over from <2a.Initial Risks> (over-write equations in "Risk or Opportunity" in Column B and/or "Description" in Column D; "Initial Item" in Column C will show whether this was an initial risk or a new risk). Can reformat rows (or columns or even individual cells), e.g., to show wrapped text or hide unused rows (bright yellow only) (e.g., for printing).

Yellow-shaded cells are input cells

Other cells are protected/hidden and should not be modified (except by someone who has reason and understands the spreadsheet) to prevent inadvertent mistakes that could cause misleading results.
< Project Name>

	Risk Registe	r	
	Risk or Opportunity (by category)	Initial	Description
Item	(see checklist for other potential risks)	Item	(possible non-"base" scenarios - causes and consequences)
PL	Planning Risks		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
PL1	#WA	#N/A	#N/A
PL15	#WA	#N/A	#N/A
SC	Scoping Risks		
SC1	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A
SC15	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A
PD	Preliminary Design / Environmental Process Risks		
	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A
PD25	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A
EP	Environmental Permits Risks		
	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A
EP15		#N/A	#N/A
	ROW/Utility/RR/etc Risks		
	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A
RU15		#N/A	#N/A
	Final Design Risks		
	#N/A		#N/A
FD15		#N/A	#N/A
	Procurement Risks		
	#N/A		#N/A
CP20		#N/A	#N/A
	Construction Risks		
	#N/A		#N/A
CN25		#N/A	#N/A
	Operations Risks		
OM1			#N/A
OM15		#N/A	#N/A
RP1			#N/A
RP15		#N/A	#N/A
	Funding Risks		
FN1		MAL CONTRACTOR	#N/A
FN10	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A

From <Instructions>:

Risks (and their detailed descriptions) from <2a. Initial Risks> are automatically carried over and listed in appropriate "category" (i.e., the project activity during which the risk is most likely to occur, and after which it is very unlikely to occur). However, add new risks (based on provided check list) and/or edit initial risks (including the detailed description) in this sheet to ensure comprehensive and non-overlapping set. Once a risk is edited in this sheet, the tie to <2a.Initial Risks> is broken. Hence, do not go back to change risks in <2a.Initial Risks>, because they will not necessarily be carried over to this sheet. Can add/edit risks until start <5a.Risk Reduction Evaluation>, however cannot move risks after start <3b.Unmitigated Risk Assess> (because would cause assessments in <3b.Unmitigated Risk Assess> to incorrectly address wrong risk), and editing risks after starting <3b.Unmitigated Risk Assess> might require reassessment of that risk.

Figure 4. <2b.Risks by Category> showing only first and last risk items in each category.

Golder Associates

Rating Scale Definitions for Risks and Opportunities (if Rating Scales are used in "3b.Unmitigated Risk Assess")

Golder Associates

Note: This table is set up for the most commonly-assessed impacts: changes in unescalated direct cost, direct schedule and direct disruption. However, other impacts (e.g., injuries) are possible. Any structural modifications to this table will have impacts on other sheets in this Workbook. Default values are already entered.

Directions : Enter values for each range and associated "base" (if range is expressed as % of base) in the table below if want to change from default values. Can reformat rows (or columns or even individual cells). e.g., to show wrapped text or hide unused rows (e.g., for printing).

Yellow-shaded cells are input cells

Other cells are protected/hidden and should not be modified (except by someone who has reason and understands the spreadsheet) to prevent inadvertent mistakes that could cause misleading results.

<Project Name>

				Impacts <u>if</u> E	vent Oco	urs				Probability of I	Event Occ	urring	Severity				
	100 C 100	ost Change nescalated §		Construction of the second second	ule Chan onths)	ge	Disrupt (million per	ion Chan son-hour	-	(0=impossible t		Second and the second	(equivalent escalated \$ million)				
Rating	Ranges (absolute or base %)	Low end of range	High end of range	Ranges (absolute or base %)	Low end of range	High end of range	RangesLowHigh(absolute orend ofend ofbase %)rangerange		Ranges	Low end of range range		Ranges (absolute or base %)	Low end of range		h end ange		
VH	>25%	0.0	0.0	>12	12	24	>25%	0.0	0.0	0.7 to 1.0 (1:1)	0.7	1.0	>25%	0.0	(0.0	
н	10 to 25%	0.0	\$-	4 to 12	4	12	10 to 25%	0.0	0.0	0.4 to 0.7 (2:3)	0.4	0.7	10 to 25%	0.0	\$	18	
М	3 to 10%	0.0	\$-	1 to 4	1	4	3 to 10%	0.0	0.0	0.2 to 0.4 (2:5)	0.2	0.4	3 to 10%	0.0	\$: :	
L	1 to 3%	0.0	\$-	0.25 to 1	0.25	1	1 to 3%	0.0	0.0	0.05 to 0.2 (1:5)	0.05	0.2	1 to 3%	0.0	\$	-	
VL	0 to 1%	0.0	\$-	0 to 0.25	0	0.25	0 to 1%	0.0	0.0	0.0 to 0.05 (1:20)	0.0	0.05	0 to 1%	0.0	\$	3 11	
-VL	-1 to 0%	0.0	\$-	-0.25 to 0	-0.25	0	-1 to 0%	0.0	0.0				-1 to 0%	0.0	\$	-	
-L	-3 to -1%	0.0	\$-	-1 to -0.25	-1	-0.25	-3 to -1%	0.0	0.0				-3 to -1%	0.0	\$	-	
-M	-10 to -3%	0.0	\$-	-4 to -1	-4	-1	-10 to -3%	0.0	0.0				-10 to -3%	0.0	\$		
-н	-25 to -10%	0.0	\$-	-12 to -4	-12	-4	-25 to -10%	0.0	0.0				-25 to -10%	0.0	\$		
-VH	<-25%	0.0	\$-	<-12	-24	-12	<-25%	0.0	0.0				<-25%	0.0	\$	-	
Base:	0			0		1	0						0.0				

From <Instructions>:

If using mean ratings (instead of mean values) in <3b.Unmitigated Risk Assess>, confirm or revise the default rating-scale information for each factor (not needed if using mean values) before doing any assessments in <3b.Unmitigated Risk Assess>. Changes after starting <3b.Unmitigated Risk Assess> might require reassessment of risks.

Figure 5. <3a.Rating Scales>.

Risks and Opportunities - Unmitigated Expected (Mean) Ratings or Values

Golder Associates®

Note: Risks from <2b.Risks by Category> are automatically carried over. Refer to <2b.Risks by Category> for detailed descriptions of risks.

Directions: For each risk, enter either mean ratings (per the rating scales in <3a.Rating Scales>) or the mean value for each risk factor, but not both or "error" will occur (columns C/D, F/G, J/K and N/O), and specify which project activity is affected (columns I, M, and Q); must specify activity if enter impact, or "error" will occur. The resulting "severity" or mean rating or value for each risk (in terms of equivalent escalated cost), and the associated ranking based on that severity, is determined automatically (column R and S, respectively). If the set of risks are comprehensive and non-overlapping, very approximate mean values for collective cost, schedule and disruption risk are determined automatically (as subtotals and totals, in purple-shaded cells); because only mean values are used, any correlations among factors can be ignored. Edit risks and their description in <2b. Risks by Category>. However, can change risk factors in this sheet as new information becomes available – document/date change by inserting/editing comment. Can reformat rows (or columns or even individual cells), e.g., to show wrapped text or hide unused rows (<u>bright vellow only</u>) (e.g., for printing).

Yellow-shaded cells are input cells

Purple-shaded cells are approx project risks (subtotal of risks in category)

Other cells are protected/hidden and should not be modified (except by someone who has reason and understands the spreadsheet) to prevent inadvertent mistakes that could cause misleading results.

<Project Name>

			ĺ.	Jnmitiga	ted F	Risk Register								
		Probab	ility of				Assess	ed Im	pacts (if occur)				
		Occur				Cost Change			on Change to	Mean [Disrup	tion Change	Mean Severity	Risk
			1, or rating (une ating scale*)			or rating per			ivity (months,			on-hours lost,	(escal \$M,	Ranking
		per ratin	g scale")	r	ating	scale*)	or rating	per	rating scale*)	or ratin	g per	rating scale*)	or rating	(based on
		a	ŋ	0	p	Activity	æ	g	Activity	0	g	Activity	per rating	mean severity)
	Risk or Opportunity	Value	Rating	Value	Rating	Affected (from list)	Value	Rating	Affected (from list)	Value	Rating	Affected (from list)	scale*)	severicy)
Item	(see <2b.Risks by Category> for detailed description)	>	Ľ	0.00	R	(irom iist)	0.00	цщ	(irom list)	>	Ř	(irom iist)	0.00	
	Planning Risks #N/A			0.00	- 0		0.00	-	4	0.00			0.00	1. #N/A
PL15							5	-					0.00	#N/A #N/A
	Scoping Risks	-		0.00	-		0.00			0.00			0.00	#N/A
SC1		1		0.00			0.00			0.00	-		0.00	#N/A
SC15		0	-				0						0.00	#N/A
	Preliminary Design / Environmental Process Risks	0		0.00	1		0.00	1		0.00			0.00	1
	#N/A	1											0.00	#N/A
PD25													0.00	#N/A
	Environmental Permits Risks	1		0.00			0.00]		0.00			0.00	1
EP1		1)											0.00	#N/A
EP15			, I,								_		0.00	#N/A
	ROW/Utility/RR/etc Risks			0.00			0.00			0.00			0.00	1
	#N/A.												0.00	#N/A
RU15			_	0.00			0.00			.0.00	_		0.00	#N/A
	Final Design Risks	6		0.00	0 10		0.00			0.00			0.00	1
	#N/A												0.00	#N/A
FD15 CP	#WA Procurement Risks			0.00			0.00	-		0.00	_		0.00	#N/A
	#N/A	S.	2	0.00			0.00			0.00			0.00	#N/A
CP20					-						-		0.00	#N/A #N/A
	Construction Risks		-	0.00			0.00	-		0.00			0.00	#N/A 1
	#N/A	8) 	3	0.00	9 <u> </u>		0.00			0.00			0.00	#N/A
CN25					-								0.00	#N/A
	Operations Risks	Ú.		0.00			0.00	-		0.00			0.00	1
OM1		0	1	0.00			0,00			0.00			0.00	#N/A
	#N/A	-			-								0.00	#N/A
	Replacement Risks			0.00			0.00			0.00			0.00	1
	#N/A	-											0.00	#N/A
RP15													0.00	#N/A
	Funding Risks			0.00		0	0.00			0.00			0.00	1
	#N/A	1	1		· · · · ·	1			5				0.00	#N/A
FN10													0.00	#N/A
	TOTAL (if comprehensive and non-overlapping set of risks)			0.00		QQ	0.00			0.00			0.00	

From <Instructions>:

Risks from <2b.Risks by Category> are automatically carried over, although the detailed description is not - any edits to risk register must be made in <2b. Risks by Category>, which could affect <3b. Unmitigated Risk Assess>. Enter mean rating (per <3a. Rating Scales>) or mean value for each risk factor, assuming no additional risk management. The unmitigated mean severity is determined for each risk automatically. If the set of risks is comprehensive and non-overlapping, approximate mean values for unmitigated collective risk are also determined automatically. Can revise assessments until start <5a.Risk Reduction Evaluation>. Changes to assessments after starting <5a.Risk Reduction Evaluation>, e.g., updates, might require redoing <5a.Risk Reduction >ad <5b.Risk Reduction Plan>, because the effectiveness might change.

Figure 6. <3b.Unmitigated Risk Assess> showing only first and last risk items in each category.

4. Unmitigated Risk Analysis: <4a.Unmitigated Risk Results>, <4b.Unmitigated Risk Ranking>, and <4c.Unmitig. Risk Ranking Plots>

- Refer to Chapters 6 and 7 in the Guide
- Spreadsheets <4a.Unmitigated Risk Results> (Figure 7), <4b.Unmitigated Risk Ranking> (Figure 8), and <4c.Unmitig. Risk Ranking Plots> (Figure 9)

No inputs; only the following outputs:

- In <4b.Unmitigated Risk Ranking> (Figure 8), the unmitigated identified risks are automatically presented in rank order (based on mean severity from <3b.Unmitigated Risk Assess> (Figure 6), separately for risks and for opportunities. Unused rows (except the last) can be hidden.
- In <4c.Unmitig. Risk Ranking Plots> (Figure 9), the top 20 unmitigated identified risks are automatically plotted in rank order (based on mean severity from <3b.Unmitigated Risk Assess>; see Figure 6), separately for risks and for opportunities.
- In <4a.Unmitigated Risk Results> (Figure 7), the unmitigated mean project performance is automatically determined (based on the unmitigated risk factor assessments in <3b.Unmitigated Risk Assess> (Figure 6) and on the base factor assessments in <1.Base Project Info> (Figure 2) in similar terms as for the base mean project performance (in <1.Base Project Info>; see Figure 2):
 - Project unmitigated "total" schedule, in terms of mean early start and end dates and float (in months) for each activity in relevant simplified flowchart, and key project unmitigated total mean milestone dates (i.e., for advertisement, end of construction, and replacement)
 - Project unmitigated total cost, in terms of both mean uninflated and inflated millions of dollars, through construction and postconstruction
 - Project unmitigated total disruption, in terms of mean million lost hours, through construction and postconstruction
 - Project unmitigated total longevity (i.e., combination via specified trade-offs of mean postconstruction schedule, cost, and disruption), in terms of mean NPV millions of dollars at end of construction
 - Project unmitigated total combined performance (i.e., combination via specified trade-offs of mean schedule, cost, and disruption through construction, and mean longevity), in terms of mean equivalent inflated millions of dollars

Note: Mean total project performance is approximate, depending on whether the risk register is comprehensive and nonoverlapping, and should not be used to establish budgets/milestones/contingencies.

Note: If the set of risks are comprehensive and non-overlapping, very approximate mean values for "unmitigated" (i.e., without additional Risk Management) collective cost, disruption and schedule risk are determined automatically (as subtotals and totals); because only mean values are used, any correlations among factors can be ignored. The mean value generally has about 50-60% chance of not being exceeded (depending on "skewness" of the distribution, e.g., a normal or Gaussian distribution has 50% chance); hence, a higher value should be budgeted to have a higher confidence of not being exceeded. However, additional Risk Management (see <6b.Mitigated Risk Results>) will generally reduce the budget required.

Directions: Can read the approximate mean values for unmitigated project performance. Can reformat rows (or columns or even individual cells), e.g., to show wrapped text or hide unused rows (e.g., for printing).

Yellow-shaded cells are input cells (none on this sheet)

Other cells are protected/hidden and should not be modified (except by someone who has reason and understands the spreadsheet) to prevent inadvertent mistakes that could cause misleading results.

<Project Name>

Proj Delivery Method: Traditional D/B/B Project start date: 1/0/1900 for schedule and esc	and escalation	on
---	----------------	----

(master list) (unesc\$M) (MHns) (months) label label (months) label label label label label <thl> <thl> label label<th></th><th>"Risk</th><th>" (additional t</th><th>o Base)</th><th></th><th colspan="9">"Total" (Base + Risk)</th></thl></thl>						"Risk	" (additional t	o Base)		"Total" (Base + Risk)								
and a first state of the second		Disruption	Duration		Base Lag (mos)	Risk Cost (unesc\$M)	Risk Disruption (M-hrs)	Risk Delay (months)	Total Cost (unesc\$M)	Total Disruption (M-hrs)	Total Duration (months)	Total Early Start Date	Total Early End Date	Total Float (months)		l Cost c\$M)		
Planning	\$-	0.0	0.0			0.00	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0	1/0/1900	1/0/1900	0.0	\$	-		
Scoping	\$-	0.0	0.0			0.00	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0	1/0/1900	1/0/1900	0.0	\$	-		
Design Funding								0.0					1/0/1900	0.0				
Prelim Design/Env Proc	\$ -	0.0	0.0			0.00	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0	1/0/1900	1/0/1900	0.0	\$	-		
Environmental Permits	\$-	0.0	0.0			0.00	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0	1/0/1900	1/0/1900	0.0	\$	-		
ROW/Util/RR Funding				E	0.0			0.0					1/0/1900	0.0				
ROW/Util/RR	\$ -	0.0	0.0			0.00	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0	1/0/1900	1/0/1900	0.0	\$	-		
Final Design	\$ -	0.0	0.0			0.00	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0	1/0/1900	1/0/1900	0.0	\$	-		
Construction Funding								0.0					1/0/1900	0.0				
Procurement	\$-	0.0	0.0			0.00	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0	1/0/1900	1/0/1900	0.0	\$			
Construction	\$-	0.0	0.0			0.00	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0	1/0/1900	1/0/1900	0.0	\$	-		
subtotal	\$ -	0.0				\$ -	0.0		\$ -	0.0					\$			
Operations	\$-	0.0	0.0			0.00	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0	1/0/1900	1/0/1900	0.0	\$	-		
Replacement	\$ -	0.0	0.0			0.00	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0	1/0/1900	1/0/1900	0.0	\$	-		
subtotal	s -	0.0	s -	←long	evity (\$)	s -	0.0	s -	0.0	0.0	\$ -	←longevity (\$)		\$	19		
Total	ş -	0.0	\$ -			0.00	0.0	ş -	0.00	0.0	ş -	1/0/1900	1/0/1900	1/0/1900	\$	-		
			†combined	(\$M)				†combined	(\$M)		† com bine d	(†ad date	tend of CN	treplacement				

Mean Annual Cost Inflation Rate (%/yr)

0.0%

Engr 0.0% incl Planning, Scoping, Prelim Design/Environmental Process, Final Design, Environmental Permits & Procurement

ROW/Utility/RF

Construction 0.0% incl Construction, Operations (& Maintenance), and Replacement

Extended OH Rates (unesc \$M/month)

Preconstruction

0.00 Average agency pre-construction "burn rate" (= agency baseline pre-construction engr cost / preconstruction duration) - calculated default value can be revised Average agency construction "burn rate" (= agency baseline construction engr cost / construction duration) plus compensable contractor OH (= % of contractor construction OH Construction 0.00 cost / construction duration) - calculated default value can be revised

Values for combining consequences

Disruption Value (\$M/M-hr)	0.00	to combine disruption with cost (NPV value)
Schedule Target (date)	1/0/1900	target date for start of operations
Schedule Value (\$M/mo)	0.00	to combine schedule (difference from target date) with cost (NPV value)
Net Discount Rate (%/yr)	0.0%	to determine "longevity" from O&M and replacement cost and disruption
Longevity Value (SM/SM _{NPV})	1.00	to combine "longevity" with cost (NPV value) - default value can be revised

From <Instructions>:

The unmitigated collective risks (from <3b. Unmitigated Risk Assess>) are determined automatically and combined with the base factors (from <1.Base Project Info>) to automatically determine approximate mean values of unmitigated total project performance.

Figure 7. <4a.Unmitigated Risk Results>.

Golder Associates

Risks and Opportunities Ranked Separately by Unmitigated Mean Severity Rating or Value

Note: Risk assessments from <3b.Unmitigated Risk Assess> are automatically carried over and ranked separately for risks and opportunities by mean severity. Refer to <2b.Risks by Category> for detailed descriptions of each risk, to <3b.Unmitigated Risk Assess> for risk factor assessments, and to <3a.Rating Scales> for definition of severity scale. Total project risk is <u>not</u> the sum of the individual risks because of schedule delay overlaps among multiple risks. **Directions**: Read the ranked risks and opportunities (ranked based on their mean severity if considered by itself). Can reform rows (or columns or even individual cells), e.g., to show wrapped text or hide unused rows (<u>bright vellow only</u>) (e.g., for printing).

Golder Associates

Yellow-shaded cells are input cells (none on this sheet)

Other cells are protected/hidden and should not be modified (except by someone who has reason and understands the spreadsheet) to prevent inadvertent mistakes that could cause misleading results.

<Project Name>

	-		Unmitigated Risk Ranking		Unmitigated Opportunity Ranking									
Risk Rank	Percentage of Sum of Postive Mean Severities (%)	ltem	Risk Title	Mean Severity (Equiv. Inflated \$M)	Oppor- tunity Rank	Percentage of Sum of Negative Mean Severities (%)		A CONTRACT OF A	Mean Severity (Equiv. Inflated \$M)					
1	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	1	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A					
100	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	100	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A					
total	0.00%			0.00	total	0.00%			0.00					

From <Instructions>:

The ranking of the identified risks and opportunities (based on their unmitigated mean severity from <3b.Unmitigated Risk Assess>) is automatically determined.

Figure 8. <4b.Unmitigated Risk Ranking> showing only first and last ranked risk items.

Bar Chart (Tornado Diagram) for Unmitigated Risk Ranking

Golder Associates®

Note: Linked to <4b.Unmitigated Risk Ranking>. Directions: Can manually reformat severity scale and other elements as needed (e.g., data labels)

<Project Name>

Risk Eve	t					nitigated uivalent i													ted Mean nt inflated		1)
0.0 0.1	1 0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8	0	.9	1.0	Opportunity E 0.0 0.1	vent	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9	1.0
#N/A											#N/A		1				1				
#N/A											#N/A										
#N/A											#N/A										
#N/A											- #N/A										
#N/A											- #N/A										
#N/A											#N/A										
#N/A											#N/A										
#N/A											#N/A										
#N/A											#N/A										
#N/A											#N/A										
#N/A											#N/A										
#N/A											#N/A										
#N/A											#N/A										
#N/A											#N/A										
#N/A											#N/A										
#N/A											#N/A										
#N/A											#N/A										
#N/A											#N/A										
#N/A											#N/A										
#N/A											- #N/A										

From <Instructions>:

The ranking of the identified risks and opportunities (based on their unmitigated mean severity, from <4b.Unmitigated Risk Ranking>) is automatically plotted.

Figure 9. <4c.Unmitig. Risk Ranking Plots>.

5. Risk Reduction Planning: <5a.Risk Reduction Evaluation> and <5b.Risk Reduction Plan>

- Refer to Chapters 8 and 9 in the Guide
- Spreadsheets <5a.Risk Reduction Evaluation> (Figure 10) and <5b.Risk Reduction Plan> (Figure 11)

5.1 Inputs

- In <5a.Risk Reduction Evaluation> (Figure 10)
 - Enter <key risk item#>, which have been automatically carried over in rank order from
 <4b.Unmitigated Risk Ranking> (Figure 8).
 - Enter <potential risk reduction actions> that have been identified for each critical risk, and categorize (select <action category>, that is, avoid, mitigate, transfer, or accept, from drop-down box).
 - Enter risk reduction factor assessments for each listed risk reduction action (except for "no action"):
 - Implementation (note that if an action addresses more than one risk, allocate its implementation impacts to the affected risks)
 - <mean uninflated *cost* to implement, in terms of uninflated millions of dollars> and <affected activity>, from drop-down box
 - <mean *delay* to implement, in terms of months> and <affected activity>, from drop-down box
 - <mean *disruption* to implement, in terms of million lost hours> and
 <affected activity>, from drop-down box
 - Effectiveness [note that for reference, the unmitigated risk factor assessments for each critical risk have been carried over from <3b.Unmitigated Risk Assess> (Figure 6)]
 - <mean effectiveness, %, in reducing risk (or increasing opportunity, for which negative % is used) *probability* if implemented; note that +100% effectiveness reduces probability of risk to 0, whereas –100% effectiveness increases probability of opportunity to 1, and 0% effectiveness means no change>
 - <mean effectiveness, %, in reducing risk (or increasing opportunity, for which negative % is used) *cost impact* if implemented; note that +100% effectiveness reduces risk impact to 0, whereas –100% effectiveness doubles impact of opportunity, and 0% effectiveness means no change>
 - <mean effectiveness, %, in reducing risk (or increasing opportunity, for which negative % is used) *delay* if implemented; note that +100% effectiveness reduces risk impact to 0, whereas –100% effectiveness doubles impact of opportunity, and 0% effectiveness means no change>

 <mean effectiveness, %, in reducing risk (or increasing opportunity, for which negative % is used) *disruption impact* if implemented; note: 100% effectiveness reduces risk impact to 0, whereas -100% effectiveness doubles impact of opportunity, and 0% effectiveness means no change>>

- Select (enter <1>) risk reduction actions (based on their cost-effectiveness—see output) (note that if an action that addresses more than one risk is selected, it must be selected for all affected risks)
- In <5b.Risk Reduction Plan> (Figure 11), enter <selected risk reduction action #> (based on information carried over from <5a.Risk Reduction Evaluation> (Figure 10) and then enter implementation plan logistics for that action:
 - <name of person responsible for implementing that action>
 - o <schedule/milestone date for completing that action>
 - o <comments regarding implementing that action>.

5.2 Outputs

- In <5a.Risk Reduction Evaluation> (Figure 10):
 - The potential risk reduction actions identified for each critical risk are documented (note that an action that affects more than one risk must be entered separately for each affected risk)
 - The risk reduction factor assessments (in mean values) for each identified potential risk reduction action for each critical risk are documented
 - The effectiveness of each identified potential risk reduction action is automatically determined, in terms of mean % effectiveness in reducing each risk (or increasing opportunity) severity
 - The cost-effectiveness of each identified potential risk reduction action is automatically determined, both in terms of mean ratio (i.e., mean change in risk severity over mean change in combined performance for implementation) and mean net (i.e., mean change in risk severity minus mean change in combined performance for implementation, in equivalent inflated millions of dollars) (note that if an action affects more than one risk, the cost-effectiveness of that action is the combination of the cost-effectiveness in addressing each risk)
 - The selection of risk reduction actions (presumably based on their cost-effectiveness) is documented, and the selected actions are automatically ranked on the basis of their cost-effectiveness (i.e., mean net) in addressing each risk separately (note that if an action that addresses more than one risk is selected, it must be selected for all affected risks)
 - Unused rows (except first and last) can be hidden.
- In <5b.Risk Reduction Plan> (Figure 11):

- The selected proactive risk reduction actions are presented (in rank order of their costeffectiveness) and summarized (in terms of their implementation and effectiveness factor assessments and their resulting cost-effectiveness)
- The implementation plan (i.e., responsibility, schedule/milestone, and comments) for each selected risk reduction action is documented
- Unused rows (except first and last) can be hidden

Identification and Evaluation of Risk Reduction Actions

Note: Initially ranked risks from <4b.Risk Rankings> must be manually entered into column N (for convenience, the ranked risks are referenced in column L before any updating). Their unmitigated factor assessments from <3b.Risk Assess> are automatically carried over (to columns A-K) for reference. Refer to <2b.Risks by Category> for detailed descriptions of risks and <3a.Risk Scales> for definition of ratings.

Directions: For each critical risk to be mitigated, manually enter its item number (e.g., "PL1", in column N), and then select possible management options, besides default of Accept (no action), from list (in column P) and enter short title for specific action (in column Q). Enter (in columns R-W) cost, schedule and disruption impacts by activity (from list) if implemented, regardless of their effectiveness in reducing risks. Enter (in columns X-AA) their effectiveness in reducing each risk factor (probability of that risk occurs), cost impact to specific activity if that risk occurs, schedule impact to specific activity if that risk occurs), ranging from 0% (no change, residual factor is same as unmitigated) to 100% (complete mitigation, residual factor is 0). The overall effectiveness in reducing each risk severity (in column AB) is autmaticvally determined as % reduction, and the costeffectiveness of each action in addressing each risk (in columns AC,AD) is automatically determined in two ways, ratio and net. Select the most cost-effective action for each risk (in column AE); the selected actions are then ranked (in column AF) based on their net cost-effectiveness and the top 20 are carried over to <5b.Risk Management Plan>. (Note: An action that affects more than one risk must be listed/evaluated separately for each of those risks, and its costeffectiveness determined off-line as the combination of cost-effectiveness for each risk. If such an action is selected, it must be selected for each affected risk.} Can reformat rows (or columns or even individual cells), e.g., to show wrapped text or hide unused rows (bright yellow only) (e.g., for printing).

Yellow-shaded cells are input cells

Other cells are protected/hidden and should not be modified (except by someone who has reason and understands the spreadsheet) to prevent inadvertent mistakes that could cause misleading results.

<Project Name>

	Possible Risk Reduction Actions for Each Critical Risk																		
							Imple	mentation			Effectivene	ss (100%	effective t	to 0% or no	effect)	Cost-effe	ctiveness		
			Manage.		C	Cost	Sch	edule	Disruption			Impacts if Occurs							Ranking
Current			Options		Mean		Mean		Mean		Probability								of
Risk	Risk	Mng	(from	Management Action	(uninfl	Affected	Delay	Affected	Disruption	Affected	(100% eff→0,				Residual	∆severity/	∆severity	Selected	selected
Rank	Item	Item	list)	(see checklist for other possibilities)	\$M)	Activity	(months)	Activity	(M-hrs)	Activity	-100% eff→1)	Cost	Schedule	Disruption	severity	"cost"	-"cost"	(1=yes)?	actions
#N/A		1	Accept	none	0		0		0		0%	0%	0%	0%	#N/A	no cost	#N/A		NA
		2													#N/A	no cost	#N/A		NA
		3													#N/A	no cost	#N/A		NA
#N/A		58	Accept	none	0		0		0		0%	0%	0%	0%	#N/A	no cost	#N/A		NA
		59													#N/A	no cost	#N/A		NA
		60													#N/A	no cost	#N/A		NA

From <Instructions>:

initially (premitigated/pre-updated) ranked risks and opportunities from <4b.Unmitigated Risk Ranking> must be manually carried over (the current rankings are shown in this sheet); once entered, their properties will be automatically carried over. Enter the candidate actions for each critical risk (both immediate and contractual, first from brainstorming and then from provided check list), and enter the cost-effectiveness factors for each. The cost-effectiveness of each candidate will be automatically determined. Select the most cost-effective action for each risk, with the default being "no action". The assessments can be revised until start <5b.Risk Reduction Plan>; changes after starting <5b.Risk Reduction Plan> might change the ranking of the actions.

Figure 10. <5a.Risk Reduction Evaluation> showing only first and last risk items.

Selected Risk Reduction Actions and Plans

Note: Selected Risk Management Actions from <5a.Risk Management Alternatives > must be manually entered in column E; for convenience, the top 20 Items are automatically carried over (column B) and rarked in terms of their cost effectiveness. If a slected action affects multipe risks, each affected risk is treated as a spearate action, which must be entered (even though it might not be in top 20 items). Automatically refers to <5a Risk Management Alternatives> for cost-effectiveness factors for each action, and to ⊲b.Risk Assess> for specific pre-mitigated risk factor assessments. Refer to ⊲2b.Risks by Category> for detailed descriptions of each risk and to ⊲3ta. Risk Scales> for definitions of ratings. Directions : Enter the selected management action # as shown in <5a.Risk Management Alternatives> in column E. Then enter the detailed plans for selected Risk Management Actions (columns Y-AA). The revised base cost, disruption and schedule (considering program implementation) and the

Yellow shaded cells are input cells

Other cells are protected hidden and should not be motified (except by someone +ho has reason and understands the aproxidater) to pervert instrument motifies (to i could cause motified (except of the source)).

≺Pr	oject l	lame>																	100				
	÷.			1	2.1	Pre-Mitig	ation Risk F	sctori	ia i	2		Risk Reduction implementation						R IN Reduct Effect veners (+ /-100% to 0%)					
		Management Action				and a surrow of the	Scinedule	Scine dule	Disruption	Dirupton			Scine dule	Scine dule	C inuption	Disruption	Probabilit;			In successful to the		Solite dulle on	
	Mgt		Risk	Probabilit;	Cost impact	CostAffected			Impact	Attected	Cost impact	CostAffected			impact	Affected	(100 % -0,	Cost	Schedule	Dirupton	24 252255	Mile storie	52 5A
Ran	ik item	description of action)	Addr	(0.0 to 1.0)	(Unesc\$M)	Activity	(mot)	Ac1 sty	(MHIr)	Activity	(Unesc\$M)	Activity	(moi)	Act vity	(MHIF)	Activit;	-100 % -1)	Impact	Impact	Impact	Responsibility	C] 10 CH	Commenta
																			2 3			4	
					-				1 K - K									2 2	2				

From winstruction se

The selected (norstoorte the other) set or actives to a object the set of riks from -<5a. Rikk Redictoble Bushratoble in stible main any carded over (the other by selected activis are shown In this sheet, listed in rank order based on coste the other less) once entired, their properties will be an tom attably carded over. Add in pleme tarbot details.

Figure 11. <5b.Risk Reduction Plan> showing only first and last selected risk reduction actions.

Golder Associates

6. Mitigated Risk Analysis: <6a.Mitigated Risk Assess>, <6b.Mitigated Risk Results>, <6c.Mitigated Risk Ranking> and <6d.Mitigated Risk Ranking Plots>

- Refer to Chapters 6, 7, and 8 in the Guide
- Spreadsheets <6a.Mitigated Risk Assess> (Figure 12, <6b.Mitigated Risk Results> (Figure 13), <6c.Mitigated Risk Ranking> (Figure 14) and <6d.Mitigated Risk Ranking Plots> (Figure 15)

No inputs; only following outputs:

- In <6a.Mitigated Risk Assess> (Figure 12), in the same way as in <3b.Unmitigated Risk Assess> (Figure 6):
 - The mitigated risk factor assessments (either in mean values or ratings, per predefined rating scales in <3a.Rating Scales> (Figure 5) are summarized
 - The mitigated mean severity (mean values or ratings, per predefined rating scales in <3a.Rating Scales> (Figure 5), in terms of equivalent inflated millions of dollars) is automatically determined for each risk (ratings are used if any of the risk factors are expressed as ratings), and the risks are ranked on that basis
 - Unused rows (except first and last in each category) can be hidden.
- In <6c.Mitigated Risk Ranking> (Figure 14), in the same way as in <4b.Unmitigated Risk Ranking> (Figure 8), the mitigated risks are automatically presented in rank order (based on mean severity from <6a.Mitigated Risk Assess> (Figure 12), separately for risks and for opportunities; unused rows (except the last) can be hidden
- In <6d.Mitigated Risk Ranking Plots> (Figure 15), in the same way as in <4c.Unmitig. Risk Ranking Plots> (Figure 9), the top 20 mitigated risks are automatically plotted in rank order (based on mean severity from <6a.Mitigated Risk Assess> (Figure 12), separately for risks and for opportunities
- In <6b.Mitigated Risk Results> (Figure 13), the mitigated mean project performance is automatically determined [based on the mitigated risk factor assessments in <6a.Mitigated Risk Assess> (Figure 12) and on the base factor assessments in <1.Base Project Info>- (Figure 2)] in similar terms as for the base mean project performance [in <1.Base Project Info> (Figure 2)] and the unmitigated mean project performance [in 4a.Unmitigated Risk Results> (Figure 7)]:
 - Project mitigated total schedule, in terms of mean early start and end dates and float (in months) for each activity in relevant simplified flowchart, and mean key project mitigated total" milestone dates (i.e., for advertisement, end of construction, and replacement)
 - Project mitigated total cost, in terms of both mean uninflated and inflated millions of dollars, through construction and postconstruction
 - Project mitigated total" disruption, in terms of mean million lost hours, through construction and postconstruction

- Project mitigated total longevity (i.e., combination via specified trade-offs of mean postconstruction schedule, cost, and disruption), in terms of mean NPV millions of dollars at end of construction
- Project mitigated total combined performance (i.e., combination via specified trade-offs of mean schedule, cost, and disruption through construction, and mean longevity), in terms of mean equivalent inflated millions of dollars

Note: Same as for <4a. Unmitigated Risk Results>, mean total project performance is approximate, depending on whether the risk register is comprehensive and nonoverlapping, and should not be used to establish budgets/milestones/contingencies.

Risks and Opportunities - Mitigated Expected (Mean) Ratings or Values

Note: Risks and unmitigated risk factors from <3b.Risk Assess> and risk reduction effectiveness from <5b. Risk Management Plan> are automatically carried over. Refer to <2b Risks by Category> for detailed descriptions of risks, and to <3a.Rating Scales> for definition of ratings (e.g., H, M, L). The resulting "severity" or mean rating or value for each risk (in terms of equivalent escalated cost), and the associated ranking based on that severity, is determined automatically (column J and K, respectively). If the set of risks are comprehensive and non-overlapping, very approximate mean values for collective cost, schedule and disruption risk are determined automatically (as subtotals and totals, in purple-shaded cells); because only mean values are used, any correlations among factors can be ignored. Risk severity (for prioritization) is relative to base project performance (from <1.Base Project Info>), which currently does not consider changes in base due to implementation (which is generally secondary). Directions: Can read the mitigated risk factors, and the risk severity and ranking (in column J and K) for each risk. Can reform rows (or columns or even individual cells), e.g., to show wrapped text or hide unused rows (<u>bright vellow only</u>) (e.g., for printing).

Yellow-shaded cells are input cells (none on this sheet)

Purple-shaded cells are approx project risks (subtotal of risks in category)

Polder Associates

Other cells are protected/hidden and should not be modified (except by someone who has reason and understands the spreadsheet) to prevent inadvertent mistakes that could cause misleading results.

<Project Name>

			Mitigated	Risk Register											
	Mitigated Assessed Mitigated Impacts (if occur) Probability of Mean Direct Cost Change Mean Duration Change to Mean Disruption Change														
		Occurrence (0 to 1, or rating	(unesc \$M	A, or rating per g scale*)	Schedule	ration Change to Activity (months, per rating scale*)	(million p	sruption Change person-hours lost, per rating scale*)	Mitigated Mean Severity (escal \$M,	Mitigated Risk Ranking (based on					
ltem	Risk or Opportunity	per rating scale*) Assessment	Assess- ment	Activity Affected (from list)	Assess- ment	Activity Affected (from list)	Assess- ment	Activity Affected (from list)	or rating per rating scale*)	mit. mean severity)					
	Planning Risks	2 	0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00	1					
	#N/A	1		0		0		0	0.00	#N/A					
PL15				0		0		0		#N/A					
	Scoping Risks	3 3	0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00	1					
SC1				0		0		0		#N/A					
SC15	Preliminary Design / Environmental Process Risks	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	#N/A					
PD1	#N/A	-	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	#N/A					
PD25				0	-	0			0.00	#N/A					
	Environmental Permits Risks		0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	0.00	1					
	#N/A	2	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	#N/A					
EP15				0	1	Ő		0	0.00	#N/A					
	ROW/Utility/RR/etc Risks	2	0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00	1					
	#N/A			0		0		Ö	0.00	#N/A					
RU15	#N/A	1		0		0		0	0.00	#N/A					
FD	Final Design Risks	1	0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00	1					
	#N/A			0		0		0	0.00	#N/A					
FD15				0		0		0	0.00	#N/A					
	ProcurementRisks		0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00	1					
	#N/A	[0		0		0	0.00	#N/A					
				0	0	0		0	0.00	#N/A					
	Construction R isks	8	0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00	1					
	#N/A			0		0		0	0.00	#N/A					
CN 25				0		0		0	0.00	#N/A					
	Operations Risks		0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00	1					
	#N/A	A		0		0		0		#N/A					
OM15				0		0		0	0.00	#N/A					
	Replacement Risks		0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00	1					
	#N/A	1		0		0		0	0.00	#N/A					
RP15			0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	#N/A					
	Funding Risks	8	0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00	1.					
	#N/A			<u> </u>		0		0		#N/A					
FN 10		4	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	#N/A					
	TOTAL (if comprehensive and non-overlapping set of risks)		0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00						

From <Instructions >:

The risks and their unmitigated factors are automatically carried over from <3b. Unmitigated Risk Assess>, and combined with the assessed effectiveness of the selected risk reduction actions (from <5b. Risk Reduction Plan>), to automatically determine the mitigated mean severity for each risk. If the set of risks is comprehensive and non-overlapping, approximate mean values for mitigated collective risk are also determined automatically.

Figure 12. <6a.Mitigated Risk Assess> showing only first and last risk items in each category.

Golder Associates®

Expected Value of Mitigated Project Performance

Note: If the set of risks are comprehensive and non-overlapping, very approximate mean values for "mitigated" (i.e., with selected additional Risk Management) collective cost, disruption and schedule risk are determined automatically (as subtotals and totals); because only mean values are used, any correlations among factors can be ignored. The mean value generally has about 50-60% chance of not being exceeded (depending on "skewness" of the distribution, e.g., a normal or Gaussian distribution has 50% chance); hence, a higher value should be budgeted to have a higher confidence of not being exceeded.

Directions: Can read the approximate mean values for mitigated project performance. Can reformat rows (or columns or even individual cells), e.g., to show wrapped text or hide unused rows (e.g., for printing).

Yellow-shaded cells are input cells (none on this sheet)

Other cells are protected/hidden and should not be modified (except by someone who has reason and understands the spreadsheet) to prevent inadvertent mistakes that could cause misleading results.

<Project Name>

Proj Delivery Method: Traditional D/B/B Project start date: 1/0/1900 for schedule and escalation

	"Base+Imp	" (wo contingen	cy or schedule	float)		"Residual	Risk" (additio	nal to Base)		_	"Mitigated	Total" (Base+Imp	l + Residual Risk)		
Activity (master list)	Base+Imp Cost (unesc\$M	Disruption	Base+Impl Duration (months)		Base Lag (mos)	Risk Cost (unesc\$M)	Risk Disruption (M-hrs)	Risk Delay (months)	Total Cost (unesc\$M)	Total Disruption (M-hrs)	Total Duration (months)	Total Early Start Date	Total Early End Date	Total Float (months)		al Cost sc#M)
Planning	\$	- 0.0	0.0			0.00	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0	1/0/1900	1/0/1900	0.0	Ş	
Scoping	\$	- 0.0	0.0			0.00	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0	1/0/1900	1/0/1900	0.0	Ş	-
Design Funding			0.0					0.0					1/0/1900	0.0		
Prelim Design/Env Proc	\$	- 0.0	0.0			0.00	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0	1/0/1900	1/0/1900	0.0	S	-
Environmental Permits	\$	- 0.0	0.0			0.00	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0	1/0/1900	1/0/1900	0.0	\$	- 2
ROW/Util/RR Funding			0.0	E	0.0			0.0					1/0/1900	0.0		
ROW/Util/RR	\$	- 0.0	0.0			0.00	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0	1/0/1900	1/0/1900	0.0	S	-
Final Design	\$	- 0.0	0.0			0.00	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0	1/0/1900	1/0/1900	0.0	\$	-
Construction Funding			0.0					0.0					1/0/1900	0.0		
Procurement	\$	- 0.0	0.0			0.00	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0	1/0/1900	1/0/1900	0.0	S	2
Construction	\$	- 0.0	0.0			0.00	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0	1/0/1900	1/0/1900	0.0	\$	-
subtotal	S	- 0.0				s -	0.0		\$ -	0.0					\$	
Operations	\$	- 0.0	0.0			0.00	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0	1/0/1900	1/0/1900	0.0	\$	-
Replacement	\$	- 0.0	0.0			0.00	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0	1/0/1900	1/0/1900	0.0	S	-
subtotal	\$	- 0.0	\$ -	←lon	gevity (\$)	ş -	0.0	\$ -	0.0	0.0	\$ -	←longevity (\$)		\$	-
Total	\$	- 0.0	\$ -			0.00	0.0	\$ -	0.00	0.0	\$ -	1/0/1900	1/0/1900	1/0/1900	\$	- 8
			† com bined	(\$M)				†combined	(\$M)		†com bined	(†ad date	tend of CN	†replacement		

Mean Annual Cost Inflation Rate (%/yr)

Eng 0.0% incl Planning, Scoping, Prelim Design/Environmental Process, Final Design, Environmental Permits & Procurement

ROW/Utility/RF Construction 0.0%

0.0% incl Construction, Operations (& Maintenance), and Replacement

Extended OH Rates (unesc \$M/month)

Preconstruction 0.00 Average agency pre-construction "burn rate" (= agency baseline pre-construction engr cost / preconstruction duration) - calculated default value can be revised Average agency construction "burn rate" (= agency baseline construction engr cost / construction duration) plus compensable contractor OH (= % of contractor construction OH Construction

0.00 cost / construction duration) - calculated default value can be revised

Values for combining consequences

Disruption Value (\$M/M-hr)	0.00	to combine disruption with cost (NPV value)
Schedule Target (date)	1/0/1900	target date for start of operations
Schedule Value (\$M/mo)	0.00	to combine schedule (difference from target date) with cost (NPV value)
Net Discount Rate (%/yr)	0.0%	to determine "longevity" from O&M and replacement cost and disruption
Longevity Value (\$M/\$M _{NPV})	1.00	to combine "longevity" with cost (NPV value) - default value can be revised

From <Instructions>:

The residual collective risks for the Risk Reduction Plan (from <5b.Risk Reduction Plan>) are determined automatically and combined with the initial base factors (from <1.Base Project Info>), the unmitigated risk factors (from <3b Unmiligated Risk Assess>), and risk management factors (from <5a Risk Reduction Evaluation>) to automatically determine approximate mean values of miligated total project performance.

Figure 13. <6b.Mitigated Risk Results>.

Risks and Opportunities Ranked Separately by Mitigated Mean Severity Rating or Value

Note : Mitigated risk assessments from <6a.Mitigated Risk Assess> are automatically carried over and ranked separately for risks and opportunities by mean severity. Refer to <2b.Risks by Category> for detailed descripti <6a.Mitigated Risk Assess> for unmitigated risk factor assessments and risk management factor assessments, and to <3a.Rating Scales> for definition of severity scale. Total project risk is<u>not</u> the sum of individual risks, b schedule delays overlap among multiple risks.

Directions: Read the ranked risks and opportunities (ranked based on their mean severity if considered by itself). Can reformat rows (or columns or even individual cells), e.g., to show wrapped text or hide unused rows(or only) (e.g., for printing).

Yellow-shaded cells are input cells (none on this sheet)

Other cells are protected/hidden and should not be modified (except by someone who has reason and understands the spreadsheet) to prevent inadvertent mistakes that could cause misleading results.

<Project Name> Mitigated Risk Ranking Mitigated Opportunity Ranking Percentage of Mean Severity Percentage of Total Mean **Oppor-**Risk Total Mean **Risk Title** Item (Equiv. Inflated \$M) Item **Opportunity Title** tunity Rank Risk (%) **Opportunity (%)** Rank #N/A #N/A #N/A #N/A #N/A #N/A #N/A 100 #N/A #N/A #N/A #N/A 100 #N/A #N/A #N/A ntal 0.00% 0.00 tal 0.009

From <Instructions>:

The ranking of the identified risks and opportunities (based on their mitigated mean severity from <6a.Mitigated Risk Assess>) is automatically determined.

Figure 14. <6c.Mitigated Risk Ranking> showing only first and last ranked risk items.

Bar Chart (Tornado Diagram) for Mitigated Risk Ranking

Notes: Linked to <6c.Mitigated Risk Ranking>. Directions: Can manually reformat severity scale and other elements as needed (e.g., data labels), e.g., similar to <4c.Unmitigated Risk Ranking Plots>. <
Project Name>

Golder Asso

	Mitigated Mean Severity (in equivalent inflated \$ million) Risk Event												Mitigated Mean Sev (in equivalent inflated - Opportunity Event											
0.	.0 0.	1	0.2	0.3	0	.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9	1.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8 0			
#N/A													#N/A											
#N/A													#N/A											
#N/A													#N/A											
#N/A													#N/A											
#N/A													#N/A											
#N/A													#N/A											
#N/A													#N/A											
#N/A -													#N/A											
#N/A													#N/A											
#N/A													#N/A											
#N/A -													#N/A											
#N/A -													#N/A											
#N/A -													#N/A											
#N/A -													#N/A											
#N/A -													#N/A											
#N/A -													#N/A											
#N/A -													#N/A											
#N/A -													#N/A											
#N/A -													#N/A											
#N/A			ļ.,			ļ							#N/A											

From <Instructions>:

The ranking of the identified risks and opportunities (based on their mitigated mean severity, from <6c.Mitigated Risk Ranking>) is automatically plotted.

Figure 15. <6d.Mitigated Risk Ranking Plots>.