

JANUARY-FEBRUARY 2001  
NUMBER 212

TRANSPORTATION RESEARCH BOARD  
NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL

# TR NEWS

*Protecting Cars in Crashes  
Preventing Pollution from Oil Spills  
Planning for Commuters*



**Plus:**  
**TRB's 2000  
Field Visit Program**

# TR NEWS

## 3 Protecting Cars from Utility Vehicles: Clues from Real-World Data and Crash Tests

*Adrian K. Lund, Brian O'Neill, Joseph M. Nolan, and Janella F. Chapline*

Crash data show that, compared with cars, sport utility vehicles (SUVs) and pickups cause more deaths in other vehicles with which they collide. Crash tests point to some design modifications that may mitigate the crash effects of SUVs and pickups, but safety professionals should not overlook new protections for car occupants, according to the authors, insurance industry researchers.

## 9 Traveler Response to Transportation System Changes: New Insights from the Revised Handbook

*Richard H. Pratt*

The oracle-handbook of transportation system planners, *Traveler Response to Transportation System Changes*, is undergoing a long-overdue revision, expansion, and update. Several updated sections have debuted on the web, and the primary author offers a quick tour of the project and several sample applications of the new data.

## 15 Spill Plans for Transportation Maintenance Facilities: How Minnesota Complies with the Federal Rule

*Brian Kamnikar*

A longstanding federal rule to prevent oil pollution of “navigable waters” by “nontransportation” facilities has far-reaching but often-ignored applications. Here’s how the Minnesota Department of Transportation has ensured compliance at its facilities with oil storage tanks.

## 19 Special Feature

### Managing Change in Transportation: The Transportation Research Board’s 2000 Field Visit Program

TRB technical staff summarize by topic the findings from annual visits to transportation departments, university programs, and industry in every state to gauge research needs and projects under way, state of the practice, state of the art, legislative activities, and more—in the annual “state of the union report” for transportation research.



15



19



**Cover:** Heralded by fireworks, light shows, and gatherings of business leaders and dignitaries, the *Acela Express*—Amtrak’s new high-speed rail—pulls into Boston’s South Station, November 16, 2000. The inaugural trip began in Washington, D.C., and broke speed records in North America as it proceeded to New York and Boston. See TRB’s Field Visit Program (starting on page 19) and page 44.

# TR NEWS

features articles on innovative and timely research and development activities in all modes of transportation. Brief news items of interest to the transportation community are also included, along with profiles of transportation professionals, meeting announcements, summaries of new publications, and news of Transportation Research Board activities.

**TR News is produced by the Transportation Research Board Reports and Editorial Services Office**  
Nancy A. Ackerman, Director  
Javy Awan, Managing Editor  
Kristin C. Motley, Assistant Editor

**TR News Editorial Board**  
Neil F. Hawks, Chairman  
Nancy A. Ackerman  
Joseph A. Breen  
Walter J. Diewald  
Frederick D. Hejl  
Timothy Hess  
Nancy P. Humphrey  
A. Robert Raab

**Transportation Research Board**  
Robert E. Skinner, Jr., Executive Director  
Mark R. Norman, Director,  
Technical Activities  
Stephen R. Godwin, Director,  
Studies and Information Services  
Marcia A. Appel, Director,  
Administration and Finance  
Robert J. Reilly, Director,  
Cooperative Research Programs  
Neil F. Hawks, Director, Special Programs

**TR News** (ISSN 0738-6826) is issued bimonthly by the Transportation Research Board, National Research Council, 2101 Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20418. Internet address: [national-academies.org/trb/](http://national-academies.org/trb/).

**Editorial Correspondence:** By mail to the Reports and Editorial Services Office at the address above, by telephone 202-334-2972, or by fax 202-334-3495.

**Subscriptions:** North America: 1 year \$55.00; single issue \$9.50. Overseas: 1 year \$70.00; single issue \$13.00. Inquiries or communications concerning new subscriptions, subscription problems, or single-copy sales should be addressed to the Business Office at the address above, or telephone 202-334-3216, fax 202-334-2519. Second-class postage paid at Washington, DC.

**Postmaster:** Send changes of address to *TR News*, Transportation Research Board, 2101 Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20418.

**Notice:** The opinions expressed in articles appearing in *TR News* are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Transportation Research Board. The Transportation Research Board and *TR News* do not endorse products of manufacturers. Trade and manufacturers' names appear in an article only because they are considered essential to its object.

Printed in the United States of America.

Copyright © 2001 Transportation Research Board. All rights reserved.

**40 Research Pays Off**  
New Precast Bent Cap System: Saving Texas Time and Money  
*Kathleen Jones and John Vogel*

**42 Profiles**  
Pavement engineer Kathryn A. Zimmerman and railroad executive Paul H. Reistrup

**44 News Briefs**  
New Transportation Secretary; *Acela* launched; context-sensitive design makes inroads; windsleds take the ice

**50 TRB Highlights**  
Highway Safety Manual; transit contracting; environmental windows  
CRP News, 53

**55 Calendar**

## COMING NEXT ISSUE

The upcoming March–April *TR News* features reports and highlights from the TRB 80th Annual Meeting, held January 7–11, 2001 in Washington, D.C.



John M. Samuels, Senior Vice President–Operations Planning and Support, Norfolk Southern Corporation, takes over as TRB's 2001 Executive Committee Chairman.



Record numbers of attendees—more than 8,500—participated in sessions, exhibits, and other events.



Former Transportation Secretary William Coleman makes a presentation during one of hundreds of sessions at the meeting.



## NEW PRECAST BENT CAP SYSTEM *Saving Texas Time and Money*

KATHLEEN JONES<sup>1</sup> AND JOHN VOGEL

*John Vogel is a bridge design engineer, Texas Department of Transportation.*

**B**uilding bridges in congested urban settings is becoming more challenging for the Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT). Concerns about traffic delays and public safety in congested construction zones intensify, and the costs associated with traffic control and disrupted traffic increase substantially.

TxDOT already had begun to use economical, standard superstructure elements—such as precast I-girders with precast concrete deck panels—to facilitate rapid and cost-effective construction. Consequently, the department expected that the next opportunity for swift construction and cost reduction was the development of precast substructure elements.

### Problem

The Pierce Elevated section of Interstate Highway 45 in Houston's central business district required replacement of 113 spans in 1996, but the columns were still in good condition. A conventional TxDOT bridge system—a precast superstructure and cast-in-place bent caps—would have taken more than a year and a half to construct. Since user delay costs were estimated at greater than \$100,000 a day in urban Houston, TxDOT bridge designers decided to use precast bent caps to expedite construction.

Two problems with using precast bent caps became apparent—first, there was no comprehensive design methodology for bent cap-to-column connections; and second, during the design phase of Pierce Elevated, of the myriad of commercial products and published information available for detailing the connection between the columns and bent caps, nothing satisfied the cost-effectiveness and constructability demands as a complete system. This new technology was expected to be

introduced incrementally and near the bounds of current construction practice.

Therefore, the bent caps for Pierce Elevated used conventional reinforcement without prestressing—the connections were detailed to allow this. The success of Pierce Elevated as well as discussions with the contractors led to minimized deviation from conventional bent cap reinforcement in the development of precast connections.

### Solution

TxDOT contracted with the Center for Transportation Research at the University of Texas to study the use of precast bent caps for the conventional bridge systems that are predominant in Texas. The research study had three major objectives:

- ◆ To develop details for connecting precast bent caps to cast-in-place concrete columns and precast trestle piles,
- ◆ To evaluate candidate details with full-scale specimens in the laboratory, and
- ◆ To finalize standard connection details for precast bent caps through the construction and testing of full-scale bents in the field by a highway contractor.

Standard cast-in-place bent cap reinforcement details were used in the cap, so that precast bent caps could be incorporated easily into the plans. In this way contractors could determine for themselves the optimum construction technique for a given bent. Shipping weight limitations would be accounted for by constructing wide bents as a series of smaller independent bents.

The researchers worked closely with TxDOT bridge designers and contractors to develop and validate a design procedure for several connection systems and to obtain predicted connection strength with the laboratory specimens. State-of-the-art connection hardware and grouting tech-

<sup>1</sup>Kathleen Jones was an information specialist, Texas Department of Transportation; she died in November 2000.

nology were used in developing the connections; the importance of these step-by-step grouting procedures—designed to accommodate a range of common dimensional field errors—soon became evident.

The resulting two laboratory-developed, full-scale bents, built and tested by a highway contractor, contributed to quality design and detailing standards, which are currently under final revision.

## Application

This research and development project was strengthened through the combined creativity and experience of participants from industry, academia, and TxDOT. The connection details produced by the collaboration were highly constructable and efficient.

TxDOT intends to use this technology as an optional construction method, including a connection detail standard and specification in construction contract documents. The maximum cap weight is expected to be limited to the maximum crane capacity on a given job, which is determined by the weight of the beams placed on the project.

The connection details are appropriate for typical highway bridges with multiple columns and a relatively low bending moment demand at the top of column. With this technology, it is feasible to construct a single-span bridge using precast piles, bent caps, wing walls, and beams with a cast-in-place wearing surface in days instead of weeks or months.

This technology is most advantageous in long and highly repetitive bridges, bridges spanning water, and off-system bridges with long detours and difficult and costly phasing.

TxDOT is working on an implementation plan to use precast bent caps on a large project on Interstate Highway 35 that will require 200 similar bents and on a project in the Dallas district for building a long bridge over water. The implementation plan calls for the researchers to monitor the project, document the work, and report the results.

## Benefits

The research cost \$289,200—three days of saved user costs on the Pierce Elevated project alone would have paid for the research. The precast bent caps allowed completion of the 113-span Pierce Elevated in 95 days instead of the 548 days that conventional construction would have required. Even by conservative estimates, the savings to road users on this one project exceeded the total cost of



TxDOT's \$18 million research program in 1999. The use of precast bent caps resulted in faster construction and reduced user costs; there is no way to determine exact construction cost savings because construction costs are project specific.

Precast bent caps undeniably speed up construction and maintain or improve concrete quality. Shorter project duration means less risk associated with construction zone traffic control and detours. Construction phasing can be reexamined or eliminated with the construction time reduced. Monetary benefits are difficult to quantify because they are proportional to timesaving at a project-specific rate. But, without exception, reduced project time greatly increases public satisfaction.

*For further information contact John Vogel, Bridge Design, Texas Department of Transportation, Houston District, 7721 Washington Avenue, Houston, TX 77251-1386 (telephone 713-802-5235, e-mail jvogel1@dot.state.tx.us).*

EDITOR'S NOTE: *Appreciation is expressed to David Beal, Transportation Research Board, for his efforts in developing this article.*

Suggestions for "Research Pays Off" topics are welcome. Contact G. P. Jayaprakash, Transportation Research Board, 2101 Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20418 (telephone 202-334-2952, e-mail gjayapra@nas.edu).

Precast bent cap being lowered onto its column connections during construction of Pierce Elevated in Houston, Texas. *Inset: Close-up of column connection.*